

TECHNICAL MEMORANDUM

To: John Mettille, CDM Smith

From: Rebecca Colvin

Re: Field Summary, Combined Alternate 2 US 51 Bridge Replacement, Ballard County, KY and Alexander County, IL Item 1-100.00 and 1-1140.00

Date: September 20, 2013

Third Rock Consultants, LLC was retained by CDM Smith, Inc. to prepare a summary of environmental resources associated with the proposed US 51 bridge replacement in Ballard County, Kentucky and Alexander County, Illinois. An Environmental Overview was prepared in March 2013 for a large study area encompassing several alternative corridors; since that time, the project team has selected Combined Alternate 2 as the preferred corridor for the project. This memorandum details air quality, traffic noise, aquatic and terrestrial, underground storage tanks/hazardous materials, and socioeconomic resources associated with Combined Alternate 2

Air Quality

The proposed project is located in the Paducah (KY)-Cairo (IL) Interstate Air Quality Control Region. No traffic control measures are currently required for the area. The area is in attainment for all criteria, transportation-related pollutants established by the United States Environmental Protection Agency. The project is not anticipated to have any direct, indirect, or cumulative impacts to air quality.

Traffic Noise

The Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) Noise Standard requires that noise abatement measures be considered when traffic noise impacts are identified. Vehicle tires, engines, and exhaust propagate noise at levels dependent upon the volume, speed, percentage of trucks, and the slope of the roadway. These traffic noises are measured in decibels in the A-scale (dBA). The A-scale is designed to best approximate the way noise is heard by the human ear. Due to the logarithmic nature of noise measurements, a threedBA increase in the noise level represents a doubling in the noise level, but this increase is barely detectible by the human ear. A 10-dBA increase is perceived as a doubling of the noise level. Noise levels decrease in proportion with the square of the distance from the source such that a 4.5-dBA decrease is usually achieved when the distance from the roadway is doubled. Based on these noise propagation principles, traffic noise is not usually a serious problem for receptors more than 500 feet from heavily traveled freeways or more than 100 to 200 feet from lightly traveled roads.

Louisville, KY 40202

214 Second Ave N, # 401 Nashville, TN 37201

244 N. Peters Rd, # 216 Knoxville, TN 37923

According to the FHWA, traffic noise impacts occur when the predicted traffic noise levels approach (are within one dBA) or exceed the noise abatement criteria (NAC) or when the predicted traffic noise levels substantially exceed the existing noise level. The noise abatement criteria are established to address traffic noise levels that interfere with speech communication.

Noise Abatement Criteria are broken into seven activity categories (A to G) by description of land use and evaluation location (exterior or interior). A field review of the Combined Alternate 2 Corridor indicated that only Activity Category G (undeveloped lands) is present within 500 feet of the preferred corridor. No traffic noise criteria are established for Activity Category G land use because these land uses are not noise sensitive. Therefore, the project will have no effect on noise sensitive land uses.

Aquatic and Terrestrial Ecosystems

Third Rock biologists performed an aquatic and terrestrial reconnaissance of the Combined Alternate 2 corridor on September 3, 2013. Topographic and aerial maps were utilized in order to navigate the preferred corridor. The US Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS), in correspondence dated March 13, 2013, and April 1, 2013 (included in the Environmental Overview prepared for the project in April 2013), determined that 12 federally listed species may occur within the larger, project vicinity: the federally endangered gray bat (*Myotis grisescens*), Indiana bat (*Myotis sodalis*), least tern (*Sterna antillarum*), orange-footed pearlymussel (Plethobasus cooperianus), sheepnose mussel (Plethobasus cyphyus), fanshell mussel (Cyprogenia stegaria), pink mucket mussel (Lampsilis abrupta), ring pink mussel (Obovaria retusa), clubshell mussel (Pleurobema clava), rough pigtoe mussel (Pleurobema plenum), fat pocketbook mussel (Potamilus capax), and pallid sturgeon (Scaphirhynchus albus). Further, although bald eagle was officially removed from the List of Endangered and Threatened Species, USFWS notes that it continues to be protected under the Migratory Bird Treaty Act and the Bald and Golden Eagle Protection Act. Habitats for federally listed threatened or endangered species were documented with photographs and GPS. Streams were assessed using Rapid Bioassessment Field Data Sheets (RBP forms). Four suspected wetlands were delineated using the Interim Regional Supplement to the Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual: Atlantic and Gulf Coast Plain Region. RBP Forms and Wetland Determination Field Data Sheets are attached. All water resources are included in the attached Jurisdictional Determination Form. Photographs are attached.

Aquatic Resources

In addition to the Ohio River, one stream, shown on Table 1, was documented within the preferred corridor. The stream location is shown on the attached exhibit. The stream channel appears to be a drainage channel connecting a forested wetland with the Ohio River to the southeast.

STREAM #	STREAM TYPE	RBP SCORE
1	Ephemeral	88

TABLE 1 – STREAMS

Four wetlands, totaling 63.9 acres, shown on Table 2, page 3, were documented within the preferred corridor. The wetland locations are shown on the attached exhibit. Photographs from data collection plots as well as photo station (PS) points from the interior of Wetland 1 are also attached.

WETLAND #	ACRES
1	30.8
2	7.5
3	21.5
4	4.1

TABLE 2 – WETLANDS

Federally Listed / Protected Species

Approximately 66.3 acres of Indiana bat summer roost habitat was documented within the preferred corridor, as well as two known snag locations. Habitat and snag locations are shown on the attached exhibit. Photographs are also attached.

Approximately 102.3 acres of the Ohio River are within the preferred corridor. The Ohio River represents potential gray bat foraging habitat as well as habitat for all listed mussel species and the pallid sturgeon. The habitats for gray bat, listed mussels, and the pallid sturgeon are shown on the attached exhibit.

Bald eagle habitat is present within the preferred corridor. Although no bald eagle nests were identified during the field reconnaissance, the Ohio River and mature trees and snags near the river constitute appropriate habitat. If a bald eagle nest is discovered within or adjacent to the preferred corridor an evaluation must be performed to determine whether the project is likely to disturb nesting bald eagles. The KDFWR requests that as a bald eagle nest is known to occur near the preferred corridor, they be contacted prior to the start of the project for necessary steps to reduce potential impacts to bald eagle nests.

No interior least tern habitat was found within the preferred corridor.

Underground Storage Tanks/Hazardous Materials

A qualified Third Rock environmental professional conducted a field reconnaissance of the Combined Alternate 2 corridor on September 3, 2013. The reconnaissance activities involved driving the project corridor roads and walking along inaccessible areas.

The refined project corridor contains an even mixture of agricultural fields and wooded river bottomland along the Ohio River. The Illinois Central Gulf Railroad and US Highway 51 are the only infrastructure features located within the project corridor. No structures of any type were observed.

The numerous Environmental Data Resources (EDR) mapped sites identified in the Underground Storage Tank / Hazardous Materials White Paper (White Paper) submitted on March 19, 2013 are located greater than 0.5-mile from the preferred corridor and subsequently do not represent an environmental condition for the proposed project. Visibility was generally good throughout the preferred corridor during the site visit. A thorough investigation was conducted. No evidence of a recognized environmental condition was observed. No additional research is recommended at this time concerning Combined Alternate 2.

Socioeconomic Resources

The Combined Alternate 2 corridor was examined on September 3, 2013 to determine if Combined Alternate 2 would have an impact on socioeconomic resources. A soybean field is located between US 51 and the Ohio River in the Kentucky portion of the preferred corridor, and a wooded wetland is present on the Illinois portion of the preferred corridor. A Tourism/Welcome Center is near Combined Alternate 2, but it is to the south of the preferred corridor and subsequently, would not be impacted. Fort Defiance Park, owned by the City of Cairo, is also located to the south of the preferred corridor, outside of the project's impact area. As the new bridge will be constructed near the existing bridge, area traffic patterns will not change, minimizing impacts to area residences and businesses. No homes, businesses, or community facilities are located within the preferred corridor.





