Value Engineering Workshop Report



US 41 - North Main Street Kentucky Transportation Cabinet

VE No. # 202103; Item No. # 02-8305.00

Workshop Dates: August 16 - 20, 2021

Contact: Renee Hoekstra, CVS CVS No. 20030602

Office Phone (602) 493-1947 Cellular Phone (623) 764-7490

December 6, 2021

Contents

Section 1: Introduction	1
Value Methodology	2
Description of Study	5
Report Contents	5
Section 2: Project Description	7
Summary Project Description	8
Section 3: Executive Summary	10
Background	11
Performance Criteria	12
Summary Workshop Results	13
Function Analysis	13
Value Engineering Punch List	14
Value Engineering Team	16
Section 4: Summary Information	17
Introduction	18
Summary of Value Engineering Proposals and Design Suggestions (table)	19
Design Comments (table)	20
Section 5: Value Engineering Workbooks	21
Introduction	22
Cost Estimating for VE Proposals	23
Section 6: Appendices	96
Appendix A - Study Participants	97
Appendix B - Function Analysis	99
Appendix C - Creativity Phase	101
Appendix D - Evaluation Phase	105
Appendix E - Supporting Data	111
Traffic Analysis	112
Safety Analysis	116
Performance Criteria Matrix	120
Appendix F - Agenda	122
Appendix G - Value Methodology	126

SECTION

INTRODUCTION

Section 1: Introduction

Value Methodology

The value methodology (Synonyms: value analysis, value engineering and value management) is a function-oriented, systematic, team approach to add customer value to a program, facility, system, or service. Improvements like performance, quality, initial and life cycle cost are paramount in the value methodology. The workshop is conducted in accordance with the methodology as established by SAVE International, the value society, and is structured using the Job Plan as outlined as follows:

Value Methodology Phase	Objectives of this Phase	Outcomes of this Phase
	Stage 1: Pre-workshop Study (P	reparation)
Pre-workshop	 Identify study project Identify study roles and responsibilities Define study scope, goals and objectives Select team leader Conduct pre-study meeting Select value study team members Identify stakeholders, decisionmakers, and technical reviewers Obtain time commitment Identify data collection Select study dates Determine study logistics, agenda Collect and distribute data Perform technology dry-run for virtual workshop Send team primer to value study team Value team members to complete Key Issues Memos (KIM) 	 Fosters understanding of value study priorities Defines and manages expectations Organizes the value study Offers a thorough review of the project Tests meeting platform and virtual tools to maximize engagement and collaboration Primes the team for the value workshop

Value Methodology Phase	Objectives of this Phase	Outcomes of this Phase					
	Stage 2: Workshop Study						
Phase 1: Information	 Present design concept Present stakeholders' interests Review project issues and objectives Discuss deviation from design standards Define project performance metrics Discuss problems the project must solve; identify issues the design may not address Visit project site / virtual site tour 	 Brings all value study team members to a common understanding of the project, including its challenges and constraints Establishes the benchmark for which to identify alternatives Gains a real-world perspective of the project and builds foundation for function analysis 					
Phase 2: Function Analysis	 Identify and classify functions Apply cost and risk relative to performance Prioritize functions Select specific functions for study 	 Provides a comprehensive understanding by focusing on what the project does rather than what it is Identifies what the project must do to satisfy needs and objectives Focuses on functions with the greatest opportunity for project improvements 					
Phase 3: Creative	 Brainstorm to generate performance-focused ideas for alternative ways to perform functions Discuss, build-on and clarify ideas 	 Value team develops a broad array of ideas that provides a wide variety of possible alternative components or methods to improve project value 					
Phase 4: Evaluation	 Eliminate obvious "fatal flaw" ideas Score ideas based on meeting performance criteria, value key and project/study goals Discuss conflicting rankings, further clarify ideas and determine final rankings Discuss ideas with client and decision-makers (midpoint review) Assign alternatives for development phase 	 Prioritizes ideas for development, focusing on those with the highest potential for performance improvement and cost savings Determine value: performance/cost Focuses team's effort to develop alternatives that best meet client study objectives 					

Value Methodology Phase	Objectives of this Phase	Outcomes of this Phase
Phase 5: Development	 Validate and refine idea concepts Compare to original design concept Define implementation considerations Prepare sketches and calculations Measure performance Estimate costs, life-cycle cost benefits/costs 	 Provides side-by-side comparison of baseline and alternative— concepts, initial costs, life-cycle costs, sketches, performance metrics
Phase 6: Presentation	 Present developed ideas to client, designers, decision-makers, stakeholders Document feedback Produce draft report 	Ensures management and other key stakeholders understand the rationale of the value alternatives and design suggestions
	Stage 3: Post-workshop Study (Imp	plementation)
Post-workshop	 Document process and study findings Develop and distribute VE study summary report Review study summary report Assess alternatives for acceptance Prepare draft implementation dispositions Resolve conditionally accepted alternatives Develop implementation plan with project manager Project manager sign-off on VE implementation plan Final presentation of study results 	 Involves those who will implement and increases likelihood of implementation Improves actual value of the project

Description of Study

The VE study was conducted in accordance with the SAVE International Value Methodology, found in Section 4: Support Data. The Value Methodology includes pre-workshop (Stage 1), workshop (Stage 2) and post-workshop (Stage 3) activities. Stage 2, workshop activities include six phases as follows: Information (Phase 1), Function Analysis (Phase 2), Creative (Phase 3), Evaluation (Phase 4), Development (Phase 5) and Presentation (Phase 6).

The Summary of Value Engineering Proposals (Great and Good Opportunities) along with Design Suggestions and Design Comments are found in Section 2: Summary Information. This summarizes the ideas brainstormed and developed during the study indicating the areas of opportunity for improving the value, performance and functions of the project. A complete list of all of the ideas, the Creative Idea List, is located in Section 4: Support Data.

Details of the Value Engineering Proposals and Design Suggestions can be found in Section 3: Value Engineering Workbooks. A presentation of the VE study recommendations and key findings was given to the decision makers on August 20, 2021; a copy is included in Section 4: Support Data.

Report Contents

The report provides the outcomes associated with this VE workshop and includes the following sections:

Section 1: Introduction - This section outlines the VE process and explains the content of the report.

Section 2: Project Description - This section outlines the project background, project corridor, and project purpose and need.

Section 3: Executive Summary - This section is an overview that includes project background, summary of results, a list of the VE study team members, and the VE punch list.

Section 4: Summary Information - This section provides an overview in table format of the VE Proposals, Design Suggestions, and Design Comments.

Section 5: VE Proposals and Design Suggestions - This section includes alternatives developed as a workbook during the workshop. Each workbook contains the following information:

- Unique Identifying Number (XX-##)
- Creative Idea Title
- Function Identification
- Baseline Assumption brief description
- Proposed Alternative brief description
- Benefits
- Risks/Challenges
- Overall Performance Score
- Cost Summary
- Discussion/Justification
- Implementation Considerations, if applicable
- Impact to Performance alternative scored against performance criteria
- Initial Cost Detail
- Replacement/Salvage and Annual Cost Detail, if applicable
- Baseline and Proposed Sketches, if applicable

Section 6: Appendices

- Appendix A Study Participants
- Appendix B Function Analysis
- Appendix C Creative Idea List and Evaluation
- Appendix D Supporting Data
 - o Performance Criteria
 - Traffic Analysis
 - Safety Analysis
 - o Agenda

SECTION



PROJECT DESCRIPTION

Section 2: Project Description


Summary Project Description

The purpose of this project is to improve the traffic flow, increase capacity, improve safety for motorists and pedestrians, and improve the efficiency and connectivity of US 41.

The Kentucky Transportation Cabinet (KYTC) is developing alternative approaches to improving US 41 between Hospital Drive and US 41A/KY 281. The portion of US 41 within the project area is currently a three-lane roadway with one travel lane in each direction and a center two-way left-turn lane. The most recent traffic count for this section of US 41 identified the Average Daily Traffic (ADT) as 20,382 vehicles in 2015. The corridor is bounded by a high concentration of approach roads and entrances which contribute heavily to increased traffic volumes and diminished mobility. As a result, the corridor is plagued by periods of significant traffic congestion and accompanying vehicular delay throughout the day. These numerous access points also create an environment that increases the likelihood of vehicular collisions. Sidewalks and pedestrian accommodations range from minimal to non-existent.


The design team presented three alternatives for the project which the team evaluated. Alternative 1 was identified as the baseline alternative for the VE team to use for the VE study.

Alternative 1 has the typical cross-section of two travel lanes in each direction with a two-way left turn lane in the center. Both sides of the roadway will include sidewalks. This also includes a widening of the CMX railroad bridge.




Alternative 1 Typical Section

Alternative 2 has the typical cross-section of two travel lanes in each direction with a concrete median separating traffic with left hand turn lanes along the corridor. There are sidewalks on both sides of the roadway as well. This also includes the widening of the CMX railroad bridge.




Alternative 2 Typical Section



Alternative 2 Typical Section with turn-lane

Alternative 3 is a combination of Alternative 1 and 2 with a typical cross-section of two travel lanes in each direction with a concrete median separating traffic for portions of the project and a typical cross-section of two lanes in each direction with a two-way left turn lane in the center. There are sidewalks on both sides of the roadway along the entire project. This also includes the widening of the CMX railroad bridge.



Alternative 3 Typical Section

SECTION

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Section 3: Executive Summary

Background

A Value Engineering (VE) study was conducted on the scoping documents for the **US 41** - **North Main Street, Hopkins County Project** for the Kentucky Transportation Cabinet (KYTC) on August 16 - 20, 2021, for the project described below.

The VE team provided a review of the design and/or planning document submission that were prepared. The general impression of the VE team was that the design was complete for this level of submission. The design team had successfully developed three concepts that met the purpose and need, and functional requirements of the scope of work. The VE team believes that the transportation improvements as conceived are constructible however, after further study, Identified improvements in regards to access management, safety, and traffic flow.

The VE team, having reviewed the documents and received the in-briefing presentation by the design team, began to see their opportunity was to contribute quantitative and qualitative suggestions and improvements to the design that would improve the value of this project through improved function. While the VE team was able to pursue cost savings and/or achieve savings through suggested changes, the real focus of the team was to enhance the quality that was already taking shape in the current design. The VE team had the benefit of providing a new set of lenses in trying to find additional enhancements to the design, as they are not burdened by the history of the project. The team could see that project with a fresh perspective; and the value alternatives are offered as creative contributions to the plan that has brought the project to this point.

In all cases, the focus was to search for opportunities that will enhance the functionality of the facility to support infrastructure while reducing the resources required to build, operate, and maintain it. The documentation that follows will indicate the process that was followed and resulted in the value alternatives in this report.

KYTC representatives presented the project during the kick-off meeting on August 16, 2021 to the VE team.

The <u>workshop objectives</u> were identified at the start of the workshop and were used to focus the VE team's efforts:

- Overall local operations in regards to access management and safety
- Mainline operations to reduce congestion and travel delays
- Access management (flow, U-turns, etc.)
- Drainage/flooding issues at the CMX railroad crossing
- Accommodate pedestrians
- Use Alternative 1 as the baseline

Additionally, the <u>project's goals and objectives</u> were identified as they relate to the success of the project:

- Increase capacity
- Improve safety
- Enhance efficiencies
- Minimize impacts to businesses
- Salvage new utilities at US 41/US 41A/ KY 281
- Budget \$10M
- Schedule Right of Way and utility acquisition work in 2022 and construction in 2024

Performance Criteria

During the kick-off meeting on August 16, 2021, the decision makers helped the VE study team understand what defined project success for the US 41 North Main Street Project. Using a paired-comparison matrix, performance criteria were scored and ranked (see Section 4: Support Data). These criteria were used later in the workshop by the VE study team for both evaluating and developing alternatives.

- Mainline Operations Capacity, congestion, traffic delays, conflicts (28.6%)
- Local Operations Access to businesses and properties while minimizing impacts to US 41 (21.4%)
- Level of Service Pedestrian access and comfort (21.4%)
- Connectivity Enhance community economy (14.3%)
- Drainage Impacts to flooding (9.5%)
- Schedule Right of Way acquisition and utility plans by 2022 (4.8%)

Summary Workshop Results

Summary workshop results are shown in the table below.

Workshop Outcome	Number	Section Report / Result
Ideas brainstormed	67	See Creative Idea List (Section 4: Support Data)
Ideas developed into VE Proposal (and costed, if possible)	9	See Section 2: Summary Information and Section 3: Value Engineering Workbooks
Design Suggestions (ideas developed but not costed)	1	See Section 2: Summary Information and Section 3: Value Engineering Workbooks
Design Comments (DC), not developed	11	See Section 2: Summary Information

The team also developed a Traffic and Safety Analysis as supporting information for the three existing Alternatives and then for the two new Alternatives. The analysis can be found in Section 6: Appendices, Appendix D: Supporting Data.

Function Analysis

Function definition and analysis is the heart of Value Engineering. It is the primary activity that separates VE from other "improvement" programs. The objective of this phase is to ensure the entire team agrees upon the purpose of the project elements. Furthermore, this phase assists with development of the most beneficial areas for continuing the study. The data supporting the function analysis can be found in Section 6: Appendices, Appendix C.

The VE Team identified the functions using active verb and measurable noun combinations. This process allowed the team to truly understand all of the functions associated with the project. The basic functions (the purpose of the Purpose and Need) were defined as "Increase Capacity" and "Reduce Congestion." A Random Function Identification Worksheet was completed and is included in Appendix C.

Value Engineering Punch List

This section includes a Value Engineering Punch List that the decision makers can use to guide and track decisions as they determine the ultimate disposition of each VE alternative.

Kentucky Transportation Cabinet US 41 - North Main Street, Hopkins County VALUE ENGINEERING STUDY

Value Engineering Punchlist

Idea No.	Idea Title	Performance Score	Initial Cost Avoidance / (Cost Add)	O&M Avoidance / (Cost Add)	Total Life Cycle Cost Avoidance / (Cost Add)	VE Team Recommended Package
PP	Proposal Packages				\$0	\$0
PP-01	Add backage roads and sidewalks in the Northwest quadrant	4.3	(\$89,000)		(\$89,000)	(\$89,000)
PP-02	Improve access management and pedestrian access using backage roads in the Northeast Quadrant	4.3	(\$60,000)		(\$60,000)	(\$60,000)
PP-03	Improve access management and pedestrian access using backage roads in the Southwest Quadrant	4.3	(\$113,000)		(\$113,000)	(\$113,000)
PP-04	Improve access management and pedestrian access using backage roads in the Southeast Quadrant	4.3	(\$250,000)		(\$250,000)	(\$250,000)
PP-05	Manage US 41 direct access	4.3	\$32,000		\$32,000	\$32,000
PP-06	Add further pedestrian and aesthetic design features to promote walkability on the mainline using Complete Streets	4.0				
PP-07	Alternative 4 – Build two travel lanes, median, and roundabouts in lieu of four travel lanes, two way left turn lane (TWLTL), and traffic signals	5.7	\$1,936,000	\$234,000	\$2,170,000	\$2,170,000
PP-08	Alternative 5 – Construct design alternative #2 with modifications	6.8	\$618,000		\$618,000	\$618,000
PP-09	Replace signals with roundabouts and use quick-curb	5.0	\$5,314,000	\$248,000	\$5,562,000	\$5,562,000

Page 15 of 128

Value Engineering Team

- Andrew Brown, PE, PTOE, RSP1 -Palmer Engineering
- Phil Demosthenes, Demosthenes, LLC
- Jason Littleton, PE, PMP, LSIT AEI
- Jerry Leslie, PE AEI

- Sandra Affare,Ph.D., VMA, CPEM, PMP - UTC
- Justin Harrod, KYTC
- Brent Sweger, PE -, KYTC
- David Otte, KYTC
- Renee Hoekstra, CVS RHA, LLC
- Kaitlyn Stewart, VMA RHA, LLC



SECTION

SUMMARY

SUMIMARY INFORMATION

Section 4: Summary Information

Introduction

The VE study team brainstormed 67 ideas. A total of 9 ideas were developed as Value Engineering Proposals Packages (with costs); one idea was developed as a Design Suggestions (no costs). The Proposal Packages are combinations of the brainstormed ideas that were similar in nature. The tables on the following pages summarize the overall performance score (from the performance criteria explained in Section 1: Executive Summary and further detailed in Section 4: Support Data sections of this report) and potential cost avoidance/savings (or cost add) to the project. There is a total Life Cycle Cost which is cumulative with an overall cost avoidance/savings (or cost add) representing construction cost only, as appropriate.

It is important to reiterate that the definition of value is as follows:

Understanding Performance for each of the ideas is important as it supports the formula above. The performance for this project was developed, rated and ranked with the aid of the project management team. At any time, if a performance shows an improvement, a positive number, that is beneficial to the project, and of even more benefit if there shows a cost avoidance for the specific idea. However, if there is an addition of cost for a specific idea, the performance improvement might outweigh the added costs. If there is zero impact to performance, but there is a cost avoidance from the idea, this is also a great opportunity. The performance scores are based on a total possible ten (10) points.

Cost avoidance/savings is shown as positive costs while any added costs are noted in parenthesis.

There were 11 Design Comments (DC) for the project management team to consider in the next phase of design development.

Summary of Value Engineering Proposals and Design Suggestions (table)

Idea No.	Idea Title	Performance Impact	Initial Cost Avoidance / (Cost Add)	O&M Avoidance / (Cost Add)	Total Life Cycle Cost Avoidance / (Cost Add)
PP-01	Add backage roads and sidewalks in the Northwest quadrant	4.3	(\$89,000)	-	(\$89,000)
PP-02	Improve access management and pedestrian access using backage roads in the Northeast Quadrant	4.3	(\$60,000)	-	(\$60,000)
PP-03	Improve access management and pedestrian access using backage roads in the Southwest Quadrant	4.3	(\$113,000)	-	(\$113,000)
PP-04	Improve access management and pedestrian access using backage roads in the Southeast Quadrant	4.3	(\$250,000)	-	(\$250,000)
PP-05	Manage US 41 direct access	4.0	\$32,000	-	\$32,000
PP-06	Add further pedestrian and aesthetic design features to promote walkability on the mainline using Complete Streets	4.0	-	-	-
PP-07	Alternative 4 – Build two travel lanes, median, and roundabouts in lieu of four travel lanes, two way left turn lane (TWLTL), and traffic signals	5.7	\$1,936,000	\$234,000	\$2,170,000
PP-08	Alternative 5 – Construct design alternative #2 with modifications	6.5	\$618,000	-	\$618,000
PP-09	Replace signals with roundabouts and use quick-curb	5.0	\$5,314,000	\$248,000	\$5,560,000

Design Comments (table)

11 Design Comments (DC) are shown below. No additional information is provided for these however, the VE team believed that these are important to be considered in the next phase of design development.

