# APPENDIX L: COST ESTIMATION SHEETS



#### Cost Estimation Detail - Corridor 1A

(Traditional Solutions)

### **Corridor Information**

Route	I-275				
From	Indiana state line				
То	KY 237 in Boone Co.				
Highway District	6				

#### Characteristics

Functional Class	Interstate
Lanes	4
Median	Divided
Posted Speed	65 mph

#### Widening

Proposed Concept	Unit Cost Mileage Project Cost (			(\$M)			
Proposed Concept	Unit Cost	ivilleage	D	R	U	С	Total
Urban Freeway, Added Lanes (inner side)	Per Mile						
Urban Freeway, Added Lanes (outer side)	Per Mile						
Rural Freeway, Added Lanes (inner side)	Per Mile						
Rural Freeway, Added Lanes (outer side)	Per Mile						
New Collector-Distributor Road (mainly for urban)	Per Mile Per Lane						

Note	

#### Upgrade

Proposed Concept	Unit Cost	Unit Cost Mileage		Pro	ject Cost (	SM)	
Proposed Concept	Offit Cost	Willeage	D	R	U	С	Total
Parkway Upgrade to Freeway with Pavement Reconstruction	Per Mile						
Parkway Upgrade to Freeway with Pavement Rehab	Per Mile						
Increase Shoulder Width	Per Mile						

	Note		
	•	•	

#### Interchange / Grade Separation

Proposed Concept	Unit Cost	Interchange /		Pro	ject Cost (	\$M)	
Froposeu Concept	Offit Cost	Mileage	D	R	U	С	Total
New Service Interchange - Rural	Per Interchange						
New Service Interchange - Urban	Per Interchange						
Interchange Modification - Rural	Per Interchange						
Interchange Modification - Urban	Per Interchange						
Add Auxiliary Lane - Rural	Per Mile Per Lane						
Add Auxiliary Lane - Urban	Per Mile Per Lane						
Interchange single ramp improvement - Rural	Per Mile Per Lane						
Interchange single ramp improvement - Urban	Per Mile Per Lane						

Note

# Major Structure

Proposed Concept	Unit Cost	Deck Area		Pro	ject Cost (	\$M)	
Froposed Concept	Offic Cost	(sq ft)	D	R	5	U	Total
Bridge - Replacement	Per Square Ft (Deck Area)						
Bridge - Rehab	Per Square Ft (Deck Area)						

Note

# Cost Summary - Traditional Solutions (\$M)

Design	\$0.0
ROW	\$0.0
Utility	\$0.0
Construction	\$0.0
ΤΟΤΔΙ	\$0.0

- 1. The cost estimation may not include additional costs to address the potential impacts of major utilities (e.g., gas line, major water supplier, transmission line) within the proximity of the corridor, due to the lack of data when the report was prepared. Further investigation is recommended in future studies.
- 2. Cost estimation was based on 2021 dollars. There is a 1-3% inflation rate. Estimated cost could vary -50% to +250% of the actual number (as a rule of thumb).
- $3.\ Major\ structure\ costs\ only\ include\ bridges\ that\ are\ within\ the\ corridor\ sections\ for\ proposed\ widening\ improvements.$
- 4. Cost estimation does not account for KYTC's existing and committed (E+C) projects.
- $5. \, Shoulder \, widening \, is \, not \, included \, in \, the \, cost \, estimation, \, as \, it \, is \, a \, relatively \, minor \, cost.$
- 6. If multiple improvement concepts are recommended for the corridor, only the cost of the larger-scale improvement concept is estimated.



# **Cost Estimation Detail - Corridor 1A**

(TSMO Solutions)

### **Corridor Information**

corridor milorination					
Route	I-275				
From	Indiana state line				
То	KY 237 in Boone Co.				
Highway District	6				

### Characteristics

Functional Class	Interstate	
Lanes	4	
Median	Divided	
Posted Speed	65 mph	

Ramp Metering

Transport Transp			
Proposed Strategies	Entrance Ramp	Cost (\$M)	
Traffic responsive centralized			
Traffic responsive non-centralized			
Stand alone			

N	ote

Managed Lanes

Proposed Strategies	Mileage	Cost (\$M)
Express Toll Lanes		
HOT Lanes		
HOV Lanes		
Part-time Shoulder Use (GP)		
Part-time Shoulder Use (Transit)		

Note	
	_

Interchange Ramp Improvements

Proposed Strategies	Location	Cost (\$M)
Increase Acceleration Lane Length		
Increase Deceleration Lane Length		

Note	

Freight Strategies and Systems

Proposed Strategies	Mileage	Cost (\$M)
Truck Only Lanes		
Climbing Lanes		

N	ote

Other Strategies

Proposed Strategies	Mileage	Cost (\$M)
Travel Demand Management		
Dynamic Lane Use		

N

Strategies That Could Apply Everywhere

Proposed Strategies	Mileage/Location	Cost (\$M)
Road Weather Management		
Work Zone Management		
Variable Speed Limits		
Queue Warning		
Comparative Travel Times		
Dynamic Message Sign	6	\$2.4
En-Route Traveler Information		
Truck Parking Information System		
Elongated Pavement Markings		
Improved Signage		

Note
1 east of state line, 1 west of KY 237, 1 before and after
the other 2 interchanges.
i

Dynamic Message Sign	\$2.4
TOTAL TSMO	\$2.4



#### **Cost Estimation Detail - Corridor 1B**

(Traditional Solutions)

### **Corridor Information**

Route	I-275
From	KY 237 in Boone Co.
То	I-71
Highway District	6

#### Characteristics

Functional Class	Interstate			
Lanes	6			
Median	Divided			
Posted Speed	65 mph			

### Widening

Proposed Concept	Unit Cost Mileage		Unit Cost		Pro	ject Cost (	\$M)	
Froposeu Concept	Offic Cost	ivilleage	D	R	>	U	Total	
Urban Freeway, Added Lanes (inner side)	Per Mile	5	\$2.5	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$83.5	\$86.0	
Urban Freeway, Added Lanes (outer side)	Per Mile							
Rural Freeway, Added Lanes (inner side)	Per Mile							
Rural Freeway, Added Lanes (outer side)	Per Mile							
New Collector-Distributor Road (mainly for urban)	Per Mile Per Lane							

Note
Widen to 8 lanes from KY 237 to KY 3076

#### Upgrade

Proposed Concept	Unit Cost	Cost Mileogo		Pro	ject Cost (	\$M)	
Froposed Concept	Unit Cost Mileage –		D	R	>	С	Total
Parkway Upgrade to Freeway with Pavement Reconstruction	Per Mile						
Parkway Upgrade to Freeway with Pavement Rehab	Per Mile						
Increase Shoulder Width	Per Mile						

	Note	

#### Interchange / Grade Separation

Proposed Concept	Unit Cost	Interchange /		Project Cost (\$M)			
Proposed Concept	Offit Cost	Mileage	D	R	U	С	Total
New Service Interchange - Rural	Per Interchange						
New Service Interchange - Urban	Per Interchange						
Interchange Modification - Rural	Per Interchange						
Interchange Modification - Urban	Per Interchange	1	\$6.2	\$2.3	\$1.3	\$60.0	\$69.8
Add Auxiliary Lane - Rural	Per Mile Per Lane						
Add Auxiliary Lane - Urban	Per Mile Per Lane						
Interchange single ramp improvement - Rural	Per Mile Per Lane						
Interchange single ramp improvement - Urban	Per Mile Per Lane						

Note	
I-275/KY 3076 interchange mod	

# Major Structure

Proposed Concept	Unit Cost	Deck Area		Pro	ject Cost (	M)	
Froposed Concept	Offic Cost	(sq ft)	D	R	>	U	Total
Bridge - Replacement	Per Square Ft (Deck Area)						
Bridge - Rehab	Per Square Ft (Deck Area)	17,993	\$1.4	\$0.1	\$0.1	\$2.9	\$4.5

Note
Only the 3 bridges within the proposed widening section are included in corridor-level cost estimation.

# Cost Summary - Traditional Solutions (\$M)

	,,,
Design	\$10.1
ROW	\$2.4
Utility	\$1.3
Construction	\$146.4
TOTAL	\$160.3

- 1. The cost estimation may not include additional costs to address the potential impacts of major utilities (e.g., gas line, major water supplier, transmission line) within the proximity of the corridor, due to the lack of data when the report was prepared. Further investigation is recommended in future studies.
- 2. Cost estimation was based on 2021 dollars. There is a 1-3% inflation rate. Estimated cost could vary -50% to +250% of the actual number (as a rule of thumb).
- 3. Major structure costs only include bridges that are within the corridor sections for proposed widening improvements.
- 4. Cost estimation does not account for KYTC's existing and committed (E+C) projects.
- $5. \, Shoulder \, widening \, is \, not \, included \, in \, the \, cost \, estimation, \, as \, it \, is \, a \, relatively \, minor \, cost.$
- 6. If multiple improvement concepts are recommended for the corridor, only the cost of the larger-scale improvement concept is estimated.



# Cost Estimation Detail - Corridor 1B

(TSMO Solutions)

#### **Corridor Information**

Route	I-275
From	KY 237 in Boone Co.
То	I-71
Highway District	6

### Characteristics

Functional Class	ss Interstate	
Lanes	6	
Median	Divided	
Posted Speed	65 mph	

#### Ramp Metering

Proposed Strategies	Entrance Ramp	Cost (\$M)
Traffic responsive centralized	5	\$1.3
Traffic responsive non-centralized		
Stand alone		

### Managed Lanes

managea zanes			
Proposed Strategies	Mileage	Cost (\$M)	
Express Toll Lanes			
HOT Lanes			
HOV Lanes			
Part-time Shoulder Use (GP)			
Part-time Shoulder Use (Transit)			

Note	

#### Interchange Ramp Improvements

Proposed Strategies	Location	Cost (\$M)	
Increase Acceleration Lane Length			
Increase Deceleration Lane Length			

	Note	

#### Freight Strategies and Systems

reigne of acepies and systems			
Proposed Strategies	Mileage	Cost (\$M)	
Truck Only Lanes			
Climbing Lanes			

Note	

# Other Strategies

Proposed Strategies	Mileage	Cost (\$M)	
Travel Demand Management			
Dynamic Lane Use			

Note	

# Strategies That Could Apply Everywhere

Proposed Strategies	Mileage/Location	Cost (\$M)
Road Weather Management		
Work Zone Management		
Variable Speed Limits		
Queue Warning		
Comparative Travel Times		
Dynamic Message Sign	5	\$2.0
En-Route Traveler Information		
Truck Parking Information System		
Elongated Pavement Markings		
Improved Signage		

		- N	ote		
Least of KY 237, 1 before and after the other 2 nterchanges (1 existing west of I-275 interchange).					
nterchanges (1 existing west of 1-275 interchange).					
	nterchanges (	1 existing wes	it of 1-275 In	terchange).	

Traffic responsive centralized	\$1.3
Dynamic Message Sign	\$2.0
TOTAL TSMO	\$3.3



#### **Cost Estimation Detail - Corridor 1C**

(Traditional Solutions)

#### Corridor Information

Route	I-275
From	I-71
То	Ohio state line
Highway District	6

#### Characteristics

Functional Class	Interstate
Lanes	6
Median	Divided
Posted Speed	65 mph

#### Widening

0							
Proposed Concept	Unit Cost	Mileage	Project Cost (\$M)				
Froposed Concept	Offit Cost	ivilleage	D	R	5	С	Total
Urban Freeway, Added Lanes (inner side)	Per Mile						
Urban Freeway, Added Lanes (outer side)	Per Mile						
Rural Freeway, Added Lanes (inner side)	Per Mile						
Rural Freeway, Added Lanes (outer side)	Per Mile						
New Collector-Distributor Road (mainly for urban)	Per Mile Per Lane						

	Note	

#### Upgrade

-18							
Proposed Concept	Unit Cost	Daile	Project Cost (\$M)				
Proposed Concept	Unit Cost	Mileage	D	R	U	С	Total
Parkway Upgrade to Freeway with Pavement Reconstruction	Per Mile						
Parkway Upgrade to Freeway with Pavement Rehab	Per Mile						
Increase Shoulder Width	Per Mile						

Note	

# Interchange / Grade Separation

Proposed Concept	Unit Cost	Interchange /	nterchange / Project Cost (\$M)			M)	
Froposed Concept	Offit Cost	Mileage	D	R	U	С	Total
New Service Interchange - Rural	Per Interchange						
New Service Interchange - Urban	Per Interchange						
Interchange Modification - Rural	Per Interchange						
Interchange Modification - Urban	Per Interchange	2	\$12.5	\$4.6	\$2.5	\$120.0	\$139.6
Add Auxiliary Lane - Rural	Per Mile Per Lane						
Add Auxiliary Lane - Urban	Per Mile Per Lane						
Interchange single ramp improvement - Rural	Per Mile Per Lane						
Interchange single ramp improvement - Urban	Per Mile Per Lane	0.72	\$0.6	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$5.6	\$6.2

	Note
·	
I-275/K	Y 17 interchange & I-275/KY 9 interchange
I-275 EE	3 off-ramp to US 25 & I-275 WB off-ramp to

#### **Major Structure**

Proposed Concept	Unit Cost	Deck Area	Project Cost (\$M)				
Froposed Concept		(sq ft)	D	R	U	С	Total
Bridge - Replacement	Per Square Ft (Deck Area)						
Bridge - Rehab	Per Square Ft (Deck Area)						

Note	

# Cost Summary - Traditional Solutions (\$M)

Design	\$13.1
ROW	\$4.6
Utility	\$2.6
Construction	\$125.6
TOTAL	\$145.8

- 1. The cost estimation may not include additional costs to address the potential impacts of major utilities (e.g., gas line, major water supplier, transmission line) within the proximity of the corridor, due to the lack of data when the report was prepared. Further investigation is recommended in future studies.
- 2. Cost estimation was based on 2021 dollars. There is a 1-3% inflation rate. Estimated cost could vary -50% to +250% of the actual number (as a rule of thumb).
- $3.\ Major\ structure\ costs\ only\ include\ bridges\ that\ are\ within\ the\ corridor\ sections\ for\ proposed\ widening\ improvements.$
- 4. Cost estimation does not account for KYTC's existing and committed (E+C) projects.
- 5. Shoulder widening is not included in the cost estimation, as it is a relatively minor cost.
- 6. If multiple improvement concepts are recommended for the corridor, only the cost of the larger-scale improvement concept is estimated.



# Cost Estimation Detail - Corridor 1C

(TSMO Solutions)

# 

Characteristics		
Functional Class	Interstate	
Lanes	6	
Median	Divided	
Posted Speed	65 mph	

Ramp Metering			
Proposed Strategies	Entrance Ramp	Cost (\$M)	
Traffic responsive centralized	13	\$3.3	
Traffic responsive non-centralized			
Stand alone			

Note	
Ramp metering at all non-system interchange ent	rance
ramps	

Managed Lanes				
Proposed Strategies	Mileage	Cost (\$M)		
Express Toll Lanes				
HOT Lanes				
HOV Lanes				
Part-time Shoulder Use (GP)				
Part-time Shoulder Use (Transit)				

Note

Interchange Ramp Improvements				
Proposed Strategies	Location	Cost (\$M)		
Increase Acceleration Lane Length				
Increase Deceleration Lane Length				

	Note	

Freight Strategies and Systems				
Proposed Strategies	Mileage	Cost (\$M)		
Truck Only Lanes				
Climbing Lanes				

	Note	

Other Strategies						
Proposed Strategies	Mileage	Cost (\$M)				
Travel Demand Management						
Dynamic Lane Use						

Note
1

Strategies mat co	ould Apply Everywhere	
Proposed Strategies	Mileage/Location	Cost (\$M)
Road Weather Management		
Work Zone Management		
Variable Speed Limits		
Queue Warning		
Comparative Travel Times		
Dynamic Message Sign	9	\$3.6
En-Route Traveler Information		
Truck Parking Information System		
Elongated Pavement Markings		
Improved Signage		

	Note
_	
	t of I-71/75 interchange, 1 west of state line, e and after the other 5 interchanges, grouping the
	and KY 1303 interchanges due to proximity (4
	ng DMS on the corridor).
	,
ı	

Traffic responsive centralized	\$3.3
Dynamic Message Sign	\$3.6
TOTAL TSMO	\$6.9



#### **Cost Estimation Detail - Corridor 2**

(Traditional Solutions)

#### **Corridor Information**

Route	I-471		
From	Ohio state line		
То	I-275		
Highway District	6		

#### Characteristics

Functional Class	Interstate
Lanes	6-8
Median	Divided
Posted Speed	65 mph

#### Widening

Proposed Concept	Unit Cost	Mileage		Pro	ject Cost (	<b>SM</b> )	
Proposed Concept	Unit Cost	ivilleage	D	R	U	С	Total
Urban Freeway, Added Lanes (inner side)	Per Mile						
Urban Freeway, Added Lanes (outer side)	Per Mile						
Rural Freeway, Added Lanes (inner side)	Per Mile						
Rural Freeway, Added Lanes (outer side)	Per Mile						
New Collector-Distributor Road (mainly for urban)	Per Mile Per Lane						

Note	

#### Upgrade

-10							
Dunnand Concept	Unit Cost	Dail	Project Cost (\$M)				
Proposed Concept	Unit Cost	Mileage	D	R	U	С	Total
Parkway Upgrade to Freeway with Pavement Reconstruction	Per Mile						
Parkway Upgrade to Freeway with Pavement Rehab	Per Mile						
Increase Shoulder Width	Per Mile						

Note	

# Interchange / Grade Separation

Proposed Concept	Unit Cost	Interchange /	Project Cost (\$M)				
Proposed Concept	Offit Cost	Mileage	D	R	U	С	Total
New Service Interchange - Rural	Per Interchange						
New Service Interchange - Urban	Per Interchange						
Interchange Modification - Rural	Per Interchange						
Interchange Modification - Urban	Per Interchange						
Add Auxiliary Lane - Rural	Per Mile Per Lane						
Add Auxiliary Lane - Urban	Per Mile Per Lane						
Interchange single ramp improvement - Rural	Per Mile Per Lane						
Interchange single ramp improvement - Urban	Per Mile Per Lane						

Note

#### **Major Structure**

Proposed Concept Unit Cost		Deck Area Project Cost (\$M)					
Proposed Concept Onit	Offit Cost	(sq ft)	D	R	U	С	Total
Bridge - Replacement	Per Square Ft (Deck Area)						
Bridge - Rehab	Per Square Ft (Deck Area)						

Note	

# Cost Summary - Traditional Solutions (\$M)

	. , ,
Design	\$0.0
ROW	\$0.0
Utility	\$0.0
Construction	\$0.0
TOTAL	\$0.0

- 1. The cost estimation may not include additional costs to address the potential impacts of major utilities (e.g., gas line, major water supplier, transmission line) within the proximity of the corridor, due to the lack of data when the report was prepared. Further investigation is recommended in future studies.
- 2. Cost estimation was based on 2021 dollars. There is a 1-3% inflation rate. Estimated cost could vary -50% to +250% of the actual number (as a rule of thumb).
- $3.\ Major\ structure\ costs\ only\ include\ bridges\ that\ are\ within\ the\ corridor\ sections\ for\ proposed\ widening\ improvements.$
- 4. Cost estimation does not account for KYTC's existing and committed (E+C) projects.
- 5. Shoulder widening is not included in the cost estimation, as it is a relatively minor cost.
- 6. If multiple improvement concepts are recommended for the corridor, only the cost of the larger-scale improvement concept is estimated.



