APPENDIX A EXHIBITS

EXHIBIT 1: PROJECT LOCATION



EXHIBIT 2: TOPOGRAPHIC MAP



Project Location

APPENDIX B

2010 General Assembly's Enacted Roadway Plan

for Mercer, Garrard & District 7







APPENDIX C

PROJECT AUTHORIZATION

Untitled Document

					PR	OJECT	ΓAU	THOR	[ZAT]	ION				046000					
It is hereby ordere	ed tha	at the project	herein	descri	ibed be	e undert	taken	and acc	ompli	shed	AUTHC within the	RIZAT fundi	ION NC ng leve	5: 84690 0 el authori:	zed				
Project Id		Project Id Nur	nber		Fec	deral		Di	strict			County	1	6	Yrp Item Number				
					Proje			HWY	AD	D	MERCER				07-01116				
	084 040	4 0152 018-019) 0152 000-001		BRO 5	5129(01)	2)		07			GARRARD								
TYPE OF PROJECT					ROUTE	NUMBE	R				FACIL		ИE	SYST	EMS				
080 BRIDGE REPLA	СЕМЕ	INT			KY 152						KENNE ROAD	DY BR	RIDGE						
PROJECT LENGTH	SCO	PE OF PROJE	ст								J. (0) (2)			J					
0.1 MI	REPL	ACE BRIDGE	AND AP	PROAC	CHES C	HES ON KY-152 OVER HERRINGTON LAKE AT THE							MERCER/GARRARD COUNTY LINE (B05).						
NUMBER OF BRIDG	ES	PROGRAM PR	IORITY		RS ITE	M NUME	BER				6 YR P	LAN IT	EM PA	RENT NUN	IBER				
												6.00-2	008						
PROJECT PHAS	εľ	DOH				DOH				RIGI									
AND RESPONSIBILIT	Y I	CONSTRUCTIO	DN			TITLE D	EEDE	D TO:		MAI	NTENANCE			OTHER					
FUNDING & TIME		PARTICIPATIN	G AGEN	ICIES	1							í							
ACCOUNTABILIT	Y F	EDERAL FHW	/A		STATE														
		-		RE		TED FUN	IDS FO	OR THIS	AUTHO	RIZA	TION								
ITEM NUMBER SUFFIX	PHA	SE FUND	PRC	OGRAM	AM FISCA FEDERAL		STATE		FEDL APPI CODE		PR. ENACTED 6 E PLAN AMOU		6YR % DIFFER DUNT VS 6YP A		E CURRENT FUNDING REQUEST				
07-01116.00	D) 12		D52	2011		2011		H100						35,000				
Estimate Approved by					1	ate 0/25/201	0				Cur Tota	al	inding	Request	35,000				
				AUT		ATION S		ARY FOR		0-1 S			TO						
PHA	SE			PRO	JECTE		Е			ESTIN	MATE	то	DATE (INCL. CUR	RENT REQUEST)				
Design				\$	35	,000		\$		35,	000			\$	35,000				
Total \$ 35,000							\$ 35,000 \$ 35,000							35,000					
REMARKS: THIS AL	IN FUND	S FOF	R THE PR	OJECT	. DE.														
Project Approval Re KFD	ecomr	mended By:						Signed a MWH	nd Appı	roved	by:								
10/26/2010								10/26/20	10										

Untitled Document

					F	PROJEC	T AU	THOR	ZAT	ION				046004					
It is hereby orde	ered tł	nat the p	roiect he	erein desc	ribed	be under	taken	and acc	ompli	ished	/ withi	AUTHORIZA ⁻ in the fundi	rion no ing leve	: 84690 1 el authoriz	ed				
Project Id		Project	ld Numb	er	F	ederal		Di	strict			Count	y	6 '	rp Item Number				
			40.040		FI			HWY	A	DD	MERC	ER			07-01116				
	04	4 0152 0 40 0152 0	18-019 00-001	BRC) 5129(012)		07			GARR	ARD							
TYPE OF PROJE	СТ				ROU	TE NUMBE	ER					FACILTY NA	ME	SYSTE	MS				
080 BRIDGE REPI	LACEN	IENT			KY 1	52						KENNEDY BI ROAD	RIDGE						
PROJECT LENGT	TH SCO	OPE OF P	ROJECT																
0.1 MI	REF	PLACE BF	RIDGE AN	ID APPRO	ACHES	HES ON KY-152 OVER HERRINGTON LAKE AT THE							MERCER/GARRARD COUNTY LINE (B05).						
NUMBER OF BRI	DGES	PROGR	AM PRIO	RITY	RSI		BER					6 YR PLAN I	FEM PA	RENT NUM	BER				
			NG			DESIGN	1			RIG		7-01116.00-2	2008						
PROJECT PHA	ASE	DOH				DOH													
AND RESPONSIBIL	.ITY	CONSTR	RUCTION				EEDE	D TO:		MAI	NTEN	ANCE		OTHER					
FUNDING & TI ACCOUNTABIL	ME LITY			AGENCIES	, STA	TE			Δ1			OTHER							
					REQUE														
ITEM NUMBER	PH	ASE	FUND	PROGRA	M	FISCA	L YEA	R	FEDL	FEDL APPR		ACTED 6YR	TED 6YR % DIF		CURRENT				
SUFFIX					FEDERAL		S	TATE	CODE		PL/	AN AMOUNT	NOUNT VS 6YP		FUNDING REQUEST				
07-01116.00	D) 12		FD52	2010		2	011	L1CE						965,000				
Current I Estimate Approved by	RWN					Date 11/18/201	0					Current F Total	unding	Request	965,000				
				A	JTHOF		SUMM	ARY FOR	THIS	10-1 \$	SERIE	S .							
P	HASE			PR		ITIAL T ESTIMAT	TE		CUR	RENT ESTI	PROJ	IECT TO	TOT DATE (INCL. CUR	RIZATION RENT REQUEST)				
Design				\$		35,000		\$		1,000	,000			\$ 1,00	0,000				
Total \$ 35,000								\$ 1,000,000 \$ 1,000,000							00,000				
REMARKS: THIS REPL	JNDS	FOR PHA	SE I D	ESIG	N AND	ENVIRONM	ENTAL	WORK FOR	BRIDGE										
Project Approval Recommended By: KFD								Signed and Approved by: MWH											
12/1/2010								12/5/201)										

APPENDIX D

CRASH DATA

<u>Safety – Crashes on KY 152</u> Project Area past 10 year data



Mercer County

MP 18.7 to MP 18.938, 10 Yr Crash Data (1/2000 to 1/2011)

	Date	MP	Manner of Collision	Injury	Units	Time	Road	Weather
	8/4/2009	18.864	Sideswipe-opposite	0	2	1400	Wet	Cloudy
	5/15/2004	18.9	Sideswipe-opposite	0	2	1915	Dry	Clear
ľ			Ran Off – Struck a					
	2/5/2002	18.938	tree	1	1	1210	Dry	Clear

Garrard County

MP 0.0 to MP 0.5, 10 Yr Crash Data (1/2000 to 1/2011)

				-			
Date	MP	Manner of Collision	Injury	Units	Time	Road	Weather
6/30/2005	0.038	Ran Off Road	0	1	2352	Dry	Cloudy
5/4/2010	0.052	Ran Off Road	1	1	1640	Dry	Clear
9/24/2010	0.053	Rear End	0	2	2106	Wet	Cloudy

APPENDIX E

KYTC COMMON GEOMETRIC PRACTICE GUIDELINES

EXHIBIT 700-02

COMMON GEOMETRIC PRACTICES RURAL COLLECTOR ROADS

Project Location

APPENDIX F

EXISTING ROADWAY PLANS (partial sheets from 1940, 1991, 2009 Plans)

TRANSPORTATION CABINET DEPARTMENT OF HIGHWAYS MERCER COUNTY HARRODSBURG-LANCASTER ROAD, KY 152 **OVER HERRINGTON LAKE**



-						3					U	- (JL	JA				S								
BID ITEM CODE	03298			21969NN	22020NN	· · · · ·		1			08435	Ξ.		·	T		T	1		1	T	<u> </u>		<u>r </u>		,
BID ITEM	Exp. Joint Replacement 4"	Bridge Handrail/ Guardrall Repair	Reinforce Bridge Guardrail	Bearing Replacement	Repair Beam	Floor Beam Bearing Repair	Cross-Bracing Repair	Lower Lateral Bracing Repair	Lacing Bar Repair	Repair Truss Member	ack & Support Bridge Span	Reinforce Truss Members	Reinforce Truss Joints													
UNIT	LF.	L.F.	L.F.	EA.	EA.	EA.	EA.	EA.	EA.	EA.	LS.	L.E.	EA.			+				1.46-1		+			∤ ┦	
Abutment #7	20			2	2															+		+		<u> </u>	┟───┦	┣──
Pier *6		10			1			<u> </u>		•			time and									-				<u> </u>
Pier *5	26			2		2				012	1		20		 		·	· [100 Per	-		15 th		196
Pier #4	20					4	2				-						********		- D		+		2 7.3	1000		
Pler *3	20			- The second second		4							202		•						*		+			
Pier #2				Sec. 200. 0		2						-		 ,	-		19				-					-
Abutment *1	20		-41040484400000					met	1.00	-				⊷ —						100	C	-				5.
										_			<u>· · </u>			·				-		+	-			. 8
Superstructure			1596				6	21	1000	40	1	- 2393	126		5	<u> </u>	<u> </u>						- +			
BRIDGE TOTALS	80	- 10	1596	2	2	12	6	21	1000	- 40	1. 1. 1. I.	- 2393	126	-	1942 I				11 7		2002 C		1.1.1.1			