Idea No.	Idea Title
MA	Manage Access
MA-15	Correct the labelling of Hopewell Road to W Railroad Street on the existing documents
MA-30	Close W Railroad Street access at US 41
MA-31	Close Margaret Court access at US 41
RC	Reduce Congestion
RC-06	Coordinate signal timing and have interconnect master controller
RC-09	Increase the width of the TWLTL to 14 feet
RC-14	Increase the width of the TWLTL to 22 feet
RC-20	Tighten the radius for eastbound US 41A to southbound US 41
RC-21	Obtain crash data for the Hanson Street frontage road to determine final design requirements
IW	Improve Walkability
IW-05	Provide pedestrian crossing island (or refuge areas) along US 41
IW-10	Consider transit stops within the project limits
M	Miscellaneous
M-02	Add mural or decorative treatment on/around railroad bridge

SECTION

Section 5: Value Engineering Workbooks

Introduction

The VE study team brainstormed 67 ideas. Of these, 9 ideas were identified for further development into Value Engineering proposals, including cost impacts. Due to the uniqueness of the study, it was deemed that the best way to present the brainstormed ideas was in Proposal Packages. The Proposal Packages were grouped by ideas that included the same quadrant of the project and/or the same topic.

Cost savings are shown as positive costs while any added costs are noted in parenthesis. Total Life Cycle Costs are the summation of the initial plus Operational and Maintenance costs as estimated by the VE study team, as appropriate.

The VE study team also identified one Design Suggestion (DS) and 11 Design Comments (DC). A list of these was provided in Section 4: Summary Information.

The following pages detail the Value Engineering Proposals developed as part of the study by the VE study team and include the following information:

- Unique Identifying Number (XX-##)
- Creative Idea Title
- Function Identification
- Baseline Assumption brief description
- Proposed Alternative brief description
- Benefits
- Risks/Challenges
- Overall Performance Score
- Cost Summary
- Discussion/Justification
- Implementation Considerations, if applicable
- Impact to Performance alternative scored against performance criteria
- Initial Cost Detail
- Replacement/Salvage and Annual Cost Detail, if applicable
- Baseline and Proposed Sketches, if applicable

Cost Estimating for VE Proposals

The costs used are those provided by KYTC. Where the VE study team has offered alternate costs, they are provided for information only, reflective of the short duration of the VE study and should be evaluated by KYTC. Value Engineering ideas are provided for their evaluation and implementation exclusively by KYTC.

Kentucky Transportation Cabinet US 41 - North Main Street, Hopkins County

				,,		
TITLE	TITLE Add backage roads and sidewalks in the Northwest quadrant					
FUNCTION		Manage a	ccess	and reduce congestion	 n	
BASELINE ASSUMI	PTION:	<u> </u>				
The baseline, Alter	nate 1, does not include	upgrading backag	e road	ds and pedestrian acce	ss outside of the immediate US	
41 Corridor in the	Northwest Quadrant					
PROPOSED ALTER	ΝΔΤΙΛΈ					
		ackage road system	m alor	ng Briarwood Drive ar	d the private church entrance.	
				-	ge road improvements and	
· ·	an access to the business		11 11 01	it the proposed backa	ge road improvements and	
liliproved pedestri	an access to the business					
BENEFITS			RISKS	S/CHALLENGES		
Improves veh	nicle safety		•	Additional Right of W	ay may be necessary	
	·			-		
Improves per	destrian safety		•	Potential additional o	peration and maintenance costs	
				to the City		
 Reduces cong 	gestion along US 41		•	More effective with t	he use of barrier median along	
				US 41		
Provides a be	tter opportunity for futu	re development	•			
along the cor	ridor					
Provides safe	r access to the Blue Line	Bus Route	•			
Reduces left:	turn activity		•			
- 1753355 1610						
Improve acce	ess management and ped	estrian access	•			
				Performance Sco	re 4.3	
COST	SUMMARY	Initial Costs	5	O&M Costs	Total Life Cycle Cost	
BASELINE ASSUMI	PTION:	\$	-	\$ -	\$ -	
			9,000	\$ -	\$ 89,000	
TOTAL (Baseline le	ess Proposed)		9,000)	\$ -	\$ (89,000	

ADD COST

Kentucky Transportation Cabinet US 41 - North Main Street, Hopkins County

TITLE	Add backage roads and sidewalks in the Northwest quadrant
DISCUSSION/JUST	IFICATION:

The goal of this proposal is to reduce congestion and improve safety on and from US 41 by providing improved access for all modes of travel (auto, transit, and pedestrian) through a defined and upgraded backage road system along Briarwood Drive and the private church entrance in the Northwest Quadrant of the project corridor. This includes upgrading pedestrian facilities by extending sidewalks from the US 41/Briarwood Drive intersection to the Covenant Community Church sidewalk. It is proposed to add curb and gutter on the southside of Briarwood Drive from US 41 to the church parking lot directly across from the sidewalk in front of church. Also, we propose to provide two curb openings into the Enterprise parking lot to provide defined entrances into the property and improve pedestrian connectivity.

The typical section for Briarwood Drive would be revised to include a sidewalk, however the total width will remain the same. The typical section for Briarwood Drive would be a five-foot sidewalk with a two-foot berm on either side, then a barrier header curb, with an 11-foot through lane exit and a 12-foot left turn lane exit, with 2.16-foot raised median, with a 16-foot entrance and barrier header curb with two-foot berm.

Finally, the assumption is that access through the church parking lot would remain the same and function the same as it does today. We would need to purchase Right of Way for the construction of the sidewalk from US 41 to the Church. An alternative would be if the owner of the property donated the needed Right of Way to build the sidewalk. The additional sidewalk along Briarwood Drive would be 319 feet long to connect to the Church sidewalk. Briarwood Drive would need to be reconstructed with the proposed typical section including approximately 260 feet.

These improvements, along with the any alternates that include a barrier median, will improve access for those properties along the US 41 corridor in the northwest quadrant.

SPECIAL IMPLEMENTATION CONSIDERATIONS:

May require additional Right of Way acquisition or negotiation with the Church to donate the Right of Way.

Kentucky Transportation Cabinet US 41 - North Main Street, Hopkins County

IIILE	Add backage roads and sidewalks in the Northwest quadrant IMPACT TO PERFORMANCE

Performance Attribute	Definition	Weight	Impact (use Scale)	Score	
Local Operations	Local Operations Access to businesses and properties while minimizing impacts		5	1.1	
Justification for Impact Score	This provides additional access for properties.				
Mainline Operations	Capacity, congestion, traffic delays, conflicts	28.57%	5	1.4	
Justification for Impact Score	Linis will reduce conflict noints by allowing additional access from the back of properties in liell of the front US 41				
Schedule	Able to complete ROW acquisition and utility plans		0	0.0	
1 -	Since Right of Way acquisition is already occurring for this project, acquiring additional property should not have an impact on schedule.				
Drainage Impacts to flooding		9.52%	0	0.0	
Justification for Impact Score	INO IMPACT TO DECLORMANCE				
Connectivity	Enhances community economy	14.29%	5	0.7	
	This option enhances the economy by providing better access to the business and opening property for future development.				
Level of Service	Pedestrian access and comfort	21.43%	5	1.1	
	This option enhances pedestrian access and comfort by probusinesses and to development across the street.	vide better sidewalk	s and connectivit	y to the local	
	OVERALL PERFORMANCE SCORE	100.00%		4.3	

*Note: Although this performance attribute did not have any weight during the initial assessment, the VE team

SCALE

10 Large positive impact to performance

0 No impact to performance

-5 Small positive impact to performance

-10 Large negative impact to performance

Kentucky Transportation Cabinet US 41 - North Main Street, Hopkins County

TITLE Add backage roads and sidewalks in the Northwest quadrant

					1			
DESIGN ELEMENT	BASELINE ASSUMPTION				PROPOSED ALTERNATIVE			
Description	Unit	Qty	Unit Cost \$	TOTAL \$	Qty	Unit Cost \$	TOTAL \$	
Sidewalk	SY		\$ 53.21	\$ -	178	\$ 53.21	\$ 9,471	
Barrier Header Curb	LF		\$ 35.00	\$ -	280	\$ 35.00	\$ 9,800	
TY 2 Median	SY		\$ 80.00	\$ -	89	\$ 80.00	\$ 7,120	
Additional Right of Way								
Pavement								
CL3 ASPH Surf 0.5B PG64-22	Ton				122	\$ 86.08	\$ 10,502	
CLS ASPH BAS 1.00D PF64-22	Ton				571	\$ 71.07	\$ 40,581	
Crushed Stone Base	Ton				489	\$ 23.78	\$ 11,628	
TOTAL				\$ -			\$ 89,000	
				CWE (BAS	SELINE LES	SS PROPOSED)	\$ (89,000)	


Note: Total costs are rounded to the nearest thousand dollars.

ADD COST

Kentucky Transportation Cabinet US 41 - North Main Street, Hopkins County

TITLE Add backage roads and sidewalks in the Northwest quadrant


SKETCH OF BASELINE ASSUMPTION



Kentucky Transportation Cabinet US 41 - North Main Street, Hopkins County

TITLE Add backage roads and sidewalks in the Northwest quadrant

SKETCH OF PROPOSED ALTERNATIVE



Kentucky Transportation Cabinet US 41 - North Main Street, Hopkins County

TITLE	Improve access manage	ment and pedestr	ian ac	cess using backage road	s in the Northeast Quadrant
FUNCTION		Manage a	ccess	and reduce congestion	
BASELINE ASSUMI	PTION:				
The baseline, Alter	nate 1, does not include	upgrading backag	e roac	ls and pedestrian access	outside the immediate US 41
Corridor in the No	rtheast Quadrant.			•	
PROPOSED ALTER	NATIVE:				
This proposal redu	ces congestion, improves	s safety and impro	oves p	edestrian access on and	from US 41 by providing
improved access (a	all modes of travel) throu	igh a defined and	upgra	ded backage road syster	n along Thornberry Drive,
Margret Court and	Chelsea Drive. Also prov	vide a secondary (circula	tion for businesses that	front the proposed backage
road improvement	ts.				
BENEFITS			RISKS	CHALLENGES	
Improves veh	nicle safety		•	Additional operations a	nd maintenance costs to the
				City	
Improves ped	destrian safety		•	More effective with a b	arrier median along US 41
 Reduces cong 	gestion along US 41		•		
Provides a be	etter opportunity for futu	re development	•		
along the cor	ridor				
Provides safe	er access to the Blue Line	bus route	•		
 Reduces left 	turn activity		•		
•			•		
				Performance Score	4.3
COST	SUMMARY	Initial Cost	S	O&M Costs	Total Life Cycle Cost
BASELINE ASSUMI		\$	-	\$ -	\$ -
PROPOSED ALTER			0,000	\$ -	\$ 60,000
TOTAL (Baseline le	ess Proposed)		0.000		\$ (60,000

Page 30 of 128

ADD COST

Kentucky Transportation Cabinet US 41 - North Main Street, Hopkins County

TITLE Improve access management and pedestrian access using backage roads in the Northeast Quadrant
DISCUSSION/JUSTIFICATION:
This proposal reduces congestion and improves safety on and from US 41 by providing improved access for all modes of travel (auto, transit, and pedestrian) through a defined and upgraded backage road system along Briarwood Drive, Thornberry Drive, Chelsea Drive and Margret Court in the Northeast Quadrant of the project corridor. This includes upgrading pedestrian facilities by extending sidewalks from the US 41/Briarwood Drive intersection to the sidewalk in front of the shopping center This proposes to install curb and gutter on the southside of Briarwood Drive from US 41 to directly across the shopping center building. This also proposes to add crosswalks for crossing Briarwood Drive on both sides of Thornberry Street. The typical section for Briarwood Drive would be revised to include a sidewalk although the total width would remain the same. The typical section for Briarwood Drive would be a five-foot sidewalk with a two-foot berm on either side, then a barrier header curb, with an 11-foot through lane exit and a 12-foot left turn lane exit, with a four-foot raised median, with a 16-foot entrance and barrier header curb with a two-foot berm. This would carry this typical to the Thornberry Street Intersection. From Thornberry Street this would include installing the curb and gutter and sidewalk along the south side of Briarwood Drive. The additional sidewalk along Briarwood Drive is 395 feet and Thornberry Street in Briarwood Drive would be reconstructed with the proposed typical section which is approximately 163 feet. These improvements, along with any alternates that include a barrier median, will improve access for those properties along the US 41 corridor in the northeast quadrant.
SPECIAL IMPLEMENTATION CONSIDERATIONS:
None apparent.

Kentucky Transportation Cabinet US 41 - North Main Street, Hopkins County

	IMPACT TO PERFORMANCE	-
TITLE	Improve access management and pedestrian access using backage roads in the Northeast Quadrant	

Performance Attribute	Definition	Weight	lmpact (use Scale)	Score		
Local Operations	Operations Access to businesses and properties while minimizing impacts		5	1.1		
Justification for Impact Score	Linis provides additional access for properties					
Mainline Operations	Capacity, congestion, traffic delays, conflicts	28.57%	5	1.4		
Justification for Impact Score	This will reduce conflict points by allowing additional access	from the back of p	roperties to the fr	ont of US 41.		
Schedule	Able to complete Right of Way acquisition and utility plans	4.76%	0	0.0		
Justification for Impact Score	No impact to performance.					
Drainage	Impacts to flooding	0	0.0			
Justification for Impact Score	INo impact to performance					
Connectivity	Enhances community economy	14.29%	5	0.7		
1	Justification for Impact Score This option enhances the economy by providing better access to the businesses and opens up the property future development.					
Level of Service	Pedestrian access and comfort	21.43%	5	1.1		
	This option enhances pedestrian access and comfort by probusinesses and to the development across the street.	viding better sidewa	alks and connectiv	rity to the local		
	OVERALL PERFORMANCE SCORE	100.00%		4.3		

*Note: Although this performance attribute did not have any weight during the initial assessment, the VE team

SCALE

10 Large positive impact to performance 5 Small positive impact to performance 0 No impact to performance

-5 Small negative impact to performance -10 Large negative impact to performance

Kentucky Transportation Cabinet US 41 - North Main Street, Hopkins County

TITLE Improve access management and pedestrian access using backage roads in the Northeast Quadrant

1							
DESIGN ELEMENT	BASELINE ASSUMPTION			PROPOSED ALTERNATIVE			
Description	Unit	Qty	Unit Cost \$	TOTAL \$	Qty	Unit Cost \$	TOTAL \$
Sidewalk	SY				112	\$ 53.21	\$ 5,960
Barrier Header Curb	LF				326	\$ 35.00	\$ 11,410
TY2 Median	SY				54	\$ 80.00	\$ 4,320
Pavement							
CL3 ASPH Surf 0.5B PG64-22	Ton				74	\$ 86.08	\$ 6,370
CLS ASPH BAS 1.00D PF64-22	Ton				348	\$ 71.07	\$ 24,732
Crushed Stone Base	Ton				312	\$ 23.78	\$ 7,419
TOTAL				\$ -			\$ 60,000
CWE (BASELINE LESS PROPOSED)				\$ (60,000)			

Note: Total costs are rounded to the nearest thousand dollars.

ADD COST

Kentucky Transportation Cabinet US 41 - North Main Street, Hopkins County

TITLE Improve access management and pedestrian access using backage roads in the Northeast Quadrant

SKETCH OF BASELINE ASSUMPTION

Northeast Quadrant Proposal



Kentucky Transportation Cabinet US 41 - North Main Street, Hopkins County

TITLE Improve access management and pedestrian access using backage roads in the Northeast Quadrant

SKETCH OF PROPOSED ALTERNATIVE

Northeast Quadrant Proposal



Kentucky Transportation Cabinet US 41 - North Main Street, Hopkins County

	00 .1			, .	io country		
TITLE	Improve access manage	ment and pedestri	an ac	cess using	g backage road	s in the South	west Quadrant
FUNCTION		Manage a	ccess	and redu	ce congestion		
BASELINE ASSUME	PTION:						
• •	eline, Alternate 1, does no Corridor in the Southwest		ng ba	ckage roa	ds and pedestr	ian access out	side the
PROPOSED ALTER	NATIVE:						
This proposes to w	riden the alley to 22 feet	to develop as a ba	ckage	road sys	tem. This wou	ld also include	connecting the
BENEFITS			RISKS	S/CHALLE	NGES		
	ess for potential develop oad Drive and Cates Stre	_	 There are no existing sidewalks within the residential streets 				
introducing s	ncrease bike and pedestr idewalks into residential 't have sidewalks	-	•	There are Railroad	e potential issu	ies with the ov	verhead line at
Reduces left	turn activity		More effective with the barrier raised median				
•			•				
•			•				
•			•				
•			•				
				Perfo	rmance Score		4.3
COST	SUMMARY	Initial Costs		08	M Costs	Total Lif	e Cycle Cost
BASELINE ASSUME		\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
PROPOSED ALTER			,000	\$	-	\$	113,000
TOTAL (Baseline le	ess Proposed)	\$ (113	,000)	\$	-	\$	(113,000)

ADD COST

Kentucky Transportation Cabinet US 41 - North Main Street, Hopkins County

TITLE	Improve access management and pedestrian access using backage roads in the Southwest Quadrant
DISCUSSION/JUST	FICATION:
defined backage ro the project corrido section for the new lanes. This would r improvements, alo the US 41 corridor	ovided to reduce congestion and improve safety on US 41 by providing improved access through a lad system along the Alley way between Railroad Street and Cate Street in the Southwest Quadrant of r. This includes providing a five-foot sidewalk on the east side of the new backage road. The typical v backage road would be a Five-foot sidewalk with a two-foot berm on either side, with two 11-foot equire additional purchase of Right of Way for the construction of the new backage road. These ng with any alternates that include a barrier median, will improve access for those properties along in the southwest quadrant.
	NTATION CONSIDERATIONS:
Street. Also addition	require additional Right of way acquisition to build the backage road from Rail Road Street to Cate conal right way may be required for the construction of sidewalks along Cate Street and Fawcett Street. istance is met with the reconstructed Railroad Bridge.

Kentucky Transportation Cabinet US 41 - North Main Street, Hopkins County

TITLE Improve access management and pedestrian access using backage roads in the Southwest Quadrant

IMPACT TO PERFORMANCE

Performance			Impact			
Attribute	Definition	Weight	(use Scale)	Score		
Local Operations	Access to businesses and properties while minimizing impacts	1.1				
Justification for Impact Score	This provides additional access for properties in the southw					
Mainline Operations	Capacity, congestion, traffic delays, conflicts	28.57%	5	1.4		
Justification for Impact Score	This will reduce conflict points by allowing additional access from the back of properties that front US 41.					
Schedule	Able to complete Right of Way acquisition and utility plans	4.76%	0	0.0		
1 -	r Since Right of Way acquisition is already occurring for this project acquiring additional property should not have an impact on schedule.					
Drainage	Impacts to flooding	9.52%	0	0.0		
Justification for Impact Score	No impact to performance.					
Connectivity	Enhances community economy	14.29%	5	0.7		
	on for This option enhances the economy by providing better access to the businesses and opening property for future development.					
Level of Service	Pedestrian access and comfort	21.43%	5	1.1		
1	This option enhances pedestrian access and comfort by probusinesses and Trover Wellness Park.	vide better sidewalk	s and connectivit	y to the local		
	OVERALL PERFORMANCE SCORE	100.00%		4.3		

*Note: Although this performance attribute did not have any weight during the initial assessment, the VE team

SCALE

10 Large positive impact to performance 5 Small positive impact to performance

0 No impact to performance

-5 Small negative impact to performance -10 Large negative impact to performance

Kentucky Transportation Cabinet US 41 - North Main Street, Hopkins County

TITLE Improve access management and pedestrian access using backage roads in the Southwest Quadrant

DESIGN ELEMENT		BASE	ELINE ASSUMPT	TION		PROPO	OSED ALT	ΓERN	ATIVE	
Description	Unit	Qty	Unit Cost \$	TOTAL \$	Qty	Unit	Cost \$		TOTAL	\$
Sidewalk	SY				144	\$	53.21	\$		7,662
Standard Header Curb	LF				1,200	\$	30.00	\$		36,000
Additional Right of Way										
Pavement										
CL3 ASPH Surf 0.5B PG64-22	TON				135	\$	86.08	\$		11,621
CLS ASPH BAS 1.00D PF64-22	TON				630	\$	71.07	\$		44,774
Crushed Stone Base	TON				565	\$	23.78	\$		13,436
TOTAL				\$ -				\$	1	113,000
				CWE (BAS	ELINETE	SC DDC	DOSED)	4	1.	113,000

Note: Total costs are rounded to the nearest thousand dollars.