# Cost Estimation Detail - Corridor 2

(TSMO Solutions)

#### Corridor Information

Route	I-471	
From	Ohio state line	
То	I-275	
Highway District	6	

### Characteristics

Functional Class	Interstate
Lanes	6-8
Median	Divided
Posted Speed	65 mph

#### Ramp Metering

Proposed Strategies	Entrance Ramp	Cost (\$M)
Traffic responsive centralized	8	\$2.0
Traffic responsive non-centralized		
Stand alone		

Note	
Ramp metering at entrance ramps at all non-system	
interchanges	

#### Managed Lanes

ivianaged Lanes			
Proposed Strategies	Mileage	Cost (\$M)	
Express Toll Lanes			
HOT Lanes			
HOV Lanes			
Part-time Shoulder Use (GP)	3	\$1.5	
Part-time Shoulder Use (Transit)			

Note
3 miles of part time shoulder use during peak hour

#### Interchange Ramp Improvement

Proposed Strategies	Location	Cost (\$M)
Increase Acceleration Lane Length		
Increase Deceleration Lane Length		

Note	

#### Freight Strategies and Systems

rieigni otrategies and systems						
Proposed Strategies	Mileage	Cost (\$M)				
Truck Only Lanes						
Climbing Lanes						

	No	te	

# Other Strategies

Proposed Strategies	Mileage	Cost (\$M)					
Travel Demand Management							
Dynamic Lane Use							

	Note	

# Strategies That Could Apply Everywhere

Proposed Strategies	Mileage/Location	Cost (\$M)
Road Weather Management		
Work Zone Management		
Variable Speed Limits		
Queue Warning		
Comparative Travel Times		
Dynamic Message Sign		
En-Route Traveler Information		
Truck Parking Information System		
Elongated Pavement Markings		
Improved Signage		

Note	

Traffic responsive centralized	\$2.0
Part-time Shoulder Use (GP)	\$1.5
TOTAL TSMO	\$3.5



# **Cost Estimation Detail - Corridor 3A**

(Traditional Solutions)

#### Corridor Information

Route	I-75
From	Tennessee state line
То	KY 21 in Berea
Highway District	7,8,11

#### Characteristics

	Functional Class	Interstate	
	Lanes	4-6	
Median Divided			
	Posted Speed	70 mph	

### Widening

Proposed Concept	Unit Cost	Mileage	Project Cost (\$M)				
Proposed Concept		ivilleage	D	R	U	С	Total
Urban Freeway, Added Lanes (inner side)	Per Mile						
Urban Freeway, Added Lanes (outer side)	Per Mile						
Rural Freeway, Added Lanes (inner side)	Per Mile						
Rural Freeway, Added Lanes (outer side)	Per Mile						
New Collector-Distributor Road (mainly for urban)	Per Mile Per Lane						

Note

#### Upgrade

-10								
Proposed Concept	Unit Cont	Unit Cost Mileage	Project Cost (\$M)					
Floposeu Concept	Offit Cost		D	R	U	С	Total	
Parkway Upgrade to Freeway with Pavement Reconstruction	Per Mile							
Parkway Upgrade to Freeway with Pavement Rehab	Per Mile							
Increase Shoulder Width	Per Mile							

Note	

# Interchange / Grade Separation

Proposed Concept	Unit Cost Interchange /		Project Cost (\$M)				
Troposed concept Offit cost		Mileage	D	R	U	С	Total
New Service Interchange - Rural	Per Interchange						
New Service Interchange - Urban	Per Interchange						
Interchange Modification - Rural	Per Interchange						
Interchange Modification - Urban	Per Interchange						
Add Auxiliary Lane - Rural	Per Mile Per Lane						
Add Auxiliary Lane - Urban	Per Mile Per Lane						
Interchange single ramp improvement - Rural	Per Mile Per Lane						
Interchange single ramp improvement - Urban	Per Mile Per Lane	0.5	\$0.4	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$3.9	\$4.3

Note
I-75 NB off-ramp to KY 80 & I-75 SB off-ramp to KY 21

#### **Major Structure**

Branged Concept	Proposed Concept Unit Cost	Branasad Consont Linit Cost	Deck Area	Project Cost (\$M)			
Froposed Concept		(sq ft)	D	R	U	С	Total
Bridge - Replacement	Per Square Ft (Deck Area)						
Bridge - Rehab	Per Square Ft (Deck Area)						

	Note	

# Cost Summary - Traditional Solutions (\$M)

Design	\$0.4
ROW	\$0.0
Utility	\$0.0
Construction	\$3.9
TOTAL	\$4.3

- 1. The cost estimation may not include additional costs to address the potential impacts of major utilities (e.g., gas line, major water supplier, transmission line) within the proximity of the corridor, due to the lack of data when the report was prepared. Further investigation is recommended in future studies.
- 2. Cost estimation was based on 2021 dollars. There is a 1-3% inflation rate. Estimated cost could vary -50% to +250% of the actual number (as a rule of thumb).
- $3.\ Major\ structure\ costs\ only\ include\ bridges\ that\ are\ within\ the\ corridor\ sections\ for\ proposed\ widening\ improvements.$
- 4. Cost estimation does not account for KYTC's existing and committed (E+C) projects.
- 5. Shoulder widening is not included in the cost estimation, as it is a relatively minor cost.
- 6. If multiple improvement concepts are recommended for the corridor, only the cost of the larger-scale improvement concept is estimated.



# Cost Estimation Detail - Corridor 3A

(TSMO Solutions)

Corridor Information		
Route	I-75	
From	Tennessee state line	
То	KY 21 in Berea	
Highway District	7,8,11	

Characteristics		
Functional Class	Interstate	
Lanes	4-6	
Median	Divided	
Posted Speed	70 mph	

Ramp Metering			
Proposed Strategies	Entrance Ramp	Cost (\$M)	
Traffic responsive centralized			
Traffic responsive non-centralized			
Stand alone			

Note	

Managed Lanes				
Proposed Strategies	Mileage	Cost (\$M)		
Express Toll Lanes				
HOT Lanes				
HOV Lanes				
Part-time Shoulder Use (GP)				
Part-time Shoulder Use (Transit)				

Note

Interchange Ramp Improvements					
Proposed Strategies	Location	Cost (\$M)			
Increase Acceleration Lane Length					
Increase Deceleration Lane Length					

	Note		

Freight Strategies and Systems					
Proposed Strategies	Mileage	Cost (\$M)			
Truck Only Lanes					
Climbing Lanes					

	Note	

Other Strategies					
Proposed Strategies	Mileage	Cost (\$M)			
Travel Demand Management					
Dynamic Lane Use					

Note	
	٦
	4
	- 1

Strategies That Could Apply Everywhere					
Proposed Strategies	Mileage/Location	Cost (\$M)			
Road Weather Management					
Work Zone Management					
Variable Speed Limits					
Queue Warning					
Comparative Travel Times					
Dynamic Message Sign	22	\$8.8			
En-Route Traveler Information					
Truck Parking Information System					
Elongated Pavement Markings					
Improved Signage					

1 north of state line, 1 south of KY 21 interchange, 1 before and after the other 9 interchanges (excluding 1 south of Corbin and 1 south of London due to existing DMS), 1 in each direction between KY 21 and US 25 (Mt. Vernon) section, 1 in each direction before the restop.		
before and after the other 9 interchanges (excluding 1 south of Corbin and 1 south of London due to existing DMS), 1 in each direction between KY 21 and US 25 (Mt. Vernon) section, 1 in each direction before the res		
before and after the other 9 interchanges (excluding 1 south of Corbin and 1 south of London due to existing DMS), 1 in each direction between KY 21 and US 25 (Mt. Vernon) section, 1 in each direction before the res		
before and after the other 9 interchanges (excluding 1 south of Corbin and 1 south of London due to existing DMS), 1 in each direction between KY 21 and US 25 (Mt. Vernon) section, 1 in each direction before the res		
before and after the other 9 interchanges (excluding 1 south of Corbin and 1 south of London due to existing DMS), 1 in each direction between KY 21 and US 25 (Mt. Vernon) section, 1 in each direction before the res		
before and after the other 9 interchanges (excluding 1 south of Corbin and 1 south of London due to existing DMS), 1 in each direction between KY 21 and US 25 (Mt. Vernon) section, 1 in each direction before the res		
before and after the other 9 interchanges (excluding 1 south of Corbin and 1 south of London due to existing DMS), 1 in each direction between KY 21 and US 25 (Mt. Vernon) section, 1 in each direction before the res		
before and after the other 9 interchanges (excluding 1 south of Corbin and 1 south of London due to existing DMS), 1 in each direction between KY 21 and US 25 (Mt. Vernon) section, 1 in each direction before the res		
	before a south o DMS), 1 (Mt. Ver	and after the other 9 interchanges (excluding 1 f Corbin and 1 south of London due to existing in each direction between KY 21 and US 25

Dynamic Message Sign	\$8.8
TOTAL TSMO	\$8.8



#### Cost Estimation Detail - Corridor 3C

(Traditional Solutions)

#### **Corridor Information**

Route	I-75		
From	KY 876 in Richmond		
То	Man O War Blvd		
Highway District	7		

#### Characteristics

Functional Class	Interstate
Lanes	6
Median	Divided
Posted Speed	70 mph

#### Widening

wideling								
Proposed Concept	Unit Cost Mileage	Project Cost (\$M)						
Froposed Concept	Offit Cost	ivilleage	D	R	U	С	Total	
Urban Freeway, Added Lanes (inner side)	Per Mile							
Urban Freeway, Added Lanes (outer side)	Per Mile							
Rural Freeway, Added Lanes (inner side)	Per Mile							
Rural Freeway, Added Lanes (outer side)	Per Mile							
New Collector-Distributor Road (mainly for urban)	Per Mile Per Lane							

Note

#### Upgrade

Proposed Concept	Huit Cook N	Mileses	Project Cost (\$M)				
Proposed Concept	Unit Cost	Mileage	D	R	U	С	Total
Parkway Upgrade to Freeway with Pavement Reconstruction	Per Mile						
Parkway Upgrade to Freeway with Pavement Rehab	Per Mile						
Increase Shoulder Width	Per Mile						

Note	

# Interchange / Grade Separation

Proposed Concept	Unit Cost	Interchange /	nge / Project Cost (\$M)				
Froposed Concept	Offit Cost	Mileage	D	R	U	С	Total
New Service Interchange - Rural	Per Interchange						
New Service Interchange - Urban	Per Interchange						
Interchange Modification - Rural	Per Interchange						
Interchange Modification - Urban	Per Interchange	2	\$12.5	\$4.6	\$2.5	\$120.0	\$139.6
Add Auxiliary Lane - Rural	Per Mile Per Lane						
Add Auxiliary Lane - Urban	Per Mile Per Lane						
Interchange single ramp improvement - Rural	Per Mile Per Lane						
Interchange single ramp improvement - Urban	Per Mile Per Lane	0.61	\$0.5	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$4.7	\$5.2

Note		
I-75/KY	876 interchange & I-75/US 25 interchange	
I-75 SB War	off-ramp to KY 418 & I-75 SB off-ramp to Man O	

#### **Major Structure**

Proposed Concept	Unit Cost Deck Area	Project Cost (\$M)					
Proposed Concept	Offit Cost	(sq ft)	D	R	U	С	Total
Bridge - Replacement	Per Square Ft (Deck Area)						
Bridge - Rehab	Per Square Ft (Deck Area)						

Note

# Cost Summary - Traditional Solutions (\$M)

Design	\$13.0
ROW	\$4.6
Utility	\$2.6
Construction	\$124.7
TOTAL	\$144.8

- 1. The cost estimation may not include additional costs to address the potential impacts of major utilities (e.g., gas line, major water supplier, transmission line) within the proximity of the corridor, due to the lack of data when the report was prepared. Further investigation is recommended in future studies.
- 2. Cost estimation was based on 2021 dollars. There is a 1-3% inflation rate. Estimated cost could vary -50% to +250% of the actual number (as a rule of thumb).
- ${\bf 3.}\ Major\ structure\ costs\ only\ include\ bridges\ that\ are\ within\ the\ corridor\ sections\ for\ proposed\ widening\ improvements.$
- 4. Cost estimation does not account for KYTC's existing and committed (E+C) projects.
- 5. Shoulder widening is not included in the cost estimation, as it is a relatively minor cost.
- 6. If multiple improvement concepts are recommended for the corridor, only the cost of the larger-scale improvement concept is estimated.



# Cost Estimation Detail - Corridor 3C

(TSMO Solutions)

# Corridor Information

# Route I-75 From KY 876 in Richmond To Man O War Blvd Highway District 7

### Characteristics

Functional Class	Interstate
Lanes	6
Median	Divided
Posted Speed	70 mph

#### Ramp Metering

Kamp Wetering					
Proposed Strategies	Entrance Ramp	Cost (\$M)			
Traffic responsive centralized					
Traffic responsive non-centralized					
Stand alone					

Note	

#### Managed Lanes

Proposed Strategies	Mileage	Cost (\$M)
Express Toll Lanes		
HOT Lanes		
HOV Lanes		
Part-time Shoulder Use (GP)		
Part-time Shoulder Use (Transit)		

Note	

#### Interchange Ramp Improvements

Proposed Strategies	Location	Cost (\$M)
Increase Acceleration Lane Length		
Increase Deceleration Lane Length		

Note	

#### Freight Strategies and Systems

Proposed Strategies	Mileage	Cost (\$M)	
Truck Only Lanes			
Climbing Lanes			

	Note	

# Other Strategies

Proposed Strategies	Mileage	Cost (\$M)
Travel Demand Management		
Dynamic Lane Use		

	Note	

# Strategies That Could Apply Everywhere

Proposed Strategies	Mileage/Location	Cost (\$M)
Road Weather Management		
Work Zone Management		
Variable Speed Limits		
Queue Warning		
Comparative Travel Times		
Dynamic Message Sign	8	\$3.2
En-Route Traveler Information		
Truck Parking Information System		
Elongated Pavement Markings		
Improved Signage		

	Note
after o	n of KY 876, 1 south of Man o War, 1 before and ther 4 interchanges in between, excluding the two g between US 25 Richmond and KY 627.

Dynamic Message Sign	\$3.2
TOTAL TSMO	\$3.2



# **Cost Estimation Detail - Corridor 3E**

(Traditional Solutions)

#### **Corridor Information**

Route	I-75
From	I-64/I-75 Southern Split
То	I-64/I-75 Northern Split
Highway District	7

#### Characteristics

Functional Class	Interstate
Lanes	6
Median	Divided
Posted Speed	70 mph

#### Widening

Triucining							
Proposed Concept	Unit Cost	Mileage		Pro	ject Cost (	\$M)	
Froposeu Concept	Offit Cost	ivilleage	D	R	5	С	Total
Urban Freeway, Added Lanes (inner side)	Per Mile						
Urban Freeway, Added Lanes (outer side)	Per Mile						
Rural Freeway, Added Lanes (inner side)	Per Mile						
Rural Freeway, Added Lanes (outer side)	Per Mile						
New Collector-Distributor Road (mainly for urban)	Per Mile Per Lane						

Note

#### Upgrade

Proposed Concept	Huit Cart Millians			Pro	ject Cost (S	\$M)	
Proposed Concept	Unit Cost Mileage	D	R	U	С	Total	
Parkway Upgrade to Freeway with Pavement Reconstruction	Per Mile						
Parkway Upgrade to Freeway with Pavement Rehab	Per Mile						
Increase Shoulder Width	Per Mile						

Note	

# Interchange / Grade Separation

Proposed Concept	Unit Cost	Interchange /	Project Cost (\$M)				
Proposed Concept	Unit Cost	Mileage	D	R	U	С	Total
New Service Interchange - Rural	Per Interchange						
New Service Interchange - Urban	Per Interchange						
Interchange Modification - Rural	Per Interchange						
Interchange Modification - Urban	Per Interchange						
Add Auxiliary Lane - Rural	Per Mile Per Lane						
Add Auxiliary Lane - Urban	Per Mile Per Lane						
Interchange single ramp improvement - Rural	Per Mile Per Lane						
Interchange single ramp improvement - Urban	Per Mile Per Lane						

Note

#### **Major Structure**

Proposed Concept	Unit Cost	Deck Area	Deck Area Project Cost (\$M)				
Proposed Concept	Unit Cost	(sq ft)	D	R	U	С	Total
Bridge - Replacement	Per Square Ft (Deck Area)						
Bridge - Rehab	Per Square Ft (Deck Area)						

Note	

# Cost Summary - Traditional Solutions (\$M)

Design	\$0.0
ROW	\$0.0
Utility	\$0.0
Construction	\$0.0
TOTAL	\$0.0

- 1. The cost estimation may not include additional costs to address the potential impacts of major utilities (e.g., gas line, major water supplier, transmission line) within the proximity of the corridor, due to the lack of data when the report was prepared. Further investigation is recommended in future studies.
- 2. Cost estimation was based on 2021 dollars. There is a 1-3% inflation rate. Estimated cost could vary -50% to +250% of the actual number (as a rule of thumb).
- ${\bf 3.}\ Major\ structure\ costs\ only\ include\ bridges\ that\ are\ within\ the\ corridor\ sections\ for\ proposed\ widening\ improvements.$
- 4. Cost estimation does not account for KYTC's existing and committed (E+C) projects.
- 5. Shoulder widening is not included in the cost estimation, as it is a relatively minor cost.
- 6. If multiple improvement concepts are recommended for the corridor, only the cost of the larger-scale improvement concept is estimated.