			INDEX OF SHEETS
		Sheet No.	Description
		SI	Title Sheet
		S2	General Notes
		S3	Layout
		S4	Guardrail Details
		S5	Expansion Joint Replacement
		S6	Repair Beam
		S7	Typical Joint Numbering
		S8	Installation Sequence
		- 59	LO, LO' Repair Details
		S10	LO Span 4 Repair Details
		SII	M3, Mi' Repair Details
		512	UZ, UZ Repair Details
		513	US, US' KOINTOFCING Details
		CIF	14 14' Repair Details
		515	16.16'Repair Details
		SI7	Bracing Repair
		SIR	Lower Lateral Bracing Report
		510	Member Ratoblog Detail
		S20	Detour Route
		S21	Maintenance of Traffic - Phasics Pier
		522	Vicinity Map
			Vicinity Mdp
		**	
			SDECIAL MOTEO
			SFECIAL NUTES
		- 2010 - G	
			ODEOLAL DOOLAGIONIO
			SPECIAL PROVISIONS
			SPECIAL PROVISIONS STANDARD DRAWINGS Elastomeric Bearing Pads for Prestressed Bod
		BBP-001-1 BBP-002-0	SPECIAL PROVISIONS SPECIAL PROVISIONS Elastomer ic Bear ing Pads for Prestressed Boat Bear ing Details
		BBP-001-1 BBP-002-0 BJE-001-1	SPECIAL PROVISIONS STANDARD DRAWINGS Elastomeric Bearing Pads for Prestressed Boat Bearing Details Neoprene Expansion Dams and Armored Edges
		BBP-001-1 BBP-002-0 BJE-001-1	SPECIAL PROVISIONS STANDARD DRAWINGS Elastomeric Bearing Pads for Prestressed Boad Bearing Details Neoprene Expansion Dams and Armored Edges
		BBP-001-1 BBP-002-0 BJE-001-1	SPECIAL PROVISIONS STANDARD DRAWINGS LEastomeric Bearing Pads for Prestressed Boat Bearing Details Neoprene Expansion Dams and Armored Edges
		BBP-001-1 BBP-002-0 BJE-001-1	SPECIAL PROVISIONS STANDARD DRAWINGS Elastomer ic Bear ing Pads for Prestressed Boa Bear ing Details Neoprene Expansion Dams and Armored Edges
		BBP-001-1 BBP-002-0 BJE-001-1	SPECIAL PROVISIONS STANDARD DRAWINGS Elastomer ic Bear ing Pads for Prestressed Boa Bear ing Details Neoprene Expansion Dams and Armored Edges
		BBP-001-1 BBP 002-0 BJE-001-1	SPECIAL PROVISIONS STANDARD DRAWINGS Elastomer ic Bear ing Pads for Prestressed Bod Bear ing Details Neoprene Expansion Dams and Armored Edges
		BBP-001-1 BBP-002-0 BJE-001-1	SPECIAL PROVISIONS STANDARD DRAWINGS ELastomer ic Bear ing Pads for Prestressed Boa Bear ing Details Neoprene Expansion Dams and Armored Edges
		BBP-001-1 BBP-002-0- BJE-001-1	SPECIAL PROVISIONS STANDARD DRAWINGS Elastomer ic Bear ing Pads for Prestressed Boad Bear ing Details Neoprene Expansion Dams and Armored Edges
		BBP-001-1 BBP-002-0- BJE-001-1	SPECIAL PROVISIONS STANDARD DRAWINGS Elastomeric Bearing Pads for Prestressed Boa Bearing Details Neoprene Expansion Dams and Armored Edges
		BBP-001-1 BBP-002-0 BJE-001-1	SPECIAL PROVISIONS STANDARD DRAWINGS Elastomeric Bearing Pads for Prestressed Boa Bearing Details Neoprene Expansion Dams and Armored Edges
		BBP-001-1 BBP-002-0 BJE-001-1	SPECIAL PROVISIONS STANDARD DRAWINGS Elastomeric Bearing Pads for Prestressed Boar Bearing Details Neoprene Expansion Dams and Armored Edges
		BBP-001-1 BBP-002-0 BJE-001-1	SPECIAL PROVISIONS STANDARD DRAWINGS Elastomer ic Bear ing Pads for Prestressed Boa Bear ing Details Neoprene Expansion Dams and Armored Edges
		BBP-001-1 BBP-002-0 BJE-001-1	SPECIAL PROVISIONS STANDARD DRAWINGS Elastomer ic Bear ing Pads for Prestressed Boat Bear ing Details Neoprene Expansion Dams and Armored Edges
		BBP-001-1 BBP-002-0 BJE-001-1	SPECIAL PROVISIONS STANDARD DRAWINGS Elastomer ic Bear ing Pads for Prestressed Boa Bear ing Details Neoprene Expansion Dams and Armored Edges
		BBP-001-1 BBP-002-0- BJE-001-1	SPECIAL PROVISIONS STANDARD DRAWINGS Elastomer ic Bear ing Pads for Prestressed Boat Bear ing Details Neoprene Expansion Dams and Armored Edges
	ĥ	BBP-001-1 BBP-002-0- BJE-001-1	SPECIAL PROVISIONS STANDARD DRAWINGS Elastomer ic Bear ing Pads for Prestressed Boad Bear ing Details Neoprene Expansion Dams and Armored Edges
	0 ⁰ 0	BBP-001-1 BBP-002-0- BJE-001-1	SPECIAL PROVISIONS STANDARD DRAWINGS Elastomeric Bearing Pads for Prestressed Boa Bearing Details Neoprene Expansion Dams and Armored Edges SPECIFICATIONS
		BBP-001-1 BBP-002-0- BJE-001-1	SPECIAL PROVISIONS STANDARD DRAWINGS Elastomeric Bearing Pads for Prestressed Boa Bearing Details Neoprene Expansion Dams and Armored Edges SPECIFICATIONS
	Lee Sec	BBP-001-1 BBP-002-0 BJE-001-1	SPECIAL PROVISIONS STANDARD DRAWINGS Elastomeric Bearing Pads for Prestressed Bod Bearing Details Neoprene Expansion Dams and Armored Edges SPECIFICATIONS mdord Specifications for Road and Bridge
	Teco Co	BBP-001-1 BBP-002-0 BJE-001-1	SPECIAL PROVISIONS STANDARD DRAWINGS Elastomeric Bearing Pads for Prestressed Boat Bearing Details Neoprene Expansion Dams and Armored Edges SPECIFICATIONS mdord Specifications for Road and Bridges
	2000 × 100	BBP-001-1 BBP-002-0 BJE-001-1	SPECIAL PROVISIONS STANDARD DRAWINGS Elastomeric Bearing Pads for Prestressed Boat Bearing Details Neoprene Expansion Dams and Armored Edges SPECIFICATIONS mdord Specifications for Road and Bridge struction. SHT0 Standard Specifications for Iliabway
	2000 ×	BBP-001-1 BBP-002-0 BJE-001-1 BJE-001-1 2004 Sto Cor 2004 Sto Cor 2002 AAS	SPECIAL PROVISIONS STANDARD DRAWINGS Elastomer ic Bear ing Pads for Prestressed Boar Bear ing Details Neoprene Expansion Dams and Armored Edges SPECIFICATIONS mdor d Specifications for Road and Bridge struction. SHT0 Standard Specifications for Highway idges.
	200 ×	BBP-001-1 BBP-002-0 BJE-001-1 BJE-001-1 2004 Sta Cor 2002 AAS Br	SPECIAL PROVISIONS STANDARD DRAWINGS Lastomer ic Bear ing Pads for Prestressed Boat Bear ing Details Heoprene Expansion Dams and Armored Edges Heoprene Expansion Dams and Armored Edges SPECIFICATIONS Indor d Specifications for Road and Bridge Struction. SHT0 Standard Specifications for Ilighway Idges.
	Les Les	BBP-001-1 BBP-002-0- BJE-001-1 BJE-001-1 2004 Sto Cor 2002 AAS Br	SPECIAL PROVISIONS SPECIAL PROVISIONS STANDARD DRAWINGS L Elastomer ic Bear ing Pads for Prestressed Boat Bear ing Details Neoprene Expansion Dams and Armored Edges Neoprene Expansion Dams and Armored Edges SPECIFICATIONS SPECIFICATIONS SPECIFICATIONS for Road and Bridge struction. SHT0 Standard Specifications for Highway Idges. Commonwealth of Kentucky
5 <u>4</u>	t co	BBP-001-1 BBP-002-0- BJE-001-1 JE-001-1 Z004 Sto Cor 2004 Sto Cor 2002 AAS Br	SPECIAL PROVISIONS SPECIAL PROVISIONS STANDARD DRAWINGS L Elastomer ic Bear ing Pads for Prestressed Boat Bear ing Details Neoprene Expansion Dams and Armored Edges SPECIFICATIONS Modard Specifications for Road and Bridge struction. SHITO Standard Specifications for Highway idges. Commonwealth of Kentucky EPARTMENT OF HIGHWAYS
5° §.	V CO	BBP-001-1 BBP-002-0- BJE-001-1 BJE-001-1 2002-0- BJE-001-1 BJE-000	SPECIAL PROVISIONS SPECIAL PROVISIONS STANDARD DRAWINGS Elastomeric Bearing Pads for Prestressed Bod Bearing Details Neoprene Expansion Dams and Armored Edges Neoprene Expansion Dams and Armored Edges SPECIFICATIONS Moder of Specifications for Highways BARTMENT OF HIGHWAYS COMMY
	2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2.	BBP-001-1 BBP-002-0 BJE-001-1 BJE-001-1 2002-0 BJE-001-1 BJE-001-1 BJE-001-1 BJE-001-1 BJE-001-1 BJE-001-1 BJE-001-1 SUB-001-1 SUB-001-1 SUB-001-1 SUB-001-1 SUB-002-0	SPECIAL PROVISIONS SPECIAL PROVISIONS STANDARD DRAWINGS Elastomeric Bearing Pads for Prestressed Boat Bearing Details Neoprene Expansion Dams and Armored Edges SPECIFICATIONS SPECIFICATIONS Indor d Specifications for Road and Bridget Struction. SHT0 Standard Specifications for Ilighway Idges. Commonwealth of Kentucky EPARTMENT OF HIGHWAYS COMMY
	2000 ×	BBP-001-1 BBP-002-0- BJE-001-1 BJE-001-1 2002-0- BJE-001-1 BJE-000-1 BJE-000	SPECIAL PROVISIONS SPECIAL PROVISIONS STANDARD DRAWINGS Elastomeric Bearing Pads for Prestressed Boat Bearing Details Neoprene Expansion Dams and Armored Edges SPECIFICATIONS SPECIFICATIONS mdor d Specifications for Road and Bridge struction. SHT0 Standard Specifications for Ilighway lages. Commonwealth of Kentucky EPARTMENT OF HIGHWAYS COMPTY MERCER
	V CO	BBP-001-1 BBP-002-0- BJE-001-1 BJE-001-1 2002-0- BJE-001-1 BJE-001-1 BJE-001-1 BJE-001-1 BJE-001-1 BJE-001-1 BJE-001-1 SJE-00-	SPECIAL PROVISIONS SPECIAL PROVISIONS STANDARD DRAWINGS Elastomeric Bearing Pads for Prestressed Boa Bearing Details Neoprene Expansion Dams and Armored Edges SPECIFICATIONS SPECIFICATIONS SPECIFICATIONS for Road and Bridge struction. SHT0 Standard Specifications for Highway lages. Commonwealth of Kentucky EPARTMENT OF HIGHWAYS COMPY MERCER
	Tee o	BBP-001-1 BBP-002-0- BJE-001-1 BJE-001-1 BJE-001-1 BJE-001-1 BJE-001-1 BJE-001-1 BJE-001-1 BJE-001-1 BJE-001-1 BJE-001-1 BJE-001-1 BJE-002-0- BJE-001-1 BJE-002-0- BJ	SPECIAL PROVISIONS SPECIAL PROVISIONS STANDARD DRAWINGS Elastomeric Bearing Pads for Prestressed Bod Bearing Details Neoprene Expansion Dams and Armored Edges SPECIFICATIONS SPECIFICATIONS SPECIFICATIONS for Road and Bridge struction. SHT0 Standard Specifications for Highway lages. Commonwealth of Kentucky EPARTMENT OF HIGHWAYS COMMY MERCER HERRINGTON LAKE TITLE SHEFT
	2000 ×	BBP-001-1 BBP-002-0- BJE-001-1 BJE-001-1 BJE-001-1 BJE-001-1 BJE-001-1 BJE-001-1 BJE-001-1 BJE-001-1 BJE-001-1 BJE-001-1 SJE-001-1 BJE-002-0- B	SPECIAL PROVISIONS SPECIAL PROVISIONS STANDARD DRAWINGS Elastomeric Bearing Pads for Prestressed Bod Bearing Details Neoprene Expansion Dams and Armored Edges Neoprene Expansion Dams and Armored Edges SPECIFICATIONS Mages Modor d Specifications for Road and Bridge struction. SHT0 Standard Specifications for Highway idges. Commonwealth of Kentucky EPARTMENT OF HIGHWAYS COMMY MERCER HERRINGTON LAKE TITLE SHEET
GE NU	JMBER	BBP-001-1 BBP 002-0 BJE-001-1 BJE-001-1 2002-0 BJE-001-1 BJE-001-1 BP BJE-001-1 BP BD CO1 Cor 2002-04 Sta Cor 2002-04 Sta Cor 2002-04 Sta Cor 2002-04 Sta Cor 2002-04 Sta Cor 2002-04 Sta Cor 2002-04 Sta Cor 2002-04 Sta Cor 2002-04 Sta Cor 2002-04 Sta Cor 2002-04 Sta Cor 2002-04 Sta Cor 2002-04 Sta Cor 2002-04 Sta Cor 2002-04 Sta Cor 2004-04 Sta Cor 2002-04 Sta Cor 2004-04 Sta Cor 2002-04 Sta Cor 2004-04 Sta Cor 2002-04 Sta Cor 200-04 Sta 200-04 Sta Cor 200-04 Sta 200-04 Sta 200-04 Sta 200-04 Sta Cor 200-04 Sta C	SPECIAL PROVISIONS SPECIAL PROVISIONS STANDARD DRAWINGS I Elastomer ic Bear ing Pads for Prestressed Bod Bear ing Details Neoprene Expansion Dams and Armored Edges Neoprene Expansion Dams and Armored Edges SPECIFICATIONS Mages Specifications for Road and Bridge Struction. SHT0 Standard Specifications for Highway Idges. Commonwealth of Kentucky EPARTMENT OF HIGHWAYS COMMY MERCER HERRINGTON LAKE TITLE SHEET SHEE Sion of Structural Design

TO BUENA VISTA

1.2





FE

TO BURGIN

	€¢ DE	PARTMENT OF HIGHWAYS
		MERCER
	ROUTE KY 152	
		LAYOUT
BRIDGE NUMBER	Divisi	on of Structural Design SHEET 1
E020840152-B00005N	AMERI	CAN ENGINEERS, INC. 2230







	Com DEPA	nonwealth of Kentuck RTMENT OF HIGHWAY	y YS
		MERCER	
	KY 152		
18		VICINITY MAP	
BRIDGE NUMBER	Division	of Structural Design	SHEET HI S22
)2-084-0152-B00005N	AMERICA	N ENGINEERS, INC.	084W3MG 1







Herrington Lake

Piers

B.M. 1- SPIKE IN 36" B. OAK 35'27.07'END OF BRIDGE.

Wood Hub. 6" 11" Concrete Mon

G

000

000,

ß

To Set

B.M. "3-- SPIKE IN IL OAK SHAG 12' FROM WATTER EDGE. ELEY. 767.73

C

Pier 2

500000

ವ್ಗ

6 8

87 6

Ă

Pier I SAbt

S

80

~ 8M, ⁴2 -- NAIL IN 18' 8.0AV 20' FROM NATEL LOGE , LLEV, 765.43



. ..

The line was sighted from wood hub marked "X across top of Pier No.2 to the steel drill marked Y. Drill holes in top of pier on this line and set copper plugs in concrete grout of places shown by small circles on plan and mark with a center punch to be exactly on the line. Set a new concrete monument properly centered at "U and sight across Piers 2,3,4 & 5 to a new monument set at "V. On this line copper plugs are to be set at small circles shown on plans and center marked. These plugs are to serve far alignment also s bases to set level rod when taking elevations. On opposite side of piers copper plugs are to be set for elevation readings. X to Y and U to V. Each date of observation read the thermometer and record the temperature.

	- -		1	107 100	1	A-2-1 A	1- 1			1	E/er	ations	٤						
	Date	Â	B	6	D	ADT N	01	Pier	No I	Pier	No 2	Pier	NO 3	Pier	NO 4	Pier	NO 5	Abt	NOR
	5- 7-24	1.47	6.25	1.50	5	Left	Hight	Loft	Right	Loft -	Right	Left	Right	Left	Right	Left	Right	Left	Right
	2- 5-36	A.20	0.30	4.00	3.77	700.00			<u> </u>	ļ	ļ	ļ						1	<u> </u>
	3- 5- 16	1 00	5.94	3.98	5.29	/06,33	786.33	761.44	761.47	763.62	763.49	761.71	761.68	761.41	761.47	786.45	786.44	706.2	786 2
	4-6-16	4.30	5.98	3.95	6.32	1													
	11-27-36	4.30	6.90	3.30	6.32		·		 									<u> </u>	
	18-20-27	4.20	605	3.93	6.34					L					T	1	1	1	†
	1-18-38	A.01	6.20	3.00	6.47				ļ					L]			1	
	2- 4-38	415	6/0	275	0.62	i										1		†	<u> </u>
	2-17-38	415	6.0	3.73	6.52				L									<u> </u>	<u> </u>
	3-16-38	120	6.10	3.12	6.03											1		<u> </u>	
	4- 7-38	420	6.12	275	6.32	ſ												<u> </u>	
서 말 .	11-14-38	4.20	6.10	3.73	0.02													†	
	12-20-20	4.12	<u> </u>	3.74	0.53														<u> </u>
	1-20-39	1.10	621	264	0.63	┠────┤										1		<u> </u>	<u> </u>
	2-20-3.9	410	6.22	3.02	6.60			···											1
	5- 2-39	4.10	622	3.02	6.63												··		
	1-15-40	3.88	645	3.63	0.04		<u> </u>												
1	1-26-42	4.91	6.42	240	0.73	┞													
	3-15-49	395	6 99	340	0.79	┝────┤												·	<u> </u>
	3 /8-43	9.90	6.00	3.30	6.77														
	11-18-43	.1.99	6.43	3.40	6.87											·		/	
,	2-24-44	374	6.50	3.47	6.80														
	2-6-45	3.74	6.56	0.07	6.90]						<u></u>	
1	12-2-45	3.93	6.40	930	6.97	706.33	786.33	761.48	761.50	763.81	763.69	761-83	761.7A	761.5A	761.54	786.50	786 10	781 11	786.00
	3-6-46	1.89	644	241	6.03	780.34	705.36	761.48	761.50	763.80	763.64	76:83	761. 77	761.55	761.55	786.49	786.48	185.29	786.29
	1-27-47	3.8/	652	1 92	0.00	706.34	100.36	7/1 10	761.50	763.80	763.65	761.83	761.78	761.56	761.56	786,50	78647	786.3/	786.2
ļ	3-24-47	3.87	646	212	6.33	106.35	10636	161.48	161.30	763 79	763,65	76/ 84	761;78	761,57	76156	78653	186.52	786.34	786.32
	3-9-48	3.84	6.49	0.97	005	786.34	786,38	761.47	761.50	763.83	763,66	761 84	761,79	761,58	761.57	78653	786.51	78634	79531
ĒĒ			<u> </u>		1.00	1000	78634	761.48	761.50	<u>763.86</u>	763,69	761.87	761.84	761,60	761.63	78654	78653	78634	700 31
{						+													100.33
				·					h										
e e		······			i	<u> </u>													
3 2												<u> </u>							
								<u> </u> -		+									
1					<														
· [<u> </u>		
1 I														3		T			
											Ì			2			i i		
≿ <u>≥</u> [<u>, S., .</u>							<u> </u> -					(-					· · · ·
55					- <u></u>					<u> </u>			ÿ			1			
<u>⊧ 8 [</u>				100 A														· · ·	
								-					- % _		· · · .				-