ADD COST

Kentucky Transportation Cabinet US 41 - North Main Street, Hopkins County


TITLE Improve access management and pedestrian access using backage roads in the Southwest Quadrant

SKETCH OF BASELINE ASSUMPTION



Kentucky Transportation Cabinet US 41 - North Main Street, Hopkins County

TITLE Improve access management and pedestrian access using backage roads in the Southwest Quadrant



Kentucky Transportation Cabinet US 41 - North Main Street, Hopkins County

TITLE	Improve access manage	ment and pedestr	ian ac	cess using backage road	s in the Southeast Quadrant		
FUNCTION		Manage a	ccess	and reduce congestion			
BASELINE ASSUME				.			
The baseline, Alter Corridor in the Sou		upgrading backag	ge roac	ls and pedestrian access	outside the immediate US 41		
PROPOSED ALTER	NATIVE:						
This proposal is to	reduce congestion, impr	ove safety and im	prove	pedestrian access on an	d from US 41 by providing		
BENEFITS			RISKS	CHALLENGES			
 Reduces left 	turn activity		 Additional Right of Way is required 				
=	an increase in bike and ponnectivity to hospital	edestrian use	•	Need additional sidewa	lks to the Hospital		
•	er access for current and to to the convacant property in the		More effective with the barrier raised median				
Allows access	s to the CVS without gett	ing onto US 41	•				
•			•				
•			•				
•			•				
				Performance Score	4.3		
COST	SUMMARY	Initial Costs	S	O&M Costs	Total Life Cycle Cost		
BASELINE ASSUME	PTION:	\$	-	\$ -	\$ -		
PROPOSED ALTER	NATIVE:		0,000	\$ -	\$ 250,000		
TOTAL (Baseline le	ess Proposed)	\$ (250	0,000)	\$ -	\$ (250,000)		

Page 42 of 128

ADD COST

Kentucky Transportation Cabinet US 41 - North Main Street, Hopkins County

TITLE	Improve access management and pedestrian access using backage roads in the Southeast Quadrant
DISCUSSION/JUST	FICATION:
This proposal reductions of the corridor. This incluined backage road two 11-foot lanes. These improvements	tes congestion and improves safety on US 41 by providing improved access through a defined backage hospital Drive to US 41 just south of the CSX Railroad Bridge in the Southeast Quadrant of the project ides a providing a five-foot sidewalk on both sides of the new backage road. The typical section for the would be a five-foot sidewalk with a two-foot berm on either side, and a barrier header curb, with This would require additional Right of Way purchase for the construction of the new backage road. Its, along with any alternates that include a barrier median, will improve access for those properties tridor in the southeast quadrant.
	NTATION CONSIDERATIONS:
_	way acquisition is required for the implementation of this alternate. Also the specific location of the ion will need to be located so that sight distance is obstructed from the reconstruction of the Railroad

Kentucky Transportation Cabinet US 41 - North Main Street, Hopkins County

IIILE	Improve access management and pedestrian access using backage roads in the Southeast Quadrant IMPACT TO PERFORMANCE
TITLE	Improve access management and pedestrian access using backage roads in the Southeast Quadrant

Performance Attribute	Definition	Weight	Impact (use Scale)	Score		
Local Operations	Access to businesses and properties while minimizing impacts	5	1.1			
Justification for Impact Score	This provides additional access for properties.					
Mainline Operations	Capacity, congestion, traffic delays, conflicts	28.57%	5	1.4		
Justification for Impact Score	It his will reduce conflict noints by allowing additional access from the back of properties along US 41					
Schedule	Able to complete Right of Way acquisition and utility plans	4.76%	0	0.0		
1	For Since Right of Way acquisition is already occurring for this project acquiring additional property should not have an impact on schedule.					
Drainage	Impacts to flooding	9.52%	0	0.0		
Justification for Impact Score	No impact to performance					
Connectivity	Enhances community economy	14.29%	5	0.7		
	Ustification for This option enhances the economy by providing better access to the business and opening property for future development.					
Level of Service	Pedestrian access and comfort	21.43%	5	1.1		
	This option enhances pedestrian access and comfort by probusinesses and Trover Wellness Park.	vide better sidewalk	s and connectivit	y to the local		
	OVERALL PERFORMANCE SCORE	100.00%		4.3		

*Note: Although this performance attribute did not have any weight during the initial assessment, the VE team

SCALE

10 Large positive impact to performance
0 No impact to performance

-5 Small negative impact to performance -10 Large negative impact to performance

Kentucky Transportation Cabinet US 41 - North Main Street, Hopkins County

TITLE Improve access management and pedestrian access using backage roads in the Southeast Quadrant

DESIGN ELEMENT		BASE	ELINE ASSUMP			PROP	OSED ALT	TERNA	ERNATIVE	
Description	Unit	Qty	Unit Cost \$	TOTAL \$	Qty	Uni	t Cost \$	Т	OTAL \$	
Sidewalk	SY				1,245	\$	53.21	\$	66,246	
Standard Header Curb	LF				2,242	\$	30.00	\$	67,260	
Pavement										
Additional Right of Way										
CL3 ASPH Surf 0.5B PG64-22	Ton				226	\$	86.08	\$	19,454	
CLS ASPH BAS 1.00D PF64-22	Ton				1,054	\$	71.07	\$	74,908	
Crushed Stone Base	Ton				945	\$	23.78	\$	22,472	
TOTAL				\$ -				\$	250,000	
				CWE (BAS	SELINE LE	SS PRO	OPOSED)	\$	(250,000)	


Note: Total costs are rounded to the nearest thousand dollars.

ADD COST

Kentucky Transportation Cabinet US 41 - North Main Street, Hopkins County

TITLE Improve access management and pedestrian access using backage roads in the Southeast Quadrant

SKETCH OF BASELINE ASSUMPTION



Kentucky Transportation Cabinet US 41 - North Main Street, Hopkins County

TITLE Improve access management and pedestrian access using backage roads in the Southeast Quadrant



Kentucky Transportation Cabinet US 41 - North Main Street, Hopkins County

		North Main 5		, , ,			
TITLE	Manage US 41 direct acc	cess					
FUNCTION							
BASELINE ASSUM	1						
In the baseline, Alt	ternative 1, most of the c	urrent access poir	nts are	e left in the current locat	ion. A few have been		
	e a channelization island	•					
				- ,			
PROPOSED ALTER	NATIVE:						
		undant access no	ints to	LIS 41 that are dunlicati	ive or where there is sufficient		
				· ·	equate corner clearance both		
	gside streets and entrand	•	es tila	t the project provide ad-	equate corner clearance both		
011 03 41 and alon	gside streets and entrant	es.					
BENEFITS			RISKS	S/CHALLENGES			
Improves tra	ffic flow		T.IOI.C	-	ntial cost to Right of Way		
			acquisition				
 Reduces con 	flict points, thus expected	d crashes	Probable resistance by property owners whose access				
	mot points, thus expected	a 0. 0000	is modified				
Better use of	f backage road system for	raccess					
Cleaner look	along the corridor						
	Ü						
Safer for ped	lestrians due to less confl	icts with turning	•				
vehicles		0					
•			•				
•			•				
				Performance Score	4.3		
COST	SUMMARY	Initial Costs	5	O&M Costs	Total Life Cycle Cost		
BASELINE ASSUM	PTION:	\$ 64	1,000	\$ -	\$ 64,000		
PROPOSED ALTER	NATIVE:		2,000	\$ -	\$ 32,000		
TOTAL (Baseline le	ess Proposed)		2,000	\$ -	\$ 32,000		

AVOID COST

Kentucky Transportation Cabinet US 41 - North Main Street, Hopkins County

TITLE Manage US 41 direct access

DISCUSSION/JUSTIFICATION:

Currently, there are approximately 55 entrances (driveways and streets) along the 3000-foot stretch of US 41 between Hospital Drive and KY 281/US 41A. These entrances serve the many businesses and neighborhoods on or near US 41. The high density of uncontrolled entrances has led to a large number of crashes. This can be attributed to two primary conditions; first, vehicles turning left out of businesses onto US 41 get hit by oncoming vehicles, secondly, vehicles slowing down for signals or to turn into a business are getting rear ended by faster moving vehicles behind them.

There is ample research that shows the density of driveways along a corridor corresponds with crash rates. This proposal examined the baseline design (Alternative 1) to identify which driveways could be closed to reduce the driveway density. Consideration for business operation and customer access was given to each property. Of the 52 entrances that remain in the baseline design, 20 were identified to be candidates for closure. These include access points that are redundant or where access is possible from a side or back property line. Closure locations are marked in red on the attached diagram.

A predictive safety analysis conducted by the VE team, shows an expected reduction in crashes from the baseline design by nearly 9% annually when removing these entrances. This equates to a crash cost savings of \$790k over 20 years.

Looking at a four-lane with median alternate, if these same entrances are removed, it improves safety performance by 3%. For an alternate with two lanes and a median and roundabouts, removing these entrances improves safety performance by 8%.

There are also four locations in which an entrance/exit to a business is close to the intersection of US 41 along a side street or shared access. It is recommended that adequate corner clearance be established at those locations to ensure safe ingress and egress from US 41. These locations are marked in blue on the diagram on the Proposed Sketch.

Kentucky Transportation Cabinet US 41 - North Main Street, Hopkins County

TITLE Manage US 41 direct access
DISCUSSION/JUSTIFICATION:
Of the private entrances remaining, the driveways should be sized to the standard width for a commercial entrance and should in most cases, be limited to a right-in, right-out, or combination right-in/out traffic movement.
There are four side streets that are very narrow in width. This can cause conflicts when a vehicle turning onto the street encounters a vehicle stopped waiting to turn onto US 41. It is recommended that the street entrance be widened to at least 22 feet or wider and then tapered back to the original width, allowing turning vehicles to easily clear from the US 41 traffic stream.
SPECIAL IMPLEMENTATION CONSIDERATIONS:
This approach will require more extensive discussions with property owners during the Right of Way
acquisition process. Although no compensation will be required if access remains reasonable and
operation of the business is not significantly altered, some payment may help accelerate settlements and avoid lawsuits.
Subtract 20 driveways x 30' x 15' (/9) x \$63.88/SY Add Curb 20 x 28' x \$\$28.04

Kentucky Transportation Cabinet US 41 - North Main Street, Hopkins County

TITLE	Manage US 41 direct access			
IMPACT TO PERFORMANCE				

Performance Attribute	Definition	Impact Weight (use Scale) Score							
Local Operations	Access to businesses and properties while minimizing impacts	21.43%	0.0						
Justification for Impact Score	Does not significantly affect access to businesses. Business operations were considered when determ remove.								
Mainline Operations	Capacity, congestion, traffic delays, conflicts	28.57%	5	1.4					
Justification for Impact Score	Fewer driveways on the mainline will contribute to fewer deceleration/stop and go movements								
Schedule	Able to complete Right of Way acquisition and utility plans	0.0							
Justification for Impact Score	I his will likely slow down the Right of Way process somewhat, but should not negatively impact the schedule								
Drainage	Impacts to flooding	9.52%	0.0						
Justification for Impact Score	No impact to performance.								
Connectivity	Enhances community economy	14.29%	5	0.7					
Justification for Impact Score	Better traffic flow and fewer crashes should help the econorinformation on FHWA publication on corridor access manag https://safety.fhwa.dot.gov/intersection/cam/fhwasa15005	ement:	this corridor. (su	ipporting					
Level of Service	Pedestrian access and comfort	21.43%	10	2.1					
Justification for Impact Score	Fewer driveways crossing the sidewalks will lead to fewer vermaneuver.	ehicle/pedestrian co	onflicts and fewer	ramps to					
	OVERALL PERFORMANCE SCORE	100.00%		4.3					

^{*}Note: Although this performance attribute did not have any weight during the initial assessment, the VE team

SCALE

10 Large positive impact to performance

5 Small positive impact to performance

0 No impact to performance

-5 Small negative impact to performance

-10 Large negative impact to performance

Kentucky Transportation Cabinet US 41 - North Main Street, Hopkins County

TITLE Manage US 41 direct access


DESIGN ELEMENT		BASE	ELINE ASSUMF	PTION		PROPOSED AL	ERNATIVE		
Description	Unit	Qty	Unit Cost \$	TOTAL \$	Qty	Unit Cost \$			
Driveway entrances - concrete	SY	1,000	\$ 63.88	\$ 63,880	0				
Standard curb and gutter	LF	0			560	\$ 28.00	\$ 15,680		
Sidewalk 560x5/9	SY				311	\$ 53.61	\$ 16,673		
TOTAL				\$ 64,000			\$ 32,000		
				CWE (BA	SELINE LE	SS PROPOSED)	\$ 32,000		

Note: Total costs are rounded to the nearest thousand dollars.

AVOID COST


Kentucky Transportation Cabinet
US 41 - North Main Street, Hopkins County

TITLE Manage US 41 direct access




Kentucky Transportation Cabinet US 41 - North Main Street, Hopkins County

TITLE Manage US 41 direct access



Kentucky Transportation Cabinet
US 41 - North Main Street, Hopkins County

TITLE Manage US 41 direct access



Kentucky Transportation Cabinet US 41 - North Main Street, Hopkins County

TITLE	Add further pedestrian and aesthetic design features to promote walkability on the mainline using Complete Streets							
FUNCTION								
BASELINE ASSUM	PTION:							
The current design	n calls for a 5-foot wide sidewalk offset fr	om the travel lanes by a two-foot buffer.						
PROPOSED ALTER	RNATIVE:							
This proposes to v	widen the buffer strip, add street trees, pr	ovide adequate pedestrian crossings, and connect sidewalks						
to adjacent land u	ises along US 41.							
BENEFITS		RISKS/CHALLENGES						
 Higher pede 	strian LOS (comfort level)	 Long term maintenance and future replacement of 						
		trees and landscaping must be considered						
 Separation of 	of pedestrians from vehicles	•						
Shade from	trees to increase comfort	•						
Visual heaut	y to the corridor and provides gateway	+_						
_	city of Madisonville	•						
	ng between businesses and other land	+ _						
uses more fe	_	•						
	e attractiveness for redevelopment of							
,	d nearby properties	•						
aujacent and	a ricurdy properties							

4.0
DESIGN SUGGESTION

Performance Score

Kentucky Transportation Cabinet US 41 - North Main Street, Hopkins County

T		•	•
			L
•	•	•	-

Add further pedestrian and aesthetic design features to promote walkability on the mainline using Complete Streets

DISCUSSION/JUSTIFICATION:

Approach to transportation improvements has evolved over the last several decades. The objective is to not only address traffic concerns for automobiles and freight, but to consider solutions that balance the needs for all users, including pedestrians, cyclists, and transit riders. Often, it will address aesthetics of the corridor, in addition to meeting the basic transportation functions. Safe and smooth traffic flow, coupled with well-designed visual treatments will often lead to more private investment in nearby properties.

The current corridor contains few sidewalks. There are also no pedestrian connections to the businesses, shopping centers, churches, and neighborhoods from US 41.

The baseline design recognizes the need to provide sidewalks along with a buffer strip along US 41. This recommendation, however, adds in a larger buffer strip (4 feet) and a planting strip (6 feet) with street trees to increase the comfort level of a pedestrian walking alongside traffic. NOTE: the additional planting strip and buffer width is under the assumption that the roadway footprint (number of lanes) is reduced and everything can be built within the current baseline 78-foot Right of Way width.

It is also recommended that the project team discuss with business owners the possibility of making a sidewalk connection to or toward their front doors as part of this project. In many cases, the length of sidewalk needed would be rather short.

Street trees offer many benefits to the community, including increased beauty, shade for pedestrians, and reduction in the heat island effect from so much impervious surface. Improving the aesthetics and walkability often leads to increased private investment to properties within the area.

Kentucky Transportation Cabinet US 41 - North Main Street, Hopkins County

TITLE

Add further pedestrian and aesthetic design features to promote walkability on the mainline using Complete Streets

DISCUSSION/JUSTIFICATION:

Approach to transportation improvements has evolved over the last decade or two. The objective is to not only address traffic concerns for automobiles and freight, but to consider solutions that balance the needs for all users, including pedestrians, cyclists, and transit riders.

Mid-block pedestrian crossings are also recommended. Currently, the design calls for traffic signals at Hospital Drive, Briarwood Drive, and KY281 (just north of this project). These would be the only official pedestrian crossings on this section of US41. The distances between signals is 1700 and 1200. To shorten that distance, it recommended to provide midblock crossings in between signals. One potential location would be close to Railroad Street. Another would be located approximately half way between Briarwood and KY281. Constructing a refuge island or median cut-through at these locations will allow a location protected from moving vehicles and allowing peds to cross one direction of traffic at a time.

SPECIAL IMPLEMENTATION CONSIDERATIONS:

"An agreement with local government to maintain (trim, replace, treat) trees and landscape would be necessary.

Although not required, mid-block crossing can be accommodated using a pedestrian hybrid beacon, commonly known as a HAWK signal.

Street trees installed would be approximately \$200 each, planted every 40 feet. Where overhead utilities exist, smaller varieties are recommended to avoid conflict between the branches and lines in the future. This will add approximately \$30,000 to the project cost.

Sod at \$5.50/SY x2YD x 1333YD = \$14,667 (trees planter)

Sodding extra 2-foot buffer: \$5.50/SY x 2/3YDx1333YD = \$4,888"2

Although not required, mid-block crossing can be accommodated using a pedestrian hybrid beacon, commonly known as a HAWK signal.