### Cost Estimation Detail - Corridor 3E

(TSMO Solutions)

Corridor Information

Route	I-75
From	I-64/I-75 Southern Split
То	I-64/I-75 Northern Split
Highway District	7

Characteristics

Functional Class	Interstate
Lanes	6
Median	Divided
Posted Speed	70 mph

Ramp Metering						
Proposed Strategies	Entrance Ramp	Cost (\$M)				
Traffic responsive centralized	5	\$1.3				
Traffic responsive non-centralized						
Stand alone						

Ramp meters at 5 entrance ramps for all non-system

Express Toll Lanes HOT Lanes Part-time Shoulder Use (GP) Part-time Shoulder Use (Transit)

Interchange Ramp Improvements

Proposed Strategies	Location	Cost (\$M)		
Increase Acceleration Lane Length	5	\$3.0		
Increase Deceleration Lane Length	4	\$1.8		

ncrease acceleration lane lengths for safety concerns (5 ncrease deceleration lane lengths for safety concerns (

Freight Strategies and Systems Truck Only Lanes Climbing Lanes

Other Strategies

Proposed Strategies	Mileage	Cost (\$M)
Travel Demand Management		
Dynamic Lane Use		

Note	

Strategies That Could Apply Everywhere

Proposed Strategies	Mileage/Location	Cost (\$M)
Road Weather Management	,	
Work Zone Management		
Variable Speed Limits		
Queue Warning		
Comparative Travel Times		
Dynamic Message Sign		
En-Route Traveler Information		
Truck Parking Information System		
Elongated Pavement Markings	40	\$0.6
Improved Signage	8	\$1.6

	Note
direction, 4 lanes split (SB direction	per lane at 5 locations in each each direction. (1 at 75/64 northern ), before/after both internal t 75/64 southern split (nb direction))
Same locations a	s elongated pavement markings beside

Traffic responsive centralized	\$1.3
Increase Acceleration Lane Length	\$3.0
Increase Deceleration Lane Length	\$1.8
Elongated Pavement Markings	\$0.6
Improved Signage	\$1.6
TOTAL TSMO	\$8.3

Groups of shields per lane at 5 locations in each direction, 4 lanes each direction. (1 at 75/64 northern split (SB direction), before/after both internal interchanges, 1 at 75/64 southern split (nb direction))
Same locations as elongated pavement markings besides on the 64/75 split ramps (4 locations , 2 directions, 8 total truss signs)



# **Cost Estimation Detail - Corridor 3F**

(Traditional Solutions)

#### Corridor Information

Route	I-75		
From	I-64/I-75 Northern Split		
То	I-71		
Highway District	6, 7		

#### Characteristics

Functional Class Interstate		
Lanes	6	
Median	Divided	
Posted Speed	70 mph	

#### Widening

Proposed Concept Unit Cost Mile	Mileage		Project Cost (\$M)				
Proposed Concept	Unit Cost	ivilleage	D	R	U	С	Total
Urban Freeway, Added Lanes (inner side)	Per Mile						
Urban Freeway, Added Lanes (outer side)	Per Mile						
Rural Freeway, Added Lanes (inner side)	Per Mile						
Rural Freeway, Added Lanes (outer side)	Per Mile						
New Collector-Distributor Road (mainly for urban)	Per Mile Per Lane						

Note	

#### Upgrade

Daniel Constant	Harita Carata	Unit Cost Mileage	Project Cost (\$M)				
Proposed Concept	Unit Cost		D	R	U	С	Total
Parkway Upgrade to Freeway with Pavement Reconstruction	Per Mile						
Parkway Upgrade to Freeway with Pavement Rehab	Per Mile						
Increase Shoulder Width	Per Mile						

Note

# Interchange / Grade Separation

Proposed Concept	Unit Cost	Interchange /		Pro	ject Cost (\$	\$M)	
Froposed Concept	Offic Cost	Mileage	D	R	U	С	Total
New Service Interchange - Rural	Per Interchange	1	\$2.1	\$1.2	\$1.5	\$26.0	\$30.9
New Service Interchange - Urban	Per Interchange						
Interchange Modification - Rural	Per Interchange						
Interchange Modification - Urban	Per Interchange	1	\$6.2	\$2.3	\$1.3	\$60.0	\$69.8
Add Auxiliary Lane - Rural	Per Mile Per Lane						
Add Auxiliary Lane - Urban	Per Mile Per Lane	1.7	\$1.4	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$13.1	\$14.6
Interchange single ramp improvement - Rural	Per Mile Per Lane	0.2	\$0.1	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$0.9	\$1.1
Interchange single ramp improvement - Urban	Per Mile Per Lane	2.2	\$1.9	\$0.0	\$0.1	\$17.0	\$18.9

Note
New interchange at KY 14 south of KY 16
I-75/KY 16 interchange
continuous aux lanes on both directions between KY 16 and I-71
I-75/KY 620 interchange NB off-ramp
I-64/I-75 north split interchange SB to EB & WB to NB ramps

# Major Structure

Proposed Concept	Unit Cost	Deck Area	Project Cost (\$M)				
Froposed Concept	Offit Cost	(sq ft)	D	R	5	C	Total
Bridge - Replacement	Per Square Ft (Deck Area)						
Bridge - Rehab	Per Square Ft (Deck Area)	10,230	\$0.8	\$0.1	\$0.0	\$1.7	\$2.6

Note
1 bridge on I-64/I-75 north split interchange SB to EB
ramp

# Cost Summary - Traditional Solutions (\$M)

Design	\$12.5
ROW	\$3.6
Utility	\$3.0
Construction	\$118.8
TOTAL	\$137.8

- 1. The cost estimation may not include additional costs to address the potential impacts of major utilities (e.g., gas line, major water supplier, transmission line) within the proximity of the corridor, due to the lack of data when the report was prepared. Further investigation is recommended in future studies.
- 2. Cost estimation was based on 2021 dollars. There is a 1-3% inflation rate. Estimated cost could vary -50% to +250% of the actual number (as a rule of thumb).
- 3. Major structure costs only include bridges that are within the corridor sections for proposed widening improvements.
- 4. Cost estimation does not account for KYTC's existing and committed (E+C) projects.
- $5. \ Shoulder \ widening \ is \ not \ included \ in \ the \ cost \ estimation, \ as \ it \ is \ a \ relatively \ minor \ cost.$
- 6. If multiple improvement concepts are recommended for the corridor, only the cost of the larger-scale improvement concept is estimated.



# Cost Estimation Detail - Corridor 3F

(TSMO Solutions)

# Corridor Information

Route	I-75
From	I-64/I-75 Northern Split
То	I-71
Highway District	6, 7

# Characteristics

Functional Class	Interstate
Lanes	6
Median	Divided
Posted Speed	70 mph

#### Ramp Meterin

namp wetering				
Proposed Strategies	Entrance Ramp	Cost (\$M)		
Traffic responsive centralized				
Traffic responsive non-centralized				
Stand alone				

Note

#### Managed Lanes

Proposed Strategies	Mileage	Cost (\$M)
Express Toll Lanes		
HOT Lanes		
HOV Lanes		
Part-time Shoulder Use (GP)		
Part-time Shoulder Use (Transit)		

	Note
1	

#### Interchange Ramp Improvements

Proposed Strategies	Location	Cost (\$M)
Increase Acceleration Lane Length		
Increase Deceleration Lane Length		

Note	

#### Freight Strategies and Systems

Proposed Strategies	Mileage	Cost (\$M)			
Truck Only Lanes					
Climbing Lanes					

Note

# Other Strategies

Proposed Strategies	Mileage	Cost (\$M)
Travel Demand Management		
Dynamic Lane Use		

Note

# Strategies That Could Apply Everywhere

Proposed Strategies	Mileage/Location	Cost (\$M)
Road Weather Management		
Work Zone Management		
Variable Speed Limits		
Queue Warning		
Comparative Travel Times		
Dynamic Message Sign	19	\$7.6
En-Route Traveler Information		
Truck Parking Information System		
Elongated Pavement Markings		
Improved Signage		

	Note		
10 interd	hanges in be	outh of I-71, 1 be tween, excluding e proximity.	

Dynamic Message Sign	\$7.6
TOTAL TSMO	\$7.6



# **Cost Estimation Detail - Corridor 3H**

(Traditional Solutions)

#### Corridor Information

Route	I-75		
From	KY 536 in Boone County		
То	I-275		
Highway District	6		

#### Characteristics

Functional Class	Interstate	
Lanes	8	
Median	Divided	
Posted Speed	65 - 70 mph	

#### Widening

Proposed Concept	Unit Cost	Mileage	Project Cost (\$M)				
Froposed Concept	Offit Cost	ivilleage	D	R	5	С	Total
Urban Freeway, Added Lanes (inner side)	Per Mile						
Urban Freeway, Added Lanes (outer side)	Per Mile						
Rural Freeway, Added Lanes (inner side)	Per Mile						
Rural Freeway, Added Lanes (outer side)	Per Mile						
New Collector-Distributor Road (mainly for urban)	Per Mile Per Lane						

Note

#### Upgrade

Proposed Concept	Unit Cost	Mileage		Pro	ject Cost (\$	M)	
Proposed Concept	Unit Cost	ivilleage	D	R	U	С	Total
Parkway Upgrade to Freeway with Pavement Reconstruction	Per Mile						
Parkway Upgrade to Freeway with Pavement Rehab	Per Mile						
Increase Shoulder Width	Per Mile						

Note	

# Interchange / Grade Separation

Proposed Concept	Unit Cost	Interchange /		Pro	ject Cost (	M)	
Froposed Concept	Offit Cost	Mileage	D	R	U	С	Total
New Service Interchange - Rural	Per Interchange						
New Service Interchange - Urban	Per Interchange						
Interchange Modification - Rural	Per Interchange						
Interchange Modification - Urban	Per Interchange						
Add Auxiliary Lane - Rural	Per Mile Per Lane						
Add Auxiliary Lane - Urban	Per Mile Per Lane						
Interchange single ramp improvement - Rural	Per Mile Per Lane						
Interchange single ramp improvement - Urban	Per Mile Per Lane						

Note	2

#### **Major Structure**

Proposed Concept	Unit Cost	Deck Area		Pro	oject Cost (	M)	
Proposeu Concept	Offit Cost	(sq ft)	D	R	U	U	Total
Bridge - Replacement	Per Square Ft (Deck Area)						
Bridge - Rehab	Per Square Ft (Deck Area)						

Note

# Cost Summary - Traditional Solutions (\$M)

, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
Design	\$0.0
ROW	\$0.0
Utility	\$0.0
Construction	\$0.0
TOTAL	\$0.0

- 1. The cost estimation may not include additional costs to address the potential impacts of major utilities (e.g., gas line, major water supplier, transmission line) within the proximity of the corridor, due to the lack of data when the report was prepared. Further investigation is recommended in future studies.
- 2. Cost estimation was based on 2021 dollars. There is a 1-3% inflation rate. Estimated cost could vary -50% to +250% of the actual number (as a rule of thumb).
- $3.\ Major\ structure\ costs\ only\ include\ bridges\ that\ are\ within\ the\ corridor\ sections\ for\ proposed\ widening\ improvements.$
- 4. Cost estimation does not account for KYTC's existing and committed (E+C) projects.
- 5. Shoulder widening is not included in the cost estimation, as it is a relatively minor cost.
- 6. If multiple improvement concepts are recommended for the corridor, only the cost of the larger-scale improvement concept is estimated.



# Cost Estimation Detail - Corridor 3H (TSMO Solutions)

Corridor Information

Corridor	information
Route	I-75
From	KY 536 in Boone County
То	I-275
Highway District	6

Characteristics	
Functional Class	Interstate
Lanes	8
Median	Divided
Posted Speed	65 - 70 mph

Ramı	Metering	
Proposed Strategies	Entrance Ramp	Cost (\$M)
Traffic responsive centralized	11	\$2.8
Traffic responsive non-centralized		
Stand alone		

Ramp Motoring at	Note entrance ramp for all non-system
	entrance ramp for all non-system
interchanges	

Managed Lanes			
Proposed Strategies	Mileage	Cost (\$M)	
Express Toll Lanes			
HOT Lanes			
HOV Lanes			
Part-time Shoulder Use (GP)			
Part-time Shoulder Use (Transit)			

Note	

Interchange Ramp Improvements		
Proposed Strategies	Location	Cost (\$M)
Increase Acceleration Lane Length		
Increase Deceleration Lane Length		

Note	

Freight Strategies and Systems				
Proposed Strategies	Mileage	Cost (\$M)		
Truck Only Lanes				
Climbing Lanes				

Note

Other Strategies		
Proposed Strategies	Mileage	Cost (\$M)
Travel Demand Management		
Dynamic Lane Use		

	Note	

Strategies That Could Apply Everywhere			
Proposed Strategies	Mileage/Location	Cost (\$M)	
Road Weather Management			
Work Zone Management			
Variable Speed Limits			
Queue Warning	6.5	\$3.3	
Comparative Travel Times			
Dynamic Message Sign			
En-Route Traveler Information			
Truck Parking Information System			
Elongated Pavement Markings	64	\$1.0	
Improved Signage	8	\$1.6	

	Note
Due t	o the 6.5 mile corridor length, queue warning
shoul	d be placed throughout the corridor
C	- Calculation of the contract
	o of shields per lane at 8 locations in each direction een each interchange (5 interchanges and exclude
	fall half interchange). 4 lanes on each direction.
Same	8 locations as the Elongated Pavement Markings
on ho	th directions

Traffic responsive centralized	\$2.8
Queue Warning	\$3.3
Elongated Pavement Markings	\$1.0
Improved Signage	\$1.6
TOTAL TSMO	\$8.6



#### **Cost Estimation Detail - Corridor 4A**

(Traditional Solutions)

#### **Corridor Information**

Route	I-71
From	I-64
То	I-264
Highway District	5

#### Characteristics

Functional Class	Interstate		
Lanes	4		
Median	Divided		
Posted Speed	55 mph		

#### Widening

Proposed Concept Unit Cost	Mileage	Project Cost (\$M)					
Proposed Concept	Unit Cost	ivilleage	D	R	U	С	Total
Urban Freeway, Added Lanes (inner side)	Per Mile						
Urban Freeway, Added Lanes (outer side)	Per Mile						
Rural Freeway, Added Lanes (inner side)	Per Mile						
Rural Freeway, Added Lanes (outer side)	Per Mile						
New Collector-Distributor Road (mainly for urban)	Per Mile Per Lane						

Note	

#### Upgrade

Proposed Concept Unit (	Unit Cost	Mileage	Project Cost (\$M)				
Proposed Concept	Unit Cost		D	R	U	С	Total
Parkway Upgrade to Freeway with Pavement Reconstruction	Per Mile						
Parkway Upgrade to Freeway with Pavement Rehab	Per Mile						
Increase Shoulder Width	Per Mile						

Note	

# Interchange / Grade Separation

Proposed Concept Unit Cost		Interchange /	nterchange / Project Cost (\$M)				
Froposed Concept	Offit Cost	Mileage	D	R	U	С	Total
New Service Interchange - Rural	Per Interchange						
New Service Interchange - Urban	Per Interchange						
Interchange Modification - Rural	Per Interchange						
Interchange Modification - Urban	Per Interchange						
Add Auxiliary Lane - Rural	Per Mile Per Lane						
Add Auxiliary Lane - Urban	Per Mile Per Lane						
Interchange single ramp improvement - Rural	Per Mile Per Lane						
Interchange single ramp improvement - Urban	Per Mile Per Lane	0.7	\$0.6	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$5.6	\$6.3

Note
I-71 WB to I-64 WB ramp; I-71 EB off-ramp to Zorn Ave

#### **Major Structure**

Proposed Concept Unit Cost	Deck Area	Project Cost (\$M)					
Proposed Concept	Unit Cost	(sq ft)	D	R	U	С	Total
Bridge - Replacement	Per Square Ft (Deck Area)						
Bridge - Rehab	Per Square Ft (Deck Area)						

Note

# Cost Summary - Traditional Solutions (\$M)

Design	\$0.6
ROW	\$0.0
Utility	\$0.0
Construction	\$5.6
TOTAL	\$6.3

- 1. The cost estimation may not include additional costs to address the potential impacts of major utilities (e.g., gas line, major water supplier, transmission line) within the proximity of the corridor, due to the lack of data when the report was prepared. Further investigation is recommended in future studies.
- 2. Cost estimation was based on 2021 dollars. There is a 1-3% inflation rate. Estimated cost could vary -50% to +250% of the actual number (as a rule of thumb).
- ${\bf 3.\ Major\ structure\ costs\ only\ include\ bridges\ that\ are\ within\ the\ corridor\ sections\ for\ proposed\ widening\ improvements.}$
- 4. Cost estimation does not account for KYTC's existing and committed (E+C) projects.
- 5. Shoulder widening is not included in the cost estimation, as it is a relatively minor cost.
- 6. If multiple improvement concepts are recommended for the corridor, only the cost of the larger-scale improvement concept is estimated.



# Cost Estimation Detail - Corridor 4A

(TSMO Solutions)

#### **Corridor Information**

Route	I-71
From	I-64
То	I-264
Highway District	5

#### Characteristics

Functional Class	Interstate
Lanes	4
Median	Divided
Posted Speed	55 mph

#### Ramp Metering

Rump Metering		
Proposed Strategies	Entrance Ramp	Cost (\$M)
Traffic responsive centralized	2	\$0.5
Traffic responsive non-centralized		
Stand alone		

Note	
Ramp metering at the Zorn Ave interchange only	

Managed Lanes

Proposed Strategies	Mileage	Cost (\$M)
Express Toll Lanes		
HOT Lanes		
HOV Lanes		
Part-time Shoulder Use (GP)	5	\$2.5
Part-time Shoulder Use (Transit)		

Note	
5 miles of part time shoulder use	
5 miles of part time shoulder use	

Interchange Ramp Improvements

Proposed Strategies	Location	Cost (\$M)
Increase Acceleration Lane Length		
Increase Deceleration Lane Length		

Note	

Freight Strategies and Systems

Proposed Strategies	Mileage	Cost (\$M)
Truck Only Lanes		
Climbing Lanes		

	Note	

Other Strategies

Proposed Strategies	Mileage	Cost (\$M)
Travel Demand Management		
Dynamic Lane Use		

Note	

Strategies That Could Apply Everywhere

Proposed Strategies	Mileage/Location	Cost (\$M)
Road Weather Management		
Work Zone Management		
Variable Speed Limits		
Queue Warning		
Comparative Travel Times		
Dynamic Message Sign	4	\$1.6
En-Route Traveler Information		
Truck Parking Information System		
Elongated Pavement Markings		
Improved Signage		

	Note
<u>-</u>	_
and one in	4 interchange, 1 west of I-264 interchange each direction near the Blankenbaker Lane ting 1 on each side of the Zorn interchange

Traffic responsive centralized	\$0.5
Part-time Shoulder Use (GP)	\$2.5
Dynamic Message Sign	\$1.6
TOTAL TSMO	\$4.6



#### **Cost Estimation Detail - Corridor 4B**

(Traditional Solutions)

#### Corridor Information

Route	I-71
From	I-264
То	I-265
Highway District	5

#### Characteristics

Functional Class	Interstate
Lanes	4
Median	Divided
Posted Speed	65 mph

#### Widening

Proposed Concept	Unit Cost	Mileage	Project Cost (\$M)				
Froposed Concept		ivilleage	D	R	U	С	Total
Urban Freeway, Added Lanes (inner side)	Per Mile						
Urban Freeway, Added Lanes (outer side)	Per Mile						
Rural Freeway, Added Lanes (inner side)	Per Mile						
Rural Freeway, Added Lanes (outer side)	Per Mile						
New Collector-Distributor Road (mainly for urban)	Per Mile Per Lane						

Note

#### Upgrade

Drawaged Concept	Unit Cost	Cost Mileage	Project Cost (\$M)				
Proposed Concept			D	R	U	С	Total
Parkway Upgrade to Freeway with Pavement Reconstruction	Per Mile						
Parkway Upgrade to Freeway with Pavement Rehab	Per Mile						
Increase Shoulder Width	Per Mile						

Note

# Interchange / Grade Separation

Branacad Cancant	Proposed Concept Unit Cost			Project Cost (\$M)			
Froposed Concept	Offit Cost	Mileage	D	R	U	С	Total
New Service Interchange - Rural	Per Interchange						
New Service Interchange - Urban	Per Interchange						
Interchange Modification - Rural	Per Interchange						
Interchange Modification - Urban	Per Interchange	1	\$6.2	\$2.3	\$1.3	\$60.0	\$69.8
Add Auxiliary Lane - Rural	Per Mile Per Lane						
Add Auxiliary Lane - Urban	Per Mile Per Lane						
Interchange single ramp improvement - Rural	Per Mile Per Lane						
Interchange single ramp improvement - Urban	Per Mile Per Lane						

Note
I-71/I-265 system interchange

#### **Major Structure**

		-					
Proposed Concept	Unit Cost	Deck Area	Project Cost (\$M)				
Floposeu Concept	Offit Cost	(sq ft)	D	R	U	С	Total
Deides Dealessant	Per Square Ft						
Bridge - Replacement	(Deck Area)						
2.11 . 2.1.1	Per Square Ft						
Bridge - Rehab	(Deck Area)						

Note

# Cost Summary - Traditional Solutions (\$M)

Design	\$6.2
ROW	\$2.3
Utility	\$1.3
Construction	\$60.0
TOTAL	\$69.8

- 1. The cost estimation may not include additional costs to address the potential impacts of major utilities (e.g., gas line, major water supplier, transmission line) within the proximity of the corridor, due to the lack of data when the report was prepared. Further investigation is recommended in future studies.
- 2. Cost estimation was based on 2021 dollars. There is a 1-3% inflation rate. Estimated cost could vary -50% to +250% of the actual number (as a rule of thumb).
- $3.\ Major\ structure\ costs\ only\ include\ bridges\ that\ are\ within\ the\ corridor\ sections\ for\ proposed\ widening\ improvements.$
- 4. Cost estimation does not account for KYTC's existing and committed (E+C) projects.
- 5. Shoulder widening is not included in the cost estimation, as it is a relatively minor cost.
- 6. If multiple improvement concepts are recommended for the corridor, only the cost of the larger-scale improvement concept is estimated.