Bridge, Facing West toward Northern Bank of Ohio River

PS1 - Facing West



PS2 - Facing West in Wetland 1

PS3 - Facing West in Wetland 1



Plot 1 - Forested Wetland 1

Plot 2 - Potential Wetland 2



Plot 3 - Potential Wetland 2





Plot 4 - Wetland 3 Understory





Plot 5 - Backwater Slough Wetland 4, Facing West



Plot 6 - Wetland 3



Stream 1 - Downstream View from Head



Stream 1 - From Confluence with Ohio River



Snag 1

Snag 2

HABITAT ASSESSMENT FIELD DATA SHEET — HIGH GRADIENT STREAMS, PAGE 1

ST	REAM NAME: Stream			00111											f Bride				Bank	of Oh	io	
	REAM WDTH (FT): 2-		DEP	PTH (F	·T): 0																	
ST	ATION #:		RIVI	ERMI	LE:				COUNTY: Alexander STATE: IL													
LA	Г:		LON	IG:					RIVER BASIN: Ohio													
CLI	ENT: CDM Smith								PROJECT NO. KY12-020													
INV	ESTIGATORS/CREW	': J. S	Storm	, C. B	loyd																	
FORM COMPLETED BY: DATE: 8/3/13											F	REAS	ON F	OR S	URVE	EY:						
J. Storm											l	JS 51	Brid	ge Re	place	emer	nt					
TIME: 12:30 pm																						
Habitat										Conc	lition	Cate										
	Parameter	Parameter Optimal							bopti	mal				argin			Poor					
	1. Epifaunal Substrate/ Available Cover	subs epifa fish (subr bank stab to all pote	strate fi aunal c cover; nergec (s, cob le habi low ful ntial (i. are <u>no</u>	mix of d logs, ble or itat and l colon .e., log <u>t</u> new t	ole for ation a snags under other d at sta ization s/snag	e for habitat; w ion and colonization nags, adequate ndercut maintenar ther presence at stage substrate ation newfall, bu /snags prepared bu Il and <u>not</u> (may rate scale).				d for function ntial; for iopulat ional prm of et nizatio end of	ions; n	habi less subs	20-40% mix of stable habitat; habitat availability less than desirable; substrate frequently disturbed or removed.				Less than 20% stable habitat; lack of habitat is obvious; substrate unstable or lacking.					
_	SCORE: 8	20	19	18 17 16 15 14					13	12	11	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0
evaluated in sampling reach	2. Embeddedness	parti surro sedii cobb	cles ar oundeo ment.	re 0-25 d by fin Layeri vides o	25% particles a			cles ar ounded	bble, and boulder re 25-50% d by fineGravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 50- 75% surrounded by fine sediment.				Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are more than 75% surrounded by fine sediment.									
ted ir	SCORE: 0	20	19	18	17	16	15	14	13	12	11	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0
Parameters to be evaluat	3. Velocity/Depth Regime	regir deep deep	nes pr o, slow o, fast-	shallov		OW	pres miss	ent (if ing, so	It (if fast-shallow is re g, score lower than if sh				Only 2 of the 4 habitat regimes present (if fast- shallow or slow-shallow are missing, score low).				Dominated by 1 velocity/depth regime (usually slow-deep).					
amet	SCORE: 8	20	19	18	17	16	15	14	13	12	11	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0
Para	4. Sediment Deposition	islan less affec	ids or j than 5	boint b % of tl v sedim	jement ars an he bott hent	d	form grave sedir botto	ation, el, san ment; ! om affe	w increase in bar , mostly from ne and or fine se ; 5-30% of the ba fected; slight bo n in pools. de m				Adderate deposition of new gravel, sand or fine sediment on old and new pars; 30-50% of the pottom affected; sediment leposits at obstructions, constrictions, and bends; noderate deposition of pools prevalent.				Heavy deposits of fine material, increased bar development; more than 50% of the bottom changing frequently; pools almost absent due to substantial sediment deposition.			Ũ		
	SCORE: 0	20	19	18	17	16	15	14	13	12	11	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0
	5. Channel Flow Status	lowe amo	r bank unt of				avail	able c iannel	> 75% hanne substr	l; or <2	5%	avail riffle	Nater fills 25-75% of the available channel, and/or iffle substrates are mostly exposed.				Very little water in channel and mostly present as standing pools.					
	SCORE: 0	20	19	18	17	16	15	14	13	12	11	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0

HABITAT ASSESSMENT FIELD DATA SHEET — HIGH GRADIENT STREAMS, PAGE 2

			Condition Category															
	Habitat Parameter	0	ptimal			Su	boptim		-			largina	al			Poor		
	6. Channel Alteration	Channeliza absent or n with norma	inimal; s		prese bridge of pas dredg 20 yr) recen	Some channelization present, usually in areas of bridge abutments; evidence of past channelization, i.e., dredging, (greater than past 20 yr) may be present, but recent channelization is not present.				Channelization may be extensive; embankments or shoring structures present on both banks; and 40 to 80% of stream reach channelized and disrupted.					Banks shored with gabion or cement; over 80% of the stream reach channelized and disrupted. Instream habitat greatly altered or removed entirely.			
	SCORE: 20	20 19	18 1	7 16	15	14	13	12 ·	11	10	9	8	7	6	5 4	3 2	1 0	
ng reach	7. Frequency of Riffles (or bends)	Occurrence relatively fri distance be divided by v stream < 7: 7); variety o In streams continuous, boulders or natural obs important.	equent; ra tween riff vidth of th 1 (genera f habitat where riff placeme other larg	Occurrence of riffles infrequent; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is between 7 to 15.				Occasional riffle or bend; bottom contours provide some habitat; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is between 15 to 25.				e by	Generally all flat water or shallow riffles; poor habitat; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is a ration of > 25.					
nplir	SCORE: 10	20 19	18 1	7 16	15	14	13	12 [·]	11	10	9	8	7	6	5 4	3 2	1 0	
Parameters to be evaluated in sampling reach	8. Bank Stability (score each bank) Note: determine left or right side by facing downstream.	Banks stab erosion or k absent or n potential fo problems. affected.	Moderately stable; infrequent, small areas of erosion mostly healed over. 5-30% of bank in reach has areas of erosion.				Moderately unstable; 30- 60% of bank in reach has areas of erosion; high erosion potential during floods.				as	Unstable; many eroded areas; "raw" areas frequent along straight sections and bends; obvious bank sloughing; 60-100% of bank has erosional scars.						
irs to	SCORE: 10 (LB)	Left Bank	10	9	8		7	6		5 4			3	2	1	0		
nete	SCORE: 10 (RB)	Right Bank	10	9	8		7	6		5		4		3	2	1	0	
Parar	9. Vegetative Protection (score each bank)	More than of streambank immediate covered by vegetation, understory woody mac vegetative through gra minimal or almost all p grow natura	surfaces iparian z native including shrubs, o rophytes disruption zing or m not evide lants allo	and one trees, r non- owing ot;	70-90% of the streambank surfaces covered by native vegetation, but one class of plants is not well- represented; disruption evident but not affecting full plant growth potential to any great extent; more than one-half of the potential plant stubble height remaining.				50-70% of the streambank surfaces covered by vegetation; disruption obvious; patches of bare soil or closely cropped vegetation common; less than one-half of the potential plant stubble height remaining.				e	Less than 50% of the streambank surfaces covered by vegetation; disruption of streambank vegetation is very high; vegetation has been removed to 5 centimeters or less in average stubble height.				
	SCORE: 1 (LB)	Left Bank	10	9	8		7	6		5		4		3	2	1	0	
	SCORE: 1 (RB) 10. Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (score each bank riparian zone)	Right Bank Width of rip meters; hur (i.e., parkin clear-cuts, have not im	nan activ g lots, roa awns, or	ties dbeds, crops)	18 me	eters; impac	7 barian zo human cted zon	activitie		12 m activ	ieters ities h	4 ; huma nave im eat dea	zone 6 n pacteo		meters:	1 f riparian z little or no on due to s.	o riparian	
	SCORE: 10 (LB)	Left Bank	10	9	8		7	6		5		4		3	2	1	0	
	SCORE: 10 (RB)	Right Bank	10	9	8		7	6		5		4		3	2	1	0	

