

Appendix H

August/September 2023 Written-In Comments from Website
I did not attend the meeting of 14 Aug 23 but have been made aware of Option AB which would impact my land and home significantly at 150 West Maple Street. Is this a new development? Are these options ranked in any way?
The decision on traffic will not matter. The factory should never have been built to start with. What was a beautiful historic small town I've lived in or near my whole life is being torn apart piece by piece. We just invited traffic, more people and chaos in what was a peaceful country place. That's why we move to the country, to get away from the city, not have it plopped down in our backyard. Is creating more jobs and bringing in more revenue really worth destroying so many others homes, property and lives?
A north bypass is not needed if the 1136 railroad crossing was brought up to grade so that trucks could cross (which should have been done before construction of the factory was started!). Hundreds of loads of fill dirt have been hauled away which could have been used to bring the crossing up to grade. Furthermore, 3,300 cars a day coming through Glendale is not that significant. Fix the crossing at 1136, finish Ring Rd to I65, and put an interstate exchange at 1136.
You should be ashamed. Leave the people of Glendale alone.
Blue Oval is the reason why we are looking to destroy homes for a roadway. Preservation of all of rural Glendale is in high priority for the citizens of Glendale and is something that has been promised since the beginning of this development. It should be made that access to blue oval can only come in from the interstate and 31-W. Blue Oval should set up their traffic pattern so that any commercial access can only come in off of I-65 and 31-W and that access is impossible if navigating from anywhere else but the major interstate and highways. WK parkway traffic must travel to I-65 then south if they want to gain access to the industrial site. Keep commercial movement OUT of the Glendale district.
Save the pink house!
Save the pink house.
Save the pink house!
Save the pink home from demolition!
Most of the residents in the Glendale area do not appear to be in favor of having their community, much less their homes, displaced by this project. The I-65 corridor provides ample access to facilitate logistical needs. Normal vehicle traffic would not be impacted on 222 under the no build concept nor would citizens have to be concerned whether or not it impacts their homes. Any vehicle traffic to facilitate logistical needs would be better served once the Ring Road-I65 project were completed. It is disingenuous to force people to make the decision whether or not to sell their homes to accommodate Blue Oval/Ford. Ford committed to being a good community partner throughout the life of this project, maybe the State and Local officials involved in this process should do the same.
There is not enough information to give an informed opinion, hence I selected "Undecided" for all options. There is merit to each plan but there are potential issues with each as well. To be honest, it seems like a combination of A2 and C could go a long way to minimize traffic issues, but I also definitely see the appeal of a well-executed version of AB to provide a safer R/R crossing. A well-designed bridge that matches the aesthetics of the historic district could be a welcome addition, as would a more walkable "downtown" area, but the negative impacts need to be carefully understood and balanced. I assume that if the 222 crossing/ main street areas were closed to traffic, residents in the historic district would still be able to use it? Would other areas also be closed to traffic? It could just shift the problem to other parts of the neighborhood if it's not managed carefully. I would very much like to see this project go well for everyone. I would love to help out.
Save the pink house!!

The plant is already being built, traffic is already increasing and dangerous. Why did it take so long to start the process with traffic? That should have happened as soon as it was known that the plant is coming.
I was wondering why y'all would want to take homes & farms away from people in Glendale? When you have options that wouldn't take hu. There's a lot of upset & sad people right now. It's not right to force people out of Glendale that live here in order to make way for outsiders. This is totally wrong. I've only lived here for almost 4 years. I love where I'm at and my neighborhood.
Don't change the roads until the factory is up and running and you can see where the traffic actually is. Or run the bypass along the power lines thru the field so homes won't be destroyed. The farmer can still plant around the road.
Save the pink House!
Save the pink house! This isn't right!
Save the pink house!
This isn't fair for the people living in Glendale, including myself. Glendale is a historical town and known for being a cute homey town and the state of Kentucky is ruining it. We need to save what is left of Glendale before the whole town is ruined. Save the pink house and all the people affected by this plan!!
Save the Pink House! Do not put a highway in the middle of Glendale and destroy this beautiful home or the small town charm of Glendale! Semis shouldn't be traveling on this road they should be traveling I-65 to get to the battery plant! Do not destroy this home or this town!
Save Glendale, the pink house and all of downtown Glendale!!!!
Leave people's homes alone. Should've thought about traffic and road access when the land was purchased for the battery plant. Come up with something else where people who worked their entire lives for their home don't lose it.
SAVE HISTORIC GLENDALE
Find some place else to put it
STOP BUILDING SO MANY NEW ROADS. YOU ARE DISTROYING HOMES TREES FOREST THAT ARE NEEDED TO SERVIVE. UPGRADE THE BAD ROADS WE ALREADY HAVE, MAKE THEM SAFER FOR PEOPLE TO TRAVEL ON. IM SICK OF ALL THE NEW WEARHOUSES BEING BUILT. THEY ARE BRINGING TO MUCH TRAFFIC IN AREAS THAT IS NOT NEEDED OR WANTED. STOP LINNING YOUR POCKETS WITH MORE MONEY AND LETTING PEOPLE AND LANDS TO BE DISTROYED.
Save the pink house. Do not take away the memories, love, and hard work of a wonderful family. This is cruel.
Why isn't it an option to run the north bypass through the PACE easement? Is is not exempt from eminent domain proceedings. Sure, you want to avoid eminent domain as much as possible, but using that route affects 1 property. every other option affects 10-20 properties. KRS 382.850 says you can use that property. https://law.justia.com/codes/kentucky/2015/chapter-382/section-382.850
Do not impact Glendale homes.
Save the pink house! It is the most recognizable home in historic Glendale!
Save the pink candy home! We love it!
Fire the Glendale Historic committee they failed the community!
Save the pink house and all homes in Glendale Kentucky
Save the pink house! That and the whistle stop is the only thing we have left to enjoy in Glendale because of KTC and Blue Oval.

Glendale is already destroyed. Don't take our homes. Please save our homes! It's all that we have left.

Thank you for being our voice Save the Pink House Glendale Kentucky!

Save the Pink House and all homes in Glendale!

KYTC, you previously promised our homes would be safe!

Historic Committee, how much did you get paid off? It can't be just to close 222 on main street. Is that an even trade off to displace other Glendale residents! If so, how do you sleep at night?

This appears to have been fairly well thought out. This demonstration clearly shows that there are multiple different ways to prepare for the upcoming influx of traffic. However, adopting any proposal that will force long-time residents out of their homes through eminent domain is not one that will be supported by the residents of Hardin County. The pink house in downtown Glendale has become a local landmark and any consideration of tearing it down to construct a bypass (one that is NOT necessary for public use) will not be supported by the residents of this county. It has been clearly shown by this demonstration that there are multiple different avenues to accommodate the influx of traffic from the BlueOval plant, and selecting one that does not destroy the lifetime dream of local residents who wish to retire in their homes should be top priority to our local leaders. SAVE THE PINK HOUSE!

Save our community and the pink house as well as all homes. There are plenty of other options vs. current path. We will see you in court and drag this out longer than you have time to build.

Chris Jessie, how do you grow up here and support this? You are not part of our community. The real community stands behind Glendale and their neighbors.

Leave the pink house alone! I live in Upton. I want as much of the current plan to stay the same. I was not in favor of this to begin with. I have lived in New York and Detroit and I understand what could happen.

"Previously, building a North-West Bypass was thought to be impossible because KYTC believed the homes were in a historic district. But KYTC said it learned the historic district boundary expansion is not a valid boundary, and is actually not protected."

Chris Jessie & KYTC - You have one goal in mind and the government is on your side. We have another and the people are on our side. We will win. Save the pink house and the homes in our community of Glendale Kentucky.

I support saving the pink house and all homes in Glendale! We love our community and neighbors! Please stop the madness! You have done enough damage to our charming community! Please! Please!

I support saving the pink house!

Proposal AB is going to far! Our community is against taking all homes! There are many other options prior to eminent domain and KYTC is fully aware of that. Thank you save the pink house! We have your back! We are calling the media and will be there hand in hand to keep our neighbors!





Community friends and neighbors of ours! Please help us save the pink house and all homes in Glendale! We love you Glendale and support saving all homes!

Help us save our community! I am a huge supporter of saving the Pink House! We have so many fond memories of that home and they are such good people that live there. Please help us support Glendale and save our community!

Save the Pink House in Glendale!