# **Cost Estimation Detail - Corridor 4B**

(TSMO Solutions)

### **Corridor Information**

Route	I-71
From	I-264
То	I-265
Highway District	5

# Characteristics

Functional Class	Interstate
Lanes	4
Median	Divided
Posted Speed	65 mph

#### Ramp Metering

namp wetering			
Proposed Strategies	Entrance Ramp	Cost (\$M)	
Traffic responsive centralized			
Traffic responsive non-centralized			
Stand alone			

Note		
-	·	

#### Managed Lane

Proposed Strategies	Mileage	Cost (\$M)
Express Toll Lanes		
HOT Lanes		
HOV Lanes		
Part-time Shoulder Use (GP)	4	\$2.0
Part-time Shoulder Use (Transit)		

Note	
4 miles of part time shoulder use	

#### Interchange Ramp Improvements

Proposed Strategies	Location	Cost (\$M)
Increase Acceleration Lane Length		
Increase Deceleration Lane Length		

	Note
Γ	
L	
ı	
ı	

#### Freight Strategies and Systems

rreight strategies and systems		
Proposed Strategies	Mileage	Cost (\$M)
Truck Only Lanes		
Climbing Lanes		

Note

#### Other Strategie

Other Strategies		
Proposed Strategies	Mileage	Cost (\$M)
Travel Demand Management		
Dynamic Lane Use		

Note	

# Strategies That Could Apply Everywhere

Proposed Strategies	Mileage/Location	Cost (\$M)
Road Weather Management		
Work Zone Management		
Variable Speed Limits		
Queue Warning		
Comparative Travel Times		
Dynamic Message Sign	2	\$0.8
En-Route Traveler Information		
Truck Parking Information System		
Elongated Pavement Markings		
Improved Signage		

Note
One in each direction near the US 45 bridge. Two
existing near I-265.

Part-time Shoulder Use (GP)	\$2.0
Dynamic Message Sign	\$0.8
TOTAL TSMO	\$2.8



#### Cost Estimation Detail - Corridor 4C

(Traditional Solutions)

#### **Corridor Information**

Route	I-71			
From	I-265			
То	KY 53 in La Grange			
Highway District	5			

#### Characteristics

Functional Class	Interstate		
Lanes	4		
Median	Divided		
Posted Speed	70 mph		

#### Widening

Proposed Concept	Unit Cost	Mileage	Project Cost (\$M)				
Froposed Concept	Offit Cost	ivilleage	D	R	U	С	Total
Urban Freeway, Added Lanes (inner side)	Per Mile						
Urban Freeway, Added Lanes (outer side)	Per Mile						
Rural Freeway, Added Lanes (inner side)	Per Mile						
Rural Freeway, Added Lanes (outer side)	Per Mile						
New Collector-Distributor Road (mainly for urban)	Per Mile Per Lane						

Note	

#### Upgrade

-19							
Burney d Courses	Unit Cost	0.021	Project Cost (\$M)				
Proposed Concept	Unit Cost	Mileage	D	R	U	С	Total
Parkway Upgrade to Freeway with Pavement Reconstruction	Per Mile						
Parkway Upgrade to Freeway with Pavement Rehab	Per Mile						
Increase Shoulder Width	Per Mile						

Note	

# Interchange / Grade Separation

Proposed Concept Unit Cost		Interchange /		Project Cost (\$M)			
Proposed Concept	Offit Cost	Mileage	D	R	U	С	Total
New Service Interchange - Rural	Per Interchange						
New Service Interchange - Urban	Per Interchange						
Interchange Modification - Rural	Per Interchange	1	\$0.3	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$2.5	\$2.8
Interchange Modification - Urban	Per Interchange	1	\$6.2	\$2.3	\$1.3	\$60.0	\$69.8
Add Auxiliary Lane - Rural	Per Mile Per Lane						
Add Auxiliary Lane - Urban	Per Mile Per Lane						
Interchange single ramp improvement - Rural	Per Mile Per Lane						
Interchange single ramp improvement - Urban	Per Mile Per Lane						

Note				
I-71/KY 393 interchange				
I-71/I-265 system interchange				

#### **Major Structure**

Proposed Concept Unit Cos	Unit Cost	Deck Area	Project Cost (\$M)				
	Offit Cost	(sq ft)	D	R	U	C	Total
Bridge - Replacement	Per Square Ft (Deck Area)						
Bridge - Rehab	Per Square Ft (Deck Area)						

Note

# Cost Summary - Traditional Solutions (\$M)

, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
Design	\$6.5	
ROW	\$2.3	
Utility	\$1.3	
Construction	\$62.5	
TOTAL	\$72.6	

- 1. The cost estimation may not include additional costs to address the potential impacts of major utilities (e.g., gas line, major water supplier, transmission line) within the proximity of the corridor, due to the lack of data when the report was prepared. Further investigation is recommended in future studies.
- 2. Cost estimation was based on 2021 dollars. There is a 1-3% inflation rate. Estimated cost could vary -50% to +250% of the actual number (as a rule of thumb).
- ${\bf 3.}\ Major\ structure\ costs\ only\ include\ bridges\ that\ are\ within\ the\ corridor\ sections\ for\ proposed\ widening\ improvements.$
- 4. Cost estimation does not account for KYTC's existing and committed (E+C) projects.
- 5. Shoulder widening is not included in the cost estimation, as it is a relatively minor cost.
- 6. If multiple improvement concepts are recommended for the corridor, only the cost of the larger-scale improvement concept is estimated.



# Cost Estimation Detail - Corridor 4C

(TSMO Solutions)

#### **Corridor Information**

Route	I-71		
From	I-265		
То	KY 53 in La Grange		
Highway District 5			

#### Characteristics

Functional Class	Interstate	
Lanes	4	
Median	Divided	
Posted Speed	70 mph	

#### Ramp Metering

tunp metering			
Proposed Strategies	Entrance Ramp	Cost (\$M)	
Traffic responsive centralized			
Traffic responsive non-centralized			
Stand alone			

Note

### Managed Lanes

managea zanes		
Proposed Strategies	Mileage	Cost (\$M)
Express Toll Lanes		
HOT Lanes		
HOV Lanes		
Part-time Shoulder Use (GP)		
Part-time Shoulder Use (Transit)		

Note	

#### Interchange Ramp Improvements

interchange ramp improvements		
Proposed Strategies	Location	Cost (\$M)
Increase Acceleration Lane Length	8	\$4.8
Increase Deceleration Lane Length	8	\$3.6

Not	е
2 ramps per interchange, at a	Il 4 interchanges
2 ramps per interchange, at a	II 4 interchanges

### Freight Strategies and Systems

Proposed Strategies	Mileage	Cost (\$M)
Truck Only Lanes		
Climbing Lanes		

Note	

#### Other Strategie

Other Strategies						
Proposed Strategies	Mileage	Cost (\$M)				
Travel Demand Management						
Dynamic Lane Use						

Note	

# Strategies That Could Apply Everywhere

Proposed Strategies	Mileage/Location	Cost (\$M)
Road Weather Management		
Work Zone Management		
Variable Speed Limits		
Queue Warning		
Comparative Travel Times		
Dynamic Message Sign	5	\$2.0
En-Route Traveler Information		
Truck Parking Information System		
Elongated Pavement Markings		
Improved Signage		

	Note
KY 53 interchang	terchange is existing already, 1 west o ge, 1 on each side of the remaining 3 cluding two due to interchange
•	•

Increase Acceleration Lane Length	\$4.8
Increase Deceleration Lane Length	\$3.6
Dynamic Message Sign	\$2.0
TOTAL TSMO	\$10.4



# **Cost Estimation Detail - Corridor 4D**

(Traditional Solutions)

### **Corridor Information**

Route	I-71	
From KY 53 in La Grang		
То	I-75	
Highway District	5, 6	

### Characteristics

Functional Class	nal Class Interstate	
Lanes	4	
Median	Divided	
Posted Speed	70 mph	

#### Widening

***************************************							
Proposed Concept Unit Cost	Mileage		Project Cost (\$M)				
Proposed Concept	Offit Cost	Ivilleage	D	R	5	С	Total
Urban Freeway, Added Lanes (inner side)	Per Mile						
Urban Freeway, Added Lanes (outer side)	Per Mile						
Rural Freeway, Added Lanes (inner side)	Per Mile	6.5	\$0.8	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$92.3	\$93.2
Rural Freeway, Added Lanes (outer side)	Per Mile						
New Collector-Distributor Road (mainly for urban)	Per Mile Per Lane						

Note	
	_
Widen to 6 lanes from I-75 to Boone/ Gallatin County Line	

#### Upgrade

Proposed Concept	Unit Cost	Mileage	Mileage Project Cost (\$M				vI)	
Froposeu Concept	Offit Cost	ivilleage	D	R	U	С	Total	
Parkway Upgrade to Freeway with Pavement Reconstruction	Per Mile							
Parkway Upgrade to Freeway with Pavement Rehab	Per Mile							
Increase Shoulder Width	Per Mile							

Note	

#### Interchange / Grade Separation

Proposed Concept	Unit Cost	Interchange /	Project Cost (\$M)				
Proposed Concept	Unit Cost	Mileage	D	R	U	С	Total
New Service Interchange - Rural	Per Interchange						
New Service Interchange - Urban	Per Interchange						
Interchange Modification - Rural	Per Interchange						
Interchange Modification - Urban	Per Interchange						
Add Auxiliary Lane - Rural	Per Mile Per Lane						
Add Auxiliary Lane - Urban	Per Mile Per Lane						
Interchange single ramp improvement - Rural	Per Mile Per Lane						
Interchange single ramp improvement - Urban	Per Mile Per Lane						

Note

# Major Structure

Proposed Concept Unit Cost		Deck Area	Project Cost (\$M)				
Proposed Concept	Offit Cost	(sq ft)	D	R	U	С	Total
Bridge - Replacement	Per Square Ft (Deck Area)						
Bridge - Rehab	Per Square Ft (Deck Area)	21,268	\$1.6	\$0.1	\$0.1	\$3.5	\$5.3

Note
Only the 3 bridges within the proposed widening section

#### Cost Summary - Traditional Solutions (\$M)

Design	\$2.5
ROW	\$0.1
Utility	\$0.1
Construction	\$95.8
TOTAL	\$98.5

- 1. The cost estimation may not include additional costs to address the potential impacts of major utilities (e.g., gas line, major water supplier, transmission line) within the proximity of the corridor, due to the lack of data when the report was prepared. Further investigation is recommended in future studies.
- 2. Cost estimation was based on 2021 dollars. There is a 1-3% inflation rate. Estimated cost could vary -50% to +250% of the actual number (as a rule of thumb).
- $3.\ Major\ structure\ costs\ only\ include\ bridges\ that\ are\ within\ the\ corridor\ sections\ for\ proposed\ widening\ improvements.$
- 4. Cost estimation does not account for KYTC's existing and committed (E+C) projects.
- 5. Shoulder widening is not included in the cost estimation, as it is a relatively minor cost.
- 6. If multiple improvement concepts are recommended for the corridor, only the cost of the larger-scale improvement concept is estimated.



# **Cost Estimation Detail - Corridor 4D**

(TSMO Solutions)

### **Corridor Information**

Route	I-71
From	KY 53 in La Grange
То	I-75
Highway District	5, 6

### Characteristics

Functional Class	Interstate	
Lanes	4	
Median	Divided	
Posted Speed	70 mph	

Ramp Metering

manip metering		
Proposed Strategies	Entrance Ramp	Cost (\$M)
Traffic responsive centralized		
Traffic responsive non-centralized		
Stand alone		

Note

Managadiana

Proposed Strategies	Mileage	Cost (\$M)
Express Toll Lanes		
HOT Lanes		
HOV Lanes		
Part-time Shoulder Use (GP)		
Part-time Shoulder Use (Transit)		

Note	

Interchange Ramp Improvements

interenange namp improvements		
Proposed Strategies	Location	Cost (\$M)
Increase Acceleration Lane Length	16	\$9.6
Increase Deceleration Lane Length	16	\$7.2

N	lote
All interchange ramps	
All interchanges ramps	

Freight Strategies and Systems

Proposed Strategies	Mileage	Cost (\$M)
Truck Only Lanes		
Climbing Lanes		

Note

Other Strategies

Proposed Strategies	Mileage	Cost (\$M)
Travel Demand Management		
Dynamic Lane Use		

Note	

Strategies That Could Apply Everywher

Proposed Strategies	Mileage/Location	Cost (\$M)
Road Weather Management		
Work Zone Management		
Variable Speed Limits		
Queue Warning		
Comparative Travel Times		
Dynamic Message Sign	17	\$6.8
En-Route Traveler Information		
Truck Parking Information System		

Note
1 east of KY 53, 1 west of I-75 interchange is already
existing, 1 before and after the other 8 interchanges.

Increase Acceleration Lane Length	\$9.6
Increase Deceleration Lane Length	\$7.2
Dynamic Message Sign	\$6.8
TOTAL TSMO	\$23.6



#### **Cost Estimation Detail - Corridor 6B**

(Traditional Solutions)

#### **Corridor Information**

Route	I-65		
From	Cumberland Expressway		
То	Western KY Pkwy		
Highway District 3,4			

#### Characteristics

Functional Class	Interstate		
Lanes	6		
Median Divided			
Posted Speed	70 mph		

#### Widening

Proposed Concept	Unit Cost	Mileage	Project Cost (\$M)				
Froposed Concept	Offic Cost	ivilleage	D	R	U	С	Total
Urban Freeway, Added Lanes (inner side)	Per Mile						
Urban Freeway, Added Lanes (outer side)	Per Mile						
Rural Freeway, Added Lanes (inner side)	Per Mile						
Rural Freeway, Added Lanes (outer side)	Per Mile						
New Collector-Distributor Road (mainly for urban)	Per Mile Per Lane						

Note	

#### Upgrade

Daniel Community	Unit Cost Mileage	2011	Project Cost (\$M)				
Proposed Concept		D	R	U	С	Total	
Parkway Upgrade to Freeway with Pavement Reconstruction	Per Mile						
Parkway Upgrade to Freeway with Pavement Rehab	Per Mile						
Increase Shoulder Width	Per Mile						

Note	

### Interchange / Grade Separation

Proposed Concept	Unit Cost	Interchange /	Project Cost (\$M)				
Froposed Concept	Offic Cost	Mileage	D	R	U	С	Total
New Service Interchange - Rural	Per Interchange						
New Service Interchange - Urban	Per Interchange						
Interchange Modification - Rural	Per Interchange						
Interchange Modification - Urban	Per Interchange						
Add Auxiliary Lane - Rural	Per Mile Per Lane						
Add Auxiliary Lane - Urban	Per Mile Per Lane						
Interchange single ramp improvement - Rural	Per Mile Per Lane						
Interchange single ramp improvement - Urban	Per Mile Per Lane	0.7	\$0.6	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$5.4	\$6.0

Note	
I-65 NB on-ramp from Western KY Pkwy & SB off-ramp Western KY Pkwy	to

# Major Structure

Proposed Concept	Unit Cost	Deck Area	Project Cost (\$M)				
Proposed Concept	Unit Cost	(sq ft)	D	R	U	С	Total
Bridge - Replacement	Per Square Ft (Deck Area)						
Bridge - Rehab	Per Square Ft (Deck Area)	27,668	\$2.1	\$0.2	\$0.1	\$4.5	\$6.9

Note
2 bridges on I-65 NB on-ramp from Western KY Pkwy & SB off-ramp to Western KY Pkwy

# Cost Summary - Traditional Solutions (\$M)

Cost Sullillary - Traditional Solutions (Sivi)				
Design	\$2.7			
ROW	\$0.2			
Utility	\$0.1			
Construction	\$9.9			
TOTAL	\$12.9			

- 1. The cost estimation may not include additional costs to address the potential impacts of major utilities (e.g., gas line, major water supplier, transmission line) within the proximity of the corridor, due to the lack of data when the report was prepared. Further investigation is recommended in future studies.
- 2. Cost estimation was based on 2021 dollars. There is a 1-3% inflation rate. Estimated cost could vary -50% to +250% of the actual number (as a rule of thumb).
- $3.\ Major\ structure\ costs\ only\ include\ bridges\ that\ are\ within\ the\ corridor\ sections\ for\ proposed\ widening\ improvements.$
- 4. Cost estimation does not account for KYTC's existing and committed (E+C) projects.
- $5. \ Shoulder \ widening \ is \ not \ included \ in \ the \ cost \ estimation, \ as \ it \ is \ a \ relatively \ minor \ cost.$
- 6. If multiple improvement concepts are recommended for the corridor, only the cost of the larger-scale improvement concept is estimated.



# Cost Estimation Detail - Corridor 6B

(TSMO Solutions)

# Corridor Information

# Route I-65 From Cumberland Expressway To Western KY Pkwy Highway District 3, 4

# Characteristics

Functional Class	Interstate
Lanes	6
Median	Divided
Posted Speed	70 mph

#### Ramp Metering

Proposed Strategies	Entrance Ramp	Cost (\$M)			
Traffic responsive centralized					
Traffic responsive non-centralized					
Stand alone					

Note

#### Managed Lane

Proposed Strategies	Mileage	Cost (\$M)
Express Toll Lanes		
HOT Lanes		
HOV Lanes		
Part-time Shoulder Use (GP)		
Part-time Shoulder Use (Transit)		

N	ote

### Interchange Ramp Improvements

Proposed Strategies	Location	Cost (\$M)
Increase Acceleration Lane Length		
Increase Deceleration Lane Length		

Note	

#### Freight Strategies and Systems

Treight off degree and o yourns				
Proposed Strategies	Mileage	Cost (\$M)		
Truck Only Lanes				
Climbing Lanes				

Note

#### Other Strategie

Other Strategies				
Proposed Strategies	Mileage	Cost (\$M)		
Travel Demand Management				
Dynamic Lane Use				

Note	

# Strategies That Could Apply Everywhere

Proposed Strategies	Mileage/Location	Cost (\$M)
Road Weather Management		
Work Zone Management		
Variable Speed Limits		
Queue Warning		
Comparative Travel Times		
Dynamic Message Sign	15	\$6.0
En-Route Traveler Information		
Truck Parking Information System		
Elongated Pavement Markings		
Improved Signage		

Note
1 north of Cumberland Expy, 1 south of WKP, 1 before and after other 8 interchanges in between excluding two existing

Dynamic Message Sign	\$6.0
TOTAL TSMO	\$6.0



# Cost Estimation Detail - Corridor 6C

(Traditional Solutions)

### **Corridor Information**

Route	I-65
From	Western KY Pkwy
То	KY 44 in Shepherdsville
Highway District	4, 5

### Characteristics

Functional Class	Interstate		
Lanes	6		
Median	Divided		
Posted Speed	70 mph		

#### Widening

widening							
Proposed Concept	Unit Cost	Cost Mileage		Pro	ject Cost (	M)	
Froposeu Concept	Offit Cost	ivilleage	D	R	U	U	Total
Urban Freeway, Added Lanes (inner side)	Per Mile						
Urban Freeway, Added Lanes (outer side)	Per Mile						
Rural Freeway, Added Lanes (inner side)	Per Mile						
Rural Freeway, Added Lanes (outer side)	Per Mile						
New Collector-Distributor Road (mainly for urban)	Per Mile Per Lane						

Note	

### Upgrade

Proposed Concept	Unit Cost Mileage	Mileage	Project Cost (\$M)				
Froposed Concept		D	R	5	С	Total	
Parkway Upgrade to Freeway with Pavement Reconstruction	Per Mile						
Parkway Upgrade to Freeway with Pavement Rehab	Per Mile						
Increase Shoulder Width	Per Mile						

Note

#### Interchange / Grade Separation

Branasad Cansant	Proposed Concept Unit Cost Interchange		Project Cost (\$M)				
Proposed Concept	Offit Cost	Mileage	D	R	U	С	Total
New Service Interchange - Rural	Per Interchange						
New Service Interchange - Urban	Per Interchange						
Interchange Modification - Rural	Per Interchange						
Interchange Modification - Urban	Per Interchange						
Add Auxiliary Lane - Rural	Per Mile Per Lane						
Add Auxiliary Lane - Urban	Per Mile Per Lane						
Interchange single ramp improvement - Rural	Per Mile Per Lane	0.3	\$0.1	\$0.1	\$0.1	\$1.4	\$1.7
Interchange single ramp improvement - Urban	Per Mile Per Lane	1.3	\$1.1	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$10.1	\$11.2

Note
I-65 SB off-ramp to KY 245
I-65 NB on-ramp from Western KY Pkwy & SB off-ramp to Western KY Pkwy & I-65 SB off-ramp to KY 44

# **Major Structure**

Proposed Concept	Unit Cost	Deck Area	Project Cost (\$M)				
Froposed Concept	Offic Cost	(sq ft)	D	R	5	U	Total
Bridge - Replacement	Per Square Ft (Deck Area)						
Bridge - Rehab	Per Square Ft (Deck Area)	27,668	\$2.1	\$0.2	\$0.1	\$4.5	\$6.9

Note
2 bridges on I-65 NB on-ramp from Western KY Pkwy & SB off-ramp to Western KY Pkwy

# Cost Summary - Traditional Solutions (\$M)

Design	\$3.3
ROW	\$0.2
Utility	\$0.2
Construction	\$16.0
TOTAL	\$19.7

- 1. The cost estimation may not include additional costs to address the potential impacts of major utilities (e.g., gas line, major water supplier, transmission line) within the proximity of the corridor, due to the lack of data when the report was prepared. Further investigation is recommended in future studies.
- 2. Cost estimation was based on 2021 dollars. There is a 1-3% inflation rate. Estimated cost could vary -50% to +250% of the actual number (as a rule of thumb).
- 3. Major structure costs only include bridges that are within the corridor sections for proposed widening improvements.
- 4. Cost estimation does not account for KYTC's existing and committed (E+C) projects.
- 5. Shoulder widening is not included in the cost estimation, as it is a relatively minor cost.
- 6. If multiple improvement concepts are recommended for the corridor, only the cost of the larger-scale improvement concept is estimated.