TOTAL SCORE: 88

Project/Site: US 51 Bridge Repl	lacement	City/County:	City/County: Alexander Sampling Date: 9/3/1							
Applicant/Owner: KYTC			State: IL	Sampling Point: Plot	1-WTL1					
Investigator(s): J. Storm, C. Bl	oyd	Section, Towr	Section, Township, Range:							
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.):	Floodplain	Local Relief (d	concave, convex, none):	Concave Slope (%):	0					
Subregion (LRR or MLRA):	Lat: <u>36</u> .	.99038	Long: -89.151419	Datum:						
Soil Map Unit Name: Orthents,	silty, undulating		NWI or WWI Class	ification: PEM						
Are climactic / hydrologic condition			Yes X No	(If no, explain in remarks.)						
Are vegetation , Soil	, or Hydrology	significantly distur	bed? Are "Normal Circum	stances" present? Yes X	No					
		naturally problem		iny answers in Remarks)						
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS	 Attach site map 	p showing sampling	g point locations, tran	sects, important features	, etc.					
Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes X	No								
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes X	No Is ti	a sampled area							
Wetland Hydrology Present? Remarks:	Yes X	No with	nin a wetland?	Yes X No						
This is a forested wetland, but is in	accurately classified as	s emergent on NWI mapp	ng.							
HYDROLOGY										
Wetland Hydrology Indicators:			Secon	ndary Indicators (minimum of two r	equired)					
Primary Indicators (minimum of one	e is required; check all	that apply)		Surface Soil Cracks (B6)						
X Surface Water (A1)	X	Water-Stained Leaves (B	9) <u>X</u>	Sparsely Vegetated Concave Sur	face (B8)					
High Water Table (A2)		Aquatic Fauna (B13)	Х	Drainage Patterns (B10)						
X Saturation (A3)		Marl Deposits (B15) (LRF	R U)	Moss Trim Lines (B16)						
X Water Marks (B1)		Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (E	31)	Dry-Season Water Table (C2)						
X Sediment Deposits (B2)		Oxidized Rhizospheres o		Crayfish Burrows (C8)						
X Drift Deposits (B3)		Presence of Reduced Iron		Saturation Visible on Aerial Image	ery (C9)					
Algal Mat or Crust (B4)		Recent Iron Reduction in	· · ·	Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1)	,					
Iron Deposits (B5)		Thin Muck Surface (C7)	· · ·	Geomorphic Position (D2)						
Inundation Visible on Aerial I		Other (Explain in Remark		FAC-Neutral Test (D5)						
Field Observations:										
Surface Water Present?	Yes <u>X</u> No	Depth (inches):	2 in							
Water Table Present?	Yes No	Depth (inches):								
Saturation Present:	Yes X No	Depth (inches):	6 in Wetland Hydrolo	gy Present? Yes X N	o					
(includes capillary fringe)		aarial abataa arayiaya in	anastiana) if availables							
Describe Recorded Data (Stream g	jauge, monitoring weil,	aeriai priotos, previous ir	spections), il available.							
Remarks:										

Sampling Point: Plot 1-WTL1

Tree Stratum (Plot Size:)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status	Dominance Test worksheet:
1. Salix nigra	85	Yes	OBL	Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 2 (A)
2.		100	002	Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 2 (B)
				Percent of Dominant Species
3. 4.				That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 100 (A/B) Prevalence Index worksheet:
5.				Total % Cover of: Multiply by:
6.				OBL Species 160 x 1 = 160
8.				FACW Species 0 $x^2 = 0$
		= Total Cove	r	FAC Species 0 $x 3 = 0$
Sapling Stratum (Plot size:)				FACU Species 0 x 4 = 0
1. None				UPL Species 0 x 5 = 0
2.				Column Totals: 160 (A) 160 (B)
3.				Prevalence Index = B/A =
4.				Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
5.				Dominance Test is >50%
6.				x Prevalence Test is $\leq 3.0^1$
7.				Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)
		= Total Cove	r	
Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)	_			
1. None				¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
2.				
3.				Definitions of Four Vegetation Strata:
4.				Tree – Woody plants, excluding vines, 3 in. (7.6 cm) or
5.				more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.
6.				-
7.				Sapling – Woody plants, excluding woody vines, approximately 20 ft (6 m) or more in height and less than 3
		= Total Cove	r	in (7.6 cm) DBH.
Herb Stratum (Plot size:)				Shrub – Woody plants, excluding woody vines,
1. Boehmeria cylindrical	75	Yes	OBL	approximately 3 to 20 ft (1 to 6 m) in height.
2.				Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.
3.				
4.				Woody vine – All woody vines, regardless of height.
5. 6.				-
0.		= Total Cove		-
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)			I	
1. None				
2.				1
3.				Hydrophytic
4.				Vegetation Present? Yes X No
5.				
		= Total Cove	r	1
Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a sepa	arate sheet)			

Profile De	scription: (Describe to	the depth ne	eded to document	t the indic	ator or confirm	the abser	ce of indic	ators.)		
Depth	Matrix		Redox Features						Remarks	
(inches)	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²	Textur	e		
0-14	10 YR 4/1	75	10 YR 3/6	25			loam			
¹ Type: C=	Concentration, D=Deple	tion, RM=Red	uced Matrix, CS=Co	overed or	Coated Sand Gra	ins ² L	ocation: PL	=Pore Lin	ing, M=Matrix	
Hydric So	il Indicators:						Indic	ators for	Problematic Hydric	c Soils³:
Hist	iosol (A1)	-	Polyvalue Be	low Surfac	ce (S8) (LRR S, T	', U) _	1 cm I	Muck (A9)	(LRR O)	
Histi	ic Epipedon (A2)	-	Thin Dark Su	rface (S9)	(LRR S, T, U)	_	2 cm I	Muck (A10)) (LRR S)	
Blac	ck Histic (A3)	-	Loamy Mucky	y Mineral ((F1) (LRR 0)	_	Reduc	ed Vertic	(F18) (Outside MLF	RA
Hyd	Irogen Sulfide (A4)	-	Loamy Gleye	d Matrix (I	F2)		150	А, В)		
Stra	tified Layers (A5)	-	x Depleted Mat	trix (F3)		_	Piedm	ont Flood	plain Soils (F19) (LR	R P,S,T)
Orga	anic Bodies (A6) (LRR P	', T, U)	Redox Dark S	Surface (F	6)	_	Anom	alous Brig	ht Loamy Soils (F20	1)
5 cm	n Mucky Mineral (A7) (LF	RR P, T, U)	Depleted Dar	k Surface	(F7)			LRA 1503		
Muc	ck Presence (A8) (LRR U	l) <u>-</u>	Redox Depre	essions (F8	3)	-			erial (TF2)	
	n Muck (A9) (LRR P, T)	-	Marl (F10) (L			-			ark Surface (TF12)	
	eleted Below Dark Surfac	e (A11)	Depleted Och			_	Other	(Explain ii	n Remarks)	
	ck Dark Surface (A11)	-			es (F12) (LRR O ,	Ρ, Τ)	2			
	ast Prairie Redox (A16) (N	· -			LRR P, T, U)			-	drophytic vegetatior	
	dy Mucky Mineral (S1) (I	LRR O, S)	Delta Ochric	. , .	-		wetlar	d hydrolo	gy must be present.	
	dy Gleyed Matrix (S4)	-		· / ·	MLRA 150A, 150					
	idy Redox (S5)	-			oils (F19) (MLRA			_ `		
	pped Matrix (S6)	-	Anomalous B	Bright Loan	ny Soils (F20) (M I	LRA 149A	, 153C, 153	D)		
	k Surface (S7) (LRR P, S	š, I, U)								
Type:	e Layer (if observed):				Hydric Soil Pr	esent?	Yes	х	Νο	
Depth (incl	hes):									
Remarks:										

Project/Site: US 51 Bridge Replacement	City/County:	Alexander		Sampling Da	te: 9/3/13
Applicant/Owner: KYTC		State: I	L	Sampling Po	int: Plot 2-WTL2
Investigator(s): J. Storm, C. Bloyd	Section, Townshi	ip, Range:			
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): Floodplain	Local Relief (con	cave, conve	ex, none): Concave	<u>e</u> 5	Slope (%): 0
Subregion (LRR or MLRA): Lat: <u>36.999825</u>		Long:	-89.142509	[Datum:
Soil Map Unit Name: Huntington-Combs Complex		NW	I or WWI Classification:	None	
Are climactic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of	of year? Yes	s <u>X</u>	No (If no, ex	xplain in rema	rks.)
Are vegetation Soil or Hydrology sig	nificantly disturbed	d? Are "I	Normal Circumstances" p	present? Y	es <u>X</u> No
Are vegetation Soil or Hydrology na	turally problematic	? (If nee	eded, explain any answe	ers in Remarks	6)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes	Х	No		
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes		No	X Is the sampled area	
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes	Х	No	within a wetland? Yes X No	
Remarks:					

This area has wetland hydrology, vegetation, a distinct boundary, and is located within a floodplain so is considered a potential forested wetland despite the lack of hydric soil color. This wetland may need confirmation from the USACE.