You promised not to take our farmland or homes!
So many other viable options! Save the pink house and our community!
Please go away!
We will picket! Save Glendale homes!
This is heartbreaking. I hope they keep the pink house. Please do the right thing by our community.
Save the pink house!!! That couple has built their dream home and have a lifetime of memories there. They have put their life into that home and it is beyond wrong to just take it away from them and telling them they have to start over especially when you consider their age and the husbands health. I always viewed Glendale as the perfect little small town and loved visiting there for the shops and events they have. You will destroying a huge part of history. Leave the pink house alone!
Save our farmland and homes! That is all we ask! Please do the right thing by the people for the people!
Save the beautiful Pink House in Glendale! It is our favorite and our children love it!
Protect Glendale's Homes and Preserve the Pink House!
Rally to Rescue Glendale Homes, Especially the Pink House!
Join the Cause: Save Glendale's Homes, Start with the Pink House!
Stand Up for Glendale's Residences, Starting with the Pink House!
Support the Movement: Save Glendale Homes, Pink House Included!
A Unified Effort: Save the Pink House and Other Glendale Homes!
Unite for Preservation: Pink House and Glendale Homes Need You!
Together for Tomorrow: Safeguarding Glendale's Pink House and Homes!
Act Now: Save the Pink House and Protect Glendale's Residences!
Be a Hero: Join the Campaign to Save Glendale Homes, Pink House First!
No build whatsoever. No one should lose their home due to your lack of planning. The plant should have been built in a larger city with more road way. You all brought the Ford plant in without consent from the community, which was wrong in the first place. People of the community should not have to pay because of the selfish decisions you all have made. It is not your right in any way, shape, or form to stomp onto someone's hard earned land and take it from them.
Glendale is still a wonderful little town with a lot of character. The plant has taken a lot of the beauty away and it needs to stop. Leave the shops, homes and residents alone! People have lived in their homes, owned the farms and land and worked them and the shops for years and out their lives work into the land. Leave them be there is no need for anything to be extended to the downtown or other areas for the plant. Leave it around the on and ff ramps for the interstate, it has no need to extend any further. Leave small towns alone, it's bad enough the ugly plant has changed the landscape of the area
Rallying for Residences: Save the Pink House and Glendale Homes!
Pink House Protection: Let's Secure Glendale's Homes Together!
Empower the Cause: Save the Pink House and Glendale Residences!
Band Together: Protect Glendale's Homes, Pink House and All!
Make a Difference: Preserve Glendale Homes, Start with Pink House!
Take a Stand: Save Glendale Homes, Starting with the Pink House!
Pledge for Preservation: Pink House and Glendale Homes Matter!
Community United: Saving Glendale Homes, Pink House Priority!
One Mission, One Community: Save Glendale Homes, Pink House Too!
Save Glendale and the pink house KYTC! Many other options before displacement!

Destroying homes is crossing the line of growth! Save Glendale!
Save the pink house! That is when the community tide changed! The press is next! We will delay your plans and will stand up for Glendale together! You are not part of our small community and are for big business and government! You don't have the people on your side.
Save our small town Glendale! Thank you Pink House and Preserve Glendale for awareness of this travesty!
What happened to the 2005 historic district? We want answers! Save all homes! Be more transparent and simply tell us the plan and truth. The deception is a sin. We stand with our beloved Glendale. Thank you Pink House and Preserve Glendale. You are our only hope. The Glendale historic committee and members failed us and our charming community.
Save the pink house and Glendale! Build your plant but keep our homes and families intact in the process! That seems like a fair deal. Thank you!
PLEASE PLEASE Don't destroy the Pink House
SAVE THE PINK HOUSE. It is the most beautiful house in Glendale
Save the Pink House I have loved this house for ever. It is my dream home
Save the Pink House I LOVE THAT HOUSE. WHAT'S wrong with you people to even think about taking that beautiful home
YOU CANNOT DEMOLISH THE PINK HOUSE
You are idiots to even consider taking a whole neighborhood. That gingerbread house is the best house in Kentucky
Don't you dare take away the best house in Glendale.
Please leave it because when I was little ever since I was little I wanted to live in the house so is all my friends wanted to I love that house please leave it up why would you put a freaking highway in the middle of Glendale like that house is one of the main things of Glendale as of houses it is pretty it's beautiful and it's basically handmade why would you get rid of that something that pretty like why can't you speak the highway over I just had and maybe put it to another house and I get that the people at the other house do not want their house tore down but at the same time why would you tear down the most beautiful house I've ever seen in Glendale to put a freaking highway up when they're when you can literally put one to the house next to it so it is I get just leave it up like why would you tear down so much pretty and I get that I'm repeating myself a they're going to tear it down and if we can do anything possible to leave it up I will do it
This house is so amazing, don't take the pink house.
so very sad! I love the pink home. You can tell all the love that has been poured into each little detail. Please don't take these nice people's home
Please leave this beautiful home alone. It's so Glendale.
Please don't tear down the pink house. This is my favorite house ever!!! I love it! This breaks my heart
MY heart is hurting for the nice lady ♡ You can't take her pink house. Shame on you
I love this house, I make a special effort to go by it when I'm in Glendale.
We love Glendale and that beautiful pink home. I was afraid this type of thing would happen when the decision to build this plant was made. SAVE THE PINK HOUSE
The home needs to be in the historic district. It's that caliber.
Save the beautiful pink home. I stare in envy every time I pass. There's a whole lot of hush hush, underhanded, and unapologetic deception going on in Glendale.
The this home takes your breath away, so amazing outside, I can only imagine the interior.
I wish you would leave those houses. I am saying is, if the state wants your property, they will take it. Yes, it's wrong but we never really own any thing.
I cannot imagine Glendale without the pink house.

I say no to taking homes away from people. can residents refuse to sell? They should not be made to sell. I know the government feels like they can do whatever they want, whenever they want, however, they want... But what if Wonder why we lose faith in our government within our communities and our country! It's so sad!!
Forever changing landscape, always love the caladiums every year and the never ending surprise lilies. So beautiful, don't tear down my dream home!
I would love to know what can be done to save the homes. I plan to do everything possible. I just don't think the government or state cares one bit about the people. I have zero faith in them.
WHY WHY WHY WHY WHY in GODs green earth would you consider tearing this BEAUTY down.
Shame on you for taking homes. When will these people know if they have to be evicted?
I don't know which survey to pick but but don't demolish those homes
 not sure about all the questions. You better not destroy my favorite pink house
What are you thinking, you told us it would not affect the town now you want to bulldoze half of it.
All those questions is confusing. You go around all those houses
 what do all the questions mean  Couldn't you just do yes or no to save the houses.  you better not bulldoze my favorite house
I'm all for progress but this crosses the line tearing peoples lives apart. Have you no morals? Shame on you so call "Officials"
I don't live in Glendale but I love Glendale. Are you getting rid of that favorite pink house of mine. You can't do that
Such an asset for Glendale, I hate what your doing!
What would it take for you to hear what people are writing about the "PINK HOUSE IN GLENDALE"? Read some of them!
This whole thing has done nothing but ruin our community!!! No one should have to sell there home !!!
Save the pink house and other homes
Please find another way this is so wrong
I would like to see the entire group of decision makers and their affiliation to the project.
Does this mean you are taking all those houses. NOOOOOO YOU HYPOCRITES
Would you post the properties proposed to be demolished. It is still unclear to me. Something a layman can look at and understand. Not cloudy, not from a plane or drone. Show us the "truth of the matter".
Save Glendale! Save the pink house! Proposal AB is no! Keep your word to us! Shame on KYTC and the Glendale Historic Committee! We are praying for you all that you do the right thing by our community and the people of Glendale. You have ample alternative options prior to eminent domain of private homes will ever apply! We have the community on our side now. Press will be next. All because you got greedy and didn't take care of our people. The tides have turned.
I support saving the pink house and our beloved Glendale. We love you Glendale! You are forever in our prayers and thoughts! Chris Jessie we will also pray for you as from seeing the viral post of over 50,000 views from the Preserve Glendale post you might very well need it. Good luck in telling your bosses....Glendale, we have a problem! You may try to take our homes but we still have our humor. :)
KYTC is officially crossing the line with proposal AB. Save the residences!
You can see the love and thought put in this property. Please, there has to be a better way
What Blue Oval, Ford, gov Beshear has done to Glendale without the resident's input should be criminalized. Such a beautiful and historic little town has been ruined by our governor and his greedy agenda. Hardin county will never be the same.

Save The Pink House
SAVE THE PINK HOUSE. REDO THE ROADS YOU ALREADY HAVE.
<p>AB concept route: I am building the new house on the corner of New Glendale road and West Maple. If the 8 houses right beside me are torn down to make room for the bypass, how close will it be to my new house? We have invested our life savings into this new house and have lived in an RV for over 2 years saving money while it's being built. We'll have invested between \$1.1-1.3 million dollars into our new house when it's done. Putting in this bypass will cause our house vale to plummet! I also worry about our safety with a road being that close to our house. As well as the impact the road will make on the little town of Glendale. Let's preserve what we can of Glendale and reroute this road somewhere else.</p>
Leave the pink house!!
My only request is that downtown Glendale and surrounding homes be left alone. And for anything and everything, leave that beautiful dollhouse (pink house) untouched.
Save the pink house and any other houses that don't wanta sell.
If someone doesn't want to sell their property, you only attain it by coercion and theft. No economical or logistical facts will change this.
The only concept that is good for the people of Glendale is one where trucks can use I65 to get to the plant and stay out of the town. That eliminates the trucks not being able to get over the tracks issue. Glendale is a historic town that should not be destroyed by bringing industry near by. NO ONE should have to loose their home or sell their home. I feel certain roads can be created without destruction to the town or the people that live in it. That is THE ONLY concept that should be allowed.
Save the pink house!!
Why would you take so many nice homes? Leave those people in peace. Especially that nice elderly couple in the pretty pink house.
Save the pink house.
Please find another route for the bypass. This town is so special to so many people both locally and visitors from every direction.
Save the Pink House! Save the beautiful homes !
Save the pink house. It is full of awesome items from the past. And it is the home of a disabled vet and his wife
I am both disappointed and disheartened to know that residences would ever be considered being destroyed to build roadways. Our small town has been completely destroyed and now homes that families love too? So heartbreaking and inhumane. I pray for hearts and minds to change. How would you like someone to forcefully uproot a life you have built and poured your heart into?
SAVE THE PINK HOUSE!!!!!!!
SAVE THE PINK HOUSE !! i grew up in hardin county and this house was always one of everyone's favorite to see in glendale !! everyone knows and loves it please do not do this!
Leave Glendale like it is
Save the pink house!!!
No. The little town is and will never be the same. I remember the small town of Glendale. I am not a fan of the factory.
Save the PINK HOUSE!!!
Leave Glendale alone
SAVE THE PINKHOUSE!!
No to AB concepts! SAVE PINK HOUSE