# Cost Estimation Detail - Corridor 6C

(TSMO Solutions)

### Corridor Information

corridor información					
Route	I-65				
From	Western KY Pkwy				
То	KY 44 in Shepherdsville				
Highway District	4, 5				

# Characteristics

Functional Class	Interstate
Lanes	6
Median	Divided
Posted Speed	70 mph

#### Ramp Metering

Proposed Strategies	Entrance Ramp	Cost (\$M)
Traffic responsive centralized		
Traffic responsive non-centralized		
Stand alone		

Note

#### Managed Lanes

Proposed Strategies	Mileage	Cost (\$M)
Express Toll Lanes		
HOT Lanes		
HOV Lanes		
Part-time Shoulder Use (GP)		
Part-time Shoulder Use (Transit)		

Note	

#### Interchange Ramp Improvement

Proposed Strategies	Location	Cost (\$M)
Increase Acceleration Lane Length		
Increase Deceleration Lane Length		

Note	

#### Freight Strategies and Systems

reigne strategies and systems			
Proposed Strategies	Mileage	Cost (\$M)	
Truck Only Lanes			
Climbing Lanes	11	\$1.1	

Note	
MP 104 to 106, 107-116	

# Other Strategies

Proposed Strategies	Mileage	Cost (\$M)
Travel Demand Management		
Dynamic Lane Use		

	Note	

# Strategies That Could Apply Everywhere

Proposed Strategies	Mileage/Location	Cost (\$M)
Road Weather Management		
Work Zone Management		
Variable Speed Limits		
Queue Warning		
Comparative Travel Times		
Dynamic Message Sign	13	\$5.2
En-Route Traveler Information		
Truck Parking Information System		
Elongated Pavement Markings		
Improved Signage		

	Note
Ī	·
BG	south of KY 44, 1 north of WKP is existing, 1 south of F Parkway is existing, 1 before and after other 7 terchanges in between excluding 1 existing.

Dynamic Message Sign	\$5.2
TOTAL TSMO	\$5.2 \$6.3



# **Cost Estimation Detail - Corridor 6D**

(Traditional Solutions)

#### **Corridor Information**

Route	I-65	
From	KY 44 in Shepherdsville	
То	I-265	
Highway District	5	

#### Characteristics

Functional Class	Interstate
Lanes	6
Median	Divided
Posted Speed	70 mph

#### Widening

Bronored Concent	Proposed Concept Unit Cost		Project Cost (\$M)				
Proposed Concept	Offit Cost	Mileage	D	R	U	С	Total
Urban Freeway, Added Lanes (inner side)	Per Mile	7.7	\$3.8	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$128.6	\$132.4
Urban Freeway, Added Lanes (outer side)	Per Mile						
Rural Freeway, Added Lanes (inner side)	Per Mile						
Rural Freeway, Added Lanes (outer side)	Per Mile						
New Collector-Distributor Road (mainly for urban)	Per Mile Per Lane						

Widen to 8 lanes between KY 44 and Ripple Creek Rd (MP 116.27 to 124.00)

#### Upgrade

Downson d Commont	Unit Cost Mileage	Project Cost (\$M)					
Proposed Concept	Unit Cost	ivilleage	D	R	U	С	Total
Parkway Upgrade to Freeway with Pavement Reconstruction	Per Mile						
Parkway Upgrade to Freeway with Pavement Rehab	Per Mile						
Increase Shoulder Width	Per Mile						

Note	

# Interchange / Grade Separation

Proposed Concept	ept Unit Cost Interchange /		Project Cost (\$M)				
Froposed Concept	Offit Cost	Mileage	D	R	U	С	Total
New Service Interchange - Rural	Per Interchange						
New Service Interchange - Urban	Per Interchange						
Interchange Modification - Rural	Per Interchange						
Interchange Modification - Urban	Per Interchange	1	\$6.2	\$2.3	\$1.3	\$60.0	\$69.8
Add Auxiliary Lane - Rural	Per Mile Per Lane						
Add Auxiliary Lane - Urban	Per Mile Per Lane						
Interchange single ramp improvement - Rural	Per Mile Per Lane						
Interchange single ramp improvement - Urban	Per Mile Per Lane	1.29	\$1.1	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$10.0	\$11.1

Note
I-65/I-265 System Interchange
I-65 SB off-ramp to KY 1526, I-65 NB on-ramp from KY 1526, I-65 SB off-ramp to KY 44

#### **Major Structure**

Proposed Concept	Unit Cost	Deck Area	Project Cost (\$M)				
Proposed Concept	Offit Cost	(sq ft)	D	R	_	C	Total
Bridge - Replacement	Per Square Ft (Deck Area)						
Bridge - Rehab	Per Square Ft (Deck Area)	7,049	\$0.5	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$1.2	\$1.8

Note
Only the 2 bridges within the proposed widening section are included in corridor-level cost estimation.

# Cost Summary - Traditional Solutions (\$M)

Design	\$11.7
ROW	\$2.3
Utility	\$1.3
Construction	\$199.7
TOTAL	\$215.1

- 1. The cost estimation may not include additional costs to address the potential impacts of major utilities (e.g., gas line, major water supplier, transmission line) within the proximity of the corridor, due to the lack of data when the report was prepared. Further investigation is recommended in future studies.
- 2. Cost estimation was based on 2021 dollars. There is a 1-3% inflation rate. Estimated cost could vary -50% to +250% of the actual number (as a rule of thumb).
- ${\bf 3.\,Major\,structure\,costs\,only\,include\,bridges\,that\,are\,within\,the\,corridor\,sections\,for\,proposed\,widening\,improvements.}$
- 4. Cost estimation does not account for KYTC's existing and committed (E+C) projects.
- $5. \ Shoulder \ widening \ is \ not \ included \ in \ the \ cost \ estimation, \ as \ it \ is \ a \ relatively \ minor \ cost.$
- 6. If multiple improvement concepts are recommended for the corridor, only the cost of the larger-scale improvement concept is estimated.



# Cost Estimation Detail - Corridor 6D

(TSMO Solutions)

### **Corridor Information**

Route	I-65
From	KY 44 in Shepherdsville
То	I-265
Highway District	5

# Characteristics

Functional Class	Interstate
Lanes	6
Median	Divided
Posted Speed	70 mph

#### Ramp Metering

Proposed Strategies	Entrance Ramp	Cost (\$M)			
Traffic responsive centralized					
Traffic responsive non-centralized					
Stand alone					

Note

#### Managed Lanes

Proposed Strategies	Mileage	Cost (\$M)
Express Toll Lanes		
HOT Lanes		
HOV Lanes		
Part-time Shoulder Use (GP)		
Part-time Shoulder Use (Transit)		

Note	

#### Interchange Ramp Improvements

miterenange numbrovements		
Proposed Strategies	Location	Cost (\$M)
Increase Acceleration Lane Length		
Increase Deceleration Lane Length		

Note	

#### Freight Strategies and Systems

	Treight strategies and systems		
Proposed Strategies		Mileage	Cost (\$M)
	Truck Only Lanes		
	Climbing Lanes	3	\$0.3

Note
S
Steep Vertical Grade (MP 117-MP 120)

#### Other Strategies

Other Strategies		
Proposed Strategies	Mileage	Cost (\$M)
Travel Demand Management		
Dynamic Lane Use		

Note	

# Strategies That Could Apply Everywhere

Proposed Strategies	Mileage/Location	Cost (\$M)
Road Weather Management		
Work Zone Management		
Variable Speed Limits		
Queue Warning		
Comparative Travel Times		
Dynamic Message Sign	3	\$1.2
En-Route Traveler Information		
Truck Parking Information System		
Elongated Pavement Markings		
Improved Signage		

	Note
1 north of K' after KY 152	Y 44, 1 south of I-265 is existing, 1 before and

Truck Climbing Lanes	\$0.3
Dynamic Message Sign	\$1.2
TOTAL TSMO	\$1.5



#### Cost Estimation Detail - Corridor 6E

(Traditional Solutions)

#### Corridor Information

Route	I-65
From	I-265
То	I-264
Highway District	5

#### Characteristics

Functional Class	Interstate
Lanes	8 or 10
Median	Divided
Posted Speed	55 or 65 mph

#### Widening

widening							
Proposed Concept Unit	Unit Cost	Mileage	Project Cost (\$M)				
Froposed Concept	Offic Cost	ivilleage	D	R	5	С	Total
Urban Freeway, Added Lanes (inner side)	Per Mile						
Urban Freeway, Added Lanes (outer side)	Per Mile						
Rural Freeway, Added Lanes (inner side)	Per Mile						
Rural Freeway, Added Lanes (outer side)	Per Mile						
New Collector-Distributor Road (mainly for urban)	Per Mile Per Lane	4	\$7.7	\$1.0	\$1.0	\$70.4	\$80.0

	Note
1-lane CD throughout 1065 & KY 1747 with e	(except for the portion between KY

#### Upgrade

Burner d Courset	Unit Cost Mileage	Mileses	Project Cost (\$M)				
Proposed Concept		ivilleage	D	R	U	С	Total
Parkway Upgrade to Freeway with Pavement Reconstruction	Per Mile						
Parkway Upgrade to Freeway with Pavement Rehab	Per Mile						
Increase Shoulder Width	Per Mile						

Note	

# Interchange / Grade Separation

Proposed Concept Unit Cost		Interchange /		Project Cost (\$M)			
Proposed Concept	Offic Cost	Mileage	D	R	U	С	Total
New Service Interchange - Rural	Per Interchange						
New Service Interchange - Urban	Per Interchange						
Interchange Modification - Rural	Per Interchange						
Interchange Modification - Urban	Per Interchange						
Add Auxiliary Lane - Rural	Per Mile Per Lane						
Add Auxiliary Lane - Urban	Per Mile Per Lane						
Interchange single ramp improvement - Rural	Per Mile Per Lane						
Interchange single ramp improvement - Urban	Per Mile Per Lane						

Note

#### **Major Structure**

Proposed Concept Unit Cost	Deck Area	k Area Project Cost (\$M)					
Proposed Concept	Unit Cost	(sq ft)	D	R	U	С	Total
Bridge - Replacement	Per Square Ft (Deck Area)						
Bridge - Rehab	Per Square Ft (Deck Area)						

Note

# Cost Summary - Traditional Solutions (\$M)

Design	\$7.7
ROW	\$1.0
Utility	\$1.0
Construction	\$70.4
TOTAL	\$80.0

- 1. The cost estimation may not include additional costs to address the potential impacts of major utilities (e.g., gas line, major water supplier, transmission line) within the proximity of the corridor, due to the lack of data when the report was prepared. Further investigation is recommended in future studies.
- 2. Cost estimation was based on 2021 dollars. There is a 1-3% inflation rate. Estimated cost could vary -50% to +250% of the actual number (as a rule of thumb).
- ${\bf 3.}\ Major\ structure\ costs\ only\ include\ bridges\ that\ are\ within\ the\ corridor\ sections\ for\ proposed\ widening\ improvements.$
- 4. Cost estimation does not account for KYTC's existing and committed (E+C) projects.
- 5. Shoulder widening is not included in the cost estimation, as it is a relatively minor cost.
- 6. If multiple improvement concepts are recommended for the corridor, only the cost of the larger-scale improvement concept is estimated.



# **Cost Estimation Detail - Corridor 6E**

(TSMO Solutions)

Corridor Information				
Route	I-65			
From	I-265			
То	I-264			
Highway District	5			

Characteristics		
Functional Class Interstate		
Lanes	8 or 10	
Median Divided		
Posted Speed 55 or 65 mph		

Ramp Metering			
Proposed Strategies	Entrance Ramp	Cost (\$M)	
Traffic responsive centralized			
Traffic responsive non-centralized			
Stand alone			

Note	

Managed Lanes			
Proposed Strategies	Mileage	Cost (\$M)	
Express Toll Lanes			
HOT Lanes			
HOV Lanes			
Part-time Shoulder Use (GP)			
Part-time Shoulder Use (Transit)			

Note	

Interchange Ramp Improvements			
Proposed Strategies	Cost (\$M)		
Increase Acceleration Lane Length			
Increase Deceleration Lane Length			

Note	

Freight Strategies and Systems				
Proposed Strategies Mileage Cost (\$M)				
Truck Only Lanes				
Climbing Lanes				

	Note	

Other Strategies			
Proposed Strategies	Mileage	Cost (\$M)	
Travel Demand Management			
Dynamic Lane Use			

Note	

Strategies That Could Apply Everywhere			
Proposed Strategies	Mileage/Location	Cost (\$M)	
Road Weather Management			
Work Zone Management			
Variable Speed Limits			
Queue Warning	5.5	\$2.8	
Comparative Travel Times			
Dynamic Message Sign			
En-Route Traveler Information			
Truck Parking Information System			
Elongated Pavement Markings			
Improved Signage			

	Note
Queue warning pla mile)	aced throughout the corridor (5.5

Queue Warning	\$2.8
TOTAL TSMO	\$2.8



#### Cost Estimation Detail - Corridor 6F

(Traditional Solutions)

#### **Corridor Information**

Route	I-65
From	I-264
То	Indiana State Line
Highway District	5

#### Characteristics

Functional Class	Interstate
Lanes	6
Median	Divided
Posted Speed	55 mph

#### Widening

Proposed Concept	Unit Cost	Mileage	Project Cost (\$M)				
Froposed Concept	Offit Cost	ivilleage	D	R	5	С	Total
Urban Freeway, Added Lanes (inner side)	Per Mile						
Urban Freeway, Added Lanes (outer side)	Per Mile						
Rural Freeway, Added Lanes (inner side)	Per Mile						
Rural Freeway, Added Lanes (outer side)	Per Mile						
New Collector-Distributor Road (mainly for urban)	Per Mile Per Lane						

Note	

#### Upgrade

Duran and Comment	Unit Cost	B 021	Project Cost (\$M)				
Proposed Concept	Unit Cost	Mileage	D	R	U	С	Total
Parkway Upgrade to Freeway with Pavement Reconstruction	Per Mile						
Parkway Upgrade to Freeway with Pavement Rehab	Per Mile						
Increase Shoulder Width	Per Mile						

Note	

# Interchange / Grade Separation

Proposed Concept Unit C		Interchange /	Interchange / Project Cost (\$M			M)	и)		
Proposed Concept	Offit Cost	Mileage	D	R	U	С	Total		
New Service Interchange - Rural	Per Interchange								
New Service Interchange - Urban	Per Interchange								
Interchange Modification - Rural	Per Interchange								
Interchange Modification - Urban	Per Interchange								
Add Auxiliary Lane - Rural	Per Mile Per Lane								
Add Auxiliary Lane - Urban	Per Mile Per Lane								
Interchange single ramp improvement - Rural	Per Mile Per Lane								
Interchange single ramp improvement - Urban	Per Mile Per Lane								

Note

#### **Major Structure**

Branasad Consont	Unit Cost	Proposed Concept Unit Cost				ject Cost (	5M)	
Proposed Concept		(sq ft)	D	R	>	С	Total	
Bridge - Replacement	Per Square Ft (Deck Area)							
Bridge - Rehab	Per Square Ft (Deck Area)							

Note

### Cost Summary - Traditional Solutions (\$M)

, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
Design	\$0.0
ROW	\$0.0
Utility	\$0.0
Construction	\$0.0
TOTAL	\$0.0

- 1. The cost estimation may not include additional costs to address the potential impacts of major utilities (e.g., gas line, major water supplier, transmission line) within the proximity of the corridor, due to the lack of data when the report was prepared. Further investigation is recommended in future studies.
- 2. Cost estimation was based on 2021 dollars. There is a 1-3% inflation rate. Estimated cost could vary -50% to +250% of the actual number (as a rule of thumb).
- $3.\ Major\ structure\ costs\ only\ include\ bridges\ that\ are\ within\ the\ corridor\ sections\ for\ proposed\ widening\ improvements.$
- 4. Cost estimation does not account for KYTC's existing and committed (E+C) projects.
- 5. Shoulder widening is not included in the cost estimation, as it is a relatively minor cost.
- 6. If multiple improvement concepts are recommended for the corridor, only the cost of the larger-scale improvement concept is estimated.