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:		Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)			
Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; ch	Surface Soil Cracks (B6)				
Surface Water (A1)	Water-Stained Leaves (B9)	Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)			
High Water Table (A2)	Aquatic Fauna (B13)	X Drainage Patterns (B10)			
Saturation (A3)	Marl Deposits (B15) (LRR U)	Moss Trim Lines (B16)			
Water Marks (B1)	Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (B1)	Dry-Season Water Table (C2)			
X Sediment Deposits (B2)	Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3)	Crayfish Burrows (C8)			
Drift Deposits (B3)	Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)	Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)			
Algal Mat or Crust (B4)	Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6)	Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1)			
Iron Deposits (B5)	Thin Muck Surface (C7)	X Geomorphic Position (D2)			
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)	Other (Explain in Remarks)	x FAC-Neutral Test (D5)			

Field Observations:					
Surface Water Present?	Yes	No X	Depth (inches):		
Water Table Present?	Yes	No X	Depth (inches):		
Saturation Present:	Yes	<u>No X</u>	Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes X No
(includes capillary fringe)					
Describe Recorded Data (Stro	eam gauge, mo	onitoring well, a	erial photos, previous insp	ections), if available:	
Remarks:					
Geomorphic position lower th	an adjacent so	ybean field. Su	rround lands, which are n	ot agriculture, are wetland. Is located with	nin 100 year floodplain.

Sampling Point: Plot 2-WTL2

Tree Stratum (Plot Size:)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status	Dominance Test worksheet:
1. Carya illinoinensis	25	Yes	FACU	Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 3 (A)
2. Celtis laevigata	20	Yes	FACW	Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 5 (B)
3. Acer saccharinum	15	Yes	FACW	Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 60% (A/B)
4. Populus deltoides	15	Yes	FAC	Prevalence Index worksheet:
5.				Total % Cover of: Multiply by:
6.				OBL Species 0 $x 1 =$ 0
8.				FACW Species 135 x 2 =270
		= Total Cove	r	FAC Species 15 x 3 = 45
Sapling Stratum (Plot size:)			-	FACU Species 25 x 4 = 100
1. NA				UPL Species 0 x 5 = 0
2.				Column Totals: <u>175</u> (A) <u>415</u> (B)
3.				Prevalence Index = $B/A = 2.37$
4.				Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
5.				X_Dominance Test is >50%
6.				X Prevalence Test is $\leq 3.0^{1}$
7.				Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)
		= Total Cove	r	
Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)		10101 00001	1	
1. NA	_			¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
2.				
3.				Definitions of Four Vegetation Strata:
4.				
5.				Tree – Woody plants, excluding vines, 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of
6.				height.
7.				Sapling – Woody plants, excluding woody vines,
		= Total Cove	r	approximately 20 ft (6 m) or more in height and less than 3 in (7.6 cm) DBH.
Herb Stratum (Plot size:)				
1. NA				Shrub – Woody plants, excluding woody vines, approximately 3 to 20 ft (1 to 6 m) in height.
2.				
3.				Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.
4.				Woody vine – All woody vines, regardless of height.
5.				The second states and the second states of the seco
6.				
		= Total Cove	r	
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)				
1. Brunnichia ovata	100	Yes	FACW	
2.	100	163	TACT	-
3.				– Hydrophytic
				Vegetation
4.				Present? Yes <u>X</u> No
5.		Tatal Ori	~	4
Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a sepa	arate sheet)	= Total Cove	I	
	,			

Profile Des	scription: (Describe t	to the depth	needed to docume	nt the indi	cator or confirm	the abser	nce of indicators.)		
Depth	Matrix		Redox Features					Remarks	
(inches)	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²	Texture		
0-14	10 YR 4/4		None				loam		
1						. 2.			
	Concentration, D=Depl	etion, RM=Re	educed Matrix, CS=C	Covered or	Coated Sand Gra	ains ⁻ L	ocation: PL=Pore		
-	I Indicators:		Debaster D					or Problematic H	ydric Soils":
	osol (A1)				ce (S8) (LRR S, ⁻	I, U) _	1 cm Muck (#		
	c Epipedon (A2)) (LRR S, T, U)	-	2 cm Muck (A	, , ,	
	k Histic (A3)			-	(F1) (LRR 0)	-		tic (F18) (Outside	MLKA
	ogen Sulfide (A4)		Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)				150A, B) Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19)(LRR P,S,T)		
	ified Layers (A5)	р т ну	Depleted Matrix (F3)				Anomalous Bright Loamy Soils (F20)		
	nic Bodies (A6) (LRR		Redox Dark Surface (F6)						(F20)
	Mucky Mineral (A7) (I						(MLRA 1		
	k Presence (A8) (LRR		Redox Depr		8)	-		Aaterial (TF2)	40)
	Muck (A9) (LRR P, T)		Marl (F10) (I			-	Very Shallow Dark Surface (TF12) Other (Explain in Remarks)		
	eted Below Dark Surfa	ice (ATT)			(MLRA 151)	- -	Other (Explai	n in Remarks)	
	k Dark Surface (A11)				es (F12) (LRR O ,	Ρ, Ι)	³ Indiantoro of	budrophytic voget	intion and
	st Prairie Redox (A16)				(LRR P, T, U)		³ Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present.		
	dy Mucky Mineral (S1)	(LRR 0, 5)	Delta Ochric	· / ·	•		wetland hydr	blogy must be pres	sent.
	dy Gleyed Matrix (S4)			. ,) (MLRA 150A, 150B)				
	dy Redox (S5)				Soils (F19) (MLRA 149A) amy Soils (F20) (MLRA 149A, 153C, 153D)				
	ped Matrix (S6)	е т II)	Anomaious	Bright Loar	my Solis (F20) (N	ILRA 1497	A, 153C, 153D)		
	Surface (S7) (LRR P, Layer (if observed):	5, 1, 0)							
Type:	Layer (il observeu).				Hydric Soil P	resent?	Yes	No	х
Depth (inch	ies):				5				
Remarks:	,								

Project/Site: US 51 Bridge Replacement	City/County: B	allard		Sampling D	ate: 9/	3/13
Applicant/Owner: KYTC	S	tate: K	Υ	Sampling P	oint: <u>Pl</u>	ot 3-WTL2
Investigator(s): J. Storm, C. Bloyd	Section, Township,	, Range:				
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): Floodplain	Local Relief (conca	ave, conve	x, none): Concave	e	Slope (%): 0
Subregion (LRR or MLRA): Lat: <u>37.000683</u>		Long:	-89.147118		Datum:	
Soil Map Unit Name: Huntington-Combs Complex		NWI	or WWI Classification:	None		
Are climactic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of	of year? Yes	X	No (If no, e:	xplain in rem	arks.)	
Are vegetation Soil or Hydrology sig	gnificantly disturbed?	P Are "N	lormal Circumstances" p	present?	Yes <u>x</u>	No
Are vegetation Soil or Hydrology na	aturally problematic?	(If nee	ded, explain any answe	ers in Remark	(s)	

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes	Х	No						
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes		No	Х	Is the sampled area				
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes	Х	No		within a wetland?	Yes	х	No	
Remarks:								_	

This area has wetland hydrology, vegetation, a distinct boundary, and is located within a floodplain so is considered a potential forested wetland despite the lack of hydric soil color. This wetland may need confirmation from the USACE.