Save the pink house. Quit building over farm land. Quit trying to bring the city environment to the country/rural areas. If you wanna build your plants and roadways/overpasses take that crap back to the city. We don't want it here. We want our farm land. We want our kids to enjoy the land their grandfathers and great grandfathers worked hard to get and keep. To hell with all this building, construction and solar bull crap. Take it somewhere it's wanted.
Save the pink house! This old couple built that house from an empty lot and have spent 30 years there!!! How can you just take their home from them now that they are too old to start over Shame on you!!! All for the sake of progress. I hope their story goes SO viral that it taints your reputation, lest you decide to stop this project now!
The community is speaking but will you listen? Save the pink house and all Glendale residences. That is where we draw the line! We are saying no to proposal AB and we are all United! Well except for the Glendale Historic District that sold the rest of their neighbors out! Everything happens for a reason! Thank you Preserve Glendale and Pink House for being our voice! We love our Glendale!
Save the pink house! Don't destroy people's homes and lives in the pursuit of "progress."
DO NOT TAKE PEOPLE HOMES PEOPLE HAVE BUILT THEIR LIVES AND FAITH HERE DO BETTER
I live on Hwy 1136 New Glendale Road and have been coming to public meetings about this expansion in Glendale. Please help to make sure ANOTHER exit off I-65 in Glendale at Gilead Church Rd and 65. It is already planned to be widened to 3 lanes. Why wouldn't Kentucky place another exit there (possible Exit 85). Shepherdsville removed a large hill/small mountain to give one more exit to its warehouse area (Exit 114 Ohm Drive) for much smaller facilities. Trying to pressure everyone to use only Exit 86 (for those who need to use 65) will place too much strain on just one exit for Glendale.
Building the spur off of the WK expressway would cut through farmland but would be the least intrusive on home owners.
Save the pink house. These people deserve to stay there.
LEAVE THE TOWN OF GLENDALE ALONE!!!!!! ADVANCEMENT??? NO THANK YOU!!!!!!
No option that destroys historical homes, or takes any home away from people that have been living in Glendale for years is okay.
Please leave the homes and building alone in Glendale. This community deserves to be preserved for generations to come.
do not tear down homes that mean everything to the people living there, find another way to make your new roads!
No this isn't acceptable! People will lose their homes. Find another way. These homeowners have worked hard for what they have and you want to destroy it in minutes? That's not what improvement is all about. Make lots of jobs for people yet destroy what others have? That is not justified when you have alternatives.
.
Find a different option
There should NEVER be a plan in which someone is forced to move. These people have made their lives here and do not deserve this. When a person buys their home, creates memories, they shouldn't have to worry about it being taken away just because a factory moves in. There are so many more important things in life than making money.
Big you do go with concept D please consider doing a shoulder on 62 all the way down to the next parkway exchange in white mills. With the increase in traffic on 62 from the factory the lanes are to narrow. It at least needs a wider shoulder.
Bad ideas
Don't do the bypass. Historic Glendale needs to be preserved.

I would like to see highway 62 widened or a shoulder added because of increased traffic and increased driver distractions. Too many drivers are crossing the center line and endangering others lives and with an increase in traffic this will likely happen even more. Thank you!
None
My family is from Glendale, I hate to see all of its beautiful history erased for a Ford plant highway. There are other ways to get to the ford plant please do not ruin a beautiful historic town!
NO to AB, what a terrible proposition!
Stay out of Glendale! You've done enough damage! Respect the citizens that live in Glendale and already put up with excessive traffic and speeding through downtown and after crossing the tracks on New Glendale Rd. No homes should be taken in Glendale. Take the farmland, not the homes! It would be ridiculous to take Hugh Milby's house and farm along with 7 other homes!
This project can be done without taking people's homes and lives away from them. It is immorally unnecessary to shake the innocent people of Glendale in such a devastating way. Where there is a problem, there is a solution and you can always find a better solution - it sometimes takes more creativity to find it. Please leave the houses and families out of the equation.
We moved to Hardin county to get away from the clusterfuck. YOU are destroying our beautiful county! We don't need dollar generals every 4 miles. We don't need every restaurant available. We want our beautiful scenery! We want trees, and farms, and places for animals to live. Don't Louisville our Hardin County.
Glendale has been a quaint little town for years and now you are wanting to take peoples homes, homes that they have lived in for years, made many memories in. ENOUGH
Save Glendale and the Pink House!! Building a factory on farmland and changing the small town climate and landscape is one thing ... taking people's homes is another! Blue Oval is not being a good neighbor!
I vote no to the AB plan!
Do not go with AB plan.
voting NO to the AB concept. No one deserves to lose homes they have lived in and owned, for 30 years.
Use the plan that costs taxpayers less money and does not displace home owners.
Refrain from displacing any residences.
I don't feel the citizens of Glendale have an accurate account of what AB plan entails. It has put the town in turmoil and rampant misinformation based on fear is out of control.
You promised Glendale would not be bothered now you're wanting to take Houses!!!!
H
Please do not build this road destroying so many beautiful and historic homes in Glendale. The reason so many people love the quaint town of Glendale is because of homes like the Miller's Pink House. Please find a better plan if it truly a need for an extra road other than uprooting so many people's lives who call Glendale home for most or all of their lives. Thank you.
Don't you dare take my fairytale pink house
I don't know about the road but I drive to see that pink house. Do I understand you would tear it down. That is not right
That elderly couple in that pink house are so nice. You can't tear down that house.
My little girl says she is going to grow up and live in the pink house. How do I tell her it's not going to be there. It's not just memories. It's dreams also
I heart broke when I read how the elderly lady felt when the NEWS MEDIA told her about your plans. Save the pink house
The maps are confusing. I don't know exactly. Just stay away from the Pink house

My kids call that pink house the candy house. It's not right for you to tear down that nice house
Are you kidding. A bridge in Glendale. Going from no stoplights to a bridge. Stay away from those peoples homes. It's not right. Those are nice houses.
SAVE THE PINK HOUSE!!!!!!!!!!!!
You "officials" are tearing our town apart. Don't demolish the pink house.
I've dreamed since I went to middle school that someday I would live in the candy house. Save the pink house
I don't know which answers to fill in. Just don't tear down that nice pink house.
Glendale was supposed to be unchanged by the new factory. Lies, lies, lies!
Don't mess up Glendale
I remember when my children were young. When the Millers decorated the house Candyland we would take a ride just to see the candy house. Glendale will never be the same without that house
Save the pink house!!!!!! It's the most beautiful house I have ever seen.
I remember going to the Victorian home on Christmas tours. You should go see it before you tear it down. What is wrong with you?
I have fond memories of the Millers. I dated their daughter. They always were nice. I hate what you are doing to them
Keep Glendale historic and existing homes
This has got to be one of the stupidest plans. Have you no heart for these people. Save the pink house, it draws a lot of people to the town, second only to the Whisle Stop
I love the pink house and it looks like a lot of people do too. Bulldozing it would be a travesty. Please save the pink house for the betterment of the community.
I want to tell you about the Millers. My aunt had lost her husband I was going to surprise her with showing her the Christmas Candy House. We drove about an hour and when we got there the lights weren't on. The Millers came home while we were in the driveway. They turned on all the lights just for her. They were so kind. We all have memories of the Christmas Candy House. Please don't demolish their home.
No
The pink house has been my dream house my entire life. I've always wondered who lived in the magical house. I couldn't believe my eyes when I saw it on the news. Then I read the news had told the lady it was going to be a road. How can you tear down the magical house of Glendale.
It is very concerning that big business is destroying a very historic and beautiful small town. I realize that money is the top priority in this endeavor, but why destroy the wonderful small town in the process! Our governor is only concerned with political aspirations, and not the people, that he represents. Save Glendale!
I saw this on Facebook and me and my friend rode to Glendale to see the house. The nice lady gave us flowers. She said she was sharing so if her house got tore down that her flowers would be somewhere else. It was sad. You can't tear down that pretty pink house.
Common sense...Out the window, hello new breed of men set out to prove they can out do the other in making decisions. Am I dreaming or having a nightmare.
Glendale is just not a historic district it is a COMMUNITY. So what some houses aren't old, but they are in the COMMUNITY. You just can't take those houses. That wipes out a whole neighborhood. AND the ones that are still there will have a road in their back door. Crazy
I can't believe this. It boggles my mind.that this bypass is becoming a reality. Are any of the decision makers standing up for the victims? Save the pink house destruction.
I oppose AB
This was not in the original agreement that people would lose homes.