## Cost Estimation Detail - Corridor 6F (TSMO Solutions)

## Corridor Information

## 

## Characteristics

Functional Class	Interstate	
Lanes	6	
Median	Divided	
Posted Speed	55 mph	

## Ramp Metering

Kamp Wetering			
Proposed Strategies	Entrance Ramp	Cost (\$M)	
Traffic responsive centralized	13	\$3.3	
Traffic responsive non-centralized			
Stand alone			

Note
at entrance ramps for all non-system interchanges

#### Managed Lanes

Managed Lanes		
Proposed Strategies	Mileage	Cost (\$M)
Express Toll Lanes		
HOT Lanes		
HOV Lanes		
Part-time Shoulder Use (GP)		
Part-time Shoulder Use (Transit)		

Note

## Interchange Ramp Improvements

Proposed Strategies	Location	Cost (\$M)
Increase Acceleration Lane Length		
Increase Deceleration Lane Length		

Note

#### Freight Strategies and Systems

rreight Strategies and Systems		
Proposed Strategies	Mileage	Cost (\$M)
Truck Only Lanes		
Climbing Lanes		

Note

## Other Strategie

Other strategies		
Proposed Strategies	Mileage	Cost (\$M)
Travel Demand Management		
Dynamic Lane Use		

Note	

## Strategies That Could Apply Everywhere

Proposed Strategies	Mileage/Location	Cost (\$M)
Road Weather Management		
Work Zone Management		
Variable Speed Limits		
Queue Warning	5.5	\$2.8
Comparative Travel Times		
Dynamic Message Sign		
En-Route Traveler Information		
Truck Parking Information System		
Elongated Pavement Markings		
Improved Signage		

Note
Queue warning placed throughout the corridor (5.5 mile

Traffic responsive centralized	\$3.3
Queue Warning	\$2.8
TOTAL TSMO	\$6.0



## **Cost Estimation Detail - Corridor 7B**

(Traditional Solutions)

#### Corridor Information

Route	I-265
From	I-65
То	I-64
Highway District	5

#### Characteristics

Functional Class	Interstate		
Lanes	4		
Median	Divided		
Posted Speed	65 mph		

## Widening

		**************************************					
Proposed Concept	Unit Cost Mileage			Pro	oject Cost (	M)	
Froposed Concept	Offit Cost	ivilleage	D	R	U	С	Total
Urban Freeway, Added Lanes (inner side)	Per Mile						
Urban Freeway, Added Lanes (outer side)	Per Mile						
Rural Freeway, Added Lanes (inner side)	Per Mile						
Rural Freeway, Added Lanes (outer side)	Per Mile						
New Collector-Distributor Road (mainly for urban)	Per Mile Per Lane						

Note

#### Upgrade

Proposed Concept	Unit Cost	Mileage		Pro	oject Cost (	M)	
Proposed Concept	Unit Cost	ivilleage	D	R	U	С	Total
Parkway Upgrade to Freeway with Pavement Reconstruction	Per Mile						
Parkway Upgrade to Freeway with Pavement Rehab	Per Mile						
Increase Shoulder Width	Per Mile						

Note

## Interchange / Grade Separation

Proposed Concept	Unit Cost	Interchange /		Project Cost (\$M)			
Proposed Concept	Offit Cost	Mileage	D	R	U	С	Total
New Service Interchange - Rural	Per Interchange						
New Service Interchange - Urban	Per Interchange						
Interchange Modification - Rural	Per Interchange						
Interchange Modification - Urban	Per Interchange	3	\$18.7	\$6.8	\$3.8	\$180.0	\$209.4
Add Auxiliary Lane - Rural	Per Mile Per Lane						
Add Auxiliary Lane - Urban	Per Mile Per Lane						
Interchange single ramp improvement - Rural	Per Mile Per Lane						
Interchange single ramp improvement - Urban	Per Mile Per Lane	1	\$0.8	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$7.7	\$8.6

Note
I-65/I-265 System Interchange & I-265/US 150 interchange & I-265/KY 155 interchange
I-65 EB off-ramp to KY 61, I-65 WB off-ramp to KY 61, I-6 EB off-ramp to Smyrna Pkwy

## **Major Structure**

Proposed Concept	Unit Cost	Deck Area	Project Cost (\$M)				
Proposed Concept	Offit Cost	(sq ft)	D	R	>	C	Total
Bridge - Replacement	Per Square Ft (Deck Area)						
Bridge - Rehab	Per Square Ft (Deck Area)						

Note

## Cost Summary - Traditional Solutions (\$M)

Design	\$19.6	
ROW	\$6.8	
Utility	\$3.8	
Construction	\$187.7	
TOTAL	\$218.0	

- 1. The cost estimation may not include additional costs to address the potential impacts of major utilities (e.g., gas line, major water supplier, transmission line) within the proximity of the corridor, due to the lack of data when the report was prepared. Further investigation is recommended in future studies.
- 2. Cost estimation was based on 2021 dollars. There is a 1-3% inflation rate. Estimated cost could vary -50% to +250% of the actual number (as a rule of thumb).
- ${\bf 3.\ Major\ structure\ costs\ only\ include\ bridges\ that\ are\ within\ the\ corridor\ sections\ for\ proposed\ widening\ improvements.}$
- 4. Cost estimation does not account for KYTC's existing and committed (E+C) projects.
- 5. Shoulder widening is not included in the cost estimation, as it is a relatively minor cost.
- 6. If multiple improvement concepts are recommended for the corridor, only the cost of the larger-scale improvement concept is estimated.



## **Cost Estimation Detail - Corridor 7B**

(TSMO Solutions)

## 

Characteristics	
Functional Class	Interstate
Lanes	4
Median	Divided
Posted Speed	65 mph

Ramp Metering		
Proposed Strategies	Entrance Ramp	Cost (\$M)
Traffic responsive centralized	15	\$3.8
Traffic responsive non-centralized		
Stand alone		

Note
All entrance ramps besides the two system interchanges

Managed Lanes		
Proposed Strategies	Mileage	Cost (\$M)
Express Toll Lanes		
HOT Lanes		
HOV Lanes		
Part-time Shoulder Use (GP)		
Part-time Shoulder Use (Transit)		

Note	
	_

Interchange Ra	amp Improvements	
Proposed Strategies	Location	Cost (\$M)
Increase Acceleration Lane Length		
Increase Deceleration Lane Length		_

Note	

Freight Strat	egies and Systems	
Proposed Strategies	Mileage	Cost (\$M)
Truck Only Lanes		
Climbing Lanes		

Note	

Other	Strategies	
Proposed Strategies	Mileage	Cost (\$M)
Travel Demand Management		
Dynamic Lane Use		

Note

Proposed Strategies	Mileage/Location	Cost (\$M)
Road Weather Management		
Work Zone Management		
Variable Speed Limits		
Queue Warning		
Comparative Travel Times		
Dynamic Message Sign	12	\$4.8
En-Route Traveler Information		
Truck Parking Information System		

	Note
1 east of I-65	is existing, 1 south of I-64 is existing, 1
	fter remaining 6 interchanges.

Traffic responsive centralized	\$3.8
Dynamic Message Sign	\$4.8
TOTAL TSMO	\$8.6



## **Cost Estimation Detail - Corridor 7C**

(Traditional Solutions)

#### **Corridor Information**

Route	I-265
From	I-64
То	I-71
Highway District	5

#### Characteristics

Functional Class	Interstate
Lanes	4
Median	Divided
Posted Speed	65 mph

### Widening

Proposed Concept	Unit Cost	Mileage	Project Cost (\$M)				
Proposed Concept	Froposed Concept Offit Cost N	ivilleage	D	R	U	С	Total
Urban Freeway, Added Lanes (inner side)	Per Mile						
Urban Freeway, Added Lanes (outer side)	Per Mile						
Rural Freeway, Added Lanes (inner side)	Per Mile						
Rural Freeway, Added Lanes (outer side)	Per Mile						
New Collector-Distributor Road (mainly for urban)	Per Mile Per Lane	1.2	\$2.3	\$0.3	\$0.3	\$21.1	\$24.0

	No	te	
CD system from	I-71 to Brown	nsboro Rd	

#### Upgrade

Duamanad Compant	Unit Cook	Project Cost (\$M)			M)		
Proposed Concept	Proposed Concept Unit Cost Mileage	ivilleage	D	R	U	С	Total
Parkway Upgrade to Freeway with Pavement Reconstruction	Per Mile						
Parkway Upgrade to Freeway with Pavement Rehab	Per Mile						
Increase Shoulder Width	Per Mile						

Note	

## Interchange / Grade Separation

Proposed Concept	Unit Cost	Interchange /		Pro	ject Cost (\$	M)	
Froposed Concept	Offit Cost	Mileage	D	R	U	С	Total
New Service Interchange - Rural	Per Interchange						
New Service Interchange - Urban	Per Interchange						
Interchange Modification - Rural	Per Interchange						
Interchange Modification - Urban	Per Interchange	4	\$25.0	\$9.1	\$5.1	\$240.0	\$279.2
Add Auxiliary Lane - Rural	Per Mile Per Lane						
Add Auxiliary Lane - Urban	Per Mile Per Lane						
Interchange single ramp improvement - Rural	Per Mile Per Lane						
Interchange single ramp improvement - Urban	Per Mile Per Lane	0.5	\$0.4	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$3.9	\$4.3

Note
I-65/I-265, I-265/Brownsboro Rd, I-265/Old Henry Rd, I- 265/US 60 interchanges
I-265 SB off-ramp to KY 1447 $\&$ I-265 NB loop ramp to KY 1447

## **Major Structure**

Proposed Concept	Unit Cost	Deck Area Project Cost (\$M)					
Floposeu Concept	Offic Cost	(sq ft)	D	R	_	U	Total
Bridge - Replacement	Per Square Ft (Deck Area)						
Bridge - Rehab	Per Square Ft (Deck Area)						

	Note		

## Cost Summary - Traditional Solutions (\$M)

Design	\$27.7
ROW	\$9.4
Utility	\$5.4
Construction	\$265.0
TOTAL	\$307.5

- 1. The cost estimation may not include additional costs to address the potential impacts of major utilities (e.g., gas line, major water supplier, transmission line) within the proximity of the corridor, due to the lack of data when the report was prepared. Further investigation is recommended in future studies.
- 2. Cost estimation was based on 2021 dollars. There is a 1-3% inflation rate. Estimated cost could vary -50% to +250% of the actual number (as a rule of thumb).
- ${\bf 3.\,Major\,structure\,costs\,only\,include\,bridges\,that\,are\,within\,the\,corridor\,sections\,for\,proposed\,widening\,improvements.}$
- ${\it 4. Cost estimation does not account for KYTC's existing and committed (E+C) projects.}\\$
- $5. \ Shoulder \ widening \ is \ not \ included \ in \ the \ cost \ estimation, \ as \ it \ is \ a \ relatively \ minor \ cost.$
- 6. If multiple improvement concepts are recommended for the corridor, only the cost of the larger-scale improvement concept is estimated.



## **Cost Estimation Detail - Corridor 7C**

(TSMO Solutions)

### Corridor Information

Route	I-265
From	I-64
То	I-71
Highway District	5

### Characteristics

Functional Class	Interstate
Lanes	4
Median	Divided
Posted Speed	65 mph

### Ramp Metering

namp wetering				
Proposed Strategies	Entrance Ramp	Cost (\$M)		
Traffic responsive centralized	11	\$2.8		
Traffic responsive non-centralized				
Stand alone				

Note

#### Managod Lanes

Proposed Strategies	Mileage	Cost (\$M)
Express Toll Lanes		
HOT Lanes		
HOV Lanes		
Part-time Shoulder Use (GP)		
Part-time Shoulder Use (Transit)		

Note

### Interchange Ramp Improvements

Proposed Strategies	Location	Cost (\$M)
Increase Acceleration Lane Length		
Increase Deceleration Lane Length		

Note	

## Freight Strategies and Systems

Proposed Strategies	Mileage	Cost (\$M)		
Truck Only Lanes				
Climbing Lanes				

Note	

## Other Strategies

other strategies						
Proposed Strategies	Mileage	Cost (\$M)				
Travel Demand Management						
Dynamic Lane Use						

Note	

## Strategies That Could Apply Everywhere

Proposed Strategies	Mileage/Location	Cost (\$M)
Road Weather Management		
Work Zone Management		
Variable Speed Limits		
Queue Warning		
Comparative Travel Times		
Dynamic Message Sign	10	\$4.0
En-Route Traveler Information		
Truck Parking Information System		

Note
1 north of I-64, 1 east of I-71, 1 before and after
remaining 5 interchanges excluding 2 existing.

Dynamic Message Sign	\$4.0
Traffic responsive centralized  TOTAL TSMO	\$2.8 \$6.8



## **Cost Estimation Detail - Corridor 8A**

(Traditional Solutions)

#### Corridor Information

Route	I-64		
From	Indiana State Line		
То	I-65		
Highway District	5		

#### Characteristics

Functional Class Interstate	
Lanes	6
Median	Divided
Posted Speed	55 mph

## Widening

Proposed Concept Unit Co	Unit Cost	Mileage	Project Cost (\$M)				
Proposed Concept	Onit Cost Willeage	ivilleage	D	R	5	С	Total
Urban Freeway, Added Lanes (inner side)	Per Mile						
Urban Freeway, Added Lanes (outer side)	Per Mile						
Rural Freeway, Added Lanes (inner side)	Per Mile						
Rural Freeway, Added Lanes (outer side)	Per Mile						
New Collector-Distributor Road (mainly for urban)	Per Mile Per Lane						

Note

#### Upgrade

-18.44							
Dranged Concept	Unit Cost Mileage	Daile	Project Cost (\$M)				
Proposed Concept		D	R	U	С	Total	
Parkway Upgrade to Freeway with Pavement Reconstruction	Per Mile						
Parkway Upgrade to Freeway with Pavement Rehab	Per Mile						
Increase Shoulder Width	Per Mile						

Note

## Interchange / Grade Separation

Proposed Concept	Unit Cost	Interchange /	Project Cost (\$M)				
Proposed Concept	Offit Cost	Mileage	D	R	U	С	Total
New Service Interchange - Rural	Per Interchange						
New Service Interchange - Urban	Per Interchange						
Interchange Modification - Rural	Per Interchange						
Interchange Modification - Urban	Per Interchange						
Add Auxiliary Lane - Rural	Per Mile Per Lane						
Add Auxiliary Lane - Urban	Per Mile Per Lane						
Interchange single ramp improvement - Rural	Per Mile Per Lane						
Interchange single ramp improvement - Urban	Per Mile Per Lane						

Note

## **Major Structure**

Proposed Concept	Unit Cost	Deck Area		Pro	ject Cost (	M)	
Froposeu Concept	Offit Cost	(sq ft)	D	R	>	С	Total
Bridge - Replacement	Per Square Ft (Deck Area)						
Bridge - Rehab	Per Square Ft (Deck Area)						

Note

## Cost Summary - Traditional Solutions (\$M)

Design	\$0.0
ROW	\$0.0
Utility	\$0.0
Construction	\$0.0
TOTAL	\$0.0

- 1. The cost estimation may not include additional costs to address the potential impacts of major utilities (e.g., gas line, major water supplier, transmission line) within the proximity of the corridor, due to the lack of data when the report was prepared. Further investigation is recommended in future studies.
- 2. Cost estimation was based on 2021 dollars. There is a 1-3% inflation rate. Estimated cost could vary -50% to +250% of the actual number (as a rule of thumb).
- ${\bf 3.\ Major\ structure\ costs\ only\ include\ bridges\ that\ are\ within\ the\ corridor\ sections\ for\ proposed\ widening\ improvements.}$
- 4. Cost estimation does not account for KYTC's existing and committed (E+C) projects.
- 5. Shoulder widening is not included in the cost estimation, as it is a relatively minor cost.
- 6. If multiple improvement concepts are recommended for the corridor, only the cost of the larger-scale improvement concept is estimated.



## Cost Estimation Detail - Corridor 8A (TSMO Solutions)

## Corridor Information

# Route I-64 From Indiana State Line To I-65 Highway District 5

## Characteristics

Functional Class	Interstate	
Lanes	6	
Median	Divided	
Posted Speed	55 mph	

Ramp Metering

Kamp Wetering			
Proposed Strategies	Entrance Ramp	Cost (\$M)	
Traffic responsive centralized	4	\$1.0	
Traffic responsive non-centralized			
Stand alone			

Note
at entrance ramps for all non-system interchanges

Managed Lanes

Proposed Strategies	Mileage	Cost (\$M)
Express Toll Lanes		
HOT Lanes		
HOV Lanes		
Part-time Shoulder Use (GP)		
Part-time Shoulder Use (Transit)		

Note	
	_

Interchange Ramn Improvement

Proposed Strategies	Location	Cost (\$M)
Increase Acceleration Lane Length		
Increase Deceleration Lane Length		

Note	

Freight Strategies and Systems

r reight Strategies and Systems		
Proposed Strategies	Mileage	Cost (\$M)
Truck Only Lanes		
Climbing Lanes		

Note	

Other Strategies

Proposed Strategies	Mileage	Cost (\$M)
Travel Demand Management		
Dynamic Lane Use		

	Note	

Strategies That Could Apply Everywhere

Proposed Strategies	Mileage/Location	Cost (\$M)
Road Weather Management		
Work Zone Management		
Variable Speed Limits		
Queue Warning	4	\$2.0
Comparative Travel Times		
Dynamic Message Sign		
En-Route Traveler Information		
Truck Parking Information System		
Elongated Pavement Markings		_
Improved Signage		

	Note
Queue v	warning placed throughout the corridor (4 miles)

Traffic responsive centralized	\$1.0
Queue Warning	\$2.0
TOTAL TSMO	\$3.0



### **Cost Estimation Detail - Corridor 8B**

(Traditional Solutions)

## **Corridor Information**

Route	I-64
From	I-65
То	I-264
Highway District	5

## Characteristics

Functional Class	Interstate		
Lanes	4		
Median	Divided		
Posted Speed	55 mph		

## Widening

Proposed Concept	Unit Cost	t Cost Mileage		Pro	ject Cost (	\$M)	
Proposed Concept	Unit Cost	ivilleage	D	R	U	С	Total
Urban Freeway, Added Lanes (inner side)	Per Mile						
Urban Freeway, Added Lanes (outer side)	Per Mile						
Rural Freeway, Added Lanes (inner side)	Per Mile						
Rural Freeway, Added Lanes (outer side)	Per Mile						
New Collector-Distributor Road (mainly for urban)	Per Mile Per Lane						

Note	
	·
	·

#### Upgrade

op <sub>b</sub> , acc							
Proposed Concept	Unit Cost Mileage	Project Cost (\$M)					
Froposed Concept	Offit Cost	Unit Cost Mileage	D	R	U	С	Total
Parkway Upgrade to Freeway with Pavement Reconstruction	Per Mile						
Parkway Upgrade to Freeway with Pavement Rehab	Per Mile						
Increase Shoulder Width	Per Mile						

Note

## Interchange / Grade Separation

Proposed Concept	Unit Cost	Interchange /	rchange / Project Cost (\$M)				
Proposed Concept	Unit Cost	Mileage	D	R	U	С	Total
New Service Interchange - Rural	Per Interchange						
New Service Interchange - Urban	Per Interchange						
Interchange Modification - Rural	Per Interchange						
Interchange Modification - Urban	Per Interchange	1	\$6.2	\$2.3	\$1.3	\$60.0	\$69.8
Add Auxiliary Lane - Rural	Per Mile Per Lane						
Add Auxiliary Lane - Urban	Per Mile Per Lane	0.7	\$0.6	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$5.4	\$6.0
Interchange single ramp improvement - Rural	Per Mile Per Lane						
Interchange single ramp improvement - Urban	Per Mile Per Lane						

Note
I-64/I-264 interchange
Adding aux lane on both directions from MellwoodAve to I-71

## Major Structure

,							
Proposed Concept Unit Cost		Deck Area	Project Cost (\$M)				
Proposed Concept	Unit Cost	(sq ft)	D	R	U	С	Total
Bridge - Replacement	Per Square Ft (Deck Area)						
Bridge - Rehab	Per Square Ft (Deck Area)	59,618	\$4.6	\$0.4	\$0.2	\$9.8	\$14.9

Note
Only the 4 bridges within the proposed widening section are included in corridor-level cost estimation.

## Cost Summary - Traditional Solutions (\$M)

, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
Design	\$11.4
ROW	\$2.7
Utility	\$1.5
Construction	\$75.2
TOTAL	\$90.7

- 1. The cost estimation may not include additional costs to address the potential impacts of major utilities (e.g., gas line, major water supplier, transmission line) within the proximity of the corridor, due to the lack of data when the report was prepared. Further investigation is recommended in future studies.
- 2. Cost estimation was based on 2021 dollars. There is a 1-3% inflation rate. Estimated cost could vary -50% to +250% of the actual number (as a rule of thumb).
- 3. Major structure costs only include bridges that are within the corridor sections for proposed widening improvements.
- 4. Cost estimation does not account for KYTC's existing and committed (E+C) projects.
- $5. \ Shoulder \ widening \ is \ not \ included \ in \ the \ cost \ estimation, \ as \ it \ is \ a \ relatively \ minor \ cost.$
- 6. If multiple improvement concepts are recommended for the corridor, only the cost of the larger-scale improvement concept is estimated.