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:	Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)			
Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; ch	Surface Soil Cracks (B6)			
Surface Water (A1)	Water-Stained Leaves (B9)	Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)		
High Water Table (A2)	Aquatic Fauna (B13)	X Drainage Patterns (B10)		
Saturation (A3)	Marl Deposits (B15) (LRR U)	Moss Trim Lines (B16)		
Water Marks (B1)	Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (B1)	Dry-Season Water Table (C2)		
X Sediment Deposits (B2)	Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3)	Crayfish Burrows (C8)		
Drift Deposits (B3)	Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)	Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)		
Algal Mat or Crust (B4)	Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6)	Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1)		
Iron Deposits (B5)	Thin Muck Surface (C7)	X Geomorphic Position (D2)		
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)	Other (Explain in Remarks)	x FAC-Neutral Test (D5)		

Field Observations:									
Surface Water Present?	Yes	No	Depth (inches):	Х					
Water Table Present?	Yes	No	Depth (inches):	Х					
Saturation Present:	Yes	No	Depth (inches):	Х	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes X No				
(includes capillary fringe)	(includes capillary fringe)								
Describe Recorded Data (Stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:									
Remarks:									
Geomorphic position lower the	Geomorphic position lower than adjacent soybean field. Surrounding lands not used for agriculture are wetlands. Is located within 100 year floodplain.								
(includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (Stre Remarks:	eam gauge, moi	nitoring well,	aerial photos, previous	s inspectio	ons), if available:				

Sampling Point: Plot 3-WTL2

Tree Stratum (Plot Size:)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status	Dominance Test worksheet:
, <u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>		•		Number of Dominant Species
1. Celtis laevigata	50	Yes	FACW	That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: (A) Total Number of Dominant
2. Acer saccharinum	30	Yes	FACW	Species Across All Strata: <u>5</u> (B) Percent of Dominant Species
3.				That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 80 (A/B)
4.				Prevalence Index worksheet:
5.				Total % Cover of: Multiply by:
6.				OBL Species 0 x 1 =
8.				FACW Species <u>120</u> x 2 = <u>240</u>
		= Total Cove	r	FAC Species 50 x 3 = 150
Sapling Stratum (Plot size:)				FACU Species <u>0</u> x 4 = <u>0</u>
1. Celtis laevigata	20	Yes	FACW	UPL Species <u>0</u> x 5 = <u>0</u>
2.				Column Totals: <u>170</u> (A) <u>390</u> (B)
3.				Prevalence Index = B/A = 2.29
4.				Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
5.				<u>x</u> Dominance Test is >50%
6.				<u>X</u> Prevalence Test is $\leq 3.0^1$
7.				Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)
		= Total Cove	r	
Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)	_			1
1. NA				¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
2.				
3.				Definitions of Four Vegetation Strata:
4.				Tree – Woody plants, excluding vines, 3 in. (7.6 cm) or
5.				more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of
6.				height.
7.				Sapling – Woody plants, excluding woody vines, approximately 20 ft (6 m) or more in height and less than 3
		= Total Cove	r	in (7.6 cm) DBH.
Herb Stratum (Plot size:)				Shrub – Woody plants, excluding woody vines,
1. Campsis radicans	50	Yes	FAC	approximately 3 to 20 ft (1 to 6 m) in height.
2. Boehmeria cylindrica	20	Yes	FACW	Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of
3.				size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.
4.				Woody vine – All woody vines, regardless of height.
5.				-
6.				-
		= Total Cove	r	
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)				
1.				-
2.				4
3.				Hydrophytic Vegetation
4.				Present? Yes <u>X</u> No
5.				4
	voto obseti	= Total Cove	r	
Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a sepa	arate Sheet)			

Profile Des	scription: (Describe t	to the depth n	eeded to documer	nt the indic	cator or confirm th	e absen	ce of indicators.)			
Depth	Matrix		Redox Features					Remarks		
(inches)	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²	Texture			
0-14	10 YR 4/4	None					loam			
¹ Type: C=C	Concentration, D=Depl	etion, RM=Re	duced Matrix, CS=C	overed or	Coated Sand Grains	s ² Lo	ocation: PL=Pore L	ining, M=Matrix		
Hydric Soil	I Indicators:						Indicators for	or Problematic H	lydric Soils ³ :	
Histo	osol (A1)		Polyvalue Be	elow Surfac	ce (S8) (LRR S, T, I) –	1 cm Muck (A	9) (LRR O)		
Histic	c Epipedon (A2)		Thin Dark Su	urface (S9)	(LRR S, T, U)	_	2 cm Muck (A	, .		
Black	k Histic (A3)		Loamy Muck	Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (LRR 0)			Reduced Vert	ic (F18) (Outside	∍ MLRA	
Hydr	ogen Sulfide (A4)		Loamy Gleye	Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)			150A, B)			
Strat	ified Layers (A5)		Depleted Ma	Depleted Matrix (F3)			Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19)(LRR P,S,			
Orga	anic Bodies (A6) (LRR	P, T, U)	Redox Dark	Redox Dark Surface (F6)			Anomalous Br	right Loamy Soils	(F20)	
5 cm	Mucky Mineral (A7) (I	_RR P, T, U)	Depleted Da	rk Surface	(F7)		(MLRA 1503B)			
Muck	k Presence (A8) (LRR	U)	Redox Depre	essions (F8	3)	_	Red Parent M	aterial (TF2)		
1 cm	Muck (A9) (LRR P, T))	Marl (F10) (I	LRR U)		_	Very Shallow Dark Surface (TF12)			
Deple	eted Below Dark Surfa	ıce (A11)	Depleted Oc	:hric (F11) ((MLRA 151)	_	Other (Explain	in Remarks)		
Thick	k Dark Surface (A11)		Iron-Mangar	iese Masse	es (F12) (LRR O, P ,	Т)				
Coas	st Prairie Redox (A16) (MLRA 150A)	Umbric Surfa	ace (F13) (I	LRR P, T, U)		³ Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and			
Sand	dy Mucky Mineral (S1)	(LRR O, S)	Delta Ochric	; (F17) (ML	RA 151)		wetland hydro	ology must be pre	sent.	
Sand	dy Gleyed Matrix (S4)		Reduced Ve	rtic (F18) (I) (MLRA 150A, 150B)					
	dy Redox (S5)			•	Soils (F19) (MLRA 149A)					
	ped Matrix (S6)		Anomalous I	Bright Loan	ny Soils (F20) (MLR	A 149A	, 153C, 153D)			
	Surface (S7) (LRR P,									
	E Layer (if observed):				Undria Sail Draa	omt?	Vac	Ne	v	
Type: Depth (inch					Hydric Soil Pres	entr	Yes	No	X	
Remarks:										
riomano.										

Project/Site: US 51 Bridge Repla	acement	City/County:	Ballard	Sampling Date: 9/3/13				
Applicant/Owner: KYTC			State: KY	Sampling Point: Plot 4-WTL3				
Investigator(s): J. Storm, C. Blo	yd	Section, Town	ship, Range:					
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.):	100 Year Floodplain	Local Relief (c	oncave, convex, none):	<u>None</u> Slope (%): <u>0</u>				
Subregion (LRR or MLRA):	Lat: 37.00	01458	Long: -89.142125	Datum:				
Soil Map Unit Name: Newark-Lir	ndside Complex		NWI or WWI Clas	ssification: Freshwater emergent				
Are climactic / hydrologic conditions	on the site typical for th	his time of year?	res <u>X</u> No	(If no, explain in remarks.)				
Are vegetation, Soil	, or Hydrology	significantly distur	bed? Are "Normal Circur	nstances" present? Yes X No				
Are vegetation, Soil	, or Hydrology	naturally problema	tic? (If needed, explain	any answers in Remarks)				
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS -	- Attach site man	showing sampling	point locations trai	nsects, important features, etc.				
Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	·							
Hydric Soil Present? Wetland Hydrology Present?			e sampled area in a wetland?	Yes X No				
Remarks:	Yes X N	o with		Yes <u>X</u> No				
This scrub and sapling wetland is in	accurately labeled as ar	n emergent wetland on N	IWI mapping.					
HYDROLOGY								
Wetland Hydrology Indicators:			Seco	ondary Indicators (minimum of two required)				
Primary Indicators (minimum of one	is required; check all th	nat apply)		_ Surface Soil Cracks (B6)				
Surface Water (A1)	W	/ater-Stained Leaves (BS)	_ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)				
High Water Table (A2)	Ad	quatic Fauna (B13)		Drainage Patterns (B10)				
Saturation (A3)	M	larl Deposits (B15) (LRR	U)	_ Moss Trim Lines (B16)				
Water Marks (B1)	H	ydrogen Sulfide Odor (B	en Sulfide Odor (B1) Dry-Season Water Table (C2)					
Sediment Deposits (B2)	0	xidized Rhizospheres or	Living Roots (C3)	Crayfish Burrows (C8)				
Drift Deposits (B3)	Pr	resence of Reduced Iror	n (C4)	Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)				
Algal Mat or Crust (B4)	R	ecent Iron Reduction in	nt Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6) Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1)					
Iron Deposits (B5)	TI	hin Muck Surface (C7)	Muck Surface (C7) X Geomorphic Position (D2)					
Inundation Visible on Aerial In	nagery (B7) O	ther (Explain in Remarks	s) <u>X</u>	FAC-Neutral Test (D5)				
Field Observations:								
	res No X	Depth (inches):						
	/es No X							
	/es <u>No X</u>		Wetland Hydrol	logy Present? Yes X No				
(includes capillary fringe)	<u> </u>							
Describe Recorded Data (Stream ga	auge, monitoring well, a	erial photos, previous in	spections), if available:					
Remarks:								
Wetland is within USDA NRCS ease	ement boundary.							