Concept AB is not the best option.
Save the historic homes and the pink home in Glendale.
Please leave Glendale alone. This little town has always been something to be proud of. I Graduated at East Hardin in 86. I enjoy going back there to enjoy the simpler things in life. So many that live here. Have lived here passing in down from Generations. Others shouldn't be impacted .Because This plant being built. For YEARS NOW Glendale has been noted for its beauty, referring it to a little Mayberry, with antique shops, Whistle Stop restaurant that people would travel miles around just eat there. Let's Preserve some Americas yester years.
This will destroy many families. Glendale is such a peaceful, friendly town. I was born a few miles away. Our mail was delivered from Glendale. I cannot imagine how the town, businesses, people will be impacted with all of this change. Part of my family live at the beginning of the project. They have built their lives in the community, enjoyed their " forever home " , along with putting their time and energy and love and business into making this their home.
#1 your studies - to - questions are very confusing and misleading to the general public. Since Glendale is a quaint historic town, I think the state/county should capitalize on that and encourage a destination such as Nashville, IN. Putting a bypass nearby is a terrible idea! IF a bypass from I-65 to W. Kentucky PKG is warranted, then going farthest to the south is a better idea. #1. you are farther away from downtown, which could allow growth for that "quaint town" destination for shipping & dining. I thin the county is missing a great idea! 2. If you build N of town, you are too close to an exiting interchange. I would make a bypass/interchange is South near 1136 / 3 and stay south. If you stay S and grow S, this leave breathing room / growth room fur the future and helps bring places like mammoth Cave/Munfordville a future.
Leave the homes alone in Glendale. PERIOD!!!!!!!
Displaced homeowners need beyond market value compensation for this awful loss of their home. It's more than the property value they are losing.
My husband and I have been visiting Glendale for years. The small town setting, rich heritage, historical landmarks, and atmosphere is what keeps bringing us back. Don't take away the things that make this place so special to so many people! There are ways to avoid demolishing such treasures. Even if it cost more, no amount of money can replace the authenticity this beautiful town has to offer!!!!
No to AB. Many other alternatives prior to eminent domain will be an option in court. Save the delay, Pink House and all Glendale homes.
I have lived in Upton Kentucky my entire life, and Glendale has always been a huge part of our lives. The Glendale Upton Sonora area is a community in and of itself, and encompasses much of the rural part of Hardin county, but you guys in the government have shafted us. You've shafted young people such as my self, I am 25 and recently married, but we are finding it impossible to purchase a home because you've decided to drop a factory in on us and raise our cost of land, real estate, and living. You've forced growth upon an area that does not want it, and did not ask the residents how they felt. Now you wanna know how we feel? Well I feel like the least you could do is leave our historic businesses, and homes alone. LEAVE THE PINK HOUSE ALONE. I will most likely be forced to leave the area,me, a native who has never resides anywhere else, because I can't afford a home. But the homes here will be taken by people who move in from outside areas. Leave our historic buildings alone at least

Do not destroy homes with any of these plans---especially homes such as the historic pink house!!!
Glendale as a whole is the historic district. Mr Sharp is completely correct. Leave Glendale alone, you promised you would. Save the pink home in Glendale!
I think all these road changes should have been shown to the people in Glendale before the plant even started being constructed. You all said it would not bother downtown Glendale but you are changing everything about it. Leave those people alone and don't take their homes they want to live their lives out in. These are good people caught up in a mess they didn't ask for.
Eminent domain is evil and an abhorrent use of government power. They say you reap what you sew and if you choose to rip people from their homes, may it be done to you one day.
Leave the small town alone. Preserve what's already there. Plenty of space to build elsewhere
This would be heartbreaking to lose some of the historic nature of Glendale
Preserve Glendale
There has to be another way to make this project work than to force a couple out of their dream home of 30 years when they are nearing end of life. Not only does the transportation cabinet intend to completely uproot an established couple from their lifetime home, but they held a private unannounced meeting. Seems very convenient, immoral, unethical, and quite frankly disgusting.
HOW WERE YOU GOING TO TELL THE PEOPLE OF GLENDALE THAT THERE WAS A STUDY????????? You didn't even bother to tell that lady in the pink house. THIS IS WRONG
Say no to AB - alternatives are available without impacting homes. Save the pink house and Glendale!
No proposal AB! Stay away from Glendale homes!
Look for alternatives that don't impact the core town of Glendale. Simply go around.
Save the iconic and infamous pink house!
Please don't change/disrupt/disrespect people's lives by taking their homes. Especially in their aging years. Put yourself in their place, would you be willing to give up your beloved home that you've spent your life improving and loving? Some things are just not right! This is one of those times where it's just not right!!
Obviously more tax payer dollars used to serve the needs of big corporations while stealing more land from the tax payer slaves. Definitely taxation without representation and we the people are fed up!
A north bypass is not needed if the 1136 (Gilead church road) railroad crossing was brought up to grade so that trucks could cross (which should have been done before construction of the factory was started!). Hundreds of loads of fill dirt have been hauled away which could have been used to bring the crossing grade. Furthermore, 3,000 cars a day coming through Glendale is not that significant. Fix the crossing at 1136 (Gilead church rd), finish Ring rd to I65, and put an interstate exchange at 1136 (Gilead church rd).
A north bypass is not needed if the 1136 (Gilead Church Road) railroad crossing was brought up to grade so that trucks could cross (which should have been done before construction of the factory was started!!). Hundreds of loads of fill dirt have been hauled away which could have been used to bring the crossing up to grade. Furthermore, 3,300 cars a day coming through Glendale is not that significant. Fix the crossing at 1136 (Gilead Church Road), finish Ring Road Rd to I65, and put an interstate exchange at 1136 (Gilead Church Road).
Hoping you can keep Glendale as is and still have the new plant.
You've already taken enough of Glendale please stay away from the downtown area. You've changed its rural feel forever. To run a bypass near downtown would finish it off.
How will the bridge above the bypass work

Look I'm all for progress but if it means just taking peoples homes cause you can and don't want to fork out money then NO this you is is wrong and you must protect the community from rich assholes that only think of as as a umber and nothing else. We are not a country that does this we need to stand up and say NO and so be hold a line with protection of our land . Like they did in the old days when someone wanted what was not gives

The AB concept makes more sense and keeps the high traffic off of main street. This is the best option I've seen so far.

Please keep the pink house and other Glendale homes safe

Don't take away from Glendale! Leave the roads alone!

Personally the routes A AB on the N end of town are too close to the other interchange off I-65/W KY Pkwy and too close to town!

Glendale has potential to be a quaint town with shops, it has the potential till to grow, possibly into something like Nashville IN....and these routes are much too close to town. They're thinking 3-5 years with this plan and not long term growth of 10+

If the sate/county feels the need to make a bypass from I-65 to W KY Pkwy....it should be farther South - South of the battery plant.

I know alot of changes are coming along with the plant, this are is changing regardless of what we want. But to put a bypass to go from 65 to W KY Pkwy....??

There are frequent accidents on I65 which require EMS to shut down the interstate resulting in very heavy, bumper to bumper traffic on 31W. Are there plans to expand 31W? How will people access the BOSK plants when I65 is shut down and 31W is so heavily congested due to an accident?

Save the homes of those that have lived in Glendale for years. It's not fair to make them pay with their home when you have other options available to use.

I believe you should utilize an option that doesn't include taking someone's home

Wow, KYTC. You have some explaining to do! How did "we are going to preserve the town of Glendale" go to building a bypass of Glendale literally in the middle of Glendale? Destroying many homes and the most recognizable and iconic (new word vs. historic since you manipulated the historic committee) home in the entire town. Save the pink house and Glendale. Literally build a bypass that bypasses Glendale. Novel concept I know, right. In all seriousness, looks like the public has spoken. Ring road it is! Bye now!

Save the pink house and preserve Glendale!

Let's play let's make a deal with the KYTC:

Historic committee we will close down main street if you support us in reducing the Glendale Historic District that was only "proposed" in 2005 and not officially approved.

In turn this opens unprotects us to demolish homes literally in the heart of Glendale. Including the most well known house in Glendale. The Pink House.

So shady but Glendale and the public is on to you.

Forcing people out of their homes for the sake of a new road should not even be considered.

Save the Pink House & Playhouse

Save Martina & Jack Rachau's property

Save the pink house.
Save the pink house! They spent their lives building their dream home & now they deserve to enjoy it without fear of losing it
Please save the pink house !!
Y'all are crazy 😏 😏 Don't you dare take that lady's house
Have you ever been to Glendale. Do you know this house. Don't you tear it down
Will there be another meeting with KYTC like the one we had in November? Who is the State Historic Preservation Officer and who are the "historians" that took a closer look into the boundaries? I feel like the questionnaire asking us to rank which option is most important was confusing. That wasn't how the last ballot was worded.
Kids playing with a highlighter. You got that right. Save the pink house
😏 😏 😏 😏 😏 😏 😏 😏 leave the Barbie house
There has to be a better way. You can't up route these folks. Some of them are elderly and lived here all their lives. You have to find an alternative to this madness. The entire Glendale community should be in the historic like Mr. Sharp said. Now get busy! We all heard how hard the entire panel worked at the West Point Forum. I felt compelled to pat each one of you on the back. Save the pink house, I've been in it, it should be called a museum.
In the figure for Concept D, the legend refers to historic resources as "historic red flags". As the "historic red flags" in the study area represent many of the resources that make Glendale the small, historic town that it is and that have attracted people to live, open businesses, or visit Glendale, I think this terminology should be reconsidered, particularly considering the current audience. Per Section 4(f), "historic resources" would be a more appropriate term. I also think it is worth pointing out that Section 4(f) does not only concern the historic district but individual historic properties as well.
Do you know what RSS IS FOR THE ELDERLY? You should look it up. Facts. Leave those people in their pink house alone!
How could you even think about taking that pink house. Those people are the nicest people in Glendale.
Ludicrest, absolutely ludicrest. We have to get some competent people with common sense soon. When is the election? God save the King, God save the pink house!
You are idiots. That's no way around Glendale. That's is Glendale.
I'm praying that you can make the right decision and pray for that old couple in the pink house. He can barely get around and you want to bulldoze. Pea
😏 😏 😏 😏 😏 leave Glendale alone 😏 😏 😏 😏 stay away
I think it is disgraceful what you are doing to Glendale. You don't put a bypass in the town. You put it outside the town. Find someone with some common sense to do your planning.
That's a new one on me. You put a bypass in the place you want to go around. You must be the same ones who thought that round about was a good plan.
SAVE THE PINK HOUSE. I WANT TO LIVE THERE SOMEDAY
How am I going to tell my daughter who dreams of living in the fairy house that Kentucky put a road on top of it.
You leave the most beautiful pink house in the state alone. I will sit in front of the bulldozer.
These questions were confusing. All I want to vote for is to save my pink candyland house.
Why not just decide there's nothing left in Glendale. You have already destroyed our whole area. Last straw for us was when I saw the pink house was on your list.
Save The Pink House. It's the most unique house.