	1		\$ Lin	C X-	YRł.	orLft.	Ι,	• •										- <u> </u>					_				•	
			1 - 0	10	ints a	ot	1-1/	ne U-	VRI	ght o	rLeft	of Fa	bints	at				4	Eleva	tions								
	Date	1		<u>E</u>		<u>٢</u>		<u>F</u>	6	ĩ	<i>F</i>	1	1	[Abt N	10.1	Pie	r No I	Die	r NAT		Ale I						
	L	Nº.	Rt.	<u></u>	Rt.	Lt.	Rł.	Lt.	Rt.	Lt.	Rt.	Lt,	Rt	Lt	Right	1eft	Rich	100.1	D: 64	140.2	P. 6/	///0. 3	Pier	NO 4	Pie	<u>r Na.5</u>	Abt. N	0.2
	3-15-5	50 55	° 0.0	1/2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	786 20	70/ 7	1761 00	L-OF/	Tign;	Lerr	Night	Lett	Right	Left	Right	Left	Right	Left
	6-27-5	080	•	14				1	1	-		+		1	786 26	764.3	G / G/ 30	707.0	763.77	763.9	761.89	761.9	4 761.72	761.65	786.5	5 786.55	\$ 792.60	752.00
	10-27-5	0 72	0,0	0.0	1/2	0.0	00	0.0	00	00	00	00	20	100	700.00	100,00	161.30	161.49	763.72	763.81	6 761.80	761.8	7 761.56	761.57	786.5	786.58	792.63	792.65
	4-11-5	1 54	1 1/2	00		100	00	00	10.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0,0	100.30	106.3	761.50	761.49	763.73	763.8	Z 761.79	751.8	3 761.53	761.47	786.5	5786.54	700 45	742
	11-14-	51 65	• 0.0	0.1	20.0	0.0	110		100	0,0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	186.37	786.30	5 761.50	761.48	763.76	763.8	7 761.78	761.8	5 761.50	761.51	786 6	7.91 0	- 700 C	10031
	12-16-5	2 45	0.0	1	0.0	1	0.0	00	00	100	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	186.36	7 <i>86.31</i>	761.50	761.48	763,73	763.88	761.78	761.90	761.56	761.55	786.5	7RL 57	742.61	702 6
	12-23-5.	3 22'	0.0	0.10	0.0	0.11	0.0	0.0	0.00		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	786.36	786.3	761.50	761.49	763,74	763.80	9 761.79	761.81	761.54	161.53	786.5	736.53	7.92.00	
	6-16-54	F 92°	0.0	0.0	5.0.0	0.03	00	0.0	00	00	100	0.0	0.0	0.0	786.39	786.3	761.50	761.50	763.75	763.90	761.81	761.8	\$ 761.55	761.53	786.53	786.55	797.62	792.6
	12-21-5	4 30	0.0	11."	0.0	10-		10.0	10,0	10.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	186.38	786.36	5 761.50	761.50	763.76	763.90	761.82	761.81	761.61	761.56	786.53	786.56	742 67	79963
	1-17-5	5 12	100	76	0.0	75.	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	00	0.0	786-383	786-37	5 76/.50	761.50	763.748	763.82	8 761.793	161.85	761.57	761.500	206 51	701.00	1100.415	100.61
	2.18.5	C 50	,	11	0.0	18	0.0	0.0	<u>+</u>	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	786.389	786.37	3 761.50	761.50	763.730	763.84	7 761.760	761.800	761.494	761 4 14	70 62	700 549	172013	132.01
¥	3.4.5		10.0	14	10.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	786,393	786.38	5 761.50	761.502	763.721	763.91	2 761.791	761.87	7761.511	71.471	700.500	106.3.0	132.618	797.614
T	2.25.5	C / 22	1700	1 <u>4 7</u> (ibder n	ater.	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	786.344	786.35	4 761.50	761.488	763.727	763.90	5 761.747	761.97	6701 AAT	701616	744340	186323	132.603	792.60
	1-25-0	C / 1		10.	1	1.	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	786.365	786.35	3 761.50	761.50	763.718	763.87	5 761.738	761.84	5 761 ACA	701.200	106.508	746.519	136.395	192.589
	5-13-5	5 -9-	10.0	116	10.0	2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	00	786.360	786.35	761.50	761.488	763.710	763.81	0 761.77=	761.97	0741.000	711 404	781 100	701	752.627	792.62
ł	6-15-51	5 77	0.0	1/16	0.0	14	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	786.376	786.35	761.50	761.488	763.709	763.86	761.777	761.10	2761 470	761 400	70/ 07-	706.300	792-3.80	772.574
Ì	7-18-54	185	0.0	7/4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	786.366	786.352	761.50	761.498	763.718	763.169	761.740	761 871	N/ 1 A 7/	741.400	(16.030	786.346	792 6/0	792.620
ŀ	8-16-5-	100	0.0	10	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0 j	0.0	786.377	786.362	761.50	761.489	765.749	763.929	761.749	761.931	761.6AA	761.491	716 . 519	106.330	(77.615	192.618
H	/0.0	03	0.0	10	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	00	3/16	0,0	0.0	00	0.0	186.391	786.380	761.50	761.488	763.721	762930	761.752	71.1 0.11	11/ 117	711 100	700.021	104 001	7.92.620	192.624
ł	10-3-55	63	0.0	1/"	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	786.384	786.373	761.50	761.500	763 729	71.3.970	761.739	7/1 47	111 171	701 510	786,561	786.536	772 601	712.607
- [10-21-55	60	0.0	18	0.0	0.0	0.0.	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	786,388	786.37	761.50	761.500	767 709	71.290	741 100	701. 750	761.919	761. 510	756. 532	786. 545	792.607	792 603
- }	10 10 -	30	0.0	1/16	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	786.387	786.372	761.50	761.50	763 757	7/3 97	101. 779	761.071	141.310	/6/. 304	<u>786.526</u>	786.540	792.605	792.60
Ĥ	!2-19-35	36	0.0	18	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	786.389	786.37	761.50	761.50	71.2759	763.90	761.867	201.844	761.493	761.504	786.539	786.55	797.627	792.62
Ļ	1-20-56	38	0.0	16	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	786.387	7A6.279	761.50	761.50	7/2760		161.100	161.030	761. 511	764.509	756.3/4	786.546	792.616	792.618
X	2.21.56	36°	Poin	170	nder H	ter	1.0	4"	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	7.96 355	780. 343	761.50	70100	200 040	700.000	761.757	731-070	761.510	741.506	786.530	786.546	792.609	792.61
*	3-19-56	32°	Poin	144	nder Ha	ker .	0.0	16"	15	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	786.30	7AC 35	201 50	761.50	163.061	163.015	761.819	761.038	761. 582	761.523	786.513	785.542	792.617	792.613
* <u> </u>	<u>4-11-56</u>	40°	Bin	Y 1	nder H	ster	0.0	16"	3"	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	795 25	786.35	701.50	761.50	763.73	763.90	761.05	761.90	761.58	761.51	786.55	786.57	792.59	7 52.59
	5-18.56	65°	0.0.	1/8-	0.0	1/. "	0.0	16	16"	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	746.35	74.30	161.30	761.30	763.77	763.89	761.83	761.86	761.60	761.53 7	186.52	786.55	752.63	792.GE
4	<u>6-19-56</u>	84°	0.0	18	0.0	2"	0.0	1.	J.	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.0	796.366	7 0/ 279	761.50	761.49	193.17	/63.07	761.87	761.86	761.65	761.54 7	186.51	786.53	792.60	792.59
Ľ	7-13-56	600	0.0	1 5/16	0.0	8"	0.0	.1/4*	129	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2	776 777	10/ 0/2	21100	761.417	763, 158	763.923	761, 790	761.955	761.542	761.646 7	186.522	786.544	792.617	792 615
Ŀ	8-10-50	83*	0.0	1"	0.0	3/6	0.0	4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.01	0.0		70/ 00 2	10.361	14.50	761.486	763.76	763.906	761.804	761.904	761.577	761.5957	86. 529	7 56, 529	792.604	792.604
	9-21-56	650	0.0	17/6	0.0	1/2"	2.0	416"	1/2"	0.0	0.0	0.00	2.0	2.01	796.39	78.37	761.30	161.49	163.79	763.9Z	761.88	761.93	761.65	761.61 7	86.53	786.55	792.61	792.61
≁									- 1							100.07	101.30	761.44	163.78	765.40	761.36	761.90	761.66	761.58 7	86.53	786.54	192.61	792.61
-	1/470				a successive and									-	<u></u>			i				÷			<u>.</u>		2	
	MULL:	* 00	LEIAI	IONS	MADL	COURT.	16 L	KT EEM	IE HI	* HAI	ZR.	E.O.	<i>S</i> ,				SI	ILET "Z	? OF 3									
``,	THIS LIN	E RES	LR VL	0 F	DR F	TELD .	e£CC	RDER	?. E	D.S.											CUN	IMO	NWŁ/	VLIH	IOF	KEN	TUC	SY
(-	SEE SHEET	*3 FU	R AD.	01710	ONAL	OBSER	RAT	ON D	ATA.	ĵ							.*				÷	I	DEPARTA	IENT O	F HIGH	WAYS		
																			÷					ξ.	and the second s	3	-	~ 100 M
																-			* (2			n ///		nn.	APSE	
				,	_						-										í - 1	VICI	NUL	R74	UA	KK/	4 K l	Jack St
	* .	-																	*	3	ć.	10 I H	DOIN	OI IC	NLA	VICT	• 🛦 . 🔊 Š	
-		٠, ب	7/		2													•	- <u>-</u>	** *	× *	DU	TOWN	DUC	NA.	A 121	A	
										•	÷							n Leve ti				÷.,	2	4				1
	100 C			,		- ,									. 4	-			•	1		1		÷		: 4		
		÷									-nganike -n				. A.			2	. î.	L	<u> </u>		i e la su _a st			1.14		a strate as
		-		\$ °							W. specific .		8.4	14		÷				Í	BAT	521		ta a ser ye				4955
							* :			N	: :			· ·		*	Ser.	ae	3 - ¥		04-3	1-1				- 549	13	8-24
			(5		e : ;				10,000						Sugar			and the second sec	na na na na Ng Ng Ng Lya	oglasti i i				Comments of the second	
	~ 10							·				ji Airaisa		<u>s</u> ja				(de) de la	on Board	10.12	<u>.</u> Asso	ALL NO	Same Par	R. Com				
	1															_			for a									
	1																	i				Sec. Sec.		a de la			- J	200
	ł																	i	1 23		R					Í.		1-
	I																				617:	free-	1. 2. 20				5. 	. Sale tare
																			·		• 3 A L A L A	*			Links	1994 - C.		







APPENDIX G

POSTED WEIGHT LIMIT (15T) MEMO



TRANSPORTATION CABINET

Steven L. Beshear Governor

Frankfort, Kentucky 40622 www.transportation.ky.gov/ Michael W. Hancock, P.E. Acting Secretary

Memo To:	James Ballinger, P.E.
	Chief District Engineer
	District Seven
From	Anne Lynch Irish, P.E. Chief Load Rating Engineer Division of Maintenance
Date:	June 1, 2010

Subject: Bridge Posting Mercer County KY 152 over Herrington Lake, "Kennedy's Mill Bridge"

After review of the condition and analysis or changes in the weight carrying capacity of the subject structure by the bridge preservation analysis staff, this office concurs that the posting level for the following bridge should be as follows:

084B00005N Post the structure at 15 tons for all traffic due to recent repairs to the superstructure.

Please notify the proper officials of this posting change. Should you have any questions, please advise.

ALI

cc:

Kelly Baker Michael Vaughn Cole Griggs Tony Karsner Jessica Wheeler

File



APPENDIX H

KENNEDY MILL BRIDGE ARTICLE

Kennedy Mill Bridge By F. C. MAHAN, M.E. 1906



EDITOR'S NOTE .-- Mr. Mahan graduated in Mechanical and Electrical Engineering, University of Kentucky, 1906. From 1906 to 1908 he was surveying and assisting in abstracting land titles in eastern Kentucky. From 1908 to 1913 he was Chief Draftsman, Bureau ightarrow fLand, at Manila, P. I. In this connection he made surveys on both Corregidor Island and Bataan Peninsula. Then from 1913 to 1931 he was Mining Engineer, Mine Superintender t, and Coal Operator in eastern Kentucky. From 1931 to 1942 he served as Design Engineer in the Bridge Office of the Highway Department at Frankfort, Kentucky. Since September, 1942, the beginning of the Enlisted Specialist Branch U. S. Army Engineer School at Lexington, Mr. Mahan has been a civilian instructor in charge of the Surveying Course.

The old turnpike road between Burgin and Buena Vista, Kentucky, crossed the Dick's River on an old wooden bridge in the vicinity of Kennedy's Mill, thus the name of the present bridge which has caused so much comment because it is apparently defying all of Newton's laws of gravitation by rising instead of settling.

The old bridge was a low level wooden bridge situated at the bottom of a precipitous gorge some 250 to 300 feet deep. The picturesque road leading to it was blasted out of the cliffs on either side and, through the old covered bridge, crossed the historic river which Daniel Boone named or rather "gave" to his faithful servant, Dick.

When the Dick's River dam (now spelled "Dix" by the Kentucky Utilities Company) was started, it became necessary to build a high level bridge over the impounded water and the reservoir thus formed was named Herrington Lake.

The new bridge was completed and turned over to Mercer and Garrard counties April 7, 1925. The super-structure consists of three 220 foot, one 60 foot and two 45 foot spans, all deck type. The 220 foot spans were erected by cantilever method and the trusses were designed to carry the extra stresses of erection. The substructures are of reinforced concrete, abutments are stub type on or near the top of the cliffs. On the Garrard County end there are two H. type concrete piers 34'-0"

and 39'-0" high. Piers Nos. 2 and 3 are in the gorge proper, pier No. 3 being 190'-0" high and pier No. 2 being 230'-0" high, which levels are some 20'-0" below the roadway deek.

At about the time the bridge was completed the "Engineering News Record" had a very good description of the two taller piers. (See Figure No. 1.) These piers are hollow reinforced concrete tubes, similar to chimneys. They were built by the Weber Chimney Company of Chicago by its regular chimney building procedure. The foundation for the 230' pier has a $61\frac{1}{2}$ ' reinforced concrete slab and the shaft or stack is anchored to the footing with 1" steel bars. The shell thickness at the bottom is 2678". Both piers are 12' wide and 22'-0" long at the top and covered with a concrete slab, and both piers have 3' square ports at top and bottom to permit them to fill with water. They are flat on the sides and round on both ends and the shell thickness gradually decreases toward top.

All substructures were supposed to be on solid rock. A closer inspection of the cliffs, however, reveals that the rock formation is in many layers with thin layers of fireclay between. From best information now obtainable, pier No. 2, the one in question, was judged to have had better foundation at the time of its erection.

The upward movement must have started after the impounded waters began to rise because it was still some time before it was realized that this pier was actually

Mercer County



 $\mathbf{2}$

rising. The earliest inspection on record in the Department of Highways was made by Mr. P. D. Gilham, March 17, 1932. Pier No. 2 at that time was 1.337 higher than pier No. 3. Provious records had been made but had unfortunately been lost in a fire. the pier with 4''x4'' wood blocks inserted between the cable and the concrete masonry. From the four corners of the pier, cables were stretched to anchor on the bake shores. Turn buckles were inserted in each line to obtain uniform tension in all cables. (See Figure 2.) Even



Since the first inspection there has been a constant and careful check kept on the actions of this pier. Commencing in April, 1934, for a period of about two years, precise levels and a check on the alignment was run twice a month by Mr. Threlkel, Resident Engineer. His observations and data showed the most pronounced movements occurred in the early fall and spring. This fact might lead to a conclusion that the depth of the lake had some effect upon the pier's action. At the height of the movement the pier had risen approximately 30" and tilted up stream and toward the Mercer County side about 12".