Sampling Point: Plot 4-WTL3

Tree Stratum (Plot Size:)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status	Dominance Test worksheet:
1. NA				Number of Dominant Species
				Total Number of Dominant
2.				Species Across All Strata: <u>3</u> (B) Percent of Dominant Species
3.				That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 66 (A/B)
4.				Prevalence Index worksheet:
5.				Total % Cover of: Multiply by:
6.				OBL Species 0 x 1 = 0
8.				FACW Species 60 x 2 = 120
		= Total Cove	r	FAC Species 40 x 3 = 120
Sapling Stratum (Plot size:)				FACU Species 0 x 4 = 0
1. Salix nigra	40	Yes	FACW	UPL Species 0 x 5 = 0
2. Populus deltoides	40	Yes	FAC	Column Totals: <u>100</u> (A) <u>240</u> (B)
3. Acer saccharinum	20	Yes	FACW	Prevalence Index = B/A = 2.4
4.				Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
5.				X Dominance Test is >50%
6.				<u>X</u> Prevalence Test is $\leq 3.0^1$
7.				Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)
		= Total Cove	r	
Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)	_			¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be
1. NA				present, unless disturbed or problematic.
2.				
3.				Definitions of Four Vegetation Strata:
4.				Tree – Woody plants, excluding vines, 3 in. (7.6 cm) or
5.				more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.
6.				4
7.				Sapling – Woody plants, excluding woody vines, approximately 20 ft (6 m) or more in height and less than 3
		= Total Cove	r	in (7.6 cm) DBH.
Herb Stratum (Plot size:)				Shrub – Woody plants, excluding woody vines,
1. NA				approximately 3 to 20 ft (1 to 6 m) in height.
2.				Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.
3.				
4.				Woody vine – All woody vines, regardless of height.
5.				-
6.		T . 10		-
		= Total Cove	r	
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)				
1. NA				4
2.				Hydrophytic
3.				Vegetation
4.				Present? Yes <u>X</u> No
5.		- Total Cause	r	4
Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a sepa	arate sheet)	= Total Cove	I	1

Profile Des	cription: (Describe t	o the depth I	needed to documen	nt the indic	ator or confirm	the abse	nce of indic	ators.)			
Depth	Matrix		Redox Features						Remarks		
(inches)	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²	Textu	re			
0-14	10 YR 4/2	50%	10YR4/6	50%			loam				
¹ Type: C=C	Concentration, D=Deple	etion, RM=Re	duced Matrix, CS=C	overed or (Coated Sand Gra	ains ² L	ocation: PL	-Pore Lir	ning, M=Matrix		
Hydric Soil	Indicators:						Indic	ators for	Problematic Hydric Soil		
Histo	osol (A1)		Polyvalue Be	elow Surfac	ce (S8) (LRR S,	T, U) _	1 cm	Muck (A9)) (LRR O)		
Histic	c Epipedon (A2)		Thin Dark Su	Thin Dark Surface (S9) (LRR S, T, U)			2 cm	Muck (A1	0) (LRR S)		
Black	k Histic (A3)		Loamy Muck	Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (LRR 0)				Reduced Vertic (F18) (Outside MLRA			
Hydro	ogen Sulfide (A4)		Loamy Gleye	Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)			150A, B)				
Strati	ified Layers (A5)		x Depleted Matrix (F3)			Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19)(LRR P					
Orga	nic Bodies (A6) (LRR	P, T, U)	Redox Dark Surface (F6)				Anom	alous Brig	ght Loamy Soils (F20)		
5 cm	Mucky Mineral (A7) (L	_RR P, T, U)	Depleted Da	rk Surface	(F7)		(M	LRA 1503	3B)		
Muck	« Presence (A8) (LRR	U)	Redox Depre	essions (F8	3)	-	Red F	arent Mat	terial (TF2)		
1 cm	Muck (A9) (LRR P, T))	Marl (F10) (L	LRR U)		-	Very S	Shallow D	ark Surface (TF12)		
Deple	eted Below Dark Surfa	.ce (A11)	Depleted Oc	hric (F11) ((MLRA 151)	-	Other (Explain in Remarks)				
Thick	Coark Surface (A11)		Iron-Mangan	iese Masse	es (F12) (LRR O	, P, T)					
Coas	st Prairie Redox (A16)(MLRA 150A)	Umbric Surfa	ace (F13) (I	LRR P, T, U)		³ Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and				
Sand	ly Mucky Mineral (S1)	(LRR O, S)	Delta Ochric	(F17) (ML	RA 151)		wetland hydrology must be present.				
Sand	ly Gleyed Matrix (S4)		Reduced Ve	rtic (F18) (3) (MLRA 150A, 150B)						
Sand	ly Redox (S5)		Piedmont Flo	oodplain Sc	Soils (F19) (MLRA 149A)						
Strip	ped Matrix (S6)		Anomalous E	Bright Loam	ny Soils (F20) (N	ILRA 149	A, 153C, 153	BD)			
Dark	Surface (S7) (LRR P,	S, T, U)			1						
	Layer (if observed):										
Type:					Hydric Soil P	resent?	Yes	X	No		
Depth (inch Remarks:	es):										
Remains.											

Project/Site: US 51 Bridge Repla	acement	City/County: Ballard	City/County:BallardSampling Date:9/3/13				
Applicant/Owner: KYTC		State: KY	State: <u>KY</u> Sampling Point: <u>Plot 5-W</u>				
Investigator(s): J. Storm, C. Blo	yd	Section, Township, Range:	Section, Township, Range:				
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.):	Slough	Local Relief (concave, convex,	Local Relief (concave, convex, none):Concave Slope (%):				
Subregion (LRR or MLRA):	Lat: 36.9	999453 Long: -8	9.134657 Datum:				
Soil Map Unit Name: Newark-Lin	ndside Complex	NWI or	WWI Classification: None				
Are climactic / hydrologic conditions	on the site typical for	this time of year? Yes X N	o (If no, explain in remarks.)				
Are vegetation, Soil	, or Hydrology	significantly disturbed? Are "Nor	mal Circumstances" present? Yes X	No			
Are vegetation Soil	, or Hydrology	naturally problematic? (If neede	d, explain any answers in Remarks)				
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS -	Attach site map	showing sampling point locati	ons, transects, important features	, etc.			
Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes X	No					
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes X 1	No Is the sampled area					
Wetland Hydrology Present? Remarks:	Yes X N	No within a wetland?	Yes <u>X</u> No				
This emergent wetland has some tre	ees on the margin.						
HYDROLOGY							
Wetland Hydrology Indicators:			Secondary Indicators (minimum of two r	equired)			
Primary Indicators (minimum of one	is required; check all t	that apply)	Surface Soil Cracks (B6)				
Surface Water (A1)	<u> </u>	Water-Stained Leaves (B9)	X Sparsely Vegetated Concave Su	face (B8)			
High Water Table (A2)	/	Aquatic Fauna (B13)	X Drainage Patterns (B10)				
X Saturation (A3)		Marl Deposits (B15) (LRR U)	Moss Trim Lines (B16)				
X Water Marks (B1)	H	Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (B1)	Dry-Season Water Table (C2)				
Sediment Deposits (B2)	(Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C	 Crayfish Burrows (C8) 				
Drift Deposits (B3)	F	Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)	Saturation Visible on Aerial Imag	ery (C9)			
Algal Mat or Crust (B4)	F	Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6)	X Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1)				
Iron Deposits (B5)	1	Thin Muck Surface (C7)	X Geomorphic Position (D2)				
Inundation Visible on Aerial In	nagery (B7)	Other (Explain in Remarks)	X FAC-Neutral Test (D5)				
Field Observations:							
	′es No X	Depth (inches):					
		Depth (inches):					
			nd Hydrology Present? Yes X N	0			
(includes capillary fringe)			<u> </u>	• <u> </u>			
	auge, monitoring well,	aerial photos, previous inspections), if ava	lable:				
Remarks: Backwater slough							

