

**SOUTHERN KENTUCKY CORRIDOR (I-66)  
Somerset to London  
Planning Study**

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

	<u><i>Page No.</i></u>
1. Introduction .....	1-1
A. Project Background .....	1-1
B. Previous Studies.....	1-1
C. Purpose .....	1-1
D. Project Study Area.....	1-5
E. Report Contents.....	1-5
2. Study Area Conditions .....	2-1
A. Socioeconomics and Land Use .....	2-1
B. Existing Study Area Characteristics.....	2-7
C. Traffic and Operational Conditions .....	2-15
D. Planning Level Environmental Considerations .....	2-21
3. Proposed Corridors.....	3-1
A. Key Corridor Development Issues .....	3-1
B. Proposed Corridor Options .....	3-4
4. Analysis of Alternatives.....	4-1
A. Traffic and Socioeconomics.....	4-1
B. Environmental Issues .....	4-9
C. Engineering and Construction Costs .....	4-24
5. Public and Agency Involvement.....	5-1
A. Public Meetings .....	5-1
B. Other Public Input.....	5-3
C. Resource Agency Input .....	5-5
D. KYTC Intradepartmental Input.....	5-6
6. Recommendations and Conclusions.....	6-1
A. Development of Recommended Corridor.....	6-1
B. Recommended Corridor Evaluation.....	6-6
C. Priority Segments and Implementation.....	6-9

**LIST OF APPENDICES**

Appendix A .....	Public Airport Facilities
Appendix B .....	Planned and Programmed Improvements
Appendix C .....	Critical Accident Rates
Appendix D .....	Federal and State Threatened and Endangered Species in Pulaski and Laurel Counties
Appendix E .....	Kentucky Geological Survey Report
Appendix F.....	Typical Sections/Proposed Design Criteria
Appendix G .....	Public and Agency Involvement Materials

**SOUTHERN KENTUCKY CORRIDOR (I-66)  
Somerset to London  
Planning Study**

**Page No.**

**LIST OF EXHIBITS**

**Page No.**

1.1	Corridor 3 – The Transamerica Corridor (Interstate 66) .....	1-2
1.2	Proposed I-66 Corridor Through Kentucky (50 mile Corridor Width) .....	1-3
1.3	1995 Estimates of Persons in Poverty .....	1-4
1.4	Proposed I-66 Corridor Project Location .....	1-6
2.1	Block Group Population .....	2-1
2.2	Population Characteristics .....	2-2
2.3	Labor Force Characteristics .....	2-4
2.4	Earnings Information .....	2-5
2.5	Employment Characteristics .....	2-6
2.6	Regional Tourism .....	2-8
2.7	Land Use Classification .....	2-9
2.8	Regional Truck Network .....	2-10
2.9	Existing Bridge Inventory .....	2-13
2.10	Kentucky Intermodal Facilities .....	2-14
2.11	Year 1998 Level of Service and Average Daily Traffic Volumes .....	2-18
2.12	Year 2010 Level of Service and Average Daily Traffic Volumes .....	2-19
2.13	Year 2030 Level of Service and Average Daily Traffic Volumes .....	2-20
3.1	Potential Interchange Sites .....	3-1
3.2	Existing Exits 38 and 41 .....	3-2
3.3	Major Areas of Avoidance .....	3-3
3.4	Study Corridors .....	3-5
4.1	Projected Traffic Volumes for Year 2010 .....	4-3
4.2	Projected Traffic Volumes for Year 2030 .....	4-4
4.3	Historic Sites, Cemeteries, Churches and Schools .....	4-11
4.4	National Forest Issues .....	4-13
4.5	Permitted Surface Mining Areas .....	4-14
4.6	Digital Elevation Model of the Study Area .....	4-16
4.7	Geology of the Study Area .....	4-17
4.8	Blue-Line Stream Crossings .....	4-19
4.9	Wetlands Locations .....	4-20
4.10	Well and Hazardous Sites .....	4-22
4.11	Divided Highway Section .....	4-24
4.12	I-66/I-75 Interchange for N-2 and N-3 .....	4-25
4.13	Existing KY 80 and I-75 Interchange (Exit 41) .....	4-25
4.14	Potential Frontage Roads and Interchange along KY 80 .....	4-25
4.15	Estimated Construction Cost Components .....	4-26
4.16	Potential Interchange Locations .....	4-28
5.1	Initially Preferred Corridor (as presented in June, 1999) .....	5-1

5.2	Summer 1999 Public Input Questionnaire Summaries .....	5-2
5.3	Spring 2000 Public Input Questionnaire Summaries .....	5-3
6.1	Recommended Corridor .....	6-4
6.2	Approximate Location of I-66/I-75 Interchange .....	6-3
6.3	Design Consideration for I-66/I-75 Interchange .....	6-5
6.4	Potential Louie B. Nunn (Cumberland) Parkway Interchange .....	6-5
6.5	Potential Daniel Boone Parkway Interchange .....	6-6
6.6	Recommended Corridor Traffic Volumes .....	6-7
6.7	Recommended Segment Priorities .....	6-10

**LIST OF TABLES**

2.1	Population Estimates .....	2-1
2.2	Labor Force Characteristics .....	2-3
2.3	Study Area Industry .....	2-3
2.4	Existing Route Inventory .....	2-11
2.5	Highway Characteristics .....	2-11
2.6	Area Industrial Facilities .....	2-15
2.7	Traffic Characteristics .....	2-16
2.8	Level of Service Definitions .....	2-17
2.9	Critical Accident Rates .....	2-17
4.1	Average Traffic Volumes .....	4-1
4.2	Projected Traffic Volumes for the Years 2010 and 2030 .....	4-2
4.3	Time and Distance Savings for Year 2030 .....	4-1
4.4	Daily VMT & VHT for Year 2030 .....	4-1
4.5	Accident Reduction and Cost Savings for Proposed Corridors .....	4-6
4.6	Potential Displacements .....	4-5
4.7	Recreational Proximity .....	4-7
4.8	Industrial Serviceability .....	4-7
4.9	Environmental Justice .....	4-7
4.10	Identified Cultural and Historic Features (within a 2000' corridor) .....	4-9
4.11	Identified Native Species .....	4-12
4.12	Daniel Boone National Forest Property .....	4-12
4.13	Cliff Line Locations .....	4-18
4.14	Water Resources (within a 2000' corridor) .....	4-18
4.15	Wells and Hazardous Sites (within a 2000' corridor) .....	4-21
4.16	Cost Estimates .....	4-26
6.1	Evaluation Matrix .....	6-2
6.2	Traffic and Socioeconomic Issues .....	6-6
6.3	Environmental Issues .....	6-8
6.4	Recommended Corridor Cost Estimates .....	6-9