The bench mark at the bridge was established by carrying the elevation from the U.S.G.S. bench mark in Burgin some 5 miles away and then checked back to the Burgin bench mark.

3

The tilting and upward movements of the pier were such that it was about to drag one of the bridge shoes off the pier. It was very evident that, to keep the bridge from falling into the lake, something had to be done.

It was decided to jack the bridge up and place an extended grillage under the shoes. To do this, it was necessary first to rivet a new 1-beam to the bottom of the trusses of sufficient strength to carry the weight of the bridge. The grillage consisted of three 7" 1-beams and two 7" charnels bolted together to form a base for the new shoe to rest on and of sufficient length to extend beyond the pier cap. After doing this, there was some apprehension as to what would happen when the bridge was cut loose from the pier. As a precaution to prevent any sudden movement or vibration, it was decided to anchor the top of the pier to the shore line, A 112" wire cable band was stretched tightly around the top of

with this precaution there was a considerable vibration period when the bridge was cut loose. Final inspection showed that one of the shoes extended for more than half its length beyond the edge of the pier's cap. Had the extended grillage not been built, this span would now be in the lake.

The pier has shown no appreciable movement for some time. Possibly it has reached a stable point and will remain in its present condition. This, however, may be wishful thinking. Figures 3 and 4 were taken shortly after the bridge was completed and before the lake filled. It will be noted that the floor and bottom chord are in a straight line. Figures 5 and 6 were recently (Continued on page 18)



.3

THE KENTUCKY ENGINEER

KENNEDY MILL BRIDGE (Continued from page 3)



Fig. 4: May 31, 1925

 $\int L_{\alpha}$: taken and show very clearly the hump in the road and succeed how the bottom chord is out of line. Figure 7 shows the lake filled and it is very noticeable that pier No. 2 is higher than pier No. 3. Note the top of ports in pier No. 2 are plainly visible and are completely submerged in pier No. 3 whereas they were originally on the same elevation.



Fig. 5: June 9, 1932

There has been much speculation from various sources as to the cause of the movement of pier $N \approx 2$. If it were possible to inspect the footing at the bot om of the lake some evidence might be discovered as to the cause. It is generally attributed in some way to the creation of the lake. This movement might have taken place, however, had there never been a Lake Herrington.

Some of the many theories that have been advanced as to the probable cause are:

1. Trapped gases under footing.

2. Hydrostatic pressure.

3. Since the lime cliffs are full of crevices, holes, etc., and may be cavernous in places, the extra weight of the water may have caused some shift in the immediate terrain.

4. There is a possibility of heaving of the bottom when certain stratas are wet and softened.



Fig. 6: June 9, 1932

Careful observations also indicate that possibly the whole cliff on the Mercer County side may be slowly moving toward the lake. Knowing the cause of such a phenomenon would be a real satisfaction to the engineer's curiosity even though it might be of little value as far as the present bridge is concerned. If the bridge should completely fail, a suspension bridge from cliff to cliff would apparently be the only solution due to the great depth of the water; but at that, this might not be a permanent solution if one cliff is tending to slide into the lake.



Fig. 7: March 28, 1943

mole

APPENDIX I

INVENTORY AND INSPECTION REPORTS

NATIONAL BRIDGE INVENTORY

KENTUCKY INVENTORY AND APPRAISAL REPORT Use of this document subject to 23 USC SEC 409

(8) STRUCTURE NUMBER: 084B00005N	
*****IDENTIFICATION*****	*****CLASSIFICATION*****
(1) STATENAME: KENTUCKY	(112) NBIS BRIDGE LENGTH: Yes
(5) INVENTORY ROUTE (ON/UNDER): 131001520	(104) HIGHWAY SYSTEM: 0 - Inventory Route is not on the NHS
(2) DISTRICT AGENCY DISTRICT: 7	(26) FUNCTIONAL CLASS 07 - Major Collector
	(100) STRAHNET HIGHWAY: 0 - The inventory route is not a STRAHNET route
	(101) PARALLEL STRU N - No parallel structure exists
(9) LOCATION. AT GARRARD - MERCER CL	
(11) MILE POINT: 18.86	(102) DIRECTION OF T $2 - 2$ -way traffic
(7) FACILITY CARRIED: KY-152	
(12) BASE HIGHWAY NETWORK:	(105) FEDERAL LANDS HIGHWATS. U - NOL APPLICADIE
(13) LRS INVENTORY ROUTE & SUBROUTE:	(110) DESIGNATED 0 - The inventory route is not part of the national
(16) LATITUDE: 37.745268563 N DEGREES	NETWORK: network for trucks
(17) LONGITUDE: -84.704666374 W DEGREES	(20) TOLL: 2 On Erec Bood
(98) BORDER BRIDGE STATECODE Unknown - %SHARED: Unknown	
(99) BORDER BRIDGE STRUCTURE NUMBER:	(21) MAINTAIN: U1 - Not Coded
*****STRUCTURE TYPE AND MATERIAL*****	(22) OWNER: 01 - State Highway Agency
(43) STRUCTURE TYPE MAIN: 309 - Steel Truss - Deck	3 - Bridge is possibly eligible for the
(44) STRUCTURE TYPE APPR: -Steel Girder and Floorbeam System	(37) HISTORICAL SIGNIFICANCE
(45) NUMBER OF SPANS IN MAIN UNIT	bridge is on a State or local historic register
(46) NUMBER OF APPROACH SPANS	******
(107) DECK STRUCTURE TYPE 6 - Corrugated Steel	
	(58) DECK: 5
	(59) SUPERSTRUCTURE: 5
(100A) I TPE OF WEARING SORFACE. 6 - Biluminous	(60) SUBSTRUCTURE: 5
(108B) TYPE OF MEMBRANE: 0 - None	(61) CHANNEL AND CHANNEL PROTECTION: 7
(108C) TYPE OF DECK PROTECTION: 0 - None	(62) CULVERTS: N
*****AGE AND SERVICE*****	*****LOAD RATING AND POSTING*****
(27) YEAR BUILT: 1924	(31) DESIGN LOAD: 2 - H 15
(106) YEAR RECONSTRUCTED: 0	(63) OPERATING RATING METHOD: 1 - Load Factor
(42A) TYPE OF SERVICE-ON: 1 - Highway	(64) OPERATING RATING: 15.0 Tons
(42B) TYPE OF SERVICE-UNDER 5 - Waterway	(65) INVENTORY RATING METHOD: 1 - Load Factor
(28) LANES ON STRUCTURE: 2 UNDER STRUCTURE: 0	(66) INVENTORY RATING: 15.0 Tons
	(70) BRIDGE POSTING: 0 - >39.9% below
(30) YEAR OF ADT: 2010 (100) TRUCK ADT% 7	(41) STRUCTURE OPEN, P - Posted for load
(10) RVPASS DETOLID LENGTH: 19 9 mi	POSTED OR CLOSED:
	*****APPRAISAL*****
	(67) STRUCTURAL EVALUATION: 4
(40) LENGTH OF MAXIMUM SPAN. 210.0 II.	(68) DECK GEOMETRY: 2
(49) STRUCTURE LENGTH: 798.0 ft .	(69) UNDERCLEARENCE, VERTICAL & HORIZONTAL: N
(50) CURB OR SIDE WALK LEFT: U.U π . RIGHT: U.U π .	(71) WATERWAY ADEQUACY: 8
(51) BRIDGE ROADWAY WIDTH CURB TO CURB: 20.0 ft.	(36) TRAFFIC SAFETY FEATURES: 1000
(52) DECK WIDTH OUT TO OUT: 21.6 ft.	(113) SCOUR CRITICAL BRIDGES: 8
(32) APPROACH ROADWAY WIDTH (W/SHOULDERS): 20.0	*****PROPOSED IMPROVEMENTS*****
(33) BRIDGE MEDIAN: No	(75) TYPE OF WORK: 311
(34) SKEW: 0 STRUCTURED FLARED: No	(76) LENGTH OF STRUCTURE IMPROVEMENT: 798 ft.
(10) INVENTORY ROUTE MIN VERT CLEAR: 100.0 ft.	(94) BRIDGE IMPROVEMENT COST: \$9,000,000.00
(47) INVENTORY ROUTE TOTAL HORIZ CLEAR: 20 ft.	(95) ROADWAY IMPROVEMENT COST: \$3,900,000.00
(53) MIN VERT CLEAR OVER BRIDGE RDWY: 99.99 ft.	(96) TOTAL PROJECTION COST: \$12,900,000.00
(54) MIN VER UNDER CLEAR REF: N (b) 0 ft.	(97) YEAR OF IMPROVEMENT COST ESTIMATE: 2010
(55) MIN LAT UNDER CLEAR RT REF: N (b) 0 ft.	(114) FUTURE ADT: 1812
(56) MIN LAT UNDER CLEAR LEFT: 0 ft.	(115) YEAR OF FUTURE ADT: 2030
*****NAVIGATION DATA*****	*****INSPECTIONS*****
(38) NAVIGATION CONTROL 0 - No navigation control on waterway	(90 INSPECTION DATE: 2/10/2011
(111) PIER PROTECTION: - Not Coded	(92) CRITICAL FEATURE INSPECTION:
(39) NAVIGATION VERTICAL CLEARENCE: 0.0 ft.	(92A) FRACTURE CRITICAL DETAIL: Y24
(116) VERT-LIFT BRIDGE NAV MIN VERT CLEARENCE: ft.	(92B) UNDERWATER INSPECTION: Y60
(40) NAVIGATION HORIZONTAL CLEARENCE: 0.0 ft.	(92C) OTHER SPECIAL INSP: N
SUFFICIENCY RATING: 28.90	(91) FREQUENCY: 12 months
STATUS: 2 - Functionally Obsolete	(93) CFI DATE:
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	(034): 10/1/2006

(93B):

084B00005N

Inspection Date: 2/10/2011

Inspector: CGRIGGS (30)

Primary Type: Substandard (12 Months)

Summary:

KYTC Bridge Inspection Report

Types of Inspections Performed:

National Bridge Inventory:

Y Y Element:

Ν

Fracture Critical: Underwater: N

							Other Spec	ial: N
· · · · ·			District Re	eview Da	te: 2/10/20)11	_	
Inspector Signature:			District Re	eviewer:	MVAU	GHN (136)	_	
	I							
Bridge ID (8): 084B00	0005N MAP BRIDG	<u>近</u>	District Nu	mber:	7			
Route Carried (7): KY-152	<u>></u>		County (3):		84 Me	rcer		
Mile Point: 18.856			Feature Inte	ersected	(6): HERRI	NGTON LAKE		
Location (9): AT GAR	RRARD - MERCER (CL	Road Name	: :	KENNE	DY BRIDGE RD		
Structure Description: 798 Foo	ot - 4 Span Steel Truss -	- Deck		=				
NBI CONDITION	SCHEDULE TAB							=
Deck (58): 5	Schedule:	Requ	lired (Y/N)		Last Date	Frequ	ency N	ext Date
Superstructure (59): 5	NB	l (90):	. ,	2	/10/2011	(91): 12 mo	s 2/1	0/2012
Substructure (60): 5	Fracture Critical	(92A):	Y	(93A): 1	0/1/2006	(92A): 24 mo	s 9/2	4/2011
Culverts (62): N	Underwater	(92B):	Y	(93B): 1	0/25/2004	(92B): 60 mo	s 1/2	2/2015
Channel/Protection (61): 7	Other Special	(92C):	N	(93C): 1	0/1/2006	(92C): mos	5/1	1/2012
	Flem	ontal:	NΔ	(000).	0/112000	12 mo	e 2/1	0/2012
		entai.		-			5	0/2012
Load Rating and Posting						WATERWAY		
Truck Type Ty	/pl Typll	Typ III	Typ IV	Gros	is	Scour Critical ((113):	8
Recomm. Posting: 15	5 15	15	15	15				
						Observed 113	Rating:	8
Field Posting: 15	5 15	15	15	15			•	
Posting Status (41): P I	Posted for load					Waterway Ade	q. (71):	8
Signs Posted: C	Cardinal: Y	Non-Car	dinal: Y			-	• •	
							·	
DECK/WEARING SURFACE								
 Deck Type (107):	6 Co	rrugated Stee	el					
Wearing Surface/Protective Sys	stem (108):	Туре	e:6 Me	mbrane:	0 Pro t	tection: 0		
Traffic Safety Features (36):	-	Bridge Rai	il: 1 Tra	ansition:	0 Ap	or. Rail: 0	Rail En	ds: 0
Overlay:	Y	-						
Overlay Type:	Asph	nalt						
Overlay Thickness:	2.00							
Vertical Clearances			Suff	iciency I	Ratings			
Minimum Vertical Overclearanc	ce (53):	99	9.99 SB	2	<u>م</u> م			
Minimum Vertical Underclearan	ıce (54):	(0.00		0.90	5D/FC. 210	ICtionany	Obsolete
Maximum Vertical Clearance (1	0):	99	9.99					
Minimum Vertical Clearance:			9.99					
Element Condition State Dat	ta							
Elm/Env Description		Units	Total Qty.	Qty. CS1	Qty. CS2	Qty. CS3 Qt	y. CS4	Qty. CS5
107/1 Paint Stl Opn Girde	er	LF	172.00	6.00	0.00	160.00	6.00	0.00
113/1 Paint Stl Stringer		LF	6,224.02	0.03	5,879.99	344.00	0.00	0.00
130/1 Unpnt Stl Deck Tru	ISS	LF	1.00	0.00) 1.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

084B00005N

I

KYTC Bridge Inspection Report

Types of Inspections Performed:

National Bridge Inventory:

Y Y Element:

Fracture Critical: Ν

Underwater: Ν

Other Special: Ν

Element Condition State Data								
Elm/Env	Description	Units	Total Qty.	Qty. CS1	Qty. CS2	Qty. CS3	Qty. CS4	Qty. CS5
131/1	Paint Stl Deck Truss	LF	1,380.00	0.00	1,380.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
152/1	Paint Stl Floor Beam	LF	1,210.00	0.00	1,210.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
205/1	R/Conc Column	EA	6.00	0.00	4.00	2.00	0.00	0.00
210/1	R/Conc Pier Wall	LF	40.00	0.00	40.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
215/1	R/Conc Abutment	LF	128.00	0.00	128.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
234/1	R/Conc Cap	LF	88.00	0.00	88.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
300/1	Strip Seal Exp Joint	LF	80.00	80.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
30/1	Corrug/Orthotpc Deck	SF	15,920.04	0.00	15,920.04	0.00	0.00	0.00
311/1	Moveable Bearing	EA	6.00	6.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
313/1	Fixed Bearing	EA	6.00	6.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
334/1	Metal Rail Coated	LF	1,556.00	1,546.00	10.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
357/1	Pack Rust Smart Flag	EA	1.00	0.00	0.00	1.00	0.00	0.00
363/1	Section Loss SmFlag	EA	1.00	1.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
601/1	MisAlign/ot of plane	EA	1.00	0.00	1.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