Sampling Point: Plot 5-WTL4

Tree Stratum (Plot Size:)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status	Dominance Test worksheet:
1. Salix nigra	10	Yes	FACW	Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 3 (A)
2. Acer saccharinum	10	Yes	FACW	Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 3 (B)
3.				Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 100 (A/B)
4.				Prevalence Index worksheet:
5.				Total % Cover of: Multiply by:
6.				OBL Species 50 x 1 = 50
8.				FACW Species 20 x 2 = 40
		= Total Cove	r	FAC Species 0 x 3 = 0
Sapling Stratum (Plot size:)				FACU Species 0 x 4 = 0
1. NA				UPL Species 0 x 5 = 0
2.				Column Totals: 70 (A) 90 (B)
3.				Prevalence Index = $B/A = 1.29$
4.				Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
5.				<u>X</u> Dominance Test is >50%
6.				X Prevalence Test is ≤3.0 ¹
7.				Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)
		= Total Cove	r	
Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)		_		
1. NA	_			¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
2.				
3.				Definitions of Four Vegetation Strata:
4.				Tree – Woody plants, excluding vines, 3 in. (7.6 cm) or
5.				more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of
6.				height.
7.				Sapling – Woody plants, excluding woody vines,
		= Total Cove	r	approximately 20 ft (6 m) or more in height and less than 3 in (7.6 cm) DBH.
Herb Stratum (Plot size:)				Shrub – Woody plants, excluding woody vines,
1. Lemna miner	50	Yes	OBL	approximately 3 to 20 ft (1 to 6 m) in height.
2.				Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.
3.				
4.				Woody vine – All woody vines, regardless of height.
5.				-
6.				-
		= Total Cove	r	
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)				
1. NA				-
2.				
3.				Hydrophytic Vegetation
4.				Present? Yes <u>X</u> No
5.				4
Demortos (lacludo aboto sustano baro es es e	moto of +'	= Total Cove	r	
Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a sepa Wetland a concave depression with duckweed at bot		k willow and sil	ver maple at	the wetland/upland margin.

	Matrix		Redox Features						Remarks				
Depth (inches)	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²	Textu	ŕe	rtomanto				
0-6	Gley 1 6/N	50	Gley 2 2.5/10B	50	1990	200	Loam	0					
6-14	10 YR 4/2	80	10 YR 5/1	20			loam						
	oncentration, D=Depl	etion, RM=Red	duced Matrix, CS=Cc	overed or	Coated Sand Gra	ains ² L			ng, M=Matrix				
Hydric Soil I			Dobacelus D-1	Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (LRR S, T, U)					Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils				
Histos	oi (A1) Epipedon (A2)		Thin Dark Su	1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR O) 2 cm Muck (A10) (LRR S)									
	Histic (A3)		Loamy Mucky	Reduced Vertic (F18) (Outside MLRA									
	gen Sulfide (A4)		Loamy Gleye	150A, B)									
	ed Layers (A5)		X Depleted Mat	Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19)(LRR P,S,									
Organic Bodies (A6) (LRR P, T, U)			Redox Dark Surface (F6)				Anomalous Bright Loamy Soils (F20)						
	/lucky Mineral (A7) (I		Depleted Dark Surface (F7)				(MLRA 1503B)						
Muck Presence (A8) (LRR U)			Redox Depressions (F8)				Red Parent Material (TF2)						
1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR P, T)			Marl (F10) (LRR U)				Very Shallow Dark Surface (TF12)						
Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)			Depleted Ochric (F11) (MLRA 151)				Other (Explain in Remarks)						
Thick I	Dark Surface (A11)		Iron-Mangane	ese Masse	es (F12) (LRR O ,	P, T)							
Coast	Prairie Redox (A16)(MLRA 150A)	Umbric Surfac	ce (F13) (LRR P, T, U)		³ Indica	ators of hyd	rophytic veg	etation and			
Sandy	Mucky Mineral (S1)	(LRR O, S)	Delta Ochric (wetlar	nd hydrolog	y must be pr	esent.						
Sandy	Gleyed Matrix (S4)		Reduced Vertic (F18) (MLRA 150A, 150B)										
Sandy	Redox (S5)		Piedmont Flo	odplain So	oils (F19) (MLRA	149A)							
Strippe	ed Matrix (S6)		Anomalous B	right Loar	ny Soils (F20) (M	LRA 149A	, 153C, 153	BD)					
Dark S	Surface (S7) (LRR P,	S, T, U)			1								
Restrictive L Type:	ayer (if observed):				Hydric Soil Pr	resent?	Yes	X	No				
Depth (inches	c).												

Applicant/Owner: KYTC State: KY Sampling Point: Plot 6-WTL3 Investigator(s): J. Storm, C. Bloyd Section, Township, Range:
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): 100 Year Floodplain Local Relief (concave, convex, none): Concave Slope (%): 0 Subregion (LRR or MLRA): Lat: 37.00028 Long: -89.13504 Datum:
Subregion (LRR or MLRA): Lat: 37.00028 Long: -89.13504 Datum:
Soil Map Unit Name: Newark-Lindside Compled NWI or WWI Classification: None
Are climactic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes X No (If no, explain in remarks.)
Are vegetation Soil, or Hydrology significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes X No
Are vegetation Soil, or Hydrology naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks)
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.
Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes X No
Hydric Soil Present? Yes X No Is the sampled area
Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes X No within a wetland? Yes X No Remarks: Yes X No Yes X No
Forested wetland non indicated on NWI mapping.
HYDROLOGY
Wetland Hydrology Indicators: Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)
Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply) Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
Surface Water (A1) Water-Stained Leaves (B9) Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)
High Water Table (A2) Aquatic Fauna (B13) Drainage Patterns (B10)
Saturation (A3) Marl Deposits (B15) (LRR U) Moss Trim Lines (B16)
Water Marks (B1) Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (B1) Dry-Season Water Table (C2)
Sediment Deposits (B2) X Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3) Crayfish Burrows (C8)
Drift Deposits (B3) Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
Algal Mat or Crust (B4) Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6) Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1)
Iron Deposits (B5) Thin Muck Surface (C7) X Geomorphic Position (D2)
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) Other (Explain in Remarks) X FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
Field Observations:
Surface Water Present? Yes No X Depth (inches):
Water Table Present? Yes No X Depth (inches):
Saturation Present: Yes No X Depth (inches): Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes X No
(includes capillary fringe)
Describe Recorded Data (Stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:
Remarks:

Sampling Point: Plot 6-WTL3

Tree Stratum (Plot Size:)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status	Dominance Test worksheet:
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		•		Number of Dominant Species
1. Acer saccharinum	25	Yes	FACW	That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 4 (A) Total Number of Dominant
2. Celtis laevigata	15	Yes	FACW	Species Across All Strata: 4 (B)
3. Populus deltoides	10	Yes	FAC	Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 100 (A/B)
4.				Prevalence Index worksheet:
5.				Total % Cover of: Multiply by:
6.				OBL Species _ 0 x 1 =
8.				FACW Species 90 x 2 = 180
		= Total Cove	r	FAC Species 10 x 3 = 20
Sapling Stratum (Plot size:)		_		FACU Species 0 x 4 =
1. NA				UPL Species 10 x 5 = 50
2.				Column Totals: <u>110</u> (A) <u>250</u> (B)
3.				Prevalence Index = $B/A = 2.27$
4.				Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
5.				X Dominance Test is >50%
6.				X Prevalence Test is $\leq 3.0^{1}$ Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)
7.		Tatal Caus	-	
		= Total Cove	ſ	
Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)	_			¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be
1. NA				present, unless disturbed or problematic.
2.				
3.				Definitions of Four Vegetation Strata:
4.				Tree – Woody plants, excluding vines, 3 in. (7.6 cm) or
5.				more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of
6.				height.
7.				Sapling – Woody plants, excluding woody vines,
		= Total Cove	r	approximately 20 ft (6 m) or more in height and less than 3 in (7.6 cm) DBH.
Herb Stratum (Plot size:)				Shrub – Woody plants, excluding woody vines,
1. Boehmeria cylindrical	50	Yes	FACW	approximately 3 to 20 ft (1 to 6 m) in height.
2. Solanum carolinense	10	No	UPL	Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of
3.				size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.
4.				Woody vine – All woody vines, regardless of height.
5.				
6.				
		= Total Cove	r	
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)			-	
1. NA				
2.				
				_ Hydrophytic
3.				Vegetation
4.				Present? Yes <u>X</u> No
5.				-
Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a sepa	arate sheet)	= Total Cove	r	1