Kentucky Transportation Cabinet US 41 - North Main Street, Hopkins County

TITLE	Add further pedestrian and aesthetic design features to promote walkability on the mainline using Complete Streets IMPACT TO PERFORMANCE
	Add further pedestrian and aesthetic design features to promote walkability on the mainline using

Performance Attribute	Definition	Weight	Impact (use Scale)	Score				
Local Operations	Access to businesses and properties while minimizing impacts	21.43%	21.43% 0					
Justification for Impact Score	No impact to performance.							
Mainline Operations	Capacity, congestion, traffic delays, conflicts	28.57%	28.57% 0					
Justification for Impact Score	No impact to performance.							
Schedule	Able to complete Right of Way acquisition and utility plans	4.76%	0	0.0				
Justification for Impact Score	INO impact to performance							
Drainage	Impacts to flooding	9.52%	5	0.5				
Justification for Impact Score	Introduces more permeable surface/less impermeable surfa	ce.						
Connectivity	Enhances community economy	14.29%	10	1.4				
Justification for Impact Score	Aesthetic and walkability improvement will add to appeal to	reinvest in area.						
Level of Service	Pedestrian access and comfort	21.43%	10	2.1				
Justification for Impact Score	Buffer from traffic shade, better crossings.							
	OVERALL PERFORMANCE SCORE	100.00%		4.0				

*Note: Although this performance attribute did not have any weight during the initial assessment, the VE team

SCALE

10 Large positive impact to performance
5 Small positive impact to performance
0 No impact to performance
-5 Small negative impact to performance
-10 Large negative impact to performance


Kentucky Transportation Cabinet US 41 - North Main Street, Hopkins County

Add further pedestrian and aesthetic design features to promote walkability on the TITLE mainline using Complete Streets **SKETCH OF PROPOSED ALTERNATIVE** 18' 8' 4.5' **US41 Main Street** Re-Visioned

Kentucky Transportation Cabinet US 41 - North Main Street, Hopkins County

TITLE


Add further pedestrian and aesthetic design features to promote walkability on the mainline using Complete Streets



Kentucky Transportation Cabinet US 41 - North Main Street, Hopkins County

TITLE

Add further pedestrian and aesthetic design features to promote walkability on the mainline using Complete Streets



Potential Mid-block Crossing Location

Kentucky Transportation Cabinet US 41 - North Main Street, Hopkins County

	Alternative 4 – Build two travel lanes, median, and roundabouts in lieu of four travel lanes, two way left turn lane (TWLTL), and traffic signals
FUNCTION	Reduce Congestion

BASELINE ASSUMPTION:

The baseline, Alternative 1, is proposed as a four-lane highway (two travel lanes in each direction) with a TWLTL and sidewalks using traffic signals for traffic control at Hospital Drive and Briarwood Drive intersections.

PROPOSED ALTERNATIVE:

This is a proposed new alternative; Alternative 4. This would include a two-lane highway (one travel lane in each direction), a raised median throughout, sidewalks, and using single lane roundabouts for traffic control at Hospital Drive and Briarwood Drive intersections.

BENEFITS	RISKS/CHALLENGES					
 An approximate 37% reduction in total annual crashes 	 While beneficial technically, local community may have strong concerns 					
 Reduces Right of Way acquisition to a two-lane highway 	 Construction usually more expensive than a standard intersection for a two-lane roadway. 					
 Roundabouts are able to accommodate up to 24,000 ADT on US 41 	 Will restrict direct left turn ingress and egress from roadside properties (businesses) 					
 Reduces the length of the beams for RR bridge (58') resting on the abutments. No additional piers and beams needed 	 Will require a slightly larger Right of Way footprint at Hospiital Drive and Briarwood Drive intersections 					
 Elimination of mid-block left turns across two opposing lanes 	 Benefits from backage road circulation for best performance 					
Reduces pedestrian crossing distances	•					
 Reduce the current intersection queues, decreases delay, decreases travel time 	•					
 Eliminates traffic signal replacement or annual maintenance costs 	•					

Performance Score 5.7 **Total Life Cycle Cost COST SUMMARY Initial Costs** O&M Costs \$ **BASELINE ASSUMPTION:** 3,713,000 502,000 4,215,000 \$ \$ \$ PROPOSED ALTERNATIVE: 268,000 2,045,000 1,777,000 **TOTAL (Baseline less Proposed)** \$ 1,936,000 234,000 \$ 2,170,000

AVOID COST

Kentucky Transportation Cabinet US 41 - North Main Street, Hopkins County

TITLE

Alternative 4 – Build two travel lanes, median, and roundabouts in lieu of four travel lanes, two way left turn lane (TWLTL), and traffic signals

DISCUSSION/JUSTIFICATION:

The baseline alternative will result in a significant increase in crashes and the severity of crashes compared to existing conditions. This will occur as the alternative reduces congestion. With less congestion and a wider roadway, prevailing speeds will increase at all hours. Higher speeds mean longer stopping sight distance requirements. Left turns across two opposing lanes will result in a higher crash rate as compared to crossing a single opposing lane (current conditions). Intersection sight distance at intersections will be reduced. The bottle necks causing delay and long queues appear to be caused by the traffic signals, not the two-lane roadway.

A single lane roundabout should be able to accommodate up to 24,000 ADT. There is no reason to install a four-lane highway to accommodate the predicted 2040 traffic volume. The current bottlenecks that are causing congestion are the single lane traffic signals. The HCS software shows that the baseline, Alternative 1, with four lanes and this Alternative 4 with two lanes and roundabouts operate at similar overall intersection delay.

Total width of the two-lane lane typical is 58 feet in with two 15-foot travel lanes compared to 78 feet for Alternative 1 with four 11-foot travel lanes and a center 12-foot TWLTL.

At the railroad underpass, this reduces the number of beams as only a set of two 58-foot beams will be necessary, abutment to abutment. The baseline Alternative 1 requires three spans using three sets of two beams each and additional piers. It is assumed two beams (girders) per span. The height of the remaining 2 beams for Alternative 4 should be about the same. The result would be a wider version of the current underpass.

Roundabouts have significant resilience and recovery to adverse weather conditions.

The roundabout intersections only need right turn lanes at Southbound to Westbound Hanson, Northbound to Eastbound Hospital Drive, and Northbound to Eastbound Briarwood Drive. No left turns are necessary, therefore there is no need for a center lane of 12 feet. Alternative 4 does require a raised center median with curb and gutter on both sides, a total of 6 feet.

Roundabouts provide a significant improvement in safety. Several studies show an over 90 percent reduction in severe injuries and the elimination of fatalities. The raised median will prohibit left turns at driveways. About 74% of access crashes are left turn maneuvers. Overall, Alternative 4 is expected to reduce total crashes by 37% and serious injury crashes by 49%.

Single-lane roundabouts are safer than multi-lane roundabouts in terms of total crashes. (Based on current studies).

Kentucky Transportation Cabinet US 41 - North Main Street, Hopkins County

TITLE

Alternative 4 – Build two travel lanes, median, and roundabouts in lieu of four travel lanes, two way left turn lane (TWLTL), and traffic signals

DISCUSSION/JUSTIFICATION:

Roundabouts are more efficient for traffic. There is no signal related stop delay, which is currently the basis for the current traffic back-up situation in the current condition. There is, however, some delay due to the roundabout yield at entry requirements. HCS shows the peak hour queues are slightly longer at the roundabouts for the Northbound and Southbound US 41. Roundabouts are much more efficient than signals during off-peak hours. (22 to 23 hours each day). Roundabouts should perform at LOS A during non-peak hours.

Roundabouts can accommodate a larger design vehicle for U-turns and provides better turning radius for trucks. The u-turn loons proposed for Alternative 1 only accommodate passenger vehicles.

The roundabout also eliminates sight distance difficulties typical of left turn lanes with negative offset.

ICD diameter for single lane varies between 135 and 90 feet. 135 feet is used here to estimate the footprint.

HCM shows the roundabouts outperform both Alternative 1 and current conditions in terms of both delays and gueues.

Alternative 4 also provides a level of traffic calming as the roundabout design speed will be about 15 - 18 mph and departure speed will be about 20 mph.

SPECIAL IMPLEMENTATION CONSIDERATIONS:

To mitigate the risks and challenges listed on the first page of this workbook the following needs to be considered:

- Education and outreach to community regarding roundabouts operation and safety
- Roundabout intersection will be more expensive, yet the construction cost of the roadway between roundabout will be less
- Educate and outreach to explain to community how the backage roads and improved local streets will be a significant

ROW - Alternative 4 roadway cross-section has a width of only 56 feet measuring from outside of the sidewalk on the east to the outside of the sidewalk on the west side, compared to the 78 feet required for Alternative 1. The two proposed roundabout intersections may require a small amount of new ROW. There may be minor ROW acquisitions necessary for the Alternative 4 proposed backage road links and a few temporary easements to adjust approach grades. Overall, reducing the Alternative 1 highway cross-section by 22 feet should reduce ROW acquisitions, easements and retaining structures as compared to Alternative 1.

DD⁻U.

Kentucky Transportation Cabinet US 41 - North Main Street, Hopkins County

Alternative 4 – Build two travel lanes, median, and roundabouts in lieu of four travel lanes, two way left turn lane (TWLTL), and traffic signals	TITLE	Alternative 4 – Build two travel lanes, median, and roundabouts in lieu of four travel lanes, two way left turn lane (TWLTL), and traffic signals
---	-------	---

IMPACT TO PERFORMANCE

Performance **Impact Attribute** Definition Weight (use Scale) **Score** Maintain access to businesses and properties while **Local Operations** 21.43% 5 1.1 minimizing safety and operational minimizing impacts Justification for While direct left access for properties is restricted, right turns are allowed at driveways and all traffic can use the Impact Score roundabouts for safe U-turns and circulate on local street. Mainline Capacity, congestion, traffic delays, conflicts 28.57% 10 2.9 Operations Justification for Roundabouts at Hospital and Briarwood Drives will be much more efficient than current signals, queues will be Impact Score | shorter during peak hours and almost non-existent during 22 hours of non-peak volumes. Schedule Able to complete Right of Way acquisition and utility plans 4.76% 0 0.0 Justification for Almost no Right of Way acquisition mid-block compared to Alternative 1. Right of Way acquisition necessary at the Impact Score two intersections to build the roundabouts. Should reduce the number of involved parcels. Drainage Impacts to flooding 9.52% 0 0.0 Justification for No impact to performance. **Impact Score** Connectivity Enhances community economy 14.29% 5 0.7 Justification for With the more efficient roundabout intersection control, reduced queues and less congestion, US 41 will operate *Impact Score* much better and people should be more willing to use the roadway. Level of Service Pedestrian access and comfort 21.43% 5 1.1 Pedestrian access and comfort parallel to US 41 will be similar to Alternative 1 base condition. However, the single-

*Note: Although this performance attribute did not have any weight during the initial assessment, the VE team

OVERALL PERFORMANCE SCORE

lane roadway and 15-foot crosswalks at the roundabouts will significantly increase the safety and comfort to cross

100.00%

5.7

SCALE

US 41.

Justification for

Impact Score

10 Large positive impact to performance 5 Small positive impact to performance

0 No impact to performance

-5 Small negative impact to performance -10 Large negative impact to performance

Kentucky Transportation Cabinet US 41 - North Main Street, Hopkins County

TITL

Alternative 4 – Build two travel lanes, median, and roundabouts in lieu of four travel lanes, two way left turn lane (TWLTL), and traffic signals

<u> </u>									
DESIGN ELEMENT		BAS	PROPOSED ALTERNATIVE						
Description	Unit	Qty	Unit Cost \$	TOTAL \$	Qty	Uni	t Cost \$		TOTAL \$
Outside curb and gutter, 2.5 ft both sides	LF	4,600	\$ 28.04	\$ 128,984	4,600	\$	28.04	\$	128,984
Center median curb and gutter 1.5 ft, both sides	LF				4,600	\$	28.04	\$	128,984
Truck apron on 2 roundabouts	SY		\$ -	\$ -	332	\$	63.00	\$	20,916
Narrow width may allow utility avoiding utilities									
Right turn lanes (3 total)	SY	366	\$ 35.00	\$ 12,810	366	\$	35.00	\$	12,810
RBT for 2 center island (Sod)	SY		\$ -	\$	1,413	\$	5.50	\$	7,772
Railroad bridge	LS	1		\$ 2,848,000	0.5	\$ 2,8	348,000.00	\$	1,424,000
Right of Way cost reduction									
Full depth Pavement, 5 In 18 ft width	SY	4,600	\$ 35.00	\$ 161,000					
Resurfacing Pavement, 2 In 36 ft width baseline 30 ft width proposed	SY	9,200	\$ 35.00	\$ 322,000	7,667	\$	7.00	\$	53,669
Signal Intersections	none	2	\$ 120,000.00	\$ 240,000	0	\$	-	\$	-
TOTAL				\$ 3,713,000				\$	1,777,000
				CWE (BAS	ELINE LES	SS PR	OPOSED)	\$	1,936,000

Note: Total costs are rounded to the nearest thousand dollars.

AVOID COST

Kentucky Transportation Cabinet US 41 - North Main Street, Hopkins County

	_			
Т	i	t	ŀ	е

Alternative 4 – Build two travel lanes, median, and roundabouts in lieu of four travel lanes, two way left turn lane (TWLTL), and traffic signals

	Assumption	ons								
terest/Discount Rate(%):	3.0%	Econo	omic	Life (yrs):		20				
		LIFE CYC	LE C	COST ANALYS	IS					
e & Replacement Costs				Baseline As	ssum	ption		Proposed A	Alteri	native
Description		Yr		Est Cost	Pr	es Worth		Est Cost	Pr	es Worth
Resurfacing		10	\$	675,000	\$	502,263	\$	360,000	\$	267,874
alvage & Replacement Costs			\$	675,000	\$	502,263	\$	360,000	\$	267,874
Costs (pres worth calculated	over 20 yrs	s)	Baseline Assumption			Proposed Alternative				
Description	1			Est Cost	st Pres Worth		Est Cost		Pres Worth	
nnual Costs			\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
SUMMARY				Baseline Pre	sent	Worth		Proposed Pro	esent	Worth
resent Worth (salvage+annual	pres wort	h)	\$			502,000	\$			268,000
ΓS (Proposed less Baseline)										
	Description Resurfacing alvage & Replacement Costs Costs (pres worth calculated Description Description nnual Costs SUMMARY resent Worth (salvage+annual TS (Proposed less Baseline)	e & Replacement Costs Description Resurfacing alvage & Replacement Costs Costs (pres worth calculated over 20 yrs Description Description nnual Costs SUMMARY resent Worth (salvage+annual pres wort TS (Proposed less Baseline)	LIFE CYC Resurfacing Description Resurfacing 10 Alvage & Replacement Costs Costs (pres worth calculated over 20 yrs) Description Description nnual Costs SUMMARY resent Worth (salvage+annual pres worth) TS (Proposed less Baseline)	LIFE CYCLE C & Replacement Costs Description Resurfacing 10 \$ alvage & Replacement Costs Costs (pres worth calculated over 20 yrs) Description Description Description Summary resent Worth (salvage+annual pres worth) \$ (Proposed less Baseline)	LIFE CYCLE COST ANALYS e & Replacement Costs Description Resurfacing 10 \$ 675,000	LIFE CYCLE COST ANALYSIS a & Replacement Costs Description Resurfacing 10 \$ 675,000 \$ 10	LIFE CYCLE COST ANALYSIS Baseline Assumption Pescription Resurfacing 10 \$ 675,000 \$ 502,263 Alvage & Replacement Costs Pres Worth Costs (pres worth calculated over 20 yrs) Description Description Description Description Description Baseline Assumption Est Cost Pres Worth Pres Worth Baseline Present Worth SUMMARY Baseline Present Worth Present Worth (salvage+annual pres worth) SO2,000 AVOID COST	LIFE CYCLE COST ANALYSIS Re & Replacement Costs Description Resurfacing 10 \$ 675,000 \$ 502,263 \$ 10 \$ 675,000 \$ 502,000 \$ 10 \$ 675,000 \$ 502,000 \$ 10 \$ 675,000 \$ 502,000 \$ 10 \$ 675,000 \$ 502,000 \$ 10 \$ 675,000 \$ 502,000 \$ 10 \$ 675,000 \$ 502,000 \$ 10 \$ 675,000 \$ 502,000 \$ 10 \$ 675,000 \$ 502,000 \$ 10 \$ 675,000 \$ 502,000 \$ 10 \$ 675,000 \$ 502,000 \$ 10 \$ 675,000 \$ 502,000 \$ 10 \$ 675,000 \$ 502,000 \$ 10 \$ 675,000 \$ 502,000 \$ 10 \$ 675,000 \$ 502,000 \$ 10 \$ 675	LIFE CYCLE COST ANALYSIS Baseline Assumption Proposed Assumption	LIFE CYCLE COST ANALYSIS e & Replacement Costs Description Resurfacing 10 \$ 675,000 \$ 502,263 \$ 360,000 \$

Notes: 1) Total Present Worth is rounded to the nearest thousand dollars, 2) Initial costs are covered in the Detail sheet.

Kentucky Transportation Cabinet US 41 - North Main Street, Hopkins County

TITLE

Alternative 4 – Build two travel lanes, median, and roundabouts in lieu of four travel lanes, two way left turn lane (TWLTL), and traffic signals

SKETCH OF BASELINE ASSUMPTION



Typical intersection

Kentucky Transportation Cabinet US 41 - North Main Street, Hopkins County

TITLE Alternative 4 – Build two travel lanes, median, and roundabouts in lieu of four travel lanes, two way left turn lane (TWLTL), and traffic signals SKETCH OF BASELINE ASSUMPTION DRIVING LANE DRIVING LANE DRIVING LANE DRIVING LANE SIDEWALK TWO-WAY LEFT TURN LANE SIDEWALK 4:1 DESIRABLE BORDER BORDER GRADE -POINT 4% 2% 2% 4% 2% 2% 4:1 DESIRABLE

3:1 MAXIMUM 4:1 DESIRABLE 3:1 MAXIMUM -4" CONC. SIDEWALK PAVEMENT TIE PAVEMENT TIE 4" CONC. SIDEWALK EXISTING PAVEMENT -STD. CURB & GUTTER STD. CURB & -GUTTER DETAIL B N MAIN ST. OVERLAY AND WIDENING HANSON ST./HOSPITAL DR. TO END CONSTRUCTION

Kentucky Transportation Cabinet US 41 - North Main Street, Hopkins County

TITLE Alternative 4 – Build two travel lanes, median, and roundabouts in lieu of four travel lanes, two way left turn lane (TWLTL), and traffic signals

SKETCH OF PROPOSED ALTERNATIVE - CROSS SECTION BETWEEN INTERSECTIONS



A typical single lane roundabout. US 41 roundabouts will have 2 right turn lanes at Hospital and one right turn lane at Briarwood.

Kentucky Transportation Cabinet US 41 - North Main Street, Hopkins County

TITLE Alternative 4 – Build two travel lanes, median, and roundabouts in lieu of four travel lanes, two way left turn lane (TWLTL), and traffic signals

SKETCH OF PROPOSED ALTERNATIVE - CROSS SECTION BETWEEN INTERSECTIONS 15' DRIVING LANE SIDEWALK CONC. MEDIAN DRIVING LANE SIDEWALK 4:1 DESIRABLE BORDER BORDER GRADE POINT 2% 2% 2% 4:1 DESIRABLE 2% 4:1 DESIRABLE 3:1 MAXIMUM 3:1 MAXIMUM 4" CONC. SIDEWALK 4" CONC. SIDEWALK STD. CURB & GUTTER 12" CRU



Kentucky Transportation Cabinet US 41 - North Main Street, Hopkins County

TITLE	Alternative 5 – Construct design alternative #2 with modifications
FUNCTION	Manage Access and Reduce Congestion
DACTUNE ACCURA	OTION.