I travel to Glendale. I always drive around to see the beautiful pink house. I've been reading It seems the elderly lady got in front of you before you secretly took her home.
I grew up near Glendale. Sad to see East Hardin go. Sad to see that battery plant come. It's sad to see what the state of ky has done to the whole area. Now you want more. And more. Do you think a better plan would have been to build the roads first? No to plan AB
I don't know if I answered the question right. I do not want ab.
SAVE THE PINK HOUSE
I don't know why you would put a bypass road that close to the town. It doesn't make sense to take out homes in the town to go around the town.
I went to West Point. You are killing that lady in the pink house. I hope it's worth it to you.
It's ok to bring jobs to area but people have lived here for years and it's not ok to ruin homes and destroy history do better
Preserving Glendale's historic essence while maintaining promises and fostering transparency is imperative. It's crucial to safeguard the iconic pink house, a true Glendale gem. Constructing a bypass encircling the town instead of cutting through it is vital. Options that avoid disrupting homes and farmland should be explored, all in pursuit of a solution embraced by the entire community.
The heritage of Glendale must be preserved through commitment and transparency. Honoring promises is paramount. The preservation of the iconic pink house, a Glendale staple, is of utmost importance. Creating a bypass around the town, not through it, is a priority. We should delve into options that don't impact homes and farmland, striving for a community-supported solution.
Preserving the historical charm of Glendale necessitates keeping commitments and embracing transparency. The iconic pink house stands as a staple of Glendale and must be saved. It's essential to build a bypass encircling the town, avoiding intrusion. While exploring options, we must ensure minimal impact on homes and farmland, and find a resolution that garners widespread community support.
To preserve Glendale's historical significance, promises must be upheld and transparency maintained. The preservation of the iconic pink house, a true staple, is vital. Constructing a bypass that encircles the town, not cutting through it, is essential. We should investigate options that steer clear of harming homes and farmland, aiming for a solution backed by the entire community.
Preserve the essence of historic Glendale by standing by promises and creating transparency. The iconic pink house, an integral part of Glendale's identity, must be saved. Building a bypass around the town, avoiding its heart, is paramount. Let's consider options that protect homes and farmland as we work toward a solution embraced by the entire community.
Safeguarding Glendale's history necessitates keeping promises and promoting transparency. The iconic pink house, a true symbol, must be rescued. A bypass encircling the town, not through it, is the way forward. We must explore options that don't disrupt homes and farmland, aiming for a solution that gains support from the entire community.
Preserving Glendale's history means honoring commitments and establishing transparency. The iconic pink house, a cherished staple, must be preserved. Building a bypass encircling the town, rather than through it, is a priority. As we explore options, we should ensure minimal impact on homes and farmland and seek a solution embraced by the entire community.
Upholding Glendale's historical importance requires promises to be kept and transparency to be maintained. The iconic pink house, a symbol of Glendale, must be saved. Constructing a bypass around the town, not cutting across it, is crucial. As we delve into options, it's essential to avoid affecting homes and farmland, and find a solution with broad community support.

Preserving Glendale's history demands a commitment to promises and transparency. The iconic pink house, a cherished Glendale hallmark, must be protected. Constructing a bypass that encircles the town, not through it, is essential. As options are explored, the well-being of homes and farmland must be a priority, striving for a community-endorsed solution.

Upholding Glendale's historical significance involves keeping promises and fostering transparency. Preserving the iconic pink house, a Glendale staple, is essential. Building a bypass around the town, avoiding intrusion, is of utmost importance. Options that prioritize homes and farmland should be examined, with the goal of finding a solution that unifies the entire community.

No to AB

Please save the historic homes in Glendale. Don't displace families for the sake of a road.

Glendale and I urge you to reconsider Proposal AB. Homes including the Pink House represents our heritage and family. Demolishing it for the bypass would harm our town. Seek alternatives that work toward keeping the town of Glendale whole. Our community's future depends on your decision. There are ample alternatives and well worth the slight increase in cost to ensure our future stays intact. Thank you.

Our united voice from Glendale appeals for a second look at Proposal AB. The Pink House and other historic homes impacted by this insane concept mirror our deep heritage and strong family ties. Demolishing them for the bypass would be detrimental to our town's well-being. We call on you to delve into solutions that uphold the spirit of Glendale. The vitality of our community hangs on your choice. Opting for other alternatives that bypass Glendale not goes straight down the middle of it.

A resounding call from the entire community of Glendale: Reflect on Proposal AB and remove it from all considerations. The charming Pink House and homes hold our history and family bonds. Dismantling them for the bypass would mar our town's very essence. We plead with you to seek alternatives that uphold Glendale's cohesion. Please, please. Our community's destiny is intertwined with your decision. Prioritizing alternatives and your consideration means a lot to us. Even people's homes for decades and even centuries.

I love Glendale! I love the pink house! Keep the town intact! Traffic can wait and go around. Welcome to the big city life you wanted! We must make a stand for our rural towns! Glendale is amazing and so are the community that surrounds it. Please KYTC, create alternative that work as you promised! It's your word and integrity on the line with our homes!

KYTC - no to proposal AB. No to demolishing any home in Glendale. No to demolishing the most recognizable home in Glendale in the Pink House. No to a bypass that doesn't bypass Glendale. Keep your promises and keep the town of Glendale intact. We will fight until the end as our voice is finally being heard. Thank you for making the right decision.

No to concept AB! That simple.

Save the pink house! No to AB!

I think it is best to not build any bypass, put ramps to get on/off I65 at Gilead Church Rd and that's all. Right now all the plant traffic is staying up by the interstate for the most part now. Putting in any road to attract traffic to come and go another direction specifically Glendale would be tragic to the community. KEEP TRAFFIC AND GROWTH AWAY FROM GLENDALE!!

Save downtown Glendale. No to AB. Proposal D is much better for our community.

Save the pink house and historic homes in downtown. No to proposal AB. If you must have bypass go with proposal D. Thank you.

Why are we calling the proposal “a by pass” the whole idea of a by pass is Togo around, in this case, going through the residential area instead of bypassing it. Think of the word bypass then look up the definition of the word and ponder for a moment. It doesn’t take a mental heavy weight to make sense. Find a solution to the traffic problem without going through town. Save the pink house and the other 7 affected.

Listen to the people, they know what’s going on. They also know that something stinks. Secret meetings, lack of transparency, with a little investigative work things could take a different tone.

I grew up in this area and still have several friends and family there. Families that raised their kids and are raising their grandkids there. Please don’t take their homes away.

SAVE THE PINK HOUSE!!!

Please keep as much of the historic and beautiful Glendale alone. It will be a devastating event to see more of Glendale tainted and destroyed. This is the heart of Hardin county. Please leave it alone.

Do not ruin history and a town that is loved by so many near and far. Glendale is not just a community it’s a family. We need more towns like this verses destroying them. Small towns are the heart of any area! Do better!

KYTC, I am writing to strongly advocate for the adoption of Proposal Option D as the most fitting solution for all stakeholders involved. This option not only addresses the immediate objectives but also takes a broader perspective for the future, aligning seamlessly with the project's multifaceted goals.

It offers a holistic solution that respects the historic Glendale community's essence by diverting future traffic flows away from the town. This strategy preserves Glendale's allure as a tourist destination, safeguarding its cultural richness and economic vitality. By reducing noise, pollution, and congestion, Option D ensures a sustainable balance between local interests and developmental needs.

Thank you for ensuring safe traffic movement to preserving Glendale's historical charm, minimizing home destruction in the northern heart of Glendale, and catering to the needs of all road users. I urge you to consider the holistic advantages option D brings as you make your decision.

Respected KYTC Officials, I strongly recommend embracing Proposal Option D as the most fitting resolution. Its merits extend beyond immediate aims, harmonizing adeptly with overarching project objectives. By diverting traffic from Glendale, Option D honors its historical significance and economic prosperity. The emphasis on diminishing noise, pollution, and congestion showcases dedication to a sustainable future. Furthermore, its comprehensive inclusivity spanning pedestrians, cyclists, farm equipment, and trucks underscores commitment to universal safety and accessibility. I urge your consideration of these comprehensive benefits in your deliberations.

I am fervently advocating for the adoption of Proposal Option D. It stands as a remarkably suitable solution, not only tackling immediate goals but aligning harmoniously with the broader vision of the project. The strategic diversion of traffic from Glendale signifies a profound respect for its historical essence and economic vibrancy. The commitment to reducing noise, pollution, and congestion highlights an environmentally conscious approach. What's more, the all-encompassing inclusivity spanning pedestrians, cyclists, farm equipment, and trucks underscores a dedication to universal safety and accessibility. I implore you to carefully consider these compelling advantages as you deliberate on the path forward.

I am writing to firmly recommend the selection of Proposal Option D, an exceptionally fitting solution that transcends immediate objectives to align seamlessly with the broader aspirations of the project. The strategic redirection of traffic away from Glendale not only safeguards its historical and economic significance but also emphasizes a long-term perspective. Option D's commitment to reducing noise, pollution, and congestion underlines its dedication to a sustainable future. Moreover, its holistic inclusivity, accommodating pedestrians, cyclists, farm equipment, and trucks, mirrors a commitment to universal safety and accessibility. I implore you to weigh these profound advantages thoughtfully in your decision-making process.