## **Cost Estimation Detail - Corridor 8B**

(TSMO Solutions)

### **Corridor Information**

Route	I-64
From	I-65
То	I-264
Highway District	5

### Characteristics

Functional Class	Interstate
Lanes	4
Median	Divided
Posted Speed	55 mph

#### Ramp Metering

Trainip metering					
Proposed Strategies	Entrance Ramp	Cost (\$M)			
Traffic responsive centralized					
Traffic responsive non-centralized					
Stand alone					

Note

#### Managed Lanes

Proposed Strategies	Mileage	Cost (\$M)
Express Toll Lanes		
HOT Lanes		
HOV Lanes		
Part-time Shoulder Use (GP)		
Part-time Shoulder Use (Transit)		

Note	

### Interchange Ramp Improvements

Proposed Strategies	Location	Cost (\$M)
Increase Acceleration Lane Length		
Increase Deceleration Lane Length		

Note	

## Freight Strategies and Systems

Proposed Strategies	Mileage	Cost (\$M)
Truck Only Lanes		
Climbing Lanes		

Note

## Other Strategies

Proposed Strategies	Mileage	Cost (\$M)
Travel Demand Management		
Dynamic Lane Use		

Note

## Strategies That Could Apply Everywhere

Proposed Strategies	Mileage/Location	Cost (\$M)
Road Weather Management		
Work Zone Management		
Variable Speed Limits		
Queue Warning		
Comparative Travel Times		
Dynamic Message Sign	7	\$2.8
En-Route Traveler Information		
Truck Parking Information System		

Note
f I-264, 1 before and after ges excluding one existing.

Dynamic Message Sign	\$2.8
TOTAL TSMO	\$2.8



## **Cost Estimation Detail - Corridor 8C**

(Traditional Solutions)

## **Corridor Information**

Route	I-64
From	I-264
То	I-265
Highway District	5

### Characteristics

Functional Class	Interstate		
Lanes	6 or 8		
Median	Divided		
Posted Speed	55 or 65 mph		

### Widening

		wideiiiig					
Proposed Concept	Unit Cost Mileage			Pro	ject Cost (	M)	
Proposed Concept	Offic Cost	ivilleage	D	R	>	U	Total
Urban Freeway, Added Lanes (inner side)	Per Mile						
Urban Freeway, Added Lanes (outer side)	Per Mile	2.5	\$4.2	\$0.0	\$0.1	\$38.7	\$43.0
Rural Freeway, Added Lanes (inner side)	Per Mile						
Rural Freeway, Added Lanes (outer side)	Per Mile						
New Collector-Distributor Road (mainly for urban)	Per Mile Per Lane						

Note
6 to 8 lanes between KY 1747 and KY 913

## Upgrade

Proposed Concept	Concept Unit Cost	Mileage	Project Cost (\$M)				
Froposed Concept			D	R	>	U	Total
Parkway Upgrade to Freeway with Pavement Reconstruction	Per Mile						
Parkway Upgrade to Freeway with Pavement Rehab	Per Mile						
Increase Shoulder Width	Per Mile						

Note			

### Interchange / Grade Separation

Proposed Concept Unit Cost		Interchange /	Project Cost (\$M)				
Proposed Concept	Offit Cost	Mileage	D	R	5	С	Total
New Service Interchange - Rural	Per Interchange						
New Service Interchange - Urban	Per Interchange						
Interchange Modification - Rural	Per Interchange						
Interchange Modification - Urban	Per Interchange						
Add Auxiliary Lane - Rural	Per Mile Per Lane						
Add Auxiliary Lane - Urban	Per Mile Per Lane						
Interchange single ramp improvement - Rural	Per Mile Per Lane						
Interchange single ramp improvement - Urban	Per Mile Per Lane						

Note

## Major Structure

major structure							
Proposed Concept	Unit Cost	Deck Area	Deck Area Project Cost (\$M)				
Proposed Concept	Offit Cost	(sq ft)	D	R	U	С	Total
Bridge - Replacement	Per Square Ft (Deck Area)						
Bridge - Rehab	Per Square Ft (Deck Area)	50,954	\$3.9	\$0.3	\$0.2	\$8.3	\$12.7

Note
Only the 4 bridges within the proposed widening section are included in corridor-level cost estimation.

## Cost Summary - Traditional Solutions (\$M)

	() /
Design	\$8.1
ROW	\$0.3
Utility	\$0.3
Construction	\$47.0
TOTAL	\$55.7

- 1. The cost estimation may not include additional costs to address the potential impacts of major utilities (e.g., gas line, major water supplier, transmission line) within the proximity of the corridor, due to the lack of data when the report was prepared. Further investigation is recommended in future studies.
- 2. Cost estimation was based on 2021 dollars. There is a 1-3% inflation rate. Estimated cost could vary -50% to +250% of the actual number (as a rule of thumb).
- 3. Major structure costs only include bridges that are within the corridor sections for proposed widening improvements.
- 4. Cost estimation does not account for KYTC's existing and committed (E+C) projects.
- 5. Shoulder widening is not included in the cost estimation, as it is a relatively minor cost.
- 6. If multiple improvement concepts are recommended for the corridor, only the cost of the larger-scale improvement concept is estimated.



## Cost Estimation Detail - Corridor 8C

(TSMO Solutions)

### **Corridor Information**

Route	I-64			
From	I-264			
То	I-265			
Highway District	5			

#### Characteristics

Functional Class	Interstate
Lanes	6 or 8
Median	Divided
Posted Speed	55 or 65 mph

#### Ramp Metering

Proposed Strategies	Entrance Ramp	Cost (\$M)			
Traffic responsive centralized	5	\$1.3			
Traffic responsive non-centralized					
Stand alone					

Note
at entrance ramps for all non-system interchanges

#### Managed Lane

Proposed Strategies	Mileage	Cost (\$M)
Express Toll Lanes		
HOT Lanes		
HOV Lanes		
Part-time Shoulder Use (GP)		
Part-time Shoulder Use (Transit)		

Note	

#### Interchange Ramp Improvement

Proposed Strategies	Location	Cost (\$M)
Increase Acceleration Lane Length		
Increase Deceleration Lane Length		

Note	

#### Freight Strategies and Systems

Proposed Strategies	Mileage	Cost (\$M)
Truck Only Lanes		
Climbing Lanes		

Note	

## Other Strategies

Other Strategies		
Proposed Strategies	Mileage	Cost (\$M)
Travel Demand Management		
Dynamic Lane Use		

Note	

## Strategies That Could Apply Everywhere

Proposed Strategies	Mileage/Location	Cost (\$M)
Road Weather Management		
Work Zone Management		
Variable Speed Limits		
Queue Warning	6.5	\$3.3
Comparative Travel Times		
Dynamic Message Sign		
En-Route Traveler Information		
Truck Parking Information System		
Elongated Pavement Markings	24	\$0.4
Improved Signage	5	\$1.0

	Note
Qu mil	eue warning placed throughout the corridor (6.5 es)
_	
_	
	ocations (two between each interchange), one
_	ation per lane for 4 lanes on the EB direction.
(tw	ne locations as the Elongated Pavement Markings to between each interchange) excluding 1 existing st of I-265

Traffic responsive centralized	\$1.3
Queue Warning	\$3.3
Elongated Pavement Markings	\$0.4
Improved Signage	\$1.0
TOTAL TSMO	\$5.9



## **Cost Estimation Detail - Corridor 8D**

(Traditional Solutions)

**Corridor Information** 

Route	I-64
From	I-265
То	KY 53 in Shelbyville
Highway District	5

Characteristics

Functional Class	Interstate
Lanes	6
Median	Divided
Posted Speed	70 mph

**New Roadway** 

Proposed Concept	Unit Cost	Miles		Pro	ject Cost (	\$M)	
Proposed Concept	Unit Cost	Mileage	D	R	U	С	Total
New Connector Road (4-lane)			\$5.0	\$8.0	\$2.0	\$45.0	\$60.0

Note

Eastwood Connector (based on input from KYTC)

Widening

Proposed Concept	Unit Cost	Mileage	Project Cost (\$N			Project Cost (\$M)			
Proposed Concept	Offic Cost	Willeage	D	R	U	С	Total		
Urban Freeway, Added Lanes (inner side)	Per Mile								
Urban Freeway, Added Lanes (outer side)	Per Mile								
Rural Freeway, Added Lanes (inner side)	Per Mile								
Rural Freeway, Added Lanes (outer side)	Per Mile								
New Collector-Distributor Road (mainly for urban)	Per Mile Per Lane								

Note

Upgrade

Орычас							
Proposed Concept				Pro	ject Cost (	\$M)	
Proposed Concept	Unit Cost	Mileage	D	R	5	С	Total
Parkway Upgrade to Freeway with Pavement Reconstruction	Per Mile						
Parkway Upgrade to Freeway with Pavement Rehab	Per Mile						
Increase Shoulder Width	Per Mile						

Note

Interchange / Grade Separation

Proposed Concept	Unit Cost	Interchange /	Project Cost (\$M)				
Proposed Concept	Offic Cost	Mileage	D	R	J	С	Total
New Service Interchange - Rural	Per Interchange	1	\$2.1	\$1.2	\$1.5	\$26.0	\$30.9
New Service Interchange - Urban	Per Interchange						
Interchange Modification - Rural	Per Interchange						
Interchange Modification - Urban	Per Interchange						
Add Auxiliary Lane - Rural	Per Mile Per Lane						
Add Auxiliary Lane - Urban	Per Mile Per Lane						
Interchange single ramp improvement - Rural	Per Mile Per Lane	0.3	\$0.1	\$0.1	\$0.1	\$1.4	\$1.7
Interchange single ramp improvement - Urban	Per Mile Per Lane						

Note	
New interchange south of Eastwood	
I-64 EB off-ramp to KY 53	

Major Structure

Proposed Concept	Unit Cost	Deck Area	Project Cost (\$M)				
Proposed Concept	Offit Cost	(sq ft)	D	R	5	C	Total
Bridge - Replacement	Per Square Ft (Deck Area)						
Bridge - Rehab	Per Square Ft (Deck Area)						

Note	
	1

Cost Summary - Traditional Solutions (\$M)

Cost Sullillary - Haditional Solutions (Sivi)					
Design	\$7.2				
ROW	\$9.3				
Utility	\$3.6				
Construction	\$72.4				
TOTAL	\$92 E				

- 1. The cost estimation may not include additional costs to address the potential impacts of major utilities (e.g., gas line, major water supplier, transmission line) within the proximity of the corridor, due to the lack of data when the report was prepared. Further investigation is recommended in future studies.
- 2. Cost estimation was based on 2021 dollars. There is a 1-3% inflation rate. Estimated cost could vary -50% to +250% of the actual number (as a rule of thumb).
- 3. Major structure costs only include bridges that are within the corridor sections for proposed widening improvements.
- 4. Cost estimation does not account for KYTC's existing and committed (E+C) projects.
- 5. Shoulder widening is not included in the cost estimation, as it is a relatively minor cost.
- 6. If multiple improvement concepts are recommended for the corridor, only the cost of the larger-scale improvement concept is estimated.



## **Cost Estimation Detail - Corridor 8D**

(TSMO Solutions)

## Corridor Information

## 

## Characteristics

Functional Class	Interstate
Lanes	6
Median	Divided
Posted Speed	70 mph

#### Ramp Metering

Proposed Strategies	Entrance Ramp	Cost (\$M)					
Traffic responsive centralized							
Traffic responsive non-centralized							
Stand alone							

Note

#### Managed Lane

Proposed Strategies	Mileage	Cost (\$M)
Express Toll Lanes		
HOT Lanes		
HOV Lanes		
Part-time Shoulder Use (GP)		
Part-time Shoulder Use (Transit)		

Note	

## Interchange Ramp Improvements

Proposed Strategies	Location	Cost (\$M)
Increase Acceleration Lane Length		
Increase Deceleration Lane Length		

Note

#### Freight Strategies and Systems

ricigiti strategies and systems		
Proposed Strategies	Mileage	Cost (\$M)
Truck Only Lanes		
Climbing Lanes		

Note

## Other Strategies

Proposed Strategies	Mileage	Cost (\$M)
Travel Demand Management		
Dynamic Lane Use		

Note	

## Strategies That Could Apply Everywhere

Proposed Strategies	Mileage/Location	Cost (\$M)
Road Weather Management		
Work Zone Management		
Variable Speed Limits		
Queue Warning		
Comparative Travel Times		
Dynamic Message Sign	7	\$2.8
En-Route Traveler Information		
Truck Parking Information System		
Elongated Pavement Markings		
Improved Signage		

Note
Least of I-265, 1 west of KY 53, 1 on both sides of the remaining 3 interchanges (including the new proposed one), excluding one existing

Dynamic Message Sign	\$2.8
TOTAL TSMO	\$2.8



### **Cost Estimation Detail - Corridor 8E**

(Traditional Solutions)

#### Corridor Information

Route	I-64
From	KY 53 in Shelbyville
То	I-64/I-75 north split
Highway District	5, 7

#### Characteristics

Functional Class	Interstate
Lanes	4
Median	Divided
Posted Speed	70 mph

### Widening

Proposed Concept	Unit Cost	Mileage	Project Cost (\$M)				
Proposed Concept	Offit Cost	ivilleage	D	R	U	С	Total
Urban Freeway, Added Lanes (inner side)	Per Mile	4.1	\$2.0	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$68.5	\$70.5
Urban Freeway, Added Lanes (outer side)	Per Mile						
Rural Freeway, Added Lanes (inner side)	Per Mile	18.3	\$2.4	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$260.0	\$262.3
Rural Freeway, Added Lanes (outer side)	Per Mile						
New Collector-Distributor Road (mainly for urban)	Per Mile Per Lane						

Note
ATL from KY 151 to US 127 & from US 60 to I-75 (Urban portions)
ATL from KY 151 to US 127 & from US 60 to I-75 (Rural portions)

#### Upgrade

Dynamical Company	Huit Cook	Huit Cook Mileson	Project Cost (\$M)				
Proposed Concept	Unit Cost	Mileage	D	R	U	С	Total
Parkway Upgrade to Freeway with Pavement Reconstruction	Per Mile						
Parkway Upgrade to Freeway with Pavement Rehab	Per Mile						
Increase Shoulder Width	Per Mile						

	Note	

## Interchange / Grade Separation

Proposed Concept Unit Cost		Interchange /		Pro				
Proposed Concept	Offic Cost	Mileage	D	R	U	С	Total	
New Service Interchange - Rural	Per Interchange							
New Service Interchange - Urban	Per Interchange							
Interchange Modification - Rural	Per Interchange							
Interchange Modification - Urban	Per Interchange							
Add Auxiliary Lane - Rural	Per Mile Per Lane							
Add Auxiliary Lane - Urban	Per Mile Per Lane							
Interchange single ramp improvement - Rural	Per Mile Per Lane	0.3	\$0.1	\$0.1	\$0.1	\$1.4	\$1.7	
Interchange single ramp improvement - Urban	Per Mile Per Lane	2.2	\$1.9	\$0.0	\$0.1	\$17.0	\$18.9	

Note
I-64 EB off-ramp to KY 53
I-64/I-75 north split interchange SB to EB & WB to NB ramps

## **Major Structure**

Proposed Concept	Unit Cost	Deck Area	Project Cost (\$M)				
Proposed Concept	Unit Cost	(sq ft)	D	R	U	С	Total
Bridge - Replacement	Per Square Ft (Deck Area)						
Bridge - Rehab	Per Square Ft (Deck Area)	140,989	\$10.8	\$1.0	\$0.4	\$23.1	\$35.2

Note
21 bridges within widening section and I-64/I-75 north split

## Cost Summary - Traditional Solutions (\$M)

Design	\$17.2
ROW	\$1.0
Utility	\$0.5
Construction	\$369.9
TOTAL	\$388.7

- 1. The cost estimation may not include additional costs to address the potential impacts of major utilities (e.g., gas line, major water supplier, transmission line) within the proximity of the corridor, due to the lack of data when the report was prepared. Further investigation is recommended in future studies.
- 2. Cost estimation was based on 2021 dollars. There is a 1-3% inflation rate. Estimated cost could vary -50% to +250% of the actual number (as a rule of thumb).
- $3. \ Major \ structure \ costs \ only \ include \ bridges \ that \ are \ within \ the \ corridor \ sections \ for \ proposed \ widening \ improvements.$
- 4. Cost estimation does not account for KYTC's existing and committed (E+C) projects.
- $5. \ Shoulder \ widening \ is \ not \ included \ in \ the \ cost \ estimation, \ as \ it \ is \ a \ relatively \ minor \ cost.$
- 6. If multiple improvement concepts are recommended for the corridor, only the cost of the larger-scale improvement concept is estimated.



## Cost Estimation Detail - Corridor 8E

(TSMO Solutions)

#### Corridor Information

Route	I-64				
From	KY 53 in Shelbyville				
То	I-64/I-75 north split				
Highway District	5, 7				

## Characteristics

Functional Class	Interstate	
Lanes	4	
Median	Divided	
Posted Speed	70 mph	

Ram	рΜе	tering

Proposed Strategies	Entrance Ramp	Cost (\$M)
Traffic responsive centralized		
Traffic responsive non-centralized		
Stand alone		

Note

#### Managed Lanes

Proposed Strategies	Mileage	Cost (\$M)
Express Toll Lanes		
HOT Lanes		
HOV Lanes		
Part-time Shoulder Use (GP)		
Part-time Shoulder Use (Transit)		

Note	

## Interchange Ramp Improvements

Proposed Strategies	Location	Cost (\$M)
Increase Acceleration Lane Length		
Increase Deceleration Lane Length		

Note

#### Freight Strategies and Systems

reigne of ategres and systems		
Proposed Strategies	Mileage	Cost (\$M)
Truck Only Lanes		
Climbing Lanes		

Note	

## Other Strategie

Other Strategies		
Proposed Strategies	Mileage	Cost (\$M)
Travel Demand Management		
Dynamic Lane Use		

Note	

## Strategies That Could Apply Everywhere

Proposed Strategies	Mileage/Location	Cost (\$M)
Road Weather Management		
Work Zone Management		
Variable Speed Limits		
Queue Warning		
Comparative Travel Times		
Dynamic Message Sign	13	\$5.2
En-Route Traveler Information		
Truck Parking Information System		
Elongated Pavement Markings		
Improved Signage		

Note
Note
1 east of KY 53, 1 west of I-75-64 northern split, 1 before
and after the other 6 interchanges, exclude one existing
west of Midway exit

Dynamic Message Sign	\$5.2
TOTAL TSMO	\$5.2



## **Cost Estimation Detail - Corridor 10A**

(Traditional Solutions)

## **Corridor Information**

Route	I-264
From	I-64 (West)
То	I-65
Highway District	5

### Characteristics

Functional Class	Interstate
Lanes	6
Median	Divided
Posted Speed	55 mph

### Widening

***************************************								
Proposed Concept Unit Co	Unit Cost	Mileage	Project Cost (\$M)					
	Unit Cost	ivilleage	D	R	U	С	Total	
Urban Freeway, Added Lanes (inner side)	Per Mile							
Urban Freeway, Added Lanes (outer side)	Per Mile							
Rural Freeway, Added Lanes (inner side)	Per Mile							
Rural Freeway, Added Lanes (outer side)	Per Mile							
New Collector-Distributor Road (mainly for urban)	Per Mile Per Lane							

Note

#### Upgrade

Proposed Concept Unit	Unit Cost	ost Mileage	Project Cost (\$M)				
	Offic Cost		D	R	U	С	Total
Parkway Upgrade to Freeway with Pavement Reconstruction	Per Mile						
Parkway Upgrade to Freeway with Pavement Rehab	Per Mile						
Increase Shoulder Width	Per Mile						

Note

#### Interchange / Grade Separation

Drawand Canant	Interchange /						
Proposed Concept	Proposed Concept Unit Cost	Mileage	D	R	U	С	Total
New Service Interchange - Rural	Per Interchange						
New Service Interchange - Urban	Per Interchange						
Interchange Modification - Rural	Per Interchange						
Interchange Modification - Urban	Per Interchange						
Add Auxiliary Lane - Rural	Per Mile Per Lane						
Add Auxiliary Lane - Urban	Per Mile Per Lane						
Interchange single ramp improvement - Rural	Per Mile Per Lane						
Interchange single ramp improvement - Urban	Per Mile Per Lane	·					

Note	

## Major Structure

Proposed Concept	Unit Cost	Deck Area	Project Cost (\$M)						
Froposed Concept	Offic Cost	(sq ft)	D	R	U	С	Total		
Bridge - Replacement	Per Square Ft (Deck Area)								
Bridge - Rehab	Per Square Ft (Deck Area)								

Note

## Cost Summary - Traditional Solutions (\$M)

Design	\$0.0
ROW	\$0.0
Utility	\$0.0
Construction	\$0.0
TOTAL	\$0.0

- 1. The cost estimation may not include additional costs to address the potential impacts of major utilities (e.g., gas line, major water supplier, transmission line) within the proximity of the corridor, due to the lack of data when the report was prepared. Further investigation is recommended in future studies.
- 2. Cost estimation was based on 2021 dollars. There is a 1-3% inflation rate. Estimated cost could vary -50% to +250% of the actual number (as a rule of thumb).
- $3.\ Major\ structure\ costs\ only\ include\ bridges\ that\ are\ within\ the\ corridor\ sections\ for\ proposed\ widening\ improvements.$
- 4. Cost estimation does not account for KYTC's existing and committed (E+C) projects.
- $5. \ Shoulder \ widening \ is \ not \ included \ in \ the \ cost \ estimation, \ as \ it \ is \ a \ relatively \ minor \ cost.$
- 6. If multiple improvement concepts are recommended for the corridor, only the cost of the larger-scale improvement concept is estimated.