Eleme	Element Condition State Data							
Str Uni	t Elm/Env	Description	Description					
1	107/1	Paint Stl Opn Girder	THE STEEL GIRDERS IN SPAN #1 HAVE MODERATE PACK RUST, WITH MINOR LOSS OF SECTION THROUGHOUT AND MINOR TO MODERATE LOSS OF SECTION AT THE BEARING AREAS AT ABUTMENT #1 AND PIER #2. THE STEEL GIRDERS IN SPAN #7 HAVE MODERATE PACK RUST WITH MINOR LOSS OF SECTION THROUGHOUT.					
1	113/1	Paint Stl Stringer	THE GALVANIZED COATING ON ALL THE STRINGERS IS BEGINNING TO FAIL AND SURFACE RUST IS STARTING TO OCCUR. THE SURFACE RUST IS MOST PREVALENT ON THE TOP FLANGE NEAR THE AREAS WHERE THE CORRUGATED DECKING MAKES CONTACT WITH THE STRINGERS.					
1	130/1	Unpnt Stl Deck Truss	(THIS IS A 'DUMMY' BRIDGE ELEMENT THAT IS BEING USED ONLY FOR THE PURPOSE OF HAVING ENOUGH SPACE TO WRITE ALL THE NOTES FOR THE PAINTED STEEL DECK TRUSS ELEMENT.) SPAN #2, NORTH TRUSS, EXTERIOR GUSSET PLATE AT L0 HAS AN AREA OF 100% SECTION LOSS. SPAN #2, NORTH TRUSS, ALL THE LACING BARS ALONG THE L2-U1 DIAGONAL NEED TO BE REPLACED. SPAN #2, SOUTH TRUSS, INTERIOR GUSSET PLATE AT L2 HAS A 5" x 3" HOLE NEAR THE CONNECTION OF THE L2-U3 DIAGONAL. SPAN #2, NORTH TRUSS, EXTERIOR GUSSET PLAT AT L2 HAS A 5" x 3" HOLE NEAR THE CONNECTION OF THE L2-U3 DIAGONAL. SPAN #2, SOUTH TRUSS, EXTERIOR GUSSET PLAT AT L2 HAS A 1" HOLE NEAR THE CONNECTION OF THE L2-U3 DIAGONAL. SPAN #2, SOUTH TRUSS, INTERIOR GUSSET PLATA AT L2 HAS A 2" HOLE. SPAN #2, SOUTH TRUSS, INTERIOR GUSSET PLATE AT L5 HAS APPROX. 40% SECTION LOSS NEAR THE CONNECTION POINT OF L5-U4 DIAGONAL. SPAN #2, SOUTH TRUSS, INTERIOR GUSSET PLATE AT THE L5-U6 MIDPOINT CONNECTION HAS APPROX. 15-20% SECTION LOSS. SPAN #2, SOUTH TRUSS, THE LACING BARS ALONG THE LOWER CHORD BETWEEN L5 & L7 NEED TO BE REPLACED. SPAN #2, SOUTH TRUSS, THE GUSSET PLATE AT L6 HAS A 5" x 6" HOLE IN THE GUSSET PLATE AND APPROX. 60-80% SECTION LOSS AROUND THE HOLE. SPAN #3, SOUTH TRUSS, THE GUSSET PLATE AT L6 HAS A 5" x 6" HOLE IN THE GUSSET PLATE AND APPROX. 60-80% SECTION LOSS AROUND THE HOLE. SPAN #3, SOUTH TRUSS, THE ANGLES THAT MAKE UP THE INTERIOR & EXTERIOR FLANGES OF VERTICAL MEMBER L2-U2 HAVE APPROX. 50-65% SECTION LOSS JUST ABOVE THE GUSSET PLATE OF THE L2 CONNECTION. SPAN #3, SOUTH TRUSS, THE ANGLES THAT MAKE UP THE INTERIOR & EXTERIOR FLANGES OF VERTICAL MEMBER L3-U5 HAVE APPROX. 50-65% SECTION LOSS ON THE EXTERIOR FLANGES OF VERTICAL MEMBER L3-U5 HAVE APPROX. 50-65% SECTION LOSS ON THE EXTERIOR FLANGES OF VERTICAL MEMBER L3-U5 HAVE APPROX. 50-65% SECTION LOSS ON THE EXTERIOR FLANGES OF VERTICAL MEMBER L3-U5 HAVE APPROX. 50-65% SECTION LOSS ON THE EXTERIOR FLANGES OF VERTICAL MEMBER L3-U5 HAVE APPROX. 50-65% SECTION LOSS ON THE EXTERIOR FLANGES OF VERTICAL MEMBER L3-U5 HAVE APPROX. 50-65% S					

Inspector: CGRIGGS (30)

Primary Type: Substandard (12 Months)

Summary:

Types of Inspections Performed:

National Bridge Inventory:

Element: Y

Y

Fracture Critical: N

Underwater: N

Other Special: N

Element Condition State Data Str Unit Elm/Env Description Description SPAN #4, NORTH TRUSS, THE ANGLES THAT MAKE UP THE INTERIOR & EXTERIOR FLANGES OF VERTICAL MEMBER L5-U5 HAVE APPROX. 50-65% SECTION LOSS JUST ABOVE THE GUSSET PLATE OF THE L5 CONNECTION. (CONT. IN PAINTED STEEL DECK TRUSS ELEME (FOR ADDITIONAL NOTES SEE THE UNPAINTED STEEL DECK TRUSS ELEMENT NOTES) Paint Stl Deck 1 131/1WINTER OF 2009-2010: REPAIRS MADE TO TRUSSES - SEE 5/11/10 INSPECTION NOTES Truss THE LOWER CHORD CONNECTION OF THE SOUTH TRUSS ABOVE BEARING DEVICE #2 AT PIER #5 HAS TWO AREAS OF 100% SECTION LOSS IN THE INTERIOR AND EXTERIOR GUSSET PLATES. THE EXTERIOR GUSSET PLATE HAS APPROX. 10"-12" OF 100% SECTION LOSS AND THE INTERIOR GUSSET PLATE HAS APPROX. 8"-10" OF 100% SECTION LOSS. BOTH AREAS ARE IN THE LOWER PORTION OF THE GUSSET PLATES BETWEEN THE CONNECTION POINTS OF SPAN #5 MEMBER L0-L1 AND VERTICAL POST MEMBER L0-U0. THIS CONNECTION POINT IS A VITAL TENSION CONNECTION WITHIN THE LOWER CHORD TENSION FORCE TRANSFER AND HAS BEEN MONITORED ON A 3 MONTH CYCLE SINCE 7/17/07. SPAN #5, NORTH TRUSS, EXTERIOR GUSSET PLATE AT L1 HAS A SMALL AREA ALONG THE EDGE WITH 100% SECTION LOSS SPAN #5, SOUTH TRUSS, INTERIOR GUSSET PLATE AT L1 HAS A SMALL AREA OF 100% SECTION LOSS. SPAN #5, NORTH TRUSS, EXTERIOR SIDE OF MEMBER L1-L2, NEAR THE L2 CONNECTION HAS SEVERAL SMALL AREAS OF 100% SECTION LOSS SPAN #5, NORTH TRUSS, EXTERIOR SIDE OF MEMBER L2-L3, NEAR THE L2 CONNECTION HAS A SMALL AREA OF APPROX. 50% SECTION. SPAN #5, SOUTH TRUSS, EXTERIOR SIDE OF MEMBER L2-L3, NEAR THE L3 CONNECTION, HAS TWO AREAS WITH 80-100% SECTION LOSS SPAN #5, SOUTH TRUSS, EXTERIOR SIDE OF MEMBER L3-L4, NEAR THE L3 CONNECTION, HAS TWO AREAS WITH 80-90% SECTION LOSS SPAN #5, NORTH TRUSS, THE GUSSET PLATE ABOVE PIER #6, HAS THREE AREAS OF 100% SECTION LOSS. SEVERAL DIAGONAL MEMBERS HAVE BEEN PREVIOUSLY REHABED, BUT MANY HAVE PROBLEMS WITH HOW THE BOLT HOLES WERE DRILLED AND REDRILLED DURING THE REHAB IN GENERAL, APPROX. 60% OF THE LACING BARS ON THE LOWER CHORD HAVE AREAS OF 100% SECTION LOSS AND ABOUT 10% OF THE LACING BARS ON THE VERTICALS AND DIAGONALS HAVE AREAS OF 100% SECTION LOSS ALL OF THE INTERMEDIATE TRUSS CONNECTION POINTS HAVE HEAVY PACK RUST AND APPROX. 40-50% SECTION LOSS WITH MODERATE DETERIORATION OF THE THERE IS HEAVY PACK RUST BETWEEN THE BOTTOM OF THE FLOORBEAMS AND THE TOP OF THE UPPER CHORD. THE GALVANIZED COATING ON ALL THE FLOOR BEAMS IS BEGINNING TO FAIL AND 1 152/1 Paint Stl Floor Beam SURFACE RUST IS STARTING TO FORM. PIER #5 HAS BEEN ENCASED WITH CONCRETE. THE COLUMNS AT PIER #2 HAVE HEAVY CRACKING, 1 205/1 R/Conc SCALING, AND SPALLING. THE COLUMNS AT PIERS #5 & 6 HAVE MODERATE CRACKING AND SCALING. Column MODERATE CRACKING AND SCALING IN THE PIER WALLS. 1 210/1 **R/Conc Pier** Wall R/Conc MODERATE CRACKING AND SCALING IN ABUTMENTS. 215/1 1 Abutment MODERATE CRACKING AND SCALLING IN THE PIER CAPS. THE GRILL HATCH THAT WAS MISSING ON 234/1 1 R/Conc Cap TOP OF THE PIER CAP AT PIER #3 HAS BEEN FABRICATED AND INSTALLED. Strip Seal Exp 300/1 1 Joint THE GALVANIZED COATING ON THE CORRUGATED METAL FLOORING IS BEGINNING TO FAIL AND 1 30/1 Corrug/Orthot SURFACE RUST IS FORMING. THE RUST IS MOST PREVALENT WHERE THE FLOORING RESTS ON THE pc Deck GAI VANIZED STRINGERS ALL OF THE BEARING AREAS AT THE PIERS HAVE BEEN ENCASED WITH CONCRETE. 1 311/1 Moveable Bearing Fixed Bearing ALL OF THE BEARING AREAS AT THE PIERS HAVE BEEN ENCASED WITH CONCRETE. 1 313/1 MODERATE TRAFFIC DAMAGE TO GUARDRAIL ON THE GARRARD COUNTY SIDE. 1 334/1 Metal Rail Coated THERE IS HEAVY PACK RUST AT MOST OF THE OLD GUSSETT PLATED CONNECTIONS IN BOTH 1 357/1 Pack Rust TRUSSES. Smart Flag THERE IS HEAVY PACK RUST BETWEEN THE BOTTOM OF THE FLOORBEAMS AND THE TOP OF THE UPPER CHORD THERE IS MODERATE PACK RUST IN THE STEEL GIRDERS IN SPANS #1 & 7. 1 363/1 Section Loss < none > SmFlag

Inspection Date: 2/10/2011 Inspector: CGRIGGS (30)

Primary Type: Substandard (12 Months)

))

084B00005N

Summary:

KYTC Bridge Inspection Report

Types of Inspections Performed:

National Bridge Inventory:

Y Element:

Y

Fracture Critical: Ν Underwater: Ν

Other Special: Ν

Element Condition State Data

Inspection Date: 2/10/2011

```
Str Unit Elm/Env Description
                               Description
```

Inspector: CGRIGGS (30)

Primary Type: Substandard (12 Months)

```
PIER #3 HAS EXPERIENCED UPWARD MOVEMENT AND TILTING, WHICH HAS CAUSE MISALIGNMENT OF THE PIER. THE UPWARD MOVEMENT AND TILTING OF PIER #3 HAS ALSO CAUSED VERTICAL AND HORIZONTAL MISALIGNMENT OF THE SUPERSTRUCTURE IN SPANS #2 & 3.
1
             601/1
                            MisAlign/ot of
                             plane
```

BRIDGE.Notes

Pontis Underwater Only

Item - 210 Qty - 70 LF Condition State - 3 = 70 LF

General Notes (All Piers)

 There is light scaling located from the water surface to 25' below the water surface (bws).
 There is moderate biological growth located from the surface to 3' below the surface, growth is light from 3' to 34' and very light from 34' to the bottom. The bottom material consists of soft silt. There is honeycombing on all faces of the pier located at every cold joint and appears to get worse from the surface to 115' bws.

4

The outside and inside of the piers is in fair condition, with section loss located at the cold joints.
 There is a opening on the east face of Pier 2 measuring approximately 4'W and 4'T extending from the bottom. The top of the opening is 170.5' and the bottom at 178.5 feet bws. The concrete located at this opening is approximately 2.5' thick. Does not have a grate covering it.

Pier 2 (Outside of Pier)

1. There is honeycombing at the following locations: 1'W x 1'T x 6"D middle east face 87' bws, 3.5"W x 6"T x 4"D middle of the east face 8' bws, 2.5"W x 6"T x 4"D 7' North of SE corner 8.5' bws, 2'T x 2'W x 4"D center of the East face 66' bws, 1'W x 4"T x 3"D 54' bws on the Northeast corner, 1'W x 4"T x 4"D center of the south face 100' bws, 6"T x 2"W x 4"D SE cornere 65' bws.

Pier 2 (Inside of Pier)

There are several tie wires are exposed throughout the inside of the pier.
 The two cross beams below 115' appear to be in good condition.

There is a 4"T by 6"D area of honeycombing located at the cold joint 20' below the water surface along the East and North faces. Aggregate can be removed with ease.

4. There are crossbeams with honeycombing at the joints up to 3" deep located at the following depths; 10, 51', 90' and 134'.

5. The bottom material consists of soft silt with some construction debris. There is a steel grate extending out of the silt bottom approximately 1.5'.