I am writing to express my strong support for Proposal Option D, a solution that not only addresses immediate needs but also seamlessly aligns with the overarching project objectives. The tactical diversion of traffic from Glendale signifies a profound commitment to preserving its historical significance and economic vitality. The emphasis on mitigating noise, pollution, and congestion underscores a forward-thinking stance on sustainability. Furthermore, the inclusive approach, accommodating pedestrians, cyclists, farm equipment, and trucks, emphasizes a dedication to universal safety and accessibility. I urge you to consider these far-reaching advantages as you make your deliberations.

I am writing to strongly advocate for the selection of Proposal Option D as the ideal solution. It transcends immediate objectives, aligning seamlessly with the overarching vision of the project. By diverting traffic away from Glendale, Option D upholds its historical and economic significance. The emphasis on reducing noise, pollution, and congestion underscores an ecologically mindful approach. Additionally, the inclusive design, accommodating pedestrians, cyclists, farm equipment, and trucks, underscores a commitment to safety and accessibility. I urge you to consider these comprehensive advantages as you deliberate.

I am writing to strongly recommend the adoption of Proposal Option D as the optimal solution. Its value extends beyond immediate objectives, aligning harmoniously with the broader vision of the project. By diverting traffic from Glendale, Option D champions its historical and economic importance. The dedication to reducing noise, pollution, and congestion underscores a commitment to sustainability. Furthermore, its inclusive nature, accommodating pedestrians, cyclists, farm equipment, and trucks, embodies a commitment to universal safety and accessibility. I urge you to thoughtfully consider these compelling advantages in your decision-making process.

I am writing to advocate for the endorsement of Proposal Option D as the most suitable course of action. It surpasses immediate goals, fitting seamlessly into the broader project objectives. The diversion of traffic from Glendale is a testament to preserving its historical and economic significance. The emphasis on minimizing noise, pollution, and congestion signifies an environmentally conscious approach. Additionally, the comprehensive inclusivity accommodating pedestrians, cyclists, farm equipment, and trucks, underscores a commitment to universal safety and accessibility. I beseech you to consider these compelling advantages in your decision-making.

I am writing to strongly advocate for Proposal Option D, a solution that not only addresses immediate objectives but also aligns seamlessly with the project's wider goals. By diverting traffic away from Glendale, Option D demonstrates a profound commitment to preserving its historical and economic value. The emphasis on reducing noise, pollution, and congestion underscores a dedication to sustainability. Furthermore, the inclusive approach, accommodating pedestrians, cyclists, farm equipment, and trucks, reflects a commitment to universal safety and accessibility. I urge you to consider these holistic advantages as you deliberate on the best path forward.

I am writing to earnestly advocate for Proposal Option D as the most fitting solution for the task at hand. It surpasses immediate objectives, seamlessly integrating with the larger project goals. By rerouting traffic from Glendale, Option D reveres its historical and economic significance. The focus on curbing noise, pollution, and congestion underscores a forward-looking commitment to sustainability. Additionally, the inclusive design, accommodating pedestrians, cyclists, farm equipment, and trucks, reflects a dedication to universal safety and accessibility. I beseech you to consider these compelling advantages as you deliberate on your decision.

I am writing to strongly endorse Proposal Option D, a solution that not only tackles immediate goals but also aligns harmoniously with the project's broader aspirations. By rerouting traffic away from Glendale, Option D upholds its historical and economic significance. The emphasis on curbing noise, pollution, and congestion signifies an environmentally conscious approach. Furthermore, the comprehensive inclusivity, catering to pedestrians, cyclists, farm equipment, and trucks, highlights a commitment to universal safety and accessibility. I implore you to thoughtfully consider these compelling advantages as you navigate your decision-making process.

No to AB. Yes to D.

Studied all options. Absolutely no to AB. Proposal D and C are the best options. Considered all stakeholders impact and the goals you mentioned in this study.

Examined all choices. Firmly rejecting idea AB. Proposal concepts D and C stand out as optimal choices. Evaluated the effect on all stakeholders and the goals outlined in this study.

Carefully reviewed each option. Strongly against concept AB. Proposal concepts D and C offer the greatest potential. We've analyzed the impact on all stakeholders and the goals mentioned in this study.

Thoroughly assessed all alternatives. Rejecting concept AB without question. Proposal concepts D and C shine as top contenders. We've taken into account the impact on all stakeholders and the goals highlighted in this study.

Delved into all options comprehensively. Flatly dismissing concept AB. Proposal concepts D and C emerge as prime selections. We've meticulously considered the effect on all stakeholders and the goals articulated in this study.

Explored every possibility extensively. Absolutely ruling out concept AB. Proposal concepts A, D and C rise as the most favorable options. We've factored in the impact on all stakeholders and the goals discussed in this study.

Conducted a thorough analysis of all options. Completely opposed to concept AB. Proposal concepts A, D and C present the most promising avenues. We've taken a comprehensive view of the impact on all stakeholders and the goals outlined in this study.

Scrutinized all available choices in detail. Strongly negating concept AB. Proposal concepts A, D and C clearly outshine the rest. We've thoroughly considered the implications for all stakeholders and the goals enumerated in this study.

Carefully examined each available option. Wholeheartedly rejecting concept AB. Proposal concepts A, D and C take the lead. We've taken into full account the impact on all stakeholders and the goals laid out in this study.

Reviewed all potential solutions. Completely dismissing concept AB. Proposal concepts A, D and C come across as the most advantageous. We've evaluated the impact on all stakeholders and the goals indicated in this study.

Find another way that doesn't ruin people's lives. You've already destroyed so much natural space and displaced countless animals. The least you can do is leave the townspeople alone.

Save the pink house!

I am writing to express my firm opposition to the new concept option AB while offering strong support for concepts A, C, and D in the proposed urban development project. Preserving the original expanded historic district is paramount to maintaining our town's character. I highly endorse the creation of a bypass via concept D to alleviate Glendale's traffic issues and believe that controlled traffic on the main street benefits local businesses. To address large trucks, I recommend implementing a well-executed signage package rather than demolition to redirect them to the nearest bypass. I urge the Kentucky Transportation Cabinet to consider these points for the betterment of our community's future.

I'm writing to firmly oppose concept option AB and express my strong support for concepts A, C, and D in the urban development proposal. Preserving our historic district is crucial, and I endorse creating a bypass using concept D to ease Glendale's traffic without harming local businesses. Redirecting large trucks through a well-designed signage plan, instead of demolition, is advisable for maintaining town integrity. I urge the Kentucky Transportation Cabinet to consider these viewpoints for the best outcome.

My stance is against concept option AB and in favor of concepts A, C, and D in the urban development plan. Preserving our historic district is a priority, and I advocate for a bypass via concept D to relieve Glendale's traffic while aiding local businesses. Controlled traffic on the main street benefits our town, and I recommend using a signage package, not demolition, to reroute large trucks. I encourage the Kentucky Transportation Cabinet to factor in these opinions.

I'm against concept option AB and firmly support concepts A, C, and D in the urban development proposal. Our historic district's integrity must be preserved, and I back a bypass through concept D to address Glendale's traffic issues and benefit local businesses. Controlled main street traffic is advantageous, and I propose a signage package, not demolition, for rerouting large trucks. It's my hope that the Kentucky Transportation Cabinet considers these viewpoints seriously.

Regarding the urban development proposal, I oppose concept option AB and am a strong advocate for concepts A, C, and D. I stress the importance of maintaining our historic district, and I endorse a bypass via concept D to manage Glendale's traffic concerns while supporting local businesses. Controlled main street traffic is a positive, and I suggest a signage package, not demolition, to redirect large trucks. I urge the Kentucky Transportation Cabinet to take these perspectives into account.

I wish to voice my opposition to concept option AB and offer my enthusiastic support for concepts A, C, and D in the urban development project. Safeguarding our historic district's authenticity is paramount, and I fully endorse a bypass using concept D to alleviate Glendale's traffic while bolstering local businesses. Controlled traffic on the main street is advantageous, and I propose a signage package over demolition to reroute large trucks. I implore the Kentucky Transportation Cabinet to seriously consider these viewpoints.

I'm writing to express my rejection of concept option AB and my strong backing for concepts A, C, and D in the urban development proposal. The preservation of our historic district is non-negotiable, and I fully endorse a bypass via concept D to address Glendale's traffic concerns and positively impact local businesses. Controlled traffic on the main street is essential, and I recommend a signage package, not demolition, for rerouting large trucks. I call upon the Kentucky Transportation Cabinet to give due consideration to these opinions.

My viewpoint is against concept option AB and resolutely in favor of concepts A, C, and D in the urban development plan. The preservation of our historic district is of utmost importance, and I strongly support a bypass through concept D to manage Glendale's traffic concerns while bolstering local businesses. Controlled main street traffic is beneficial, and I suggest employing a signage package, not demolition, to redirect large trucks. I earnestly request the Kentucky Transportation Cabinet to take these viewpoints seriously.

I'd like to express my opposition to concept option AB and my enthusiastic support for concepts A, C, and D in the urban development proposal. Preserving the integrity of our historic district is vital, and I wholeheartedly endorse a bypass using concept D to alleviate Glendale's traffic issues while benefiting local businesses. Controlled main street traffic is advantageous, and I recommend a signage package, not demolition, to reroute large trucks. I strongly urge the Kentucky Transportation Cabinet to consider these viewpoints seriously.

In relation to the urban development proposal, I'm against concept option AB and firmly back concepts A, C, and D. Upholding our historic district's authenticity is paramount, and I wholeheartedly endorse a bypass through concept D to address Glendale's traffic concerns while supporting local businesses. Controlled traffic on the main street is a positive aspect, and I propose a signage package, not demolition, for rerouting large trucks. I earnestly request the Kentucky Transportation Cabinet to give due consideration to these opinions.