BASELINE ASSUMPTION:

The baseline, Alternate 1, provides two through lanes of traffic in each direction and features a two-way left-turn lane in the center. The proposed roadway will replace paved shoulders with curb and gutter and include new sidewalks along both sides of the road. The CSX Railroad bridge will be reconstructed to accommodate the wider roadway and sidewalks.

PROPOSED ALTERNATIVE:

This proposed alternative, provides two through lanes in each direction (for a majority of the project's length) and features a raised concrete median barrier in the center. This proposes adjustments to KYTC's Alternative 2 with the following:

- MA-27 Leave Hanson Street open in front of the real estate office and dry-cleaners and eliminate right-turn only at the Hospital Drive intersection.
- M-01 Design a single-span railroad bridge in lieu of three-span bridge.
- RC-15 Lengthen the right lane northbound at US 41 and Hospital Road (with 200 feet of storage).
- RC-23 Extend the southbound US 41 to eastbound Hospital Drive left-hand turn lane (200 foot storage is also useful here).
- RC-08 Install a raised median, per Alternative 2, but increase the width (minimum of 6feet) of the raised median for pedestrian protection.

BENEFITS	RISKS/CHALLENGES
Improves pedestrian accommodations	 RC-15 - The increase in turn lane length may force construction limits closer to the existing parking lot of the Madisonville Lion's Club
Adds traffic capacity	 M-01 - Additional coordination will be needed with CSX to revise bridge configuration
Improves traffic safety	•

		Performance Score	6.8
COST SUMMARY	Initial Costs	O&M Costs	Total Life Cycle Cost
BASELINE ASSUMPTION:	\$ 3,264,000	\$ -	\$ 3,264,000
PROPOSED ALTERNATIVE:	\$ 2,646,000	\$ -	\$ 2,646,000
TOTAL (Baseline less Proposed)	\$ 618,000	\$ -	\$ 618,000

AVOID COST

Kentucky Transportation Cabinet US 41 - North Main Street, Hopkins County

TITLE Alternative 5 – Construct design alternative #2 with modifications
DISCUSSION/JUSTIFICATION:
MA-27 - Traffic data shows that approximately 30% of the cars per day uses the Hanson Street (one-way) path towards town. Keeping this path open eliminates the need for the right turn onto Hanson Street from Hospital Drive.
M-01 - The main span is 70 feet long. (Including the sidewalks, makes the span 90 feet. This means that a bigger (taller) beam is needed to clear the width.) Having a median in the middle with two spans means 45-foot sections containing two lanes in one direction and a sidewalk (providing a buffer between the pedestrians and traffic). Lanes are 11 feet wide each. (See the Proposed Sketch.)
RC- 15 - While the 75 feet of storage for the RTL design meets the need, the through lane will block access to the right turn. While this Alternative will have a similar safety performance to Alternative. 2, compared to the baseline, Alternative 1, this Alternative estimates a 20% reduction in total crashes per year with a 15.67 crashes per year. The proposed 200-foot storage will allow adequate length to access the turn lane when the through lane is queued up, subsequently this will improve emergency response times to the Baptist Health Hospital. The extended lane provides better performance in the queue length based on traffic analyses. (See Proposed Sketch)
RC-23 - While 30 ft of storage for the LTL design meets the need, the through lane will block access to the left turn; therefore, the proposed 200-foot storage will allow adequate space to move into the turn lane and subsequently improve emergency response times to the Baptist Health Hospital. (See Proposed Sketch)
SPECIAL IMPLEMENTATION CONSIDERATIONS:
Additional coordination needed with CSX to review and approve new bridge concept.

Kentucky Transportation Cabinet US 41 - North Main Street, Hopkins County

TITLE Alternative 5 – Construct design alternative #2 with modifications

IMPACT TO PERFORMANCE

Performance Attribute	Definition	Weight	Impact (use Scale)	Score
Local Operations	Access to businesses and properties while minimizing impacts	21.43%	5	1.1
Justification for Impact Score	Leaving Hanson Street open in front of the real estate office properties; also, extending the RTL and LTL at Hospital Drive Baptist Health Hospital on a more regular basis.	•	·	
Mainline Operations	Capacity, congestion, traffic delays, conflicts	28.57%	10	2.9
Justification for Impact Score	Traffic data shows that approximately 30% of the cars per d town. Keeping this path open eliminates the need for the R the RTL and LTL onto Hospital Drive. reduces congestion by lanes. Inclusion of the raised median will aide in traffic dela particular the left turn movements into and out of business will allow the design team to utilize shorter beam heights w rather than reduce the amount of railroad grade change.	TL onto Hanson Streatlowing Emergency ys by eliminating co es. In addition, the	eet from Hospital y vehicles better a onflicting traffic m reduction in railro	Drive. Extending access to the turn ovements, in pad bridge spans
Schedule	Able to complete Right of Wat acquisition and utility plans	4.76%	0	0.0
	Additional coordination that would need to be done with Cimpact the Right of Way activity and utility location is neglig		ve a new bridge co	onfiguration. The
Drainage	Impacts to flooding	9.52%	0	0.0
Justification for Impact Score	No impact to performance.			
Connectivity	Enhances community economy	14.29%	5	0.7
	The improvement in overall safety of the corridor will enhal increased access to the hospital by way of the adequately significant.	•	•	ion to the
Level of Service	Pedestrian access and comfort	21.43%	10	2.1
	Supports the new sidewalks on both sides (as in Alt. 1 and Apedestrian protection. Re: The CSX tweaks -Having a media containing two lanes in one direction and a sidewalk on each between the pedestrians and traffic).	n between two spar	ns means 45-foot	sections
	OVERALL PERFORMANCE SCORE	100.00%		6.8

*Note: Although this performance attribute did not have any weight during the initial assessment, the VE team

SCALE

10 Large positive impact to performance

5 Small positive impact to performance

0 No impact to performance

-5 Small negative impact to performance

-10 Large negative impact to performance

Kentucky Transportation Cabinet US 41 - North Main Street, Hopkins County

TITLE Alternative 5 – Construct design alternative #2 with modifications

DESIGN ELEMENT		BAS	ELIN	IE ASSUMPT	TION	N	ı	PRC	POSED ALT	ER	NATIVE
Description	Unit	Qty	U	nit Cost \$		TOTAL \$	Qty	U	nit Cost \$		TOTAL \$
Crushed Stone Base	Ton	4,952	\$	23.88	\$	118,254	4,937	\$	23.88	\$	117,896
Crushed Aggregate Size No. 2	Ton	5,380	\$	23.30	\$	125,354	5,355	\$	23.30	\$	124,772
CL3 Asphalt Base	Ton	5,220	\$	71.51	\$	373,282	5,236	\$	71.51	\$	374,426
CL3 Asphalt Surface	Ton	1,866	\$	85.20	\$	158,983	1,904	\$	85.20	\$	162,221
Perforated Pipe (4 in)	LF	5,000	\$	7.41	\$	37,050	5,000	\$	7.41	\$	37,050
Std. Curb and Gutter	LF	2,500	\$	28.04	\$	70,100	2,500	\$	28.04	\$	70,100
Standard Header Curb	LF	975	\$	30.00	\$	29,250	975	\$	30.00	\$	29,250
Barrier Header Curb	LF	135	\$	35.00	\$	4,725	135	\$	35.00	\$	4,725
Mountable Median Type 2	SY	45	\$	80.00	\$	3,600	45	\$	80.00	\$	3,600
Cement Concrete Ent Pavement (8 in)	SY	2,815	\$	63.88	\$	179,822	2,815	\$	63.88	\$	179,822
Fabric - Geotextile Class 2	SY	18,750	\$	1.15	\$	21,563	18,750	\$	1.15	\$	21,563
Longitudinal Edge Key	LF	5,000	\$	2.84	\$	14,200	5,000	\$	2.84	\$	14,200
Sawcut Pavement	LF	5,000	\$	1.71	\$	8,550	5,000	\$	1.71	\$	8,550
Std Barrier Median Type 5							2,910	\$	75.00	\$	218,250
Removed Existing Structure	SF	954	\$	100.00	\$	95,400	954	\$	100.00	\$	95,400
Excavation	СҮ	2,310	\$	28.00	\$	64,680	1,617	\$	28.00	\$	45,276
Furnish & Drive Steel H-Piles	LF	410	\$	150.00	\$	61,500	410	\$	150.00	\$	61,500
Furnish & Drive Steel Pipe Piles	LF	300	\$	330.00	\$	99,000	150	\$	330.00	\$	49,500
Furnish & Erect Precast Concrete Substructure	LS	1	\$	244,000.00	\$	244,000	1	\$	244,000.00	\$	195,200
Furnish & Erect Structural Steel	LBS	620,000	\$	2.25	\$	1,395,000	310,000	\$	2.25	\$	697,500
Bridge Deck Waterproofing	SY	330	\$	180.00	\$	59,400	198	\$	180.00	\$	35,640
Mobilization	LS	1	\$	100,000.00	\$	100,000	1	\$	100,000.00	\$	100,000
TOTAL					\$	3,264,000				\$	2,646,000
						CWE (BAS	ELINE LES	SS P	PROPOSED)	Ś	618,000

Note: Total costs are rounded to the nearest thousand dollars, Page 76 of 128

Total

			Baseline)		Proposed		
			\$2,118,980	.00		\$1,292,457.0	06	
	Unit	Qty.	Unit Cost	Total	Qty.	Unit Cost	Total	Qty.
Crushed Stone Base	Ton	0	\$23.88	\$0.00	-15	\$23.88	(\$358.20)	
Crushed Aggregate Size No. 2	Ton	0	\$23.30	\$0.00	-25	\$23.30	(\$582.50)	
CL Asphalt Base	Ton	0	\$71.51	\$0.00	16	\$71.51	\$1,144.16	
CL 3 Asphalt Surface	Ton	0	\$85.20	\$0.00	38	\$85.20	\$3,237.60	
Perforated Pipe (4 in)	LF	0	\$7.41	\$0.00	0	\$7.41	\$0.00	
Std. Curb & Gutter	LF	0	\$28.04	\$0.00	0	\$28.04	\$0.00	
Standard Header Curb	LF	0	\$30.00	\$0.00	0	\$30.00	\$0.00	
Barrier Header Curb	LF	0	\$35.00	\$0.00	0	\$35.00	\$0.00	
Mountable Median Type 2	SQYd	0	\$80.00	\$0.00	0	\$80.00	\$0.00	
Coment Concrete Ent Pavement (8 in)	SQYd	0	\$63.88	\$0.00	0	\$63.88	\$0.00	
Fabric - Geotextile Class 2	SQYd	0	\$1.15	\$0.00	0	\$1.15	\$0.00	
Longitudinal Edge key	LF	0	\$2.84	\$0.00	0	\$2.84	\$0.00	
Sawcut Pavement	LF	0	\$1.71	\$0.00	0	\$1.71	\$0.00	
Std Barrier Median Type 5	SQYd	0	\$75.00	\$0.00	120	\$75.00	\$9,000.00	
Removed Existing Structure	SQFt	954	\$100.00	\$95,400.00	954	\$100.00	\$95,400.00	954
Excavation	CuYd	2,310	\$28.00	\$64,680.00	1617	\$28.00	\$45,276.00	2,310
Furnish & Drive Steel H-Piles	LF	410	\$150.00	\$61,500.00	410	\$150.00	\$61,500.00	410
Furnish & Drive Steel Pipe Piles	LF	300	\$330.00	\$99,000.00	150	\$330.00	\$49,500.00	300
Furnish & Erect Precast Concrete Substrusture	LS	1	\$244,000.00	\$244,000.00	0.8	\$244,000.00	\$195,200.00	1
Furnish & Erect Structural Steel	LBS	620,000	\$2.25	\$1,395,000.00	310000	\$2.25	\$697,500.00	620,000
Bridge Deck Waterproofing	SQYd	330	\$180.00	\$59,400.00	198	\$180.00	\$35,640.00	330
Mobilization	LS	1	\$100,000.00	\$100,000.00	1	\$100,000.00	\$100,000.00	1

M-01 - Railroad Bridge

	Baseline	е		Proposed			Baseline	
	\$2,118,980	.00		\$1,280,016.0	00		\$0.00	
	Unit Cost	Total	Qty.	Unit Cost	Total	Qty.	Unit Cost	Total
Crushed Stone Base	\$23.88	\$0.00		\$23.88	\$0.00		\$23.88	\$0.00
Crushed Aggregate Size No. 2	\$23.30	\$0.00		\$23.30	\$0.00		\$23.30	\$0.00
CL Asphalt Base	\$71.51	\$0.00		\$71.51	\$0.00		\$71.51	\$0.00
CL 3 Asphalt Surface	\$85.20	\$0.00		\$85.20	\$0.00		\$85.20	\$0.00
Perforated Pipe (4 in)	\$7.41	\$0.00		\$7.41	\$0.00		\$7.41	\$0.00
Std. Curb & Gutter	\$28.04	\$0.00		\$28.04	\$0.00		\$28.04	\$0.00
Standard Header Curb	\$30.00	\$0.00		\$30.00	\$0.00		\$30.00	\$0.00
Barrier Header Curb	\$35.00	\$0.00		\$35.00	\$0.00		\$35.00	\$0.00
Mountable Median Type 2	\$80.00	\$0.00		\$80.00	\$0.00		\$80.00	\$0.00
Coment Concrete Ent Pavement (8 in)	\$63.88	\$0.00		\$63.88	\$0.00		\$63.88	\$0.00
Fabric - Geotextile Class 2	\$1.15	\$0.00		\$1.15	\$0.00		\$1.15	\$0.00
Longitudinal Edge key	\$2.84	\$0.00		\$2.84	\$0.00		\$2.84	\$0.00
Sawcut Pavement	\$1.71	\$0.00		\$1.71	\$0.00		\$1.71	\$0.00
Std Barrier Median Type 5	\$75.00	\$0.00		\$75.00	\$0.00		\$75.00	\$0.00
Removed Existing Structure	\$100.00	\$95,400.00	954	\$100.00	\$95,400.00		\$76.00	\$0.00
Excavation	\$28.00	\$64,680.00	1617	\$28.00	\$45,276.00		\$77.00	\$0.00
Furnish & Drive Steel H-Piles	\$150.00	\$61,500.00	410	\$150.00	\$61,500.00		\$78.00	\$0.00
Furnish & Drive Steel Pipe Piles	\$330.00	\$99,000.00	150	\$330.00	\$49,500.00		\$79.00	\$0.00
Furnish & Erect Precast Concrete Substrusture	\$244,000.00	\$244,000.00	0.8	\$244,000.00	\$195,200.00		\$80.00	\$0.00
Furnish & Erect Structural Steel	\$2.25	\$1,395,000.00	310000	\$2.25	\$697,500.00		\$81.00	\$0.00
Bridge Deck Waterproofing	\$180.00	\$59,400.00	198	\$180.00	\$35,640.00		\$82.00	\$0.00
Mobilization	\$100,000.00	\$100,000.00	1	\$100,000.00	\$100,000.00		\$83.00	\$0.00

Raised Median

RC-15 - NB Right turn to Hospital Dr

		Proposed			Baseline			Proposed	
		\$9,000.00			\$0.00			\$10,693.22	
	Qty.	Unit Cost	Total	Qty.	Unit Cost	Total	Qty.	Unit Cost	Total
Crushed Stone Base		\$23.88	\$0.00		\$23.88	\$0.00	53	\$23.88	\$1,265.64
Crushed Aggregate Size No. 2		\$23.30	\$0.00		\$23.30	\$0.00	87	\$23.30	\$2,027.10
CL Asphalt Base		\$71.51	\$0.00		\$71.51	\$0.00	88	\$71.51	\$6,292.88
CL 3 Asphalt Surface		\$85.20	\$0.00		\$85.20	\$0.00	13	\$85.20	\$1,107.60
Perforated Pipe (4 in)		\$7.41	\$0.00		\$7.41	\$0.00		\$7.41	\$0.00
Std. Curb & Gutter		\$28.04	\$0.00		\$28.04	\$0.00		\$28.04	\$0.00
Standard Header Curb		\$30.00	\$0.00		\$30.00	\$0.00		\$30.00	\$0.00
Barrier Header Curb		\$35.00	\$0.00		\$35.00	\$0.00		\$35.00	\$0.00
Mountable Median Type 2		\$80.00	\$0.00		\$80.00	\$0.00		\$80.00	\$0.00
Coment Concrete Ent Pavement (8 in)		\$63.88	\$0.00		\$63.88	\$0.00		\$63.88	\$0.00
Fabric - Geotextile Class 2		\$1.15	\$0.00		\$1.15	\$0.00		\$1.15	\$0.00
Longitudinal Edge key		\$2.84	\$0.00		\$2.84	\$0.00		\$2.84	\$0.00
Sawcut Pavement		\$1.71	\$0.00		\$1.71	\$0.00		\$1.71	\$0.00
Std Barrier Median Type 5	120	\$75.00	\$9,000.00		\$75.00	\$0.00		\$75.00	\$0.00
Removed Existing Structure		\$100.00	\$0.00		\$76.00	\$0.00		\$100.00	\$0.00
Excavation		\$28.00	\$0.00		\$77.00	\$0.00		\$28.00	\$0.00
Furnish & Drive Steel H-Piles		\$150.00	\$0.00		\$78.00	\$0.00		\$150.00	\$0.00
Furnish & Drive Steel Pipe Piles		\$330.00	\$0.00		\$79.00	\$0.00		\$330.00	\$0.00
Furnish & Erect Precast Concrete Substrusture		\$244,000.00	\$0.00		\$80.00	\$0.00		\$244,000.00	\$0.00
Furnish & Erect Structural Steel		\$2.25	\$0.00		\$81.00	\$0.00		\$2.25	\$0.00
Bridge Deck Waterproofing		\$180.00	\$0.00		\$82.00	\$0.00		\$180.00	\$0.00
Mobilization		\$100,000.00	\$0.00		\$83.00	\$0.00		\$100,000.00	\$0.00