Work Candidates									
Inspector Candidates:									
Candidate ID:	Status	Priority	Assigned	Action	Elem	Date Recommended			
REPLACE BRIDGE	Under Review	High	Unassigned	11	0	7/17/2007			
			Transportation Division of Op	Cabinel					TC 71-118 Rev. 1/96
----------	---	-------------	----------------------------------	----------	---------------	----------------	---	--	------------------------
		BRIDG	E INSPEC	FION F	EPOR	т	Reviewed Review Da	By: ite:	
Tw	Substd		Underwater		J	n-Depth		racture C	ritical
Proje	ect No: 84-0152-800005	N	BI-Location: K	Y 152 ov	er Herrin	gton Lake - Me	ercer County		
Stru	cture Description Five Span Steel Truss								
Mile	point: 18.55 Inspecto	rs Initials	AAC						
Insp	ector's Signature	la C	Lin				Date:		12/15/2009
58	DECK		-t	61	CI	ANNEL/CHAI	NNEL PROT	ECTION	
1	Structural Condition			1	Chann	el Scour	*****		
2	Wearing Surface			2	Embar	nkment Erosion	n		
3	Joints			3	Drift				
4	Drains			4	Chann	el Alignment	·		
5	Expansion Devices	· .		5	Vegetz	ation			
6	Curbs, Sidewalks, Medians			6	Erosio	n			
7	Railings			7	Rip-Ra	ip	annan Al-Addide 1924 Anime waxwa waxwa	2	2
8	Lighting and/or Utilities			62		CULVERT RE	TAINING W	ALLS	
60	SUPERSTRUCTURE			1	Barrie	ŝ	al far na slan i same san san slan y salar y sa		
1	Stringer Circler Boome		-	2	Wingw	alls, Headwall	s		
<u>'</u>	Sungers, Oldels, Dealits		_	3	Debris				
2	Trucco Main Marchan		1	4	Scour	Under Footing	s (Underwate	er)	
3	Trusses - Main Members			5	Erosio	n At Wingwalls	(Underwate	r) —	1
38	Proses - Bracing, Portais			6	Draina	ge Adequacy (Underwater)	parameter de tro-all de produce d'adadades	1
4	Beanny Devices	-116							
5			_	10	INV	ENTORY ROU	TE VERTIC/	AL CLEAF	RANCES
<u> </u>	Defiection/vibration under load		_	Ove	r	ft in.	36. TRAFFIC S	AFETY	
_7	Debns on Members		10	Und	er	ft in.			
							***	P	
59A	PAINT CONDITION			71	WATE	ADEQUACT			
Coloi	Date Painted:			12.	APPRU		AT ALIGNM		
60	SUBSTRUCTURE	1	_	113	SCOU	R CRITICAL B	RIDGE RAT	NG	8
- 00					1				
1	Abuunents, wingwalls							TIN # 01/1	
2	Mers and/or Bents:			10	00 WEA	KING SURFAC	JE/PROTEC	IIVE SYS	SIEM
3	Augnment and/or Settling		_	<u>т</u>	/ре	Membrane	P	rotection	[]
4	Scour, Erosions		_				لىبىيەت مەرسىيە تارىخى مەرسىيە تەرسىيەت مەرسىيەت		
5	Debris on Seats, Caps		_	0	VERLAY	E Yes	🗷 No	Date:	
6	Protection Systems		_		[
7	Abutments, Wingwalls (S.Z.D.)	<u>N</u>		Т	(PE:	LATEX 🔄	P.C.C.	ASPH.	ALT 🛄
8	Piers /or Bents (S.Z.D.)	5	_	{					
0	Alignment or Settling Due to Scour			nco	TU AC A	ODUALT			

 RECOMMENDED LOAD CAPACITIES (tons)
 II
 III
 IV

 FIELD POSTINGS NE
 SW
 I
 II
 III
 IV

GROSS

GROSS

Additional Comments

The piers in fair condition with only minor defeciencies noted.

Page 1

4



.

STANTEC UNDERWATER BRIDGE INSPECTION FORM

1. Bridge Number: 84-0152-B00005	2. Date Tuesday, December 15, 2009
County: Mercer	3. Stantec Project No: 175569090
Description: KY 152 over Herrington Lake	4. Weather Temperature: 20
Water Body: Herrington Lake	🗆 Sunny 🗹 Partiy Cloudy 🗆 Other
5. Supervisor: AAC Crew: TCB, FJB, JAG	🗆 Cloudy 🗹 Windy
Visitors:	Arrive: Depart:
Visitors	Arrive: Depart:
6. Bridge Type:	
Continuous Plate Girder Suspension	Reinforced Concrete Beam
✓ Steel Truss Wood Truss	Other
7. Element Type:	
Reinforced Concrete	Open Web Steel Piles
Masonry Timber Piles	Other
8. Foundation Type	
Pile with pile cap Pile without pile c	ap Pier founded on rock 🗌 or soil 📃
□ Caisson ☑ Spread footing	Other
9. Previous Report Available 🔽 👘 Dates	of Report: 1990,1995,2000
· · · · ·	Originator: FMSM
10. Construction or As-Built Plans and/or Report	s Available 🗹 Dates: unknown
11. Water surface reference point on Pier or Bridg	ge
Bottom of Pier 2	
Reference Point Elevation:756.4 Distance to	Water 19.5 Water Elevation: 736.9
12. Pictures Taken	
1. Pier 2	
2. Mer 3 3. Planview Looking Downstream	
4. Planview Looking Upstream	



STANTEC UNDERWATER BRIDGE INSPECTION FORM

							Bridge Number:	84-0152-B00005	
13.	Cross Sections	B:	-			_	Date:	Tuesday,	December 15, 2009
ł	⊻ Upstream							40/40/0000	
6	Downstream	5.	10 [.]	25	50' 2	100'	GPS Data	12/16/2009	
,	View Point L to F	R Loc) king:	: 🗹] Ups	tream	Downstream		
	Benchmark Loca	ation	:						
	Benchmark Coo	rdina	ites	Norti	ning_		Easting	Elevatio	<u>، ارد</u>
14.	Scour:								
i	a. Scour pockets	or ti	rough	S			🗹 No 🗌 Yes		
I	b. Footing or Fo	unda	tion E	:lemer	nt Exp	osed	🗹 No 🛛 🗌 Yes		
(c. Scour increas	ed si	nce ia	ist ins	pecti	on	🗹 No 👘 🗌 Yes	🗌 No Previous	s Report Available
I	d. Comments:								
15. (((((((15. Pier/Element Conditions: (see field note Biological Growth very light Spalling Scaling Vertical Cracks Hairline Hairline 			Example a constrained desc Constrained desc Zebra Mussel (V Honeycombing Reinforcing Sta O Measurable Se O Measurable	Browth				
C	Impact Damag	je	ľ	🗆 Mir	nor		🗍 Major		
	 Pier Faces not Reason for no Other: 	t insp t insj	pected	l Lisi ng	t Piers	B			
16.	Heavy debris	locat	ted a	round	l eler	nent	🖌 No 🔄 Yes	, elements	
17.	Bottom Condi	tion	5:						
۱	☑ Silt		G	ravel			Boulders	🗌 Clay	🗹 Debris
[🗍 Sand			obble	9		🗌 Bedrock, type		3
	Debris:								
(✓ Sticks	🗋 T	ree Li	imbs	🗆 T	rees	Timbers	🗌 Steel Bear	n
(Debr	is			laste C	Concrete	Other:	

.



STANTEC UNDERWATER BRIDGE INSPECTION FORM

				Bridge Nu	mber: 84-01	152-B00005	
18.	Inspection Me	thod			Date:	Tuesday, Decemb	er 15, 2009
	Surface Supp	lied Air	🗌 Scuba	U Wading			
19.	Bridge Access	3					
a.	Boat: 🛛 S	Skiff (Whaler	📋 Jonboat	🗌 Monark	Other: Lobell	
	Ramp: 🗹 🤇	Concrete [Gravei	🗌 Dirt	🗌 None	✓ Ramp fee	\$10.00
	Locked Throug	ih 🗹 No	🗌 Yes				
	Distance from I	ramp to brid	ge: 0.25	_	Т	ravel time: 5 miles	
	Comments / Di	rections:					
b.	Bank/Shore:	Grass	Rock	🗌 Gravel	Dirt/Muc	d 🗌 Other	
20.	Boat Traffic						
	a. Recreational	: 🗌 Heavy	Moderat	e 🗹 Light	t 🗆 N/A		
	b. Fishing:	🗌 Heavy	Moderat	e 🗹 Light	t 🗆 N/A		
	c. Barge:	🗌 Heavy	🗌 Moderat	e 🔽 Light	t 🗆 N/A		
	Comments:						
21.	Water Conditio	ons:					
	Temperature:	40 De	grees F	Visibility: ^{8.}	0		
	Current:	🗌 Heavy	🗌 Moderate	Light	🗹 None	•	

22. General Comments (Include any unusual conditions encountered):

Page 3

S.			Page
Stantec			
Structure	84-0152-B00005 County	Mercer	Date <u>12/15/2009</u>
Description _	Planview	Crew	AAC, TCB, FJB, JAG

8

NOTE: All depths refer to depth below water surface at time of inspection

.



-

Stantas			F	'age 9
Structure <u>84-0152-B00005</u> Element	Pier 2	Date	12/15/2009	<u> </u>
		Individu	al Pier	
NOTE: All depths refer to depth below water surface	at time of inspection	Rating		



LEGEND



.

Not to Scale

2

Stantec			Page 10
Structure84-0152-B00005Ele	ment Pier 3	Date	12/15/2009
		Individu	al Pier
NOTE: All depths refer to depth below water s	surface at time of inspection	Rating	



LEGEND

ì



.

12.3 Sounding Location and Depth Below Water Surface Water Surface Flow Direction of Flow Concrete

Not to Scale

TA					Page 11
Stantec Structure	84-0152-B00005	County	Mercer	Date _	12/15/2009
Description _	Hydrographi	c Survey Overview	Crew		. TCB. FJB. JAG
	Legend Bridge Deck Cross Section Pier Flow Direction Bridge ID: 84-B5 2009 WSE: 738.4 feet 050 _ 100 Feet				W E S

Stantec			Page 12
Structure	84-0152-B00005 County	Mercer	Date 12/15/2009
Description	50 Ft. Upstream	Crew	AAC. TCB. FJB. JAG

.

Cross Section Profile 50ft Upstream of Bridge 84-B5



View Aspect: Facing Upstream

.

The second			Page 13
Stantec Structure	84-0152-B00005 County	Mercer	Date12/15/2009
Description	10 Ft, Upstream	Crew	AAC. TCB. FJB. JAG

*

Cross Section Profile 10ft Upstream of Bridge 84-B5



View Aspect: Facing Upstream

-



84-0152-B00005 County

Mercer Date

12/15/2009

Page 16

Crew <u>AAC, TCB, FJB, JAG</u>

KY 152 over Herrington Lake

Pontis Underwater Only

Item - 210 Qty - 70 LF Condition State - 3 = 70 LF

General Notes (All Piers)

1. There is light scaling located from the water surface to 25' below the water surface (bws).

There is moderate biological growth located from the surface to 3' below the surface, growth is light from 3' to 34' and very light from 34' to the bottom.
 The bottom material consists of soft silt.

4. There is honeycombing on all faces of the pier located at every cold joint and appears to get worse from the surface to 115' bws.

5. The outside and inside of the piers is in fair condition, with section loss located at the cold joints.

6. There is a opening on the east face of Pier 2 measuring approximately 4'W and 4'T extending from the bottom. The top of the opening is 170.5' and the bottom at 178.5 feet bws. The concrete located at this opening is approximately 2.5' thick. Does not have a grate covering it.

Pier 2 (Outside of Pier)

1. There is honeycombing at the following locations: $1'W \ge 1'T \ge 6"D$ middle east face 87' bws, $3.5"W \ge 6"T \ge 4"D$ middle of the east face 8' bws, $2.5"W \ge 6"T \ge 4"D$ 7' North of SE corner 8.5' bws, $2'T \ge 2'W \ge 4"D$ center of the East face 66' bws, $1'W \ge 4"T \ge 3"D$ 54' bws on the Northeast corner, $1'W \ge 4"T \ge 4"D$ center of the south face 100' bws, $6"T \ge 2"W \ge 4"D$ SE cornere 65' bws.

Pier 2 (Inside of Pier)

There are several tie wires are exposed throughout the inside of the pier.
 The two cross beams below 115' appear to be in good condition.

3. There is a 4"T by 6"D area of honeycombing located at the cold joint 20' below the water surface along the East and North faces. Aggregate can be removed with ease. 4. There are crossbeams with honeycombing at the joints up to 3" deep located at the following depths;10, 51', 90' and 134'.

5. The bottom material consists of soft silt with some construction debris. There is a steel grate extending out of the silt bottom approximately 1.5'.



84-0152-B00005 County

Mercer Date

<u>12/15/2009</u>

Page 17

545 S ...

Crew AAC. TCB, FJB, JAG

KY 152 over Herrington Lake

Pier 3 (Outside of Pier)

1. There is an opening in the concrete located on the East face bottom. The top of the opening is located 112.0', with the bottom of the opening located 118' bws. There is a rebar grate covering the opening, the opening is 4 W and 6'T. There is rebar covering the opening.

2. There is minor honeycombing and light scaling located on the west face in isolated areas with measurments less then 1" in section depth.

3. There is a 1'W by 2"T by 2"D area of honeycombing located 43' bws on the NE corner.

The bottom material consists of silt with construction debris 4. 5. There is a steel grate on the bottom in the SE corner. The grate may be an old access hatch grate from the top of the pier.

<u> Pier 3 (Inside of Pier)</u>

1. There is exposed rebar located 11' bws on the East face.

2. There is a steel grate on the bottom in the SE corner. The grate may be an old access hatch grate from the top of the pier. The grate was covered with soft silt. 3. There is an opening in the concrete located on the West face bottom. The top of the opening is located 131.5'bws, the bottom of the opening is located 137.5' bws. There is not a grate covering the opening The opening is 4' wide and 6' tall. 4. There is a 9"T by 4"W by 1"D area of spalling located 11' bws on the West face. 5. There is a 2'diameter by 2.5' deep hole located on the NE face. The top of the 5. hole is located 137' bws, the bottom is located 139' bws. The hole is not formed and aggregate can be removed.