(inches)	Color (moist)	%	Redox Features Color (moist)	%	Type ¹ L	oc ²	Fexture		Remarks		
0-14	10 YR 4/2	90	10 YR 4/5	10	туре с		oam				
5-14	10 11(4/2	30	10 11(4/3	10			Uam				
¹ Type: C=Con	centration, D=Dep	letion, RM=Re	duced Matrix, CS=	Covered or C	coated Sand Grains	² Locatio	n: PL=Poi	re Lining	, M=Matrix		
Hydric Soil Ind	dicators:							Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils			
Histosol	(A1)				e (S8) (LRR S, T, U)	1	1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR O)				
	oipedon (A2)		Thin Dark	Thin Dark Surface (S9) (LRR S, T, U)				2 cm Muck (A10) (LRR S)			
	stic (A3)			Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (LRR 0)				Reduced Vertic (F18) (Outside MLRA			
	en Sulfide (A4)			Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)				150A, B)			
	d Layers (A5)							Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19)(LRR P,S,			
	Bodies (A6) (LRR			Redox Dark Surface (F6)				Anomalous Bright Loamy Soils (F20)			
	ucky Mineral (A7) (Depleted Dark Surface (F7)			(MLRA 1503B)				
	esence (A8) (LRR	•		Redox Depressions (F8)			Red Parent Material (TF2)				
	ick (A9) (LRR P, T		Mari (F10) (LRR U)				Very Shallow Dark Surface (TF12) Other (Explain in Remarks)				
	d Below Dark Surfa	ace (ATT)		Depleted Ochric (F11) (MLRA 151) Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (LRR O, P, T)							
	ark Surface (A11)	(MI DA 150A)					Indiantara	ofbudr		tion and	
	rairie Redox (A16)			Umbric Surface (F13) (LRR P, T, U) Delta Ochric (F17) (MLRA 151)				³ Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present.			
Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1) (LRR O, S) Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)				Reduced Vertic (F18) (MLRA 150A, 150B)							
	Redox (S5)				ils (F19) (MLRA 149	Δ)					
	Matrix (S6)				y Soils (F20) (MLRA		(153D)				
	rface (S7) (LRR P ,	. S. T. U)		b Bright Louin		1407, 1000	, 1002)				
	yer (if observed):										
Туре:					Hydric Soil Preser	nt? Ye	s)	x	No		
Depth (inches)	:										
Remarks:											

ATTACHMENT

PRELIMINARY JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM

BACKGROUND INFORMATION

A. REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR PRELIMINARY JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (JD): 9/13/13

B. NAME AND ADDRESS OF PERSON REQUESTING PRELIMINARY JD:

James Storm, Third Rock Consultants LLC, 2526 Regency

C. DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NAME, AND NUMBER:

D. PROJECT LOCATION(S) AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION:

US 51 Bridge Replacement

(USE THE ATTACHED TABLE TO DOCUMENT MULTIPLE WATERBODIES AT DIFFERENT SITES)

State: KY, IL County/parish/borough: Alexander, IL and Ballard, KY City: Cairo, IL

Center coordinates of site (lat/long in degree decimal format): Lat. 89.146178° N, Long. 36.993418° W

Universal Transverse Mercator:

Name of nearest waterbody: Ohio River

Identify (estimate) amount of waters in the review area:

Non-wetland waters: 1 stream linear feet: 100 width (ft) and/or acres. Cowardin Class: Riverine Stream Flow: Ephemeral Wetlands: 63.9 acres. Cowardin Class: Forested

Name of any water bodies on the site that have been identified as Section 10 waters:

Tidal: Non-Tidal: Ohio River

E. REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):

Office (Desk) Determination. Date:

Field Determination. Date(s): 9/3/13

1. The Corps of Engineers believes that there may be jurisdictional waters of the United States on the subject site, and the permit applicant or other affected party who requested this preliminary JD is hereby advised of his or her option to request and obtain an approved jurisdictional determination (JD) for that site. Nevertheless, the permit applicant or other person who requested this

preliminary JD has declined to exercise the option to obtain an approved JD in this instance and at this time.

2. In any circumstance where a permit applicant obtains an individual permit, or a Nationwide General Permit (NWP) or other general permit verification requiring "pre-construction notification" (PCN), or requests verification for a non-reporting NWP or other general permit, and the permit applicant has not requested an approved JD for the activity, the permit applicant is hereby made aware of the following: (1) the permit applicant has elected to seek a permit authorization based on a preliminary JD, which does not make an official determination of jurisdictional waters; (2) that the applicant has the option to request an approved JD before accepting the terms and conditions of the permit authorization, and that basing a permit authorization on an approved JD could possibly result in less compensatory mitigation being required or different special conditions; (3) that the applicant has the right to request an individual permit rather than accepting the terms and conditions of the NWP or other general permit authorization: (4) that the applicant can accept a permit authorization and thereby agree to comply with all the terms and conditions of that permit, including whatever mitigation requirements the Corps has determined to be necessary; (5) that undertaking any activity in reliance upon the subject permit authorization without requesting an approved JD constitutes the applicant's acceptance of the use of the preliminary JD, but that either form of JD will be processed as soon as is practicable; (6) accepting a permit authorization (e.g., signing a proffered individual permit) or undertaking any activity in reliance on any form of Corps permit authorization based on a preliminary JD constitutes agreement that all wetlands and other water bodies on the site affected in any way by that activity are jurisdictional waters of the United States, and precludes any challenge to such jurisdiction in any administrative or judicial compliance or enforcement action, or in any administrative appeal or in any Federal court; and (7) whether the applicant elects to use either an approved JD or a preliminary JD, that JD will be processed as soon as is practicable. Further, an approved JD, a proffered individual permit (and all terms and conditions contained therein), or individual permit denial can be administratively appealed pursuant to 33 C.F.R. Part 331, and that in any administrative appeal, jurisdictional issues can be raised (see 33 C.F.R. 331.5(a)(2)). If, during that administrative appeal, it becomes necessary to make an official determination whether CWA jurisdiction exists over a site, or to provide an official delineation of jurisdictional waters on the site, the Corps will provide an approved JD to accomplish that result, as soon as is practicable.

This preliminary JD finds that there *"may be"* waters of the United States on the subject project site, and identifies all aquatic features on the site that could be affected by the proposed activity, based on the following information:

SUPPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for preliminary JD (check all that apply

- checked items should be included in case file and, where checked and requested, appropriately reference sources below):

Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant:.

Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant.
 Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report. Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report.
Data sheets prepared by the Corps:
Corps navigable waters' study:
 U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: USGS NHD data. USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps.
\boxtimes U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name: .
USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation:
National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name:
State/Local wetland inventory map(s):
FEMA/FIRM maps:
\boxtimes 100-year Floodplain Elevation is: (National Geodectic Vertical Datum of 1929)
\boxtimes Photographs: \boxtimes Aerial (Name & Date): . or \boxtimes Other (Name & Date):Photo log prepared by Third Rock.
\square Previous determination(s). File no. and date of response letter:
Other information (please specify):
PORTANT NOTE: The information recorded on this form has not

IMPORTANT NOTE: The information recorded on this form has not necessarily been verified by the Corps and should not be relied upon for later jurisdictional determinations.

Signature and date of Regulatory Project Manager (REQUIRED) Signature and date of person requesting preliminary JD (REQUIRED, unless obtaining the signature is impracticable)

SAMPLE

Site number	Latitude	Longitude	Cowardin Class	Estimated amount of aquatic resource in review area	Class of aquatic resource
Ohio River	89.151016	36.990582	R	1650 ft	Perennial river
Stream 1	89.151016	36.990582	R4SB	100 ft	ephemeral
Wetland 1	89.151419	36.99038	Forested	30.8 ac	wetland
Wetland 2	89.147118	36.999825	Scrub/sapling	7.5 ac	wetland
Wetland 3	89.134657	36.999453	Forested	21.5 ac	wetland
Wetland 4	89.13504	37.00028	Forested	4.1 ac	wetland