## Cost Estimation Detail - Corridor 10A (TSMO Solutions)

**Corridor Information** 

Route	I-264	
From	I-64 (West)	
То	I-65	
Highway District	5	

Characteristics

Functional Class	Interstate
Lanes	6
Median	Divided
Posted Speed	55 mph

Ramp Metering

tump metering				
Proposed Strategies	Entrance Ramp	Cost (\$M)		
Traffic responsive centralized	9	\$2.3		
Traffic responsive non-centralized				
Stand alone				

Note at entrance ramps for all non-system interchanges from Dixie Highway to I-65

Managed Lanes

Proposed Strategies	Mileage	Cost (\$M)
Express Toll Lanes		
HOT Lanes		
HOV Lanes		
Part-time Shoulder Use (GP)		
Part-time Shoulder Use (Transit)		

Note	

Interchange Ramp Improvements

Proposed Strategies	Location	Cost (\$M)
Increase Acceleration Lane Length		
Increase Deceleration Lane Length		

Note		

Freight Strategies and Systems

Proposed Strategies	Mileage	Cost (\$M)
Truck Only Lanes		
Climbing Lanes		

Note	

Other Strategies

Proposed Strategies	Mileage	Cost (\$M)
Travel Demand Management		
Dynamic Lane Use		

Note	

Strategies That Could Apply Everywhere

Proposed Strategies	Mileage/Location	Cost (\$M)
Road Weather Management		
Work Zone Management		
Variable Speed Limits		
Queue Warning	11.5	\$5.8
Comparative Travel Times		
Dynamic Message Sign		
En-Route Traveler Information		
Truck Parking Information System		
Elongated Pavement Markings	30	\$0.5
Improved Signage	5	\$1.0

Note
Hote
Queue warning placed throughout the corridor (11.5 miles)
10 locations, two between each interchange.
5 signs near elongated pavement marking locations that don't have existing signage already.

Traffic responsive centralized	\$2.3
Queue Warning	\$5.8
Elongated Pavement Markings	\$0.5
Improved Signage	\$1.0
TOTAL TSMO	\$9.5



## **Cost Estimation Detail - Corridor 10B**

(Traditional Solutions)

#### Corridor Information

Route	I-264		
From	I-65		
То	I-64 (East)		
Highway District	5		

#### Characteristics

Functional Class	Interstate
Lanes	8 or 10
Median	Divided
Posted Speed	55 mph

### Widening

Proposed Concept	Unit Cost	Mileage	Project Cost (\$M)				
Froposeu Concept	Offit Cost	ivilleage	D	R	5	С	Total
Urban Freeway, Added Lanes (inner side)	Per Mile						
Urban Freeway, Added Lanes (outer side)	Per Mile						
Rural Freeway, Added Lanes (inner side)	Per Mile						
Rural Freeway, Added Lanes (outer side)	Per Mile						
New Collector-Distributor Road (mainly for urban)	Per Mile Per Lane						

Note

#### Upgrade

ob9.445							
Draward Cancart	Unit Cost	Daile	Project Cost (\$M)				
Proposed Concept	Unit Cost	Mileage	D	R	U	С	Total
Parkway Upgrade to Freeway with Pavement Reconstruction	Per Mile						
Parkway Upgrade to Freeway with Pavement Rehab	Per Mile						
Increase Shoulder Width	Per Mile						

Note	

## Interchange / Grade Separation

Proposed Concept	Unit Cost	Interchange /	Project Cost (\$M)				
Proposed Concept Onit Cost	Mileage	D	R	U	С	Total	
New Service Interchange - Rural	Per Interchange						
New Service Interchange - Urban	Per Interchange						
Interchange Modification - Rural	Per Interchange						
Interchange Modification - Urban	Per Interchange						
Add Auxiliary Lane - Rural	Per Mile Per Lane						
Add Auxiliary Lane - Urban	Per Mile Per Lane						
Interchange single ramp improvement - Rural	Per Mile Per Lane						
Interchange single ramp improvement - Urban	Per Mile Per Lane						

Note	

## **Major Structure**

Proposed Concept	Unit Cost Deck Area		Pro	Project Cost (\$M)			
Floposeu Concept	Offit Cost	(sq ft)	D	R	>	С	Total
Bridge - Replacement	Per Square Ft (Deck Area)						
Bridge - Rehab	Per Square Ft (Deck Area)						

Note	

## Cost Summary - Traditional Solutions (\$M)

Design	\$0.0
ROW	\$0.0
Utility	\$0.0
Construction	\$0.0
TOTAL	\$0.0

- 1. The cost estimation may not include additional costs to address the potential impacts of major utilities (e.g., gas line, major water supplier, transmission line) within the proximity of the corridor, due to the lack of data when the report was prepared. Further investigation is recommended in future studies.
- 2. Cost estimation was based on 2021 dollars. There is a 1-3% inflation rate. Estimated cost could vary -50% to +250% of the actual number (as a rule of thumb).
- ${\bf 3.\ Major\ structure\ costs\ only\ include\ bridges\ that\ are\ within\ the\ corridor\ sections\ for\ proposed\ widening\ improvements.}$
- 4. Cost estimation does not account for KYTC's existing and committed (E+C) projects.
- 5. Shoulder widening is not included in the cost estimation, as it is a relatively minor cost.
- 6. If multiple improvement concepts are recommended for the corridor, only the cost of the larger-scale improvement concept is estimated.



## Cost Estimation Detail - Corridor 10B

(TSMO Solutions)

Corridor Information				
Route	I-264			
From	I-65			
То	I-64 (East)			
title to the best of the				

Characteristics					
Functional Class Interstate					
Lanes	8 or 10				
Median	Divided				
Posted Speed	55 mph				

Ramp Metering					
Proposed Strategies	Cost (\$M)				
Traffic responsive centralized	11	\$2.8			
Traffic responsive non-centralized					
Stand alone					

Note
at entrance ramps for all non-system interchanges

Managed Lanes					
Proposed Strategies	Mileage	Cost (\$M)			
Express Toll Lanes					
HOT Lanes					
HOV Lanes					
Part-time Shoulder Use (GP)					
Part-time Shoulder Use (Transit)					

Note	

Interchange Ramp Improvements					
Proposed Strategies	Location	Cost (\$M)			
Increase Acceleration Lane Length					
Increase Deceleration Lane Length					

	Note	

Freight Strategies and Systems					
Proposed Strategies	Mileage	Cost (\$M)			
Truck Only Lanes					
Climbing Lanes					

	Note	

Other Strategies						
Proposed Strategies	Mileage	Cost (\$M)				
Travel Demand Management						
Dynamic Lane Use						

Note	
	_

Proposed Strategies	Mileage/Location	Cost (\$M)
Road Weather Management		
Work Zone Management		
Variable Speed Limits		
Queue Warning	6.5	\$3.3
Comparative Travel Times		
Dynamic Message Sign		
En-Route Traveler Information		
Truck Parking Information System		
Elongated Pavement Markings	36	\$0.5
Improved Signage		

	Note
Queue w miles)	arning placed throughout the corridor (6.5
	ns spaced between interchanges from
Taylorsvi	lle Road to I-64.

Traffic responsive centralized	\$2.8
Queue Warning	\$3.3
Elongated Pavement Markings	\$0.5
TOTAL TSMO	\$6.5



## **Cost Estimation Detail - Corridor 10C**

(Traditional Solutions)

#### **Corridor Information**

Route	I-264
From	I-64 (East)
То	I-71
Highway District	5

#### Characteristics

Functional Class	Interstate
Lanes	4 or 6
Median	Divided
Posted Speed	55 mph

### Widening

·8							
Proposed Concept	Unit Cost	Mileage	Project Cost (\$M)				
Froposed Concept	Offic Cost	ivilleage	D	R	5	С	Total
Urban Freeway, Added Lanes (inner side)	Per Mile						
Urban Freeway, Added Lanes (outer side)	Per Mile						
Rural Freeway, Added Lanes (inner side)	Per Mile						
Rural Freeway, Added Lanes (outer side)	Per Mile						
New Collector-Distributor Road (mainly for urban)	Per Mile Per Lane						

Note

#### Upgrade

-10							
Proposed Concept	Unit Cost	Cost Mileage	Project Cost (\$M)				
Proposed Concept	Unit Cost		D	R	U	С	Total
Parkway Upgrade to Freeway with Pavement Reconstruction	Per Mile						
Parkway Upgrade to Freeway with Pavement Rehab	Per Mile						
Increase Shoulder Width	Per Mile						

	Note	

## Interchange / Grade Separation

Proposed Concept	osed Concept Unit Cost Interchange /			Project Cost (\$M)			
Proposed Concept	Offit Cost	Mileage	D	R	U	С	Total
New Service Interchange - Rural	Per Interchange						
New Service Interchange - Urban	Per Interchange						
Interchange Modification - Rural	Per Interchange						
Interchange Modification - Urban	Per Interchange						
Add Auxiliary Lane - Rural	Per Mile Per Lane						
Add Auxiliary Lane - Urban	Per Mile Per Lane						
Interchange single ramp improvement - Rural	Per Mile Per Lane						
Interchange single ramp improvement - Urban	Per Mile Per Lane						

Note

## Major Structure

Proposed Concept	Unit Cost	Deck Area Project Cos		ject Cost (	(\$M)		
Floposeu Concept	Offic Cost	(sq ft)	D	R	>	C	Total
Bridge - Replacement	Per Square Ft (Deck Area)						
Bridge - Rehab	Per Square Ft (Deck Area)						

Note

## Cost Summary - Traditional Solutions (\$M)

Design	\$0.0
ROW	\$0.0
Utility	\$0.0
Construction	\$0.0
TOTAL	\$0.0

- 1. The cost estimation may not include additional costs to address the potential impacts of major utilities (e.g., gas line, major water supplier, transmission line) within the proximity of the corridor, due to the lack of data when the report was prepared. Further investigation is recommended in future studies.
- 2. Cost estimation was based on 2021 dollars. There is a 1-3% inflation rate. Estimated cost could vary -50% to +250% of the actual number (as a rule of thumb).
- ${\bf 3.}\ Major\ structure\ costs\ only\ include\ bridges\ that\ are\ within\ the\ corridor\ sections\ for\ proposed\ widening\ improvements.$
- 4. Cost estimation does not account for KYTC's existing and committed (E+C) projects.
- 5. Shoulder widening is not included in the cost estimation, as it is a relatively minor cost.
- 6. If multiple improvement concepts are recommended for the corridor, only the cost of the larger-scale improvement concept is estimated.



## Cost Estimation Detail - Corridor 10C

(TSMO Solutions)

### **Corridor Information**

Route	I-264
From	I-64 (East)
То	I-71
Highway District	5

## Characteristics

Functional Class	Interstate
Lanes	4 or 6
Median	Divided
Posted Speed	55 mph

## Ramp Metering

Kamp Wetering					
Proposed Strategies	Entrance Ramp	Cost (\$M)			
Traffic responsive centralized	7	\$1.8			
Traffic responsive non-centralized					
Stand alone					

Note
at entrance ramps for all non-system interchanges

#### Managed Lane

Proposed Strategies	Mileage	Cost (\$M)
Express Toll Lanes		
HOT Lanes		
HOV Lanes		
Part-time Shoulder Use (GP)		
Part-time Shoulder Use (Transit)		

Note	

#### Interchange Ramp Improvement

Proposed Strategies	Location	Cost (\$M)		
Increase Acceleration Lane Length				
Increase Deceleration Lane Length				

Note	

#### Freight Strategies and Systems

. reight struct	cgics and systems	
Proposed Strategies	Mileage	Cost (\$M)
Truck Only Lanes		
Climbing Lanes		

Note

## Other Strategies

Other Strategies				
Proposed Strategies	Mileage	Cost (\$M)		
Travel Demand Management				
Dynamic Lane Use				

N	lote

## Strategies That Could Apply Everywher

Proposed Strategies	Mileage/Location	Cost (\$M)
Road Weather Management		
Work Zone Management		
Variable Speed Limits		
Queue Warning	4	\$2.0
Comparative Travel Times		
Dynamic Message Sign		
En-Route Traveler Information		
Truck Parking Information System		
Elongated Pavement Markings		
Improved Signage		

Note
Queue warning placed throughout the corridor (4 miles)

Traffic responsive centralized	\$1.8
Queue Warning	\$2.0
TOTAL TSMO	\$3.8



## **Cost Estimation Detail - Corridor 15**

(Traditional Solutions)

### **Corridor Information**

Route	PennyrilePkwy (Future I-169)		
From	I-24		
То	I-69/Western KY Pkwy		
Highway District	2		

### Characteristics

Functional Class	Interstate		
Lanes 4			
Median	Divided		
Posted Speed	70 mph		

### Widening

		***************************************						
Proposed Concept	Unit Cost Mileage		Unit Cost Mileage Pro			ject Cost (\$M)		
Proposed Concept	Offic Cost	Willeage	D	R	_	С	Total	
Urban Freeway, Added Lanes (inner side)	Per Mile							
Urban Freeway, Added Lanes (outer side)	Per Mile							
Rural Freeway, Added Lanes (inner side)	Per Mile							
Rural Freeway, Added Lanes (outer side)	Per Mile							
New Collector-Distributor Road (mainly for urban)	Per Mile Per Lane	1.5	\$2.9	\$0.4	\$0.4	\$26.4	\$30.0	

Note
Complete CD system from Exit #33 to Exit #34

### Upgrade

Proposed Concept Unit Cost	Mileage	Project Cost (\$M)					
Proposed Concept	Unit Cost	ivilleage	۵	R	U	С	Total
Parkway Upgrade to Freeway with Pavement Reconstruction	Per Mile						
Parkway Upgrade to Freeway with Pavement Rehab	Per Mile						
Increase Shoulder Width	Per Mile						

Note	
•	·

## Interchange / Grade Separation

Proposed Concept Unit Cost		Interchange /		Pro	ject Cost (	\$M)	
гторозей сопсерт	Offic Cost	Mileage	D	R	U	С	Total
New Service Interchange - Rural	Per Interchange						
New Service Interchange - Urban	Per Interchange						
Interchange Modification - Rural	Per Interchange	2	\$0.5	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$5.1	\$5.6
Interchange Modification - Urban	Per Interchange	2	\$12.5	\$4.6	\$2.5	\$120.0	\$139.6
Add Auxiliary Lane - Rural	Per Mile Per Lane						
Add Auxiliary Lane - Urban	Per Mile Per Lane						
Interchange single ramp improvement - Rural	Per Mile Per Lane						
Interchange single ramp improvement - Urban	Per Mile Per Lane						

Note
I-69/US 41 interchange, I-69/Western KY Pkwy interchange
I-69 Exit #5 to Exit #6 SB Braided Ramp, I-69/KY 1682 interchange

## Major Structure

Proposed Concept Unit Cost	Deck Area	Project Cost (\$M)					
Froposeu Concept	Proposed Concept Unit Cost		D	R	U	С	Total
Bridge - Replacement	Per Square Ft (Deck Area)						
Bridge - Rehab	Per Square Ft (Deck Area)						

Note

## Cost Summary - Traditional Solutions (\$M)

Design	\$15.9
ROW	\$4.9
Utility	\$2.9
Construction	\$151.5
TOTAL	\$175.2

- 1. The cost estimation may not include additional costs to address the potential impacts of major utilities (e.g., gas line, major water supplier, transmission line) within the proximity of the corridor, due to the lack of data when the report was prepared. Further investigation is recommended in future studies.
- 2. Cost estimation was based on 2021 dollars. There is a 1-3% inflation rate. Estimated cost could vary -50% to +250% of the actual number (as a rule of thumb).
- 3. Major structure costs only include bridges that are within the corridor sections for proposed widening improvements.
- 4. Cost estimation does not account for KYTC's existing and committed (E+C) projects.
- 5. Shoulder widening is not included in the cost estimation, as it is a relatively minor cost.
- 6. If multiple improvement concepts are recommended for the corridor, only the cost of the larger-scale improvement concept is estimated.



## **Cost Estimation Detail - Corridor 15**

(TSMO Solutions)

## Corridor Information

## 

## Characteristics

Functional Class	Interstate
Lanes	4
Median	Divided
Posted Speed	70 mph

#### Ramp Metering

Kump Wetering				
Proposed Strategies	Entrance Ramp	Cost (\$M)		
Traffic responsive centralized				
Traffic responsive non-centralized				
Stand alone				

Note

#### Managed Lanes

Managed Lanes			
Proposed Strategies	Mileage	Cost (\$M)	
Express Toll Lanes			
HOT Lanes			
HOV Lanes			
Part-time Shoulder Use (GP)			
Part-time Shoulder Use (Transit)			

Note

## Interchange Ramp Improvements

Proposed Strategies	Location	Cost (\$M)
Increase Acceleration Lane Length		
Increase Deceleration Lane Length		

	Note
Γ	
L	
ı	
П	

#### Freight Strategies and Systems

r reight strategies and systems		
Proposed Strategies	Mileage	Cost (\$M)
Truck Only Lanes		
Climbing Lanes		

Note	

## Other Strategies

Proposed Strategies	Mileage	Cost (\$M)
Travel Demand Management		
Dynamic Lane Use		

Note	

## Strategies That Could Apply Everywhere

Proposed Strategies	Mileage/Location	Cost (\$M)
Road Weather Management		
Work Zone Management		
Variable Speed Limits		
Queue Warning		
Comparative Travel Times		
Dynamic Message Sign	18	\$7.2
En-Route Traveler Information		
Truck Parking Information System		
Elongated Pavement Markings		
Improved Signage		

Note
1 north of I-24, 1 south of I-69, 1 on both sides of
remaining 8 interchanges excluding one due to
interchange proximity.

Dynamic Message Sign	\$7.2
TOTAL TSMO	\$7.2