6. There is a 2'T by 7"W by 1"D area of spalling located 43' bws on the Northeast face.

7. There is a 2'T by 6"W by 1"D area of honeycombing located 11' bws on the South face.

8. There are cross beams located below the water surface at the following depths: 4.0, 40', 76'and 106'.

9. The bottom material consists of soft silt with some construction debris.

APPENDIX J

FIRM MAPS OF THE STUDY AREA





	LEGEND		
	SPECIAL FLOOD HAZARD AREAS (SFHAs) SUBJECT TO INUNDATION BY THE 1% ANNUAL CHANCE FLOOD		MAP SCALE 1" = 1000' 1000 2000
The 1% annual of has a 1% chance Area is the area Hazard include a water-surface ele	chance flood (100-year flood), also known as the base flood, is the flood that e of being equaled or exceeded in any given year. The Special Flood Hazard subject to flooding by the 1% annual chance flood. Areas of Special Flood Zones A, AE, AH, AO, AR, A99, V, and VE. The Base Flood Elevation is the evation of the 1% annual chance flood.		FEEI
ZONE A	No Base Flood Elevations determined.		
ZONE AE	Base Flood Elevations determined.		PANEL 0255C
ZONE AH	Flood depths of 1 to 3 feet (usually areas of ponding); Base Flood Elevations determined.		
ZONE AO	Flood depths of 1 to 3 feet (usually sheet flow on sloping terrain); average depths determined. For areas of alluvial fan flooding, velocities also determined.	SRA	FIRM FLOOD INSURANCE RATE MAP
ZONE AR	Special Flood Hazard Area formerly protected from the 1% annual chance flood by a flood control system that was subsequently decertified. Zone AR indicates that the former flood control system is being restored to provide protection from the 1% annual chance or greater flood.	PRO	MERCER COUNTY, KENTUCKY
ZONE A99	Area to be protected from 1% annual chance flood by a Federal flood protection system under construction; no Base Flood Elevations determined.	NGE	AND INCORPORATED AREAS PANEL 255 OF 275 (SEE LOCATOR DIAGRAM OR MAP INDEX FOR
ZONE V	Coastal flood zone with velocity hazard (wave action); no Base Flood Elevations determined.	NAM N	FIRM PANEL LAYOUT)
ZONE VE	Coastal flood zone with velocity hazard (wave action); Base Flood Elevations determined.	SUL SUL	COMMUNITY NUMBER PANEL SUFFIX MERCER COUNTY 210306 0255 C
[]]],	FLOODWAY AREAS IN ZONE AE		
The floodway is t of encroachment in flood heights.	he channel of a stream plus any adjacent floodplain areas that must be kept free so that the 1% annual chance flood can be carried without substantial increases	00	
	OTHER FLOOD AREAS		Holde to be the map runner shown book should be shown above should used on insurance applications for the subject community. EFFECTIVE DATE MAP NUMBER
ZONE X	Areas of 0.2% annual chance flood; areas of 1% annual chance flood with average depths of less than 1 foot or with drainage areas less than 1 square mile; and areas protected by levees from 1% annual chance flood.	OWA	SEPTEMBER 17, 2008 21167C0255C
	OTHER AREAS	W.L.W	State of Kentucky
ZONE X	Areas determined to be outside the 0.2% annual chance floodplain.		Federal Emergency Management Agency
ZONE D	Areas in which flood hazards are undetermined, but possible.		
	COASTAL BARRIER RESOURCES SYSTEM (CBRS) AREAS	This is an offic was extracted or amendment	ial copy of a portion of the above referenced flood map. I using F-MIT On-Line. This map does not reflect change s which may have been made subsequent to the date or
27.7	OTHERWISE PROTECTED AREAS (OPAS)	title block. Fo Program flood	r the latest product information about National Flood Insu maps check the FEMA Flood Map Store at www.msc.fe

APPENDIX K

PROJECT TEAM MEETING MINUTES

Meeting Minutes Project Team Meeting Item 7-1116.00, Bridge Replacement

Meeting Date: Meeting Location: In Attendance:

January 11, 2010 **District 7, Lexington**

Bob Nunley	District 7 Project Development
Ananias Calvin III	District 7 Design
Don Lawson	District 7 Utilities
Rob Sprague	District 7 Design
Ricky Sizemore	District 7 Planning
Natalia Hoskins	District 7 Planning
Kyle Bidwell	District 7 Structures
Michael Vaughn	District 7 Structures Section Supervisor
Matt Simpson	District 7 Project Delivery & Preservation TEBM
Bret Blair	District 7 Planning
Randy Turner	District 7 Planning
Becky Barrick	District 7 Environmental
Steve Ross	KYTC Division of Planning
Sreenu Gutti	KYTC Division of Planning

INTRODUCTION: The meeting started just around 10.30 a.m. local time. Attendees were requested to "Sign-In". Presentation Handouts were distributed. A Power Point presentation was shown by Sreenu Gutti. The goals for the meeting were two fold - understanding DNA Studies and discussing the DNA Study for Item 7-1116.00. It was explained to the group that DNA stands for Data, Needs and Analysis. It was also explained why these studies are conducted, how they are helpful and the process involved in developing a Study. A "Purpose and Need" statement is developed in a DNA study to better define the intent of the Project. FHWA suggested nine elements for Purpose and Need will be considered in developing a Purpose and Need statement.

DNA STUDY FOR ITEM 7-1116.00: Following the introduction of the concepts of Pre-Design Scoping Study, the Study for Item 7-116.00 was discussed. A site video was played and the Project location was defined. The project is located on KY 152 over Herrington Lake at the Mercer/Garrard county line. Mile point locations for the bridge are MP 18.818 to 18.894 in Mercer County and MP 0 to 0.076 in Garrard County. The bridge has an ID 084B00005N. FHWA recommended nine elements can be briefly described as follows.

Legislation: The project is listed in the 2010 Highway Plan and has a total estimated cost of \$12,900,000 (combined D,R,U and C).

Project Status: Funds have been authorized (\$1 M) to conduct DNA Studies, Phase 1 Design and Environmental investigation.

System Linkage: KY 152 connects the Cities of Harrodsburg and Burgin to US 27.

Modal Interrelationship: There is no intermodal use on this route.

Social Demands or Economic Development: Per the Director of Economic Development in Garrard County, KY 152 is the main artery between Mercer and Garrard counties. Herrington Lake and Peninsula Golf course are major attractions. The upgrade of US 127 to four lane traffic has caused an increase in traffic on KY 152. There is a lot of real estate development in the area.

Transportation Demand: The 2010 ADT on KY 152 along the project is estimated at 1590. Traffic forecast should be requested for this project.

Capacity: The Volume to Service Flow (VSF) ratio on this segment is 0.23 in Mercer County and 0.11 in Garrard County. VSF under 0.70 indiactes no congestion on this segment.

Safety: The crash history of this segment was studied using Kentucky State Police data. In the past ten years, six crashes have been reported at either ends of the bridge. Crashes seem to be happening in the sharp curves entering the bridge and leaving the bridge from west to east.

Roadway Deficiencies: The current roadway is a two-lane undivided roadway with 9 - 10 foot lanes. Measured shoulder width at the site is 1-3 feet. There are no shoulders on the bridge. KYTC Common Geometric Practices for Rural Collector Roads suggest a pavement width of 24 feet and 8 feet shoulders for a speed limit of 35 mph. The Composite Adequacy Rating percentile of the roadway is 75.9 in Mercer County and 44.0 in Garrard County. The rating is a composite of roughness, safety and service (capacity) of the roadway and compares this segment to other similar State roads.

The bridge was built in 1924. It has six spans total; there are 4 main spans and 2 approach spans (one approach span on each end of the bridge). The main spans are steel deck truss and the approach spans are a girder/floorbeam system. Repairs were done in 1940, 1944, 1991, 2003 and 2009. The bridge is structurally deficient and had a Sufficiency Rating of 2.00 before the 2009 repairs. Mike Vaughn informed the Team that the current Sufficiency Rating is 28.9. The Highway Bridge Replacement and Rehabilitation Program (HBRRP) policy states that any bridge that is Structurally Deficient or Functionally Obsolete, and has a sufficiency rating of 50 or less is eligible for Federal Bridge Replacement funds. The remaining life of the bridge cannot be estimated because of its current structural condition. The current posted weight limit is 15T.

BRIDGE PIERS: A bridge piers video was shown. Mike Vaughn informed the Team that in the Bridge Inspection File there was an article concerning substructure movement written by F.C. Mahan, former Design Engineer in the Bridge Section in Central Office from 1931 - 1942. The article was written sometime after 1943, but the exact date is unknown. The article states that the bridge was built in 1924 when Herrington Lake was still empty. After the bridge was built, the lake was flooded and an inspection report from 1932 revealed that the deep water pier nearest the Mercer County side was having movement. At this point the pier had actually risen approximately 16 inches. Elevation surveys were performed from 1934 - 1936. At the height of movement, the pier had risen approximately 30 inches and tilted upstream and toward the Mercer Co. side approximately 12 inches. The piers were built by the Weber Chimney Company of Chicago and are hollow. Steve Ross expressed an observation that sometimes the movement stabilizes over time.

It was discussed whether the piers are stable and are re-usable. Existing piers have been re-used on other bridge replacement projects depending on their condition. The Project Team decided that the stability and re-use has to be further investigated. Geotech will be consulted to assess the substructure and get a recommendation on how much of the substructure can be re-used.

POSSIBLE ALTERNATES: The following bridge replacement alternates were discussed.

- Alternate 1: No Build
- Alternate 2: Replace with a bridge at same location
- Alternate 3: Replace at an adjacent location
- Alternate 4: Replace at an alternate location
 - Preserve old bridge as historic bridge and open to public for tourism.

Alternate 1: No Build: Considering the poor structural condition of the bridge, this alternate will not be carried forward.

Alternate 2: Replace with a bridge at same location: This alternate will depend on the condition of existing piers. There are three possible alternates based on geotechnical assessment:

- Use all existing piers if they are found re-usable
- Replace the deep water pier nearest the Mercer County side which showed upward movement/tilting and re-use the remaining piers
- Replace all piers if they cannot be re-used

Some of the advantages of this alternate are possible cost savings because of re-use of some or all of the existing piers and the required right of way will be minimal. The disadvantages are: ferry service or a detour route is needed during construction. If ferry service is not feasible during construction, motorists have to detour over 30 miles to reach US 27 from KY 152.

Considering the crashes occurring in the roadway curves leading to and leaving the bridge, geometric improvements to the approach roadway will be included in this alternate. It was pointed out that on the west side (Mercer County), the geometric revisions to the curve leading to the bridge could be expensive due to the steep drop in grade on the side road. The bridge alignment may need to be skewed to minimize the effects.

Alternate 3: Replace with a bridge at an adjacent location: This alternate involves construction of the new bridge at a location adjacent to the current location. The advantage of this alternate is that no detour route or ferry service is required during construction of the new bridge. KY 152 traffic can continue to operate on the existing route without interruption during construction. During the previous bridge close down in 2009, the main concern of the motorists was the lengthy detour of over 30 miles which can be avoided with this alternate. On the other hand, the initial cost of this bridge replacement alternate could be higher compared to Alternate 2 because of a complete new substructure and superstructure, right of way costs, etc. Mike Vaughn pointed out that life cycle costs should be considered when comparing costs between Alternate 2 and Alternate 3, because if the substructure is reused in Alternate 2, the typical 100 year life span for a bridge may not be obtainable since the existing substructure is already 86 years old. Also, in Alternate 3 new piers may not be required in the deep part of the lake since modern trusses have span lengths in the range of 600 - 1000 feet.

Alternate 4: Replace with a bridge at an alternate location: This alternate will consider a new location for the new bridge. The new alignment would connect KY 152 on the west side at Chimney Rock Road to KY 152 on the east side in the vicinity of Kennedy Lane. The advantages of the alternate are: current geometric deficiencies of KY 152 leading to and leaving the existing bridge location can be avoided and safety can be improved. A new roadway built in accordance with KYTC Current Geometric Practices for geometry, sight distance and higher speed is possible. The alternate will be the most expensive compared to the remaining alternates – current operating marinas would have to be relocated, new right of way is required, etc. However, traffic can continue to operate during construction on the existing bridge with this alternate.

<u>UTILITIES</u>: Sreenu informed the Project Team that no overhead utilities were observed on the bridge during a site visit conducted earlier. Don Lawson, District 7 Utilities informed the Team that other utilities will be investigated during a site visit. Old Plans were not investigated for existing utilities at the present time.

DRAINAGE: FEMA Flood Insurance Rate Maps (FIRM) were shown at the meeting. The lake is designated as Zone A for floods. Zone A represents a 100 year flood zone. Mike Vaughn informed the group that Dix Dam is located to the north of the bridge. The dam is used to control the water level and typically in the late fall the water level is lowered. Melting snow and rain runoff from the winter and spring then refills the lake before the summer season. Kentucky Utilities Power Plant is the owner of the dam.

ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES: Becky Barrick, District 7, Environmental Coordinator presented her findings to the Team. Both counties in the project area are in attainment for all monitored air pollutants. An Archaeology Phase I survey will need to be completed, the optimum time for which is during a winter draw-down when more of the shoreline is exposed. The lake is too deep for mussels. The bridge is not the type that will be used by bats except temporarily. The bridge is historic and needs to be tested for asbestos prior to demolition. Any historic homes are unknown at this time. The environmental document will likely be a CE, with a Level 3 possible if there is substantial public opposition to the project.

TYPICAL SECTION: KY 152 is a Rural Major Collector. Current ADT (2010) is estimated at 1590. A traffic forecast is not available at this time. If the future estimated ADT is over 2000, KYTC Common Geometric Practices for Rural Collector Roads suggest a pavement width of 24 feet and 8 feet shoulders for a speed limit of 35 mph. The Team decided that a typical section will be finalized during Phase I studies.

<u>FUNDING ISSUES</u>: Steve Ross started the discussion regarding FHWA and authorization of funds for the project. Repair projects on the bridge were conducted in 2003 and 2009 and it was suspected if they were done with federal funds. Typically, FHWA does not authorize more funds if the request is made within ten years of a previous request.

Bob Nunley informed the team that Mike Vaughn had investigated and found out that the repairs done in 2003 and 2009 were funded using State Bridge Maintenance Funds (FE02) which are not federal funds. Bob also informed that Ron Rigney was informed about these findings by e-mail.

DETOUR ROUTE DURING CONSTRUCTION: The detour route map used in the 2009 Bridge Repair plans was shown to the Team. According to the 2009 repair plans, the detour rerouted eastbound traffic from KY 152 along KY 33/US 68/KY 29, then south on KY 1268 to reach US 27. Mike Vaughn pointed out that the detour was changed to avoid KY 1268. The detour was actually routed to continue northward on US 68 to KY 29, then along KY 29 to reach US 27. It was decided that the detour needed to avoid KY 1268 because this road has a section with a historic stone laid arch culvert that has a single, 13 foot wide lane with several sharp curves on each side of the structure. The total length of the modified detour when the bridge was closed during the 2009 Bridge repairs was over 30 miles. It was pointed out that if a detour route has to be used, it would need to be the same as the detour used during the 2009 bridge closure.

CONSTRUCTION ISSUES: The proposed detour route discussed earlier would put motorists over 30 miles out of their way. That was a primary area of concern to the motorists when the bridge was closed for repairs in 2009. The possibility of using ferry service to transport motorists and their vehicles during construction was discussed.

Chimney Rock Road is a side road on KY 152 and leads to the boat launch and lake on the west side. Traffic can be re-routed along this route and a Ferry service can be introduced to transport motorists and their vehicles from one side of the lake to the other and onto east KY 152. However, possibility of using Chimney Rock Road and its condition to handle traffic should be evaluated. The road may have to carry limited traffic or allow only certain type of vehicles based on its condition.

The 30 mile detour route described in the previous section can be avoided by providing ferry service. The cost to provide such a service will be investigated by discussing with the Modal section at the Division of Planning. It was also discussed if floating bridges (military type) can be used during construction. However, it was decided that a floating bridge may not be practical or useful in the current situation.

<u>PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT</u>: There was a discussion earlier at the beginning of the DNA Study whether Public Involvement which includes input from the Public Officials can be introduced into the Project early in the project development phase. This issue was discussed at the Project Team meeting. It was decided by the Project Team that the DNA Study will not involve any Public Involvement activities. Public Involvement will be included in the Phase I Design Study which will start in a few months.

<u>PURPOSE AND NEED STATEMENT:</u> The Purpose and Need statement was discussed and the following statements were agreed upon by the Project Team:

The need for the Bridge Replacement is to improve the current posted weight limit of 15 tons.

The purpose of the project is to address the structural deficiency of the bridge, geometric deficiencies of the approach roadway and to maintain connectivity and enhance recreational traffic.

<u>SITE VISIT</u>: A site visit scheduled to take place after the Project Team meeting could not be done due to bad weather and road conditions. The site visit will be conducted at a later time.