	Baseline			Proposed			Baseline		
	\$0.00			\$0.00			\$0.00		
Qty.	Unit Cost	Total	Qty.	Unit Cost	Total	Qty.	Unit Cost	Total	Qty.
Crushed Stone Base	\$23.88	\$0.00		\$23.88	\$0.00		\$23.88	\$0.00	
Crushed Aggregate Size No. 2	\$23.30	\$0.00		\$23.30	\$0.00		\$23.30	\$0.00	
CL Asphalt Base	\$71.51	\$0.00		\$71.51	\$0.00		\$71.51	\$0.00	10
CL 3 Asphalt Surface	\$85.20	\$0.00		\$85.20	\$0.00		\$85.20	\$0.00	10
Perforated Pipe (4 in)	\$7.41	\$0.00		\$7.41	\$0.00		\$7.41	\$0.00	
Std. Curb & Gutter	\$28.04	\$0.00		\$28.04	\$0.00		\$28.04	\$0.00	
Standard Header Curb	\$30.00	\$0.00		\$30.00	\$0.00		\$30.00	\$0.00	
Barrier Header Curb	\$35.00	\$0.00		\$35.00	\$0.00		\$35.00	\$0.00	
Mountable Median Type 2	\$80.00	\$0.00		\$80.00	\$0.00		\$80.00	\$0.00	
Coment Concrete Ent Pavement (8 in)	\$63.88	\$0.00		\$63.88	\$0.00		\$63.88	\$0.00	
Fabric - Geotextile Class 2	\$1.15	\$0.00		\$1.15	\$0.00		\$1.15	\$0.00	
Longitudinal Edge key	\$2.84	\$0.00		\$2.84	\$0.00		\$2.84	\$0.00	
Sawcut Pavement	\$1.71	\$0.00		\$1.71	\$0.00		\$1.71	\$0.00	
Std Barrier Median Type 5	\$75.00	\$0.00		\$75.00	\$0.00		\$75.00	\$0.00	
Removed Existing Structure	\$76.00	\$0.00		\$100.00	\$0.00		\$76.00	\$0.00	
Excavation	\$77.00	\$0.00		\$28.00	\$0.00		\$77.00	\$0.00	
Furnish & Drive Steel H-Piles	\$78.00	\$0.00		\$150.00	\$0.00		\$78.00	\$0.00	
Furnish & Drive Steel Pipe Piles	\$79.00	\$0.00		\$330.00	\$0.00		\$79.00	\$0.00	
Furnish & Erect Precast Concrete Substrusture	\$80.00	\$0.00		\$244,000.00	\$0.00		\$80.00	\$0.00	
Furnish & Erect Structural Steel	\$81.00	\$0.00		\$2.25	\$0.00		\$81.00	\$0.00	
Bridge Deck Waterproofing	\$82.00	\$0.00		\$180.00	\$0.00		\$82.00	\$0.00	
Mobilization	\$83.00	\$0.00		\$100,000.00	\$0.00		\$83.00	\$0.00	

spital Dr


MA-22 - Right turn lanes for higher volume driveways - NOT IMPLMENTED

					IIVIF	IVILIVILD			
	Proposed			Baseline			Proposed		
	\$1,567.10			\$0.00			\$0.00		
	Unit Cost	Total	Qty.	Unit Cost	Total	Qty.	Unit Cost	Total	Qty.
Crushed Stone Base	\$23.88	\$0.00		\$23.88	\$0.00		\$23.88	\$0.00	
Crushed Aggregate Size No. 2	\$23.30	\$0.00		\$23.30	\$0.00		\$23.30	\$0.00	
CL Asphalt Base	\$71.51	\$715.10		\$71.51	\$0.00		\$71.51	\$0.00	
CL 3 Asphalt Surface	\$85.20	\$852.00		\$85.20	\$0.00		\$85.20	\$0.00	
Perforated Pipe (4 in)	\$7.41	\$0.00		\$7.41	\$0.00		\$7.41	\$0.00	
Std. Curb & Gutter	\$28.04	\$0.00		\$28.04	\$0.00		\$28.04	\$0.00	
Standard Header Curb	\$30.00	\$0.00		\$30.00	\$0.00		\$30.00	\$0.00	
Barrier Header Curb	\$35.00	\$0.00		\$35.00	\$0.00		\$35.00	\$0.00	
Mountable Median Type 2	\$80.00	\$0.00		\$80.00	\$0.00		\$80.00	\$0.00	
Coment Concrete Ent Pavement (8 in)	\$63.88	\$0.00		\$63.88	\$0.00		\$63.88	\$0.00	
Fabric - Geotextile Class 2	\$1.15	\$0.00		\$1.15	\$0.00		\$1.15	\$0.00	
Longitudinal Edge key	\$2.84	\$0.00		\$2.84	\$0.00		\$2.84	\$0.00	
Sawcut Pavement	\$1.71	\$0.00		\$1.71	\$0.00		\$1.71	\$0.00	
Std Barrier Median Type 5	\$75.00	\$0.00		\$75.00	\$0.00		\$75.00	\$0.00	
Removed Existing Structure	\$100.00	\$0.00		\$76.00	\$0.00		\$100.00	\$0.00	
Excavation	\$28.00	\$0.00		\$77.00	\$0.00		\$28.00	\$0.00	
Furnish & Drive Steel H-Piles	\$150.00	\$0.00		\$78.00	\$0.00		\$150.00	\$0.00	
Furnish & Drive Steel Pipe Piles	\$330.00	\$0.00		\$79.00	\$0.00		\$330.00	\$0.00	
Furnish & Erect Precast Concrete Substrusture	\$244,000.00	\$0.00		\$80.00	\$0.00		\$244,000.00	\$0.00	
Furnish & Erect Structural Steel	\$2.25	\$0.00		\$81.00	\$0.00		\$2.25	\$0.00	
Bridge Deck Waterproofing	\$180.00	\$0.00		\$82.00	\$0.00		\$180.00	\$0.00	
Mobilization	\$100,000.00	\$0.00		\$83.00	\$0.00		\$100,000.00	\$0.00	


MA-27 - Leave Hanson Street open

	Baseline			Proposed		
	\$0.00			(\$8,819.26)		
	Unit Cost	Total	Qty.	Unit Cost	Total	
Crushed Stone Base	\$23.88	\$0.00	-68	\$23.88	(\$1,623.84)	
Crushed Aggregate Size No. 2	\$23.30	\$0.00	-112	\$23.30	(\$2,609.60)	
CL Asphalt Base	\$71.51	\$0.00	-82	\$71.51	(\$5,863.82)	
CL 3 Asphalt Surface	\$85.20	\$0.00	15	\$85.20	\$1,278.00	
Perforated Pipe (4 in)	\$7.41	\$0.00		\$7.41	\$0.00	
Std. Curb & Gutter	\$28.04	\$0.00		\$28.04	\$0.00	
Standard Header Curb	\$30.00	\$0.00		\$30.00	\$0.00	
Barrier Header Curb	\$35.00	\$0.00		\$35.00	\$0.00	
Mountable Median Type 2	\$80.00	\$0.00		\$80.00	\$0.00	
Coment Concrete Ent Pavement (8 in)	\$63.88	\$0.00		\$63.88	\$0.00	
Fabric - Geotextile Class 2	\$1.15	\$0.00		\$1.15	\$0.00	
Longitudinal Edge key	\$2.84	\$0.00		\$2.84	\$0.00	
Sawcut Pavement	\$1.71	\$0.00		\$1.71	\$0.00	
Std Barrier Median Type 5	\$75.00	\$0.00		\$75.00	\$0.00	
Removed Existing Structure	\$76.00	\$0.00		\$100.00	\$0.00	
Excavation	\$77.00	\$0.00		\$28.00	\$0.00	
Furnish & Drive Steel H-Piles	\$78.00	\$0.00		\$150.00	\$0.00	
Furnish & Drive Steel Pipe Piles	\$79.00	\$0.00		\$330.00	\$0.00	
Furnish & Erect Precast Concrete Substrusture	\$80.00	\$0.00		\$244,000.00	\$0.00	
Furnish & Erect Structural Steel	\$81.00	\$0.00		\$2.25	\$0.00	
Bridge Deck Waterproofing	\$82.00	\$0.00		\$180.00	\$0.00	
Mobilization	\$83.00	\$0.00		\$100,000.00	\$0.00	

Kentucky Transportation Cabinet US 41 - North Main Street, Hopkins County




Kentucky Transportation Cabinet US 41 - North Main Street, Hopkins County




Kentucky Transportation Cabinet US 41 - North Main Street, Hopkins County

TITLE Alternative 5 – Construct design alternative #2 with modifications



Alt. 5 proposes the extension of the NB RT/ thru lane onto Hospital Dr. (above) 125 ft (making the final storage 200 ft). In the photo below, Alt. 5 proposes extended the LTL onto Hospital Dr. 110 (making the final storage 200 ft as well). Alt. 5 proposes to leave Hanson Street open (using it as a lane-drop location for the right lane (SB on US 41). This eliminates the need for the SB RTL at Hospital Dr as in the baseline.

Kentucky Transportation Cabinet US 41 - North Main Street, Hopkins County



Kentucky Transportation Cabinet US 41 - North Main Street, Hopkins County

TITLE Alternative 5 – Construct design alternative #2 with modifications SKETCH OF PROPOSED ALTERNATIVE 1-STY RESIDENTIAL PLANTERS WALL EXISTING R/W CENTER PIER VERTICAL ABUTMENT GRASS ICRETE ING LOT Alt. 5 proposes a 2 span structure with 45 ft spans and a center pier and vertical abutment walls.

Kentucky Transportation Cabinet US 41 - North Main Street, Hopkins County

TITLE	Replace signals with roundabouts and use quick-curb between intersections						
FUNCTION							
BASELINE ASSUMPTION:							

The baseline, Alternative 1, includes a 5-lane cross section with traffic signals replaced at Hospital Drive and Briarwood Drive and a TWLTL in middle with no left turn restrictions.

PROPOSED ALTERNATIVE:

This proposal replaces traffic signals with single-lane roundabouts. This also includes a narrow center median from 12 feet to 2 feet and installation of quick-kurb (lane separator system). No other improvements are proposed.

BENEFITS	RISKS/CHALLENGES				
Improves intersection capacity and efficiency	Public acceptance				
Reduces crash rates at intersections and mid-block	 At the two intersections, increase construction and Right of Way costs compared to signals 				
Does not replace Railroad bridge	 No pedestrian accommodation along the roadway or Railroad underpass 				
No roadway reconstruction other than intersections	 Less of convenient left turns to businesses due to left restrictions 				
 Significant resilience and recovery to adverse weather conditions 	 Increased out of direction travel and U-turn activity 				
 No traffic signal replacements, no annual signal maintenance or 24/7 on-call services. No power requirements 	Needs backage circulation for best performance				
•	•				

			Performance Score	5.0
COST SUMMARY		Initial Costs	O&M Costs	Total Life Cycle Cost
BASELINE ASSUMPTION:	\$	8,190,000	\$ 532,000	\$ 8,722,000
PROPOSED ALTERNATIVE:	\$	2,876,000	\$ 284,000	\$ 3,160,000
TOTAL (Baseline less Proposed)	\$	5,314,000	\$ 248,000	\$ 5,562,000

AVOID COST

Kentucky Transportation Cabinet US 41 - North Main Street, Hopkins County

TITLE Replace signals v	vith roundabouts and use quick-curb between intersections
DISCUSSION/JUSTIFICATION:	
This proposal, unlike Alternative 4, congestion and reduce conflicts. Th backage road circulation improvem	would be a relatively minimalistic design approach to this project in order to reduce is approach to the project will not include sidewalks, railroad bridge replacement, or ents. It is entirely focused on the two main problems US 41 is experiencing; a high eft turns and long roadway queues due to single lane intersection capacity, using
centerline quick-curb would not be support U-turns of larger vehicles, v	ing. Each roundabout can be designed and constructed separately, if desirable. The installed until both roundabouts were available to support U-turns. Roundabouts whereas the proposed loons only accommodate passenger vehicles. This alternative the US 41 roadway to 2 lanes with median and accommodating pedestrians at a
Absent a widening project as propo build sidewalks.	sed in Alternative 1, there will be room on the outside of the current pavement to
detailed ROW and property line ma compared against the cost for insta	
SPECIAL IMPLEMENTATION CONSI	DERATIONS:
None apparent.	

Kentucky Transportation Cabinet US 41 - North Main Street, Hopkins County

TITLE	Replace signals with roundabouts and use quick-curb between intersections						
IMPACT TO PERFORMANCE							

Performance Attribute	Definition	Weight	Impact (use Scale)	Score				
Local Operations	Access to businesses and properties while minimizing impacts	21.43%	5	1.1				
Justification for Impact Score	No changes to driveways. Absent left turn delay, no turning direction circulation to make a U-turn at the nearest roundahowever, during Alternative 1 peak flows, Roundabout U-tu	bout could be cons	idered a negative	for travel time,				
Mainline Operations	Capacity, congestion, traffic delays, conflicts	28.57%	10	2.9				
Justification for Impact Score	Eliminating left turn reduces congestion, conflicts and increarelated delays. There will be some geometric delay (RBT yiel hours of non-peak volume.		-					
Schedule	Able to complete Right of Way acquisition and utility plans	4.76%	0	0.0				
= = =	No mid-block acquisitions for Alternative 1 widening. New acquisitions needed for both roundabout footprints. No akes of structures is anticipated at roundabouts.							
Drainage	Impacts to flooding	9.52%	0	0.0				
Justification for Impact Score	No changes other than roundabout related drainage systems. Centerline curb will not interfere with surface flow.							
Connectivity	Enhances community economy	14.29%	0	0.0				
	Should enhance economy by improving the capacity and efficiency of US 41. The public made comments about avoiding US 41 due to its congestion. However, those motorists not liking RBTs may avoid the corridor.							
Level of Service	Pedestrian access and comfort	21.43%	5	1.1				
	While this alternative provides no roadside sidewalks, it does significantly improve the ease and safety to cross US 41 at the two intersections.							
	OVERALL PERFORMANCE SCORE	100.00%		5.0				

*Note: Although this performance attribute did not have any weight during the initial assessment, the VE team

SCALE

10 Large positive impact to performance

5 Small positive impact to performance

0 No impact to performance

-5 Small negative impact to performance

-10 Large negative impact to performance

Kentucky Transportation Cabinet US 41 - North Main Street, Hopkins County

TITLE Replace signals with roundabouts and use quick-curb between intersections

DESIGN ELEMENT		BASE	ELINE ASSUMP	PROPOSED ALTERNATIVE						
Description	Unit	Qty	Unit Cost \$	Т	OTAL \$	Qty	Unit	Cost \$	1	OTAL \$
Center median "quick-curb" system						2,300	\$	120.00	\$	276,000
Two roundabouts lump sum using national average						1	\$ 2,60	00,000.00	\$	2,600,000
Alternative 1 as lump sum Includes total project	LS	1		\$	8,190,000					
TOTAL				\$	8,190,000				\$	2,876,000
					CWE (BAS	SELINE LES	SS PRC	POSED)	\$	5,314,000

Note: Total costs are rounded to the nearest thousand dollars.

AVOID COST

Kentucky Transportation Cabinet US 41 - North Main Street, Hopkins County

TITLE Replace signals with roundabouts and use quick-curb between intersections

Assumptions Interest/Discount Rate(%): 2.4% **Economic Life (yrs):** 20 LIFE CYCLE COST ANALYSIS Salvage & Replacement Costs **Baseline Assumption Proposed Alternative Pres Worth** Item Yr **Est Cost Pres Worth Est Cost** Description Center line curb and bollards 1 Resurfacing 2 10 \$ 675,000 532,481 \$ 360,000 283,990 3 4 5

Total Salvage & Replacement Costs \$ 675,000 \$ 532,481 \$ 360,000 \$ 283,990

Annua	Costs (pres worth calculated over 20 yrs)	Baseline As	ssumption	Proposed Alternative			
Item	Description	Est Cost	Pres Worth	Est Cost	Pres Worth		
1							
2							
3							
4							
5							

Total Annual Costs \$ - \$ - \$ -

SUMMARY		Baseline Present Worth		Proposed Present Worth		
Total Present Worth (salvage+annual pres worth)	\$	532,000	\$	284,000		
RESULTS (Proposed less Baseline)	AVOID COST of \$248,000					


Notes: 1) Total Present Worth is rounded to the nearest thousand dollars, 2) Initial costs are covered in the Detail sheet.

Kentucky Transportation Cabinet US 41 - North Main Street, Hopkins County


TITLE Replace signals with roundabouts and use quick-curb between intersections

SKETCH OF PROPOSED ALTERNATIVE





Kentucky Transportation Cabinet US 41 - North Main Street, Hopkins County



Kentucky Transportation Cabinet US 41 - North Main Street, Hopkins County

TITLE Replace signals with roundabouts and use quick-curb between intersections SKETCH OF PROPOSED ALTERNATIVE **Bollard Marker** 36 in. Height -Central island 15 in. Circumference Circulatory roadway width **Bolt-In Construction** Entry radius Receptacle for Optional Lifting Tool Flex Boot **Marker Mount** Exit width Two-Tiered Curb Design Anchor Holes Departure width -Connection Hook Reflective Arc™ End Curb **Continuous Curbing** Approach width Entry width Exit radius Apron Splitter island Yield line

SECTION

APPENDICES



Appendix A - Study Participants



VALUE ENGINEERING STUDY

US 41 - North Main Street, Hopkins County Workshop Location: Virtual Workshop Dates: 16-20 August 2021



Workshop Attendee List

	16-20 August 2021					t 202	21						
	16 17 18 19 20		Name	Organization	Position								
DR	am	md	am	md	am	md	am	md	am	OBP		J	
~	~	Y	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	Renee Hoekstra, CVS	RHA	Team Leader
\checkmark	Y	<	X	K	\	X	~	\	\	\	Kaitlyn Stewart, VMA	RHA	Facilitator
\checkmark	Y	<	X	K	\	X	~	\	\	\	Andrew Brown, PE	Palmer	Traffic and Safety
~	Y	>	Y	>	>	\mathbf{Y}	Y	>	>	>	Phil Demosthenes	Philip B Demosthenes, LLC	Access Management
\checkmark	Y	>	>	Y	>	>	Y	Y	>	>	Jason Littleton, PE	AEI	Roadway Geometrics
~	~	>	>	~	>	>	~	~	>	>	Jerry Leslie, PE	AEI	Intersection Design
✓	~	Y	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	Sandra Affare, Ph.D., VMA, CPEM, PMP	UTC	VE Team Member
	Y	>	Y	>			Y		>	>	Justin Harrrod	КҮТС	
	Y	>	Y	>	>	\mathbf{Y}	Y	>	>	>	Brent Sweger, PE	КҮТС	
	>	>	>	>	>	Y	Y	>	>	>	David Otte	КҮТС	
	>									>	Tonya Higdon	КҮТС	
										>	Larry Krueger	КҮТС	
	~									>	Renee Boucherie	күтс	
	\checkmark									~	Tim Layson	КҮТС	
										~	John Moore	KYTC	
	\checkmark									~	Catherine Davis	Prime Eng	
	\checkmark									~	Andy Layson	Prime Eng	
	Y									>	Brett Malloy	Prime Eng	
	~									>	Jill Asher	FHWA	
	~										Eileen Vaughan	FHWA	
										~	Blake Brown	КҮТС	
										~	Deneatra Henderson	КҮТС	
										Y	Keith Lovan	KYTC	
										Y	Miles Puckett	KYTC	
										Y	Nick Hall	KYTC	
										>	Patrick Perry	KYTC	
										~	Tom Hines	KYTC	

Appendix B - Function Analysis

Function definition and analysis is the heart of Value Engineering. It is the primary activity that separates VE from all other "improvement" programs. The objective of this phase is to ensure the entire team agrees upon the purposes for the project elements. Furthermore, this phase assists with development of the most beneficial areas for continuing study. The VE team identified the functions of the US 41 – Main Street Project using active verbs and measurable nouns. This process allowed the team to truly understand the functions associated with the project.