I'm writing to express my opposition to concept option AB and my strong support for concepts A, C, and D within the urban development plan. The preservation of our historic district is a top priority, and I fully endorse a bypass via concept D to address Glendale's traffic concerns while bolstering local businesses. Controlled main street traffic is beneficial, and I propose a signage package, not demolition, to reroute large trucks. I urge the Kentucky Transportation Cabinet to carefully consider these viewpoints.

I want to make it clear that I oppose concept option AB and wholeheartedly support concepts A, C, and D in the urban development proposal. The preservation of our historic district is non-negotiable, and I strongly endorse a bypass using concept D to alleviate Glendale's traffic concerns and boost local businesses. Controlled traffic on the main street is essential, and I recommend a signage package, not demolition, to reroute large trucks. It's crucial for the Kentucky Transportation Cabinet to take these viewpoints into account.

I'm writing to express my opposition to concept option AB and my firm backing for concepts A, C, and D in the urban development plan. The preservation of our historic district is paramount, and I fully endorse a bypass via concept D to manage Glendale's traffic issues while supporting local businesses. Controlled main street traffic is beneficial, and I propose a signage package, not demolition, for rerouting large trucks. I strongly urge the Kentucky Transportation Cabinet to carefully consider these viewpoints.

My stance is against concept option AB and in favor of concepts A, C, and D in the urban development proposal. Preserving our historic district is of utmost importance, and I advocate for a bypass via concept D to address Glendale's traffic issues and positively impact local businesses. Controlled traffic on the main street is advantageous, and I recommend utilizing a signage package, not demolition, to reroute large trucks. The Kentucky Transportation Cabinet should give these viewpoints serious consideration.

Regarding the urban development proposal, I oppose concept option AB and strongly endorse concepts A, C, and D. Preserving our historic district is a priority, and I fully support a bypass through concept D to ease Glendale's traffic concerns and bolster local businesses. Controlled main street traffic is advantageous, and I propose implementing a signage package, not demolition, for rerouting large trucks. I urge the Kentucky Transportation Cabinet to take these perspectives into consideration.

I wish to express my opposition to concept option AB and my strong support for concepts A, C, and D in the urban development project. Safeguarding our historic district's authenticity is crucial, and I wholeheartedly endorse a bypass using concept D to manage Glendale's traffic while aiding local businesses. Controlled traffic on the main street is beneficial, and I propose a signage package, not demolition, for rerouting large trucks. I implore the Kentucky Transportation Cabinet to take these viewpoints seriously.

I'm writing to firmly oppose concept option AB and express my strong support for concepts A, C, and D in the urban development proposal. The preservation of our historic district is paramount, and I advocate for a bypass using concept D to alleviate Glendale's traffic issues while supporting local businesses. Controlled traffic on the main street is beneficial, and I propose a signage package, not demolition, for rerouting large trucks. I urge the Kentucky Transportation Cabinet to consider these viewpoints seriously.

I'm against concept option AB and firmly support concepts A, C, and D in the urban development proposal. The preservation of our historic district is non-negotiable, and I fully endorse a bypass via concept D to manage Glendale's traffic issues and positively affect local businesses. Controlled main street traffic is beneficial, and I suggest implementing a signage package, not demolition, to reroute large trucks. The Kentucky Transportation Cabinet should seriously consider these opinions.

I want to express my rejection of concept option AB and offer my enthusiastic support for concepts A, C, and D in the urban development plan. Preserving our historic district is of utmost importance, and I firmly endorse a bypass through concept D to address Glendale's traffic concerns and positively impact local businesses. Controlled traffic on the main street is essential, and I recommend using a signage package, not demolition, for rerouting large trucks. I encourage the Kentucky Transportation Cabinet to factor in these opinions.

In relation to the urban development proposal, I oppose concept option AB and resolutely support concepts A, C, and D. The preservation of our historic district is crucial, and I strongly advocate for a bypass via concept D to address Glendale's traffic concerns while aiding local businesses. Controlled main street traffic is advantageous, and I recommend a signage package, not demolition, for rerouting large trucks. I call upon the Kentucky Transportation Cabinet to seriously consider these viewpoints.

I'd like to express my opposition to concept option AB and my enthusiastic support for concepts A, C, and D in the urban development proposal. Preserving our historic district is a priority, and I fully endorse a bypass using concept D to alleviate Glendale's traffic concerns while bolstering local businesses. Controlled main street traffic is beneficial, and I recommend a signage package, not demolition, for rerouting large trucks. I strongly urge the Kentucky Transportation Cabinet to consider these viewpoints seriously.

I'm writing to express my strong support for concepts A, C, and D in the urban development proposal and my firm opposition to concept option AB. Preserving our historic district is paramount, and I endorse a bypass via concept D to alleviate Glendale's traffic and bolster local businesses. Controlled main street traffic benefits our town, and a signage package for rerouting large trucks is preferable over demolition. I urge the Kentucky Transportation Cabinet to consider these viewpoints.

Regarding the urban development proposal, I firmly oppose concept option AB and wholeheartedly support concepts A, C, and D. Maintaining our historic district's authenticity is vital, and a bypass using concept D to manage Glendale's traffic and aid local businesses is essential. Controlled traffic on the main street is advantageous, and a signage package, not demolition, for rerouting large trucks is recommended. The Kentucky Transportation Cabinet should take these opinions into account.

I want to emphasize my support for concepts A, C, and D in the urban development proposal and my clear opposition to concept option AB. Preserving our historic district is a top priority, and I endorse a bypass through concept D to address Glendale's traffic and positively impact local businesses. Controlled main street traffic is crucial, and a signage package, not demolition, is the way to redirect large trucks. I call on the Kentucky Transportation Cabinet to seriously consider these viewpoints.

I'm against concept option AB and strongly advocate for concepts A, C, and D in the urban development plan. The preservation of our historic district is paramount, and I endorse a bypass via concept D to alleviate Glendale's traffic and support local businesses. Controlled traffic on the main street is beneficial, and a signage package for rerouting large trucks is a better solution than demolition. The Kentucky Transportation Cabinet should take these perspectives seriously.

I'm writing to express my opposition to concept option AB and my resolute support for concepts A, C, and D in the urban development proposal. Preserving our historic district is essential, and I endorse a bypass using concept D to address Glendale's traffic concerns and bolster local businesses. Controlled main street traffic is advantageous, and I propose a signage package, not demolition, for rerouting large trucks. I urge the Kentucky Transportation Cabinet to consider these viewpoints thoughtfully.

My stance is firmly against concept option AB and in favor of concepts A, C, and D in the urban development proposal. The preservation of our historic district is non-negotiable, and I endorse a bypass via concept D to manage Glendale's traffic while supporting local businesses. Controlled traffic on the main street is beneficial, and I recommend a signage package, not demolition, for rerouting large trucks. The Kentucky Transportation Cabinet should take these opinions seriously.

I want to make it clear that I oppose concept option AB and wholeheartedly support concepts A, C, and D in the urban development plan. The preservation of our historic district is a priority, and I firmly endorse a bypass through concept D to address Glendale's traffic concerns and benefit local businesses. Controlled traffic on the main street is essential, and a signage package, not demolition, should be used to reroute large trucks. The Kentucky Transportation Cabinet must consider these viewpoints.

In relation to the urban development proposal, I oppose concept option AB and firmly back concepts A, C, and D. The preservation of our historic district is vital, and I fully endorse a bypass via concept D to manage Glendale's traffic issues and positively impact local businesses. Controlled main street traffic is advantageous, and I suggest a signage package, not demolition, for rerouting large trucks. I encourage the Kentucky Transportation Cabinet to consider these perspectives thoughtfully.

I'm writing to express my opposition to concept option AB and my strong support for concepts A, C, and D in the urban development proposal. The preservation of our historic district is paramount, and I endorse a bypass using concept D to alleviate Glendale's traffic issues and support local businesses. Controlled traffic on the main street is beneficial, and a signage package, not demolition, should be considered for rerouting large trucks. I urge the Kentucky Transportation Cabinet to give these viewpoints due consideration.

I wish to emphasize my opposition to concept option AB and my firm endorsement of concepts A, C, and D in the urban development plan. The preservation of our historic district is non-negotiable, and I strongly advocate for a bypass via concept D to address Glendale's traffic concerns while aiding local businesses. Controlled main street traffic is beneficial, and a signage package, not demolition, is the preferred method for rerouting large trucks. The Kentucky Transportation Cabinet should consider these opinions carefully.

Save the pink house!

Save the pink house

I have had multiple generations of my family born and raised in Glendale. The plant has been a major change that we have had to adjust to but if a bypass is going to be built in Glendale, it should be built on the outskirts. I believe other Glendale residents can agree with this. My family's house would be affected in the AB bypass plan, and I strongly vote against it. No one in Glendale should have to worry about their residence being taken away from them. Leave Glendale as it is and add as needed. Like I had mentioned, if a bypass has to be constructed, do it on the outskirts where no one's residence is affected and no families have to worry about losing the place they've called home for so long. In no world is it right to take a home away from a family just because a road needs to be built. Please take all residents of Glendale into consideration and do not take away anyone's homes from them.

Stick with the properties where owners are least hurt. AB was an add on and if it was in original proposal, would have been defeated then.

Whatever plan SAVES the beautiful homes and/or businesses. We are slowly getting rid of beautiful buildings (homes, schools, businesses, etc.) in this country and replacing gorgeous architecture with boxes with windows, parking lots, and all sorts of non-sense. Please do NOT ravage beautiful homes in and around Glendale. THE PINK HOUSE STAYS along with other homes/business of that era.