<u>NEXT STEPS</u>: A site visit will be conducted in the near future. Geotech will be consulted for preliminary assessment of the substructure and recommendation. Cost estimates will be developed by the District. The DNA study report will be started immediately.

END OF MINUTES

APPENDIX L

PARTIAL COPY OF KYTC PRELIMINARY GEOTECHNICAL ASSESSMENT

M E

		cc:	R. Nunley
<u>MEMORANDUM</u>			S. Ross
			S. Gutti
TO:	Keith Damron, P.E.		A. Calvin
	Division of Planning		D. Moses
			M. Hite
BY:	Bart Asher, P.E., P.L.S.		M. Vaughn
	Geotechnical Branch Manager		
DATE:	March 7, 2011		
SUBJECT:	Mercer-Garrard County		

P-001-2011

SUE KY 152 (Kennedy Bridge Road) over Herrington Lake Item # 7-1116.00 **Preliminary Geotechnical Assessment**

1. Location and Project Description

This project is located where KY 152 (Kennedy Bridge Road) crosses Herrington Lake at the border of Mercer and Garrard Counties. The bridge was constructed in order to keep the road open once Herrington Lake was built by Kentucky Utilities (KU). The bridge was finished and turned over to the adjoining counties on April 7, 1925 (Mahan). Water began impounding in the Lake on March 17, 1925.

The Division of Planning is conducting a Data, Needs and Analysis (DNA) study for the subject project. Project meeting notes indicate that there are currently four options for the replacement:

- Alternate 1: No Build
- Alternate 2: Replace with a bridge at same location
- Alternate 3: Replace at an adjacent location
- Alternate 4: Replace at an alternate location

This abbreviated review will discuss some geotechnical concerns with alternates 2 and 3. Alternate 4 can be reviewed by this office once an alternate location is considered.

The approximate coordinates for this site are: 37.746185 degrees North and -84.703665 degrees West.

2. Site Topography and Geologic Conditions

The current bridge spans Herrington Lake over what once was a deep gorge with Dix River flowing at the bottom. The existing profile indicates that the Mercer County side was basically a sheer cliff before the water was impounded. The slope on the Garrard county side is more gentle but still has some large vertical drops. The entire area of the lake surrounding the bridge has similar topography. It was noted during a field visit that the tops of some of the surrounding cliffs are substantially higher in elevation than where the bridge was constructed.

The site is located in the Bryantsville Quadrangle (# 945). The geologic mapping indicates that the bedrock at this site is (Source KGS):

• Tyrone Limestone – Limestone, of two types: (1) light-gray to light-olive-gray, cryptograined, containing specks and small tubes of clear calcite (birdseye limestone), and (2) very light gray to light-brownish-gray, cryptograined, containing pods and interlaced tubes of yellowish-gray, micrograined, calcareous dolomite. Birdseye limestone predominates in northern part of quadrangle and limestone containing dolomite bodies in southern part of quadrangle. Bentonite, as much as 2 feet thick, is present at top southwest of a line from the northwest corner of the quadrangle to Pollys Bend; a second bentonite bed, as much as 2 feet thick, is present about 25 feet below the top in all but the northwest corner of the quadrangle. The upper two bentonites, and locally the lowermost bentonite, are underlain by thin chert layers. Chert nodules are present in some beds. Limestone immediately above the lowermost bentonite contains planar laminae of calcareous dolomite. Persistent units of argillaceous limestone and shale are present in uppermost 10 feet and in middle of unit.

The Tyrone limestone is the type of bedrock visible in the surrounding cliffs.

- Oregon Formation Interbedded dolomite and limestone: Dolomite is calcareous, yellowish gray to yellowish white, micrograined to very finely crystalline, thick bedded. Limestone is light gray to light brownish gray, cryptograined; some limestone beds contain pods and interlaced tubes of calcareous dolomite. Contacts are placed at top of highest and base of lowest dolomite bed. Unit thins southward by grading of upper dolomite beds into limestone.
- Camp Nelson Limestone Limestone, light-gray to light-brownish-gray, cryptograined, containing pods and irregular interlaced tubes of yellowish-gray, micrograined, calcareous dolomite that make up 20 to 50 percent of the rock. Tubes commonly lie in a tangled network parallel to bedding, though some cut across bedding. Contains several zones of cryptograined limestone with specks and minute tubes of clear calcite. Calcareous shale, 5 to 10 feet thick, its base 10 to 15 feet below top of the formation, is present throughout the quadrangle.

It appears, from available mapping, that the base of Pier 2 and Pier 3 is located in the Camp Nelson Limestone.

The available mapping indicates fault lines within approximately one mile of the bridge. Additionally, the Kentucky River Fault Zone is within approximately 3 miles of the existing bridge location.

Mapping indicates that this area has numerous karst features. Karst features may include sinkholes, caves and solution features in the bedrock.

3. Discussion of Alternates 2 and 3 Replace with Bridge at Same or Adjacent Location

A bridge at the same location may require a new foundation or portions of the existing foundations may be reused. This office has discussed reuse of these piers in the past.

A site visit was performed to review the existing piers. It is unlikely that it would be desirable or economically viable to reuse abutment number 1, abutment number 2, or piers 1, 4 or 5 as shown in the below schematic (retrieved from the Division of Structural Design's plan database). Due to their size and location in the lake, it could be very desirable to reuse piers 2 and/or 3.



Profile view of the existing bridge



Abutment 1



Pier 1



Pier 3 (front) Pier 2 (rear)



Pier 4



Pier 5



Abutment 2

An article by F.C. Mahan from the *Kentucky Engineer* (year unknown - see appendix) supplied to this office by the District indicates that there have been some significant problems at Pier 2. Once the downstream dam was constructed and water began to back up around the piers it was noted that Pier 2 was actually rising and rotating. In the article Mahan states that the earliest inspection on record was in March of 1932 and that the top of Pier 2 was 1.33 feet higher than pier 3. (Mahan indicates that earlier records had been lost in a fire). Both were supposedly constructed to the same elevation. Mahan also states that "At the height of the movement the pier had risen approximately 30" and had tilted upstream and toward the Mercer County side approximately 12"." This office does not have current information that indicates the elevation difference between the two piers.

The article goes on to discuss various theories behind the movement. Those stated are:

- 1. Trapped gas under footing.
- 2. Hydrostatic pressure
- 3. Since the lime cliffs are full of crevices, holes, etc. and may be cavernous in places, the extra weight of the water may have caused some shift in the immediate terrain.
- 4. There is a possibility of heaving of the bottom when certain strata are wet and softened.

The article goes on to add that "Careful observations also indicate that possibly the whole cliff on the Mercer County side may be slowly moving toward the lake."

There was no conclusive evidence at that time or at this point to indicate the probable mechanism that caused the movement. Mapping does indicate that bentonite layers are prevalent in the Tyrone formation, which is presumably above the footing elevation of Pier 2. Some types of bentonite are known to swell to numerous times their dry size when water is added.

In order to make a decision as to whether Pier 2 and/or Pier 3 can be reused, a thorough investigation would be required. Drilling through the footing in numerous places would be desirable to examine the bearing stratum of both piers. Additionally, the existing concrete would need to be examined so that a useful remaining service life can be determined. Similar studies have been undertaken by the Cabinet in the past.

Replacement of the bridge at approximately the same location or just adjacent to this location, without the reuse of the piers, will also require a very thorough site investigation. It would be very desirable to try to find out the mechanism that caused the movement at pier 2 so that future problems with a new bridge can be avoided.

4. New Foundation and Superstructure Discussion

New foundations in the water would likely be large (12–14 foot) diameter drilled shafts socketed well into bedrock. This construction would have to take place from floating equipment due to the extreme depth of the lake. Conventional piers and stub abutments could likely be used on the shoreline.

A new superstructure on the existing or new foundations would likely be a plate girder structure or another truss of some type.

Attachments:

Site Map Mahan Article Bridge Inspection Report Historical Plans (no plans for the initial construction were located) NEWS ARTICLES OF 2009 BRIDGE CLOSURE

APPENDIX M

Kennedy Mill bridge to close 6 months for repairs - Latest News - Kentucky.com



Comments

The Herald-Leader allows readers to comment on stories; the views expressed here are not those of the Herald-Leader or its staff. Readers must avoid personal attacks and libelous or inappropriate

http://www.kentucky.com/2009/10/08/969111/kennedy-mill-bridge-to-close-6.html

9/1/2010

Ex-Miss Ky. to com

Wis. veterans boar

Bridge closing in Central Kentucky Page 1 of 8 Search Wednesday September 1, 2010 3:13 PM WKYT Home WYMT Home Station I is always on wkyt.com Health Community What's On Weather News Sports Blogs Contests **Find It** Moms **Project Green** Auto Marke DISCOVER Every purchase is an automatic chance to win FIND US ON 📲 😭 🦓 .. SHARE **Back to Home** Updated: 6:50 PM Oct 7, 2009 Door-smashing bu Bridge closing in Central Kentucky A dangerously rusted out Kentucky bridge will shut down for 6 months worth of repairs. Posted: 6:13 PM Oct 7, 2009 Reporter: Denny Trease Email Address: denny.trease@wkyt.com 2 Comments Story Font Size: 🔥 A A dilapidated old bridge between Garrard and Mercer counties will close for months starting next week. The state transportation cabinet laid out its repair plans during a public meeting in Burgin Tuesday night. Kennedy Mill Bridge was built in the 1920's. It will be closed to accommodate those badly needed repairs on Monday, October 12, and 4. some say that's none too soon. ► 1 Pictures of the deteriorating bridge provided by the transportation cabinet outraged many of the 100 or so people attending the meeting. David Hughes, an engineer for the transportation cabinet, attended that gathering designed to answer the concerns of drivers who use the bridge. He told 27 NEWSFIRST, "The public reaction was not very good. They could see there are all kinds of indications that the bridge is in Door-sm real bad shape." 01:46 Man acc Hughes stood up at the meeting and said that he, personally, would not drive across this bridge. But if it's that dangerous, why not just shut it 01:39 down immediately? Hughes said, "We need to warn people what's coming and give them time to make other arrangements. I don't see any New roa more risk in doing that than we've had in the last 2 or 3 years." 01:57 The recommended detour around the bridge will require some people to drive 40 miles out of their way. John Webb, who works for a boat < PREVIOUS business just on the Mercer County side of the bridge, says, "The frustration for me is working 100 yards across the bridge and being able to get boats and people in here easily. And there are people who live close by here who work in Lexington and will now have to drive way out of SEARCH their way." And there are no guarantees that repairing a bridge this old will make it significantly safer over the long term. Engineer Hughes says, "It won't be a complete repair. We hope we will be able to raise the 3 ton limit after the repair is done, but it's possible we might no be able to." A 1.8 million dollar bridge repair contract has been let with a Lexington firm, Intech, which hopes to complete the work by April of next year. Another informational meeting is scheduled for Thursday night at six o'clock at Camp Dick Robinson Elementary School in Garrard County.

Latest Comments

APPENDIX N

PROJECT PHOTOS



Marina & Restaurant located on upstream side of bridge


Sharp curve on KY 152 entering the bridge from west side – reason for some crashes



Access road to the Marina on the east side



Crashes occurred at the curve to the bridge on the east side



KY 152 and adjacent residential property on the east side just before the bridge



Only 30 miles from Lexington, Kentucky, picturesque Herrington Lake is the perfect playground for many outdoor and recreational sports. Water skiing, tubing, swimming and even cliff jumping is popular on the lake during the summer months, with many marinas offering boats and cottages for rents. Great fishing is also abundant and the well-stocked lake contains bluegill, catfish, crappie, hybrid striped bass, largemouth bass, spotted bass & white bass. Herrington Lake is known for producing some of the finest reservoir fishing the state has ever known.

Marinas on Herrington Lake

Marina/Milepost	Mailing Address	Phone	T i
Herrington Lake Marina Garrard County www.herringtonmarina.com	136 S. Homestead Ln., Lancaster 40444	859.548.2282	l d a t f
Cane Run Marina	Kennedy Bridge Rd., Harrodsburg mney Rock	859.748.5487	w R O D
Chimney Rock Marina Mile 4.0 Mercer County	250 Chimney Rock Rd., Burgin 40310	859.748.9065	l d a
Chimney Rock RV Park		859.748.5252	e
Coffey's Cove Mile 18.0 Boyle County	1358 Taylor Rd., Danville 40422	859.516.8873	o o f
Gwinn Island Marina and Campground Mile 16.0 Boyle County	1200 Gwinn Island Rd. Danville 40422	859.236.4286	r h F 1 t
Kamp Kennedy Marina Mile 4.0 Garrard County	P.O. Box H, Burgin 40310	859.548.2101	b G d o
King's Mill Marina Mile 22.5 Garrard County	570 King's Mill Rd., Lancaster 40444	859.548.2091 859.913.0034	w Н t к
Nelson's Mid Lake Mile 13.5 Mercer County	238 Cedar Lane, Harrodsburg 40330	859.748.5520	a u w
Pandora Marina Mile 4.5 Mercer County	Box 642, Burgin 40310	859.748.9121	2 m
Redgate Garrard County	305 Red Gate Road, Lancaster 40444	859.548.3507	n W r T

t B s f i T c i g

Royalty's Fishing Camp Mile 2.5 Mercer County	940 Normans Camp Rd., Harrodsburg 40330	859.748.5459
Sunset Marina Mile 3.5 Garrard County	318 Sunset LodgeRd., Lancaster40444	859.548.3591

Golf on Herrington Lake



200 Clubhouse Drive Lancaster, KY 40444 Phone: (859)548-5055 Fax: (859)548-5117 Toll Free: (877)249-4747

The Peninsula Golf Resort, a **Pete Dye designed facility** given a 4 1/2 star rating by Golf Digest for top places to play in the May 2002 issue, is Central Kentucky's newest "Stay and Play" golf facility.

The uniqueness of "The Peninsula Golf Resort" and the benefit to your group is that they are more than just another place to play golf. They offer twelve newly constructed "Stay and Play Villas" that can be used for an exceptional golf getaway without an extremely long drive from home. Each Villa consists of 1300 square feet fully furnished with a complete set of linens for your comfort, two bedrooms with two double beds in each, two bathrooms, fully furnished kitchen, large den area, and washer/dryers. Perfect for four golfers!

Copyright © 2010 C

APPENDIX O

COST ESTIMATION

Alternate 2a	ernate 2a Replace Superstructure	
	Rehabilitate Piers & Abutments	\$150,000
Alternate 2b	Replace Superstructure	\$150/SF
	Rehabilitate Piers & Abutments	\$100,000
Alternate 2c	Replace Bridge, Piers, & Abutment	\$250/SF
Alternate 2d	Replace Superstructure, Abutments, all except deep water piers	\$150/SF
	Rehab Deep water piers	\$150,000
All alternates	above used \$3,000,000/mile for bridge approaches geometry improvemer	nts
ALTERNATE 3	New Bridge at Adjacent Location	\$250/SF
	Bridge Approaches Geometry Improvements	\$3,000,000/mile
ALTERNATE 4	New bridge at alternate location	\$250/SF
	New Approaches	\$2,000,000/mile

Cost Calculations: The following estimates were used in the calculations of the alternates