The definitions of the classifications are:

- **Higher Order Function**: The specific goals or needs for which the basic function exists and is outside the scope of the subject under study.
- **Basic Function**: The specific purpose(s) for which a project exists and answers the question, "what must it do?"
- **Secondary Function**: A function that supports the basic function or required secondary functions and results from the specific design approach to achieve the basic function.

Functions were identified and prioritized using the previously identified risks, available cost data, and the VE team expertise. A function model, or Function Analysis System Technique (FAST) diagram, was not developed for this project. The VE study team identified "Increase Capacity" and "Reduce Congestion" as the basic functions of the project. The Function Analysis Worksheet, available on the next page, shows the complete list of functions.

FUNCTION ANALYSIS WORKSHEET										
IDENTIFY F	FUNCTIONS	CLASSIFY FUNCTIONS	PRIORITIZE FUNCTIONS							
Active Verb	Measurable Noun	Higher Order Basic Secondary	COST	RISK	SELECT FOR CREATIVE PHASE					
Manage	Access			High	Y					
Reduce	Flooding									
Reduce	Conflicts									
Enhance	Safety			High						

Active Verb	Measurable Noun	Higher Order Basic Secondary	соѕт	RISK	SELECT FOR CREATIVE PHASE
Increase	Efficiency	Basic			
Reduce	Delay				
Ensure	Access				
Reduce	Congestion				Y
Meet	Standards				
Span	Highway		High	High	
Accommodate	Railroad			High	
Control	Traffic				Y
Accommodate	Pedestrians				
Improve	Walkability				Y
Improve	Circulation			High	
Enhance	Connectivity	Higher Order			
Reduce	Risk				
Accommodate	Emergency- Response				
Avoid	Utilities				
Accommodate	Utilities		High		
Acquire	ROW		High		
Enhance	Aesthetics				
Illuminate	Travelled-way				
Support	Economy				
Move	Traffic	Higher Order			

Appendix C - Creativity Phase

The objective of the Creative Phase is to generate a large quantity of ideas on alternate ways to perform each function selected for study. It uses common brainstorming techniques, including ideation that is unconstrained by habit, tradition, negative attitudes, assumed restrictions, and specific criteria. No judgment takes place during this phase of the study, though ideas are discussed for clarification purposes.

What makes the Creative Phase of the value methodology successful is for the team not to conceive ways to design a project, but to develop ways to perform the functions selected for study. Past experience is combined and recombined to form new combinations that will perform the desired functions, regardless of what is included in the original project concept, and improve the value of the project compared to what was originally considered attainable.

The list of ideas is shown below and on the following pages.

Idea No.	Idea Title
MA	Manage Access
MA-01	Use shared entrances to reduce total number of entrances to frontage parcels
MA-02	Limit access to two entry/exit points per lot (business/building) with one being on US 41
MA-03	Provide left in and right in, right out only, no left out onto US 41
MA-04	NE - Delineate (curb/sidewalk/trees) package on access road connecting Chelsea Road and Margaret Court (eastside of the project)
MA-05	NE - Upgrade existing Thornberry Drive and Margaret Court backage roads to handle higher traffic volumes/loads and eliminate direct driveways to US 41
MA-06	SE - Construct backage road from Hospital Drive to the railroad bridge to allow businesses access on the eastside of US 41 and eliminate direct access to US 41
MA-07	Eliminate all redundant driveways, consolidate driveways where possible
MA-08	NW - Allow the church entrances to include their private driveway and back roads in to US 41
MA-09	NW - Condemn the driveway on the north side of the church and make a public street

Idea No.	Idea Title					
MA-10	Restrict driveways to a right-in and right-out with a median barrier					
MA-11	NW - Purchase Right of Way and construct backage road on the westside of US 41, north of the railroad, to tie to US 41 at existing Briarwood Drive signal					
MA-12	NW - Upgrade backage roads north of CSX to handle any additional traffic from the new circulation					
MA-13	NE - By using and improving the cross streets of Chelsea Drive and Briarwood Drive, also improve the backage road (Thornberry Drive) to reduce access to US 41					
MA-14	SW - Extend Briarwood Drive to Hopewell / Railroad Street					
MA-15	Correct the labelling of Hopewell Road to W Railroad Street on the existing documents					
MA-16	NE - Add a Frontage Road on the eastern side of US 41 north of the CSX Bridge					
MA-17	Widen local street approaches to a minimum of 22 feet to accommodate two-way traffic to reduce driver hesitation					
MA-18	Use a Qwick Kurb median barrier in lieu of a raised median					
MA-19	SW - Develop Alley 1 as a backage road system to anticipate future zoned commercial use area					
MA-20	Develop an Access Management Plan for the project					
MA-21	Address driveway corner clearance at all intersections, both on US 41 and on side streets					
MA-22	Require dedicated right turn lane for higher volume driveways					
MA-23	Align Chelsea Road and unnamed road to make a 4-legged intersection on the west side of US 41					
MA-24	Connect the west side industrial area to US 41					
MA-25	Eliminate Hanson Street frontage road from the project					
MA-26	Widen the Hanson frontage road to two lanes with a continuous right thru lane and a dedicated left turn lane at Hospital Drive intersection					
MA-27	Leave Hanson Street open in front of real estate office and dry-cleaners and change right-turn only to a through lane at the intersection					
MA-28	Reconfigure intersection at US 41 and Hanson Street frontage road					
MA-29	Acquire dry cleaner and real estate office and eliminate the related driveways and Hanson Street frontage road					
MA-30	Close W Railroad Street access at US 41					

Idea No.	Idea Title					
MA-31	Close Margaret Court access at US 41					
RC	Reduce Congestion					
RC-01	Use dedicated turn lanes rather than TWLTL					
RC-02	Keep US 41 as two lanes but introduce roundabouts for efficiency					
RC-03	Put sidewalks only on one side of the road					
RC-04	Increase the sidewalk offset from the travelled way					
RC-05	Leave sidewalks on both sides of the road					
RC-06	Coordinate signal timing and have interconnect master controller					
RC-07	Leave the west side of the road alone to protect utilities; Expand US 41 to the east					
RC-08	Install a raised median, per Alternative 2 but increase the width (min. 6ft) of the					
KC-08	raised median for pedestrian protection					
RC-09	Increase the width of the TWLTL to 14 feet					
RC-10	Install a raised median with roundabouts throughout entire corridor					
RC-11	New alternative 4. A roundabout corridor. single lane in each direction with single lane roundabouts and a continuous raised median					
RC-12	Construct dedicated right turn lanes at higher volume driveways to separate slower turning vehicles from through traffic					
RC-13	Add a dedicated right-hand turn lane on the south side of US 41 downstream of the Briarwood Drive intersection for church access					
RC-14	Increase the width of the TWLTL to 22 feet					
RC-15	Lengthen the right lane northbound at US 41 and Hospital Road					
RC-16	Eliminate the lane drop on southbound US 41 to Hanson Street by merging southbound traffic into a single lane prior to intersection and redevelop a dedicated right turn lane onto Hanson Street					
RC-17	Continue two-lanes southbound through the Hospital Drive intersection					
RC-18	Use an R-cut intersection at Hospital Drive in lieu of signals					
RC-19	Use an R-cut intersection at Briarwood Drive in lieu of signals					
RC-20	Tighten the radius for eastbound US 41A to southbound US 41					
RC-21	Obtain crash data for the Hanson Street frontage road to determine final design requirements					
RC-22	Extend the raised median to the US 41A intersection					
RC-23	Extend the southbound US 41 to eastbound Hospital Drive left-hand turn lane					

Idea No.	Idea Title
IW	Improve Walkability
IW-01	Increase the width of the sidewalks
IW-02	Build sidewalks along Briarwood Drive (east) to connect to US 41
IW-03	Build sidewalks from US 41 back along residential roads to tie into neighborhoods
IW-04	Plant street trees along sidewalks
IW-05	Provide pedestrian crossing island (or refuge areas) along US 41
IW-06	Build sidewalks along Chelsea Drive
IW-07	Build sidewalks along Thornberry Road
IW-08	Envision Complete Streets for US 41
IW-09	Build a shared-use path on one side in lieu of a sidewalk
IW-10	Consider transit stops within the project limits
IW-11	Install grass medians in lieu of concrete medians
M	Miscellaneous
M-01	Design a single-span railroad bridge in lieu of three-span bridge
M-02	Add mural or decorative treatment on/around railroad bridge

Appendix D - Evaluation Phase

The VE team members evaluated the ideas using a two-step process. The first step, to shorten the list, identified ideas that scored as follows:

Evaluation Score Definition		Key		
Out-of-Scope	Out-of-Scope Not a part of this project			
Already Being Considered	Included in the baseline project	ABC		
Design Comment	Stand-alone comment that needs no further explanation; a list of these will be given to the design team	DC		
Design Suggestion	More than a DC, requires further explanation	DS		
Fatal Flaw	Violates a code or standard	FF		

This first step evaluation scored the ideas as appropriate to eliminate them from further evaluation.

The second step scored the remaining ideas using the Value Relationship Key along with the idea's alignment with previously identified project goals, functions and performance criteria. The prioritization for further development and documentation is as follows:

Score =

- 5 Great Value meeting the criteria (A Workbook is prepared)
- 4 Good Value meeting the criteria (A Workbook is prepared)
- 3 Moderate Value meeting the criteria (No Workbook will be prepared)
- 2 Poor Value (No Workbook will be prepared)

Rating

Value Relationship			Value =	Function Cost	-	
5. Great Opportunity	F C	F+ C	F++ C	F++ C-	F++ C	F++ C+
4. Good Opportunity	F- C		F+ C	F+ C-	F+ C+	F++(*) C++
3. Moderate Value	F C	F- C-	F++(*) C++			
2. Poor Value	F C	F- C	F C+	F C++	F++('	*)
1. Unacceptable Impacts / Fatal Flaw (Covered under Step 1)						

^{*}Is the Function improved to the point that it overcomes the high cost?

VALUE CUE KEY – MAGNITUDE OF CHANGE

F = No impact to function	C = No impact to cost		
F- = Small negative impact to function	C- = Small decrease in cost (Less than \$50K)		
F = Large negative impact to function	C = Large decrease in cost (More than \$50K)		
F+ = Small increase in function	C+ = Small increase in cost		
F++ = Large increase in function	C++ = Large increase in cost		

The following table lists the scored creative ideas with those ideas scoring a "5," "4," or "DS" moving forward into the next phase, Development.

Idea No.	Idea Title	Package	Score
MA	Manage Access		
MA-01	Use shared entrances to reduce total number of entrances to	5	w/MA-07
IVIA-UI	frontage parcels		
MA-02	Limit access to two entry/exit points per lot (business/building)	5	4
	with one being on US 41		
MA-03	Provide left in and right in, right out only, no left out onto US 41		3

Idea No.	Idea Title	Package	Score
	NE - Delineate (curb/sidewalk/trees) package on access road		
MA-04	connecting Chelsea Road and Margaret Court (eastside of the	2	w/MA-05
	project)		
	NE - Upgrade existing Thornberry Drive and Margaret Court		
MA-05	backage roads to handle higher traffic volumes/loads and	2	4
	eliminate direct driveways to US 41		
	SE - Construct backage road from Hospital Drive to the railroad		
MA-06	bridge to allow businesses access on the eastside of US 41 and	4	4
	eliminate direct access to US 41		
N4A 07	Eliminate all redundant driveways, consolidate driveways where	-	_
MA-07	possible	5	5
N4A 00	NW - Allow the church entrances to include their private driveway	4	/١٨٨ 11
MA-08	and back roads in to US 41	1	w/MA-11
N4A 00	NW - Condemn the driveway on the north side of the church and	1	/N/A 11
MA-09	make a public street	1	w/MA-11
MA-10	Restrict driveways to a right-in and right-out with a median	-	5
IVIA-10	barrier	5	5
	NW - Purchase Right of Way and construct backage road on the		
MA-11	westside of US 41, north of the railroad, to tie to US 41 at existing	1	4
	Briarwood Drive signal		
N/A 12	NW - Upgrade backage roads north of CSX to handle any	4	/\\ 1.0
MA-12	additional traffic from the new circulation	1	w/MA-11
	NE - By using and improving the cross streets of Chelsea Drive and		
MA-13	Briarwood Drive, also improve the backage road (Thornberry	2	w/MA-05
	Drive) to reduce access to US 41		
MA-14	SW - Extend Briarwood Drive to Hopewell / Railroad Street	3	FF
N/A 15	Correct the labelling of Hopewell Road to W Railroad Street on		DC
MA-15	the existing documents		DC
NAA 16	NE - Add a Frontage Road on the eastern side of US 41 north of	2	2
MA-16	the CSX Bridge	2	2
N/A 17	Widen local street approaches to a minimum of 22 feet to	F	4
MA-17	accommodate two-way traffic to reduce driver hesitation	5	4
MA-18	Use a Qwick Kurb median barrier in lieu of a raised median		5

Idea	Idea Title	Doolsogo	Cooro
No.	Idea Title	Package	Score
NAA 10	SW - Develop Alley 1 as a backage road system to anticipate	2	4
MA-19	future zoned commercial use area	3	4
MA-20	Develop an Access Management Plan for the project		DS
MA-21	Address driveway corner clearance at all intersections, both on US	-	w/N/A 07
IVIA-21	41 and on side streets	5	w/MA-07
MA-22	Require dedicated right turn lane for higher volume driveways	5, 7, 8,	4
MA-23	Align Chelsea Road and unnamed road to make a 4-legged		OS
IVIA-25	intersection on the west side of US 41		03
MA-24	Connect the west side industrial area to US 41		OS
MA-25	Eliminate Hanson Street frontage road from the project		3
	Widen the Hanson frontage road to two lanes with a continuous		
MA-26	right thru lane and a dedicated left turn lane at Hospital Drive		3
	intersection		
	Leave Hanson Street open in front of real estate office and dry-		
MA-27	cleaners and change right-turn only to a through lane at the	8	4
	intersection		
MA-28	Reconfigure intersection at US 41 and Hanson Street frontage	8	w/MA-27
1417 (20	road		W/ W// Z/
MA-29	Acquire dry cleaner and real estate office and eliminate the		FF
1417 (23	related driveways and Hanson Street frontage road		
MA-30	Close W Railroad Street access at US 41		DC
MA-31	Close Margaret Court access at US 41		DC
RC	Reduce Congestion		
RC-01	Use dedicated turn lanes rather than TWLTL		3
RC-02	Keep US 41 as two lanes but introduce roundabouts for efficiency	7	w/RC-11
RC-03	Put sidewalks only on one side of the road		2
RC-04	Increase the sidewalk offset from the travelled way		4
RC-05	Leave sidewalks on both sides of the road		ABD
RC-06	Coordinate signal timing and have interconnect master controller		DC
DC 07	Leave the west side of the road alone to protect utilities; Expand		A D C
RC-07	US 41 to the east		ABC
RC-08	Install a raised median, per Alternative 2 but increase the width	c	Λ
KC-U8	(min. 6ft) of the raised median for pedestrian protection	8	4
RC-09	Increase the width of the TWLTL to 14 feet		DC

Idea	Idea Title	Dookogo	Cooro
No.	Idea Title	Package	Score
RC-10	Install a raised median with roundabouts throughout entire	7	w/RC-11
KC-10	corridor	,	W/KC-II
	New alternative 4. A roundabout corridor. single lane in each		
RC-11	direction with single lane roundabouts and a continuous raised	7	5
	median		
RC-12	Construct dedicated right turn lanes at higher volume driveways	5	w/MA-22
110 12	to separate slower turning vehicles from through traffic	<u> </u>	W/IVIA ZZ
RC-13	Add a dedicated right-hand turn lane on the south side of US 41		3
NC 13	downstream of the Briarwood Drive intersection for church access		5
RC-14	Increase the width of the TWLTL to 22 feet		DC
RC-15	Lengthen the right lane northbound at US 41 and Hospital Road	7, 8,	4
	Eliminate the lane drop on southbound US 41 to Hanson Street by		
RC-16	merging southbound traffic into a single lane prior to intersection		3
	and redevelop a dedicated right turn lane onto Hanson Street		
RC-17	Continue two-lanes southbound through the Hospital Drive	7, 8,	4
NC-17	intersection	7, 8,	Ť
RC-18	Use an R-cut intersection at Hospital Drive in lieu of signals		3
RC-19	Use an R-cut intersection at Briarwood Drive in lieu of signals		3
RC-20	Tighten the radius for eastbound US 41A to southbound US 41		DC
RC-21	Obtain crash data for the Hanson Street frontage road to		DC
NC 21	determine final design requirements		Б
RC-22	Extend the raised median to the US 41A intersection		4
RC-23	Extend the southbound US 41 to eastbound Hospital Drive left-	7, 8,	4
NC-23	hand turn lane	7, 0,	4
IW	Improve Walkability		
IW-01	Increase the width of the sidewalks		3
IW-02	Build sidewalks along Briarwood Drive (east) to connect to US 41	2	4
1147 03	Build sidewalks from US 41 back along residential roads to tie into	1 2 2 4	4
IW-03	neighborhoods	1, 2, 3, 4,	4
IW-04	Plant street trees along sidewalks	6	w/IW-08
IW-05	Provide pedestrian crossing island (or refuge areas) along US 41		DC
IW-06	Build sidewalks along Chelsea Drive	2	w/IW-02
IW-07	Build sidewalks along Thornberry Road	2	w/IW-02
IW-08	Envision Complete Streets for US 41	6	4

Idea No.	Idea Title	Package	Score
IW-09	Build a shared-use path on one side in lieu of a sidewalk		3
	·		
	Consider transit stops within the project limits		DC
IW-11	Install grass medians in lieu of concrete medians	6	w/IW-08
M	Miscellaneous		
M-01	Design a single-span railroad bridge in lieu of three-span bridge	7, 8,	w/RC-11
M-02	Add mural or decorative treatment on/around railroad bridge		DC

Appendix E - Supporting Data

Traffic Analysis

A copy of the Traffic Analysis is included for reference.


Traffic:


- 1. The Capacity Analysis for Planning of Junctions (CAP-X) software product was used for preliminary overview of capacity analysis at the intersection of US 41 at Hospital Drive and for US 41 at Briarwood Drive.
 - a. The Input Worksheet that included Traffic Volume Demand for each approach turning movement, truck percentage and volume growth was completed. The "Hopkins County US 41 Traffic Forecast 1_19_2021" was used for inputs.
 - b. Each design sheet was adjusted for number of lanes
 - c. Results noted below:

US 41 at Hospital Dr. and Hanson St.						
PM Design Hour						
Type of Intersection	Overall Volume / Capacity Ratio					
Conventional Signalized Intersection (Alt 1, 2, 3)	0.68					
Conventional Signalized Intersection Shared Right (Alt 1, 2, 3, 5)	0.50					
	Overall: 0.99					
	Zone 1: 1.02					
Single Lane Roundabout (1x1)	Zone 2: 1.03					
	Zone 3: 0.41					
	Zone 4: 0.26					
	Overall: 0.61					
Two-Lane Entry US 41 – Single Lane Entry Side Streets	Zone 1 - L1: 0.41 L2: 0.61					
(2 North South x 1 East West) (Alt 4)	Zone 2 - L1: 0.49 L2: 0.54					
(2 NOTH SOUTH & I East West) (All 4)	Zone 3: 0.32					
	Zone 4: 0.20					


US 41 at Briarwood Drive					
PM Design Hour					
Type of Intersection	Overall Volume / Capacity Ratio				
Conventional Signalized Intersection Shared Right (Alt 1, 2, 3, 5)	0.50				
Single Lane Roundabout (1x1)	Overall: 1.02 Zone 1: 0.85 Zone 2: 1.02 Zone 3: 0.27 Zone 4: 0.62				
Two-Lane Entry US 41 – Single Lane Entry Side Streets (2 North South x 1 East West) (Alt 4)	Overall: 0.55 Zone 1 - L1: 0.43 L2: 0.43 Zone 2 - L1: 0.46 L2: 0.55 Zone 3: 0.21 Zone 4: 0.46				


- 2. Downloaded the HCS files from the Design Team
- 3. Compiled and analyzed HCS Data for the Hospital Drive Intersection
 - a. Existing Condition
 - b. 5-Lane Section (ALT 1, 2, and 3)
 - c. Single Lane Roundabout and variations
 - i. Assumed 20 vehicles make the US 41 SB U-Turn to return north on US 41





- 4. Compiled and analyzed HCS Data for Briarwood Drive Intersection
 - a. Existing Condition
 - b. 5-Lane Section (ALT 1, 2, and 3)
 - c. Single Lane Roundabout and variations
 - i. Assumed 10 vehicles make the US 41 NB U-Turn to return south on US 41
 - ii. Assumed 30 vehicles make the US 41 SB U-Turn to return north on US 41





This is for the PM Design Hour. We do not have traffic data for non-peak hours.

Safety Analysis

A copy of the Safety Analysis is included for reference.

Safety:

 The project length from Hospital Drive Intersection to the tie in at the US 41A Intersection project experienced 105 total crashes from May 1, 2016 to May 1, 2021. Of the 105 total crashes, four crashes involved injury collision and zero crashes involved a fatal collision. There were 73 crashes that involved rear end collisions (70% of total crashes) and 17 crashes that involved angle collisions (16% of total crashes). 49 crashes (47% of total crashes) occurred during the PM Peak Period from 3:00 to 5:59 PM.

The Crash Rate for the project was calculated and compared to the Kentucky Statewide Average for Urban – Minor Arterial Roadway according to Table 23: Statewide Crash Rates by Functional Class (5-Year) in the "Analysis of Traffic Crash Data in Kentucky 2015-2019" Research Report by Kentucky Transportation Center. AADT, project length, and crash data was used in calculated the Crash Rate.

	Crash Rate	Injury Crash Rate
	(per 100 MVM)	(per 100 MVM)
Statewide Avg. for Urban – Minor Arterial	556	95
Hopkins Co. – US 41 from MP 16.98 to MP 17.43	605.6	23.1

- 2. The Safety Performance for Intersection Control Evaluation (SPICE) software product was used for a preliminary overview of a safety analysis at the intersection of US 41 at Hospital Drive and for US 41 at Briarwood Drive. The SPICE Tool utilizes Safety Performance Functions (SPFs) and Crash Modification Factors (CMFs) primarily from the AASHTO Highway Safety Manual and the FHWA Crash Modification Factor Clearinghouse.
 - a. The Project Information, Control Strategy Selection, and At-Grade Inputs that included AADT, number of approaches with left turn lanes, number of approaches with right turn lanes, uncontrolled approaches, and Facility Type were completed. The "Hopkins County US 41 Traffic Forecast 1_19_2021" was used for inputs.
 - b. Results noted below:

US 41 at Hospital Drive and Hanson Street									
PM Design Hour									
Crash Prediction Summary									
Control Strategy	Crash Type	Opening Year	Design Year	Total Project Life Cycle					
1-lane Roundabout	Total	2.31	2.31	48.61					
1-latte Routidabout	Fatal & Injury	0.48	0.48	10.13					
2-lane Roundabout	Total	2.53	2.53	53.21					
Z-idile Roulidabout	Fatal & Injury	0.31	0.31	6.53					
Traffic Signal	Total	3.13	3.13	65.69					
Tranic Signal	Fatal & Injury	1.07	1.07	22.51					
Traffic Signal (Alt)	Total	3.00	3.00	62.96					
Traine Signal (Ait)	Fatal & Injury	1.03	1.03	21.58					

In summary, the proposed Alternate 1 – Signalized Intersection of US 41 at Hospital Drive is predicted to experience 65.69 total crashes and 22.51 Fatal and Injury Crashes over the 20-year project life. The proposed Alternate 4 – Roundabout intersection of US 41 at Hospital Drive is predicted to experience 50.91 total crashes and 8.33 Fatal and Injury Crashes over the 20-year

project life. Alternate 4 is showing a 22% reduction in total crashes and a 63% reduction in fatal and injury crashes when compared to Alternate 1.

The Crash Reduction Benefit of Alternate 4 compared to Alternate 1 at the Hospital Drive Intersection is approximately **\$756,000** over the 20-year project life. This was developed using the crash reductions mentioned above and existing crash data. The US 41 at the Hospital Drive Intersection experienced 19 total crashes with one of those crashes involving an injury collision over the 5-year crash data.

JS 41 at Briarwood	Dr.			
PM Design Hour				
			Crash Prediction Sur	imary
Control Strategy	Crash Type	Opening Year	Design Year	Total Project Life Cycle
1-lane Roundabout	Total	2.25	2.25	47.35
1-lane Roundabout	Fatal & Injury	0.47	0.47	9.89
2-lane Roundabout	Total	2.47	2.47	51.83
Z-idrie Rouridabout	Fatal & Injury	0.30	0.30	6.38
Troffic Cianal	Total	3.05	3.05	63.99
Traffic Signal	Fatal & Injury	1.05	1.05	21.99
Traffic Signal (Alt)	Total	2.80	2.80	58.89
Traffic Signal (Alt)	Fatal & Injury	0.96	0.96	20.25

In summary, the proposed Alternate 1 – Signalized Intersection of US 41 at Hospital Drive is predicted to experience 63.99 total crashes and 21.99 Fatal and Injury Crashes over the 20-year project life. The proposed Alternate 4 – Roundabout intersection of US 41 at Hospital Drive is predicted to experience 49.59 total crashes and 8.13 Fatal and Injury Crashes over the 20-year project life. Alternate 4 is showing a 23% reduction in total crashes and a 63% reduction in fatal and injury crashes when compared to Alternate 1.

The Crash Reduction Benefit of Alternate 4 compared to Alternate 1 at the Briarwood Drive Intersection is approximately **\$513,000** over the 20-year project life. This was developed using the crash reductions mentioned above and existing crash data. The US 41 at Briarwood Drive Intersection experienced 21 total crashes with none of those crashes involving an injury collision over the 5-year crash data.

The table below uses the Comprehensive Cost based on recent research by VHB for Fatal, Suspected Serious Injury, Suspected Minor Injury, Possible Injury, and No Apparent Injury and weighted by the total number of crashes for each category for the state of Kentucky in 2019.

Crash Costs based on Recent Research by VHB						
Description	Code	Comprehensive Cost				
Fatality	K	\$9,281,571				
Suspected Serious Injury	Α	\$537,913				
Suspected Minor Injury	В	\$162,885				
Possible Injury	С	\$102,957				
No Apparent Injury	О	\$9,689				

- 3. The Highway Safety Manual 1st Edition, Volume 2, Chapter 12 Predictive Method for Urban and Suburban Arterials Analysis Spreadsheet was used to develop predictive crash models.
 - a. Models were developed for No Build, Alternative 1, Alternative 2, Alternative 3, and Alternative 4 using base conditions. Then models were developed for each scenario with updated Access Management (reduction in driveways) that is presented in Proposal 5.

		Ba	se Model	/lodel		F	Proposal 5		
No-Build	3 Lane w/ TWLTL	Total	Expected Crashes (per year) 17.190			Total	Expected Crashes (per year) 16.227		
IVO Bulla	S carie wy TVVETE	Fatal & Injury	6.147			Fatal & Injury	5.908		
		Property Damage	11.043			Property Damage	10.319		
				Baseline to No Build	Crash Reduction Benefit			% Reduction Crashes f/ Baseline	Crash Reduction Benefit
Alt 1	5 Lane w/ TWLTL	Total	19.697	-14.6%	Baseline	Total	17.977	8.7%	\$ 790,209.37
		Fatal & Injury	6.901	-12.3%		Fatal & Injury	6.402	7.2%	
		Property Damage	12.796	-15.9%		Property Damage	11.575	9.5%	
				% Reduction Crashes f/					
Alt 2	4 Lane Divided	Total	15.670	20.4%	\$ 1,836,184.53	Total	15.206	22.8%	\$2,053,794.90
		Fatal & Injury	5.759	16.5%		Fatal & Injury	5.616	18.6%	
		Property Damage	9.911	22.5%		Property Damage	9.590	25.1%	
Alt 3	Mix 5 Lane & 4 Lane	Total	17.468	11.3%	\$ 1,014,177.13	Total	16.429	16.6%	\$1,494,465.74
		Fatal & Injury	6.273	9.1%		Fatal & Injury	5.966	13.5%	
		Property Damage	11.195	12.5%		Property Damage	10.463	18.2%	
Alt 4	2 Lane Divided w/ Roundabout	Total	12.344	37.3%	\$ 5,052,699.23	Total	11.325	42.5%	\$5,725,814.15
		Fatal & Injury	3.537	48.7%		Fatal & Injury	3.270	52.6%	
		Property Damage	8.254	35.5%		Property Damage	7.594	40.7%	

Performance Criteria Matrix

A copy of the Performance Criteria Matrix is included for reference.

PERFORMANCE CRITERIA MATRIX

US 41 - North Main Street, Hopkins County Kentucky Transportation Cabinet Value Engineering (VE) Study

							TOTAL	%
Local Operations- According businesses and proper while minimizing impa	ties A	b	a	a	a	a/f	4.5	21.43%
Mainline Operations - Capacity, congestion, traffic B delays, conflicts		b	b	b	b	6.0	28.57%	
	Schedule- Able to complete ROW acquisition and utility plans			d	e	f	1.0	4.76%
	Drainage - Impacts to flooding			D	e	f	2.0	9.52%
Connectivity - community ed					E	f	3.0	14.29%
			of Servi and co	ce - Ped mfort		F	4.5	21.43%
a More Ir	nportant					1	21.0	100.00%
a/b Equal Ir	a/b Equal Importance							

^{*}Note: Although this performance attribute did not have any weight during the initial assessment, the VE team acknowledges it is an attribute that should be considered in the performance evaluation of alternatives.

Appendix F - Agenda

A copy of the workshop agenda is included for reference.

Value Engineering (VE) Workshop Agenda

Project Name: Kentucky Transportation Cabinet

US 41-North Main Street Item No. #2-8305.00

Hopkins County

Dates: <u>VE Workshop</u>

August 16-20, 2021 (see detailed times below)

Study Location: Virtual



Day 0: Thursday, August 5, 2021, 2:00 PM – 3:00 PM EST

Time EST	VE Activity	Participants	Comments
2:00-3:00	2:00-3:00 Technical Dry Run; introduction to the		
	workroom, Webex and Sharepoint	Members	

Day 1: Monday, August 16, 2021, 9:00 AM – 5:00 PM EST

Time EST	VE Activity	Participants	Comments
9:00	Welcome & Introductions Brief Overview of Value Engineering Process & VE	All	
	Agenda Review (CVS Facilitator)		
	INFORMATION PHASE		
9:20	Project Overview, Presentation & Virtual Site Tour	All	
	(KYTC Project Manager, Consultant Design Lead/s)		
10:30	Short Break		
10:45	Identify/Review:	All	
	Project Goals		
	 VE Study Objectives (Focus of VE Study) 		
	 VE Study Constraints 		
	 Identify, Define & Rank Performance Attributes 		
12:00	Conclusion of In-brief meeting / Long Break		
1:00	Discuss Team Observations, Project Risks	VE Team	
	Review Cost Model, Schedule, Other		
FUNCTION ANALYSIS PHASE			
2:00	Function Identification of Project Elements	VE Team	
	 Identify/Classify Project Functions 		
	 Apply Risks/Resources to Functions 		
	 Select Specific Functions for Study 		
3:00	Short Break		
3:15	3:15 Finalize Function Analysis		
CREATIVE PHASE			
4:15	Brainstorm Ideas / Alternatives	VE Team	
5:00	5:00 Adjourn		

Day 2: Tuesday, August 17, 2021, 9:00 AM – 5:00 PM EST

Time EST	Time EST VE Activity Participants Comments		Comments		
9:00	Check-in	VE Team			
	CREATIVE PHASE - continu	ed			
9:05	Brainstorm Ideas / Alternatives	VE Team			
10:30 Short Break					
10:45 Brainstorm Ideas / Alternatives V		VE Team			
12:00	Long Break				
	EVALUATION PHASE				
1:00	Evaluation of Ideas – Team Assignments for Development	VE Team			
3:00 Short Break					
	DEVELOPMENT PHASE				
3:15	Review Workbook Template & Process Flow Develop / Cost Alternatives	VE Team			
5:00 Adjourn					

Day 3: Wednesday, August 18, 2021, 9:00 AM – 5:00 PM EST

Time EST	VE Study Activity	Participants	Comments
9:00	Check-in	VE Team	
	DEVELOPMENT PHASE - conti	nued	
9:05	9:05 Develop / Cost Alternatives		
10:45	10:45 Develop / Cost Alternatives		
11:30	11:30 Check-in		
12:00	12:00 Long Break		
1:00	Develop / Cost Alternatives	VE Team	
4:30	4:30 Check-in		
5:00	5:00 Adjourn		

Day 4: Thursday, August 19, 2021, 9:00 AM – 5:00 PM EST

Time EST	E EST VE Study Activity		Comments			
9:00	Check-in	VE Team				
	DEVELOPMENT PHASE - continued					
9:10	Develop / Cost Alternatives - Complete	VE Team				
11:30	Check-in					
12:00	12:00 Long Break					
1:00	1:00 Peer Review Workbooks					
	Identify Alternatives to Present					
	Prepare Presentation					
3:00	Author Review	VE Team				
4:00	4:00 Run-through Presentation					
5:00 Adjourn Page 124 of 128						

Day 5: Friday, August 20, 2021, 8:00 AM – Noon EST

Time EST	VE Study Activity	Participants	Comments			
8:00	8:00 Check-in					
	DEVELOPMENT PHASE - continued					
8:05	Complete Practice Presentation	VE Team				
9:30	Short Break					
9:45	Ready to present	VE Team				
PRESENTATION PHASE						
10:00	Presentation of Key Finding/VE Alternatives to Stakeholders/Decision-makers	All				
11:30	Workshop Close-out	VE Team				
12:00	Adjourn	VE Team				


All: Decision-makers, Design Team, Stakeholders, VE Team (Shaded rows)
VE Team: Subject Matter Experts and others serving as full-time VE Team members

Appendix G - Value Methodology

A copy of the Value Methodology is included for reference.

VALUE METHODOLOGY

The value methodology (Synonyms: value analysis, value engineering and value management) is a function-oriented, systematic, team approach to add customer value to a program, facility, system, or service. Improvements like performance, quality, initial and life cycle cost are paramount in the value methodology. The workshop is conducted in accordance with the methodology as established by SAVE International, the value society, and is structured using the value methodology as illustrated at right and outlined in the table below.



Value Methodology Stage / Phase	VM Phase Functions Achieved	Objectives of this Phase	Outcomes of this Phase
Stage 1: Pre- workshop Study (Preparation)	Initiate Study Organize Study Prepare Data	 Identify study project Identify study roles and responsibilities Define study scope, goals and objectives Select team leader Conduct pre-study meeting Select value study team members Identify stakeholders, decision-makers, and technical reviewers Obtain time commitment Identify data collection Select study dates Determine study logistics, agenda Collect and distribute data Perform technology dry-run for virtual workshop Send team primer to value study team Value team members to complete Key Issues Memos (KIM) 	 Fosters understanding of value study priorities Defines and manages expectations Organizes the value study Offers a thorough review of the project Tests meeting platform and virtual tools to maximize engagement and collaboration Primes the team for the value workshop
Stage 2: Workshop Study Phase 1: Information	Inform Team	Present design conceptPresent stakeholders' interests	 Brings all value study team members to a common understanding of the project,
Phase		 Review project issues and objectives Discuss deviation from design standards Define project performance metrics Discuss problems the project must solve; identify issues the design may not address Visit project site / virtual site tour 	 including its challenges and constraints Establishes the benchmark for which to identify alternatives Gains a real-world perspective of the project and builds foundation for function analysis

Value Methodology Stage / Phase	VM Phase Functions Achieved	Objectives of this Phase	Outcomes of this Phase
Function Analysis Phase	Analyze Functions	 Identify and classify functions Apply cost and risk relative to performance Prioritize functions Select specific functions for study 	 Provides a comprehensive understanding by focusing on what the project does rather than what it is Identifies what the project must do to satisfy needs and objectives Focuses on functions with the greatest opportunity for project improvements
Creative Phase	Create Ideas	 Brainstorm to generate performance- focused ideas for alternative ways to perform functions Discuss, build-on and clarify ideas 	 Value team develops a broad array of ideas that provides a wide variety of possible alternative components or methods to improve project value
Evaluation Phase	Evaluate Ideas	 Eliminate obvious "fatal flaw" ideas Score ideas based on meeting performance criteria, value key and project/study goals Discuss conflicting rankings, further clarify ideas and determine final rankings Discuss ideas with client and decision-makers (midpoint review) Assign alternatives for development phase 	 Prioritizes ideas for development, focusing on those with the highest potential for performance improvement and cost savings Determine value: performance/cost Focuses team's effort to develop alternatives that best meet client study objectives
Development Phase	Develop Alternatives Critique Alternatives	 Validate and refine idea concepts Compare to original design concept Define implementation considerations Prepare sketches and calculations Measure performance Estimate costs, life-cycle cost benefits/costs 	 Provides side-by-side comparison of baseline and alternative—concepts, initial costs, life-cycle costs, sketches, performance metrics
Presentation Phase	Present Alternatives	 Present developed ideas to client, designers, decision-makers, stakeholders Document feedback Produce draft report 	 Ensures management and other key stakeholders understand the rationale of the value alternatives and design suggestions
Stage 3: Post- workshop Study (Implementation)	Document VE Study Assess Alternatives Resolve Alternatives Finalize Alternatives Publish Results	 Document process and study findings Develop and distribute VE study summary report Review study summary report Assess alternatives for acceptance Prepare draft implementation dispositions Resolve conditionally accepted alternatives Develop implementation plan with project manager Project manager sign-off on VE implementation plan Final presentation of study results 	 Involves those who will implement and increases likelihood of implementation Improves actual value of the project