I am writing to express my unwavering support for concepts A, C, and D in the urban development proposal, and to firmly oppose concept option AB. The preservation of our historic district is paramount, and I endorse a bypass through concept D to mitigate Glendale's traffic issues and invigorate local businesses. Controlled traffic on the main street has its benefits, and I propose utilizing a signage package instead of demolition to reroute large trucks. I urge the Kentucky Transportation Cabinet to seriously consider these viewpoints.

In relation to the urban development proposal, I am opposed to concept option AB and wholeheartedly endorse concepts A, C, and D. The preservation of our historic district is crucial, and I support a bypass via concept D to alleviate Glendale's traffic concerns and uplift local businesses. Controlled traffic on the main street contributes positively, and I suggest a signage package for rerouting large trucks, avoiding the need for demolition. The Kentucky Transportation Cabinet should prioritize these opinions.

I want to express my strong support for concepts A, C, and D in the urban development proposal, while firmly opposing concept option AB. Preserving our historic district is paramount, and I endorse a bypass using concept D to address Glendale's traffic challenges and foster local business growth. Controlled traffic on the main street is beneficial, and a signage package offers a better solution for rerouting large trucks than demolition. I urge the Kentucky Transportation Cabinet to take these viewpoints into consideration.

My viewpoint is clear: I oppose concept option AB and fully back concepts A, C, and D in the urban development proposal. The preservation of our historic district is a priority, and I endorse a bypass through concept D to tackle Glendale's traffic issues and bolster local businesses. Controlled main street traffic is advantageous, and a signage package, not demolition, should be utilized to redirect large trucks. The Kentucky Transportation Cabinet should seriously consider these opinions.

I am against concept option AB and strongly in favor of concepts A, C, and D in the urban development plan. The preservation of our historic district is non-negotiable, and I endorse a bypass via concept D to alleviate Glendale's traffic concerns and support local businesses. Controlled traffic on the main street is crucial, and I propose implementing a signage package, not demolition, to reroute large trucks. The Kentucky Transportation Cabinet should carefully consider these perspectives.

Writing to express my opposition to concept option AB and my enthusiastic support for concepts A, C, and D in the urban development proposal. The preservation of our historic district is of utmost importance, and I wholeheartedly endorse a bypass using concept D to address Glendale's traffic issues and promote local business prosperity. Controlled main street traffic is beneficial, and a signage package, not demolition, should guide large trucks. I implore the Kentucky Transportation Cabinet to take these viewpoints seriously.

I'm opposed to concept option AB and firmly supportive of concepts A, C, and D in the urban development proposal. The preservation of our historic district is essential, and I endorse a bypass via concept D to handle Glendale's traffic concerns and enhance local businesses. Controlled traffic on the main street is a positive feature, and I recommend a signage package, not demolition, for rerouting large trucks. I urge the Kentucky Transportation Cabinet to seriously consider these viewpoints.

I want to express my opposition to concept option AB and my resolute support for concepts A, C, and D in the urban development plan. The preservation of our historic district is a priority, and I firmly endorse a bypass using concept D to address Glendale's traffic issues and benefit local businesses. Controlled traffic on the main street is crucial, and I recommend a signage package, not demolition, for rerouting large trucks. The Kentucky Transportation Cabinet should take these opinions into account.

I'm writing to firmly oppose concept option AB and to express my strong support for concepts A, C, and D in the urban development proposal. The preservation of our historic district is non-negotiable, and I endorse a bypass via concept D to alleviate Glendale's traffic issues and support local businesses. Controlled traffic on the main street is beneficial, and a signage package, not demolition, is the optimal solution for rerouting large trucks. The Kentucky Transportation Cabinet should consider these viewpoints seriously.

My stance is against concept option AB and firmly in favor of concepts A, C, and D in the urban development proposal. The preservation of our historic district is paramount, and I wholeheartedly endorse a bypass through concept D to address Glendale's traffic issues and foster local businesses. Controlled traffic on the main street is beneficial, and a signage package, not demolition, should be used for rerouting large trucks. The Kentucky Transportation Cabinet should give these viewpoints due consideration.

Why not use the existing Smith Mill road as the basis of a NW bypass? Realigning the road to the south and west (closer to the Nolin River) where it meets Gilead Church Road to make a common 4 way intersection with KY-1136. Long term you could even spur from where Smith Mill turns South and East along Farley Ln, bridge Valley Creek, tie into Bacon Creek Road and gain access to a potential new interchange at KY-1375.

Any need for a North/East Bypass should be reviewed only after the Ring Road interchange with I-65 and 31W is complete.

Save the Pink House!!!

Oddly miraculous that the 2005 pink historic boundary was never "finalized" and then the 2023 blue boundary approved by the State Historic Preservation Officer magically provides the KYTC the necessary room to do what they wanted to do all along. It would be interesting to know what conversations took place and the timeline of them between KYTC, BlueOvalSK, and the "State" Historic Commission regarding this issue. No matter what, it all smells as bad as it looks. I feel terrible for the people on Crain Lane that have had this sprung on them after the initial community meeting led them to believe it wasn't an option. Shame on all of you. The only people that are happy with how things are progressing live outside of Glendale. Not one incumbent magistrate, representative, governor, councilman will be getting a vote from me. I've lived in Glendale since 2005 and hate to see how we're constantly being pushed aside in favor of state and county development priorities.

Save The Pink House and Glendale. This is awful that you want to come in and destroy peoples lives. Have a heart and save the Pink House.

Why does the government make people lose their home which is not right. Put yourself in their place and see how you feel especially at their age. It make me sad that the government can do this. We no longer have the freedom and I know you will give me a lame excuse why this is happening but it is still is not correct to make them leave. I suggest you go the Lord in prayer and I am sure he will give you another way around this situation.

I do not feel it is in the best interest of the community to displace even one family home especially when there are other options. People have built their lives in those homes and it would be a disservice for all of the community to displace them.

Taking peoples homes may not be illegal, but it is wrong. This proposal comes down to making a choice between right and wrong. Which choice will you make? Which choice would you make if it were your home or your parents home being destroyed?

CONCEPT C: PUTTING ANOTHER EXIT OFF I-65 AT GILEAD CHURCH RD SEEMS TO BE THE BEST, HAVING ACCES OFF A MAJOR INTERSTATE AT THE NORTH AND SOUTH ENTRANCE TO BATTERY PLANT SEEMS IDEAL. ANY TRAFFIC FROM THE WK PARKWAY CAN SIMPLY GO TO I-65 TO GET TO PLANT.

Supportive of KYTC study D and all others except AB. Keep main street open, a small focus group does not speak for majority of residents of Glendale as they don't have the interest of our entire community in mind. Private meetings need to be made transparent and public. Don't demolish homes. Create a bypass around Glendale, not through it.

I strongly support bypass concepts A, C, and D as potential solutions for Glendale's transportation challenges. However, I strongly oppose concept AB due to its potential negative impact on residents, homes, and our community. Concept D seems to be the best choice for a bypass, offering traffic relief while preserving Glendale's character. I urge for the implementation of clear signage to divert large trucks away from the main street, keeping it open for community use.

I am a staunch advocate for bypass concepts A, C, and D as viable remedies to address Glendale's transportation issues. Nonetheless, my deep concern lies with concept AB, as its adverse effects on residents, homes, and our community are evident. Concept D stands out as the optimal bypass choice, effectively alleviating traffic congestion while upholding Glendale's distinct identity. I strongly emphasize the importance of deploying prominent signage to redirect sizable trucks from our main street, ensuring its unobstructed use by the community.

As a Glendale resident my endorsement extends to bypass concepts A, C, and D, which hold promise in resolving Glendale's transportation dilemmas. In stark contrast, I firmly object to concept AB, recognizing its potential harm to our residents, homes, and community cohesion. Concept D emerges as the most fitting bypass solution, striking a balance between traffic relief and the preservation of Glendale's unique character. I earnestly stress the necessity of installing visible signage to divert substantial trucks away from the main street, securing its accessibility for our community.

Bypass concepts A, C, and D receive my unwavering support as potential avenues to tackle Glendale's transportation challenges. However, I am unequivocally opposed to concept AB due to its foreseen negative repercussions for our residents, homes, and the fabric of our community. The superiority of concept D as the ideal bypass alternative is evident, offering traffic mitigation while safeguarding Glendale's identity. The implementation of conspicuous signage to reroute heavy trucks away from the main street is paramount to maintain its community-friendly accessibility.

I stand firmly in favor of bypass concepts A, C, and D as plausible solutions to address Glendale's transportation issues. Conversely, I hold a strong opposition to concept AB due to its potential detrimental impact on residents, homes, and the cohesiveness of our community. Concept D rises as the most suitable bypass option, effectively easing traffic while ensuring Glendale's unique ambiance endures. I emphasize the vital need for prominent signage to divert sizeable trucks from the main street, thus preserving its utility for the community.

My unequivocal endorsement is extended to bypass concepts A, C, and D, all of which hold potential to rectify Glendale's transportation challenges. Conversely, I firmly reject concept AB, recognizing its potential to harm residents, homes, and our community's unity. Concept D emerges as the optimal bypass solution, skillfully managing traffic concerns while maintaining the distinct essence of Glendale. I underscore the crucial role of clear signage in rerouting large trucks away from the main street, thus safeguarding its accessibility for the community.

The bypass concepts A, C, and D have my strong support as potential solutions to tackle Glendale's transportation issues. Conversely, my stance against concept AB is equally strong due to its foreseeable negative impact on residents, homes, and community coherence. Concept D clearly emerges as the superior bypass option, offering relief from traffic congestion while upholding Glendale's character. The implementation of visible signage to divert heavy trucks away from the main street is paramount to its continued use by the community.

I wholeheartedly endorse bypass concepts A, C, and D as potential remedies for Glendale's transportation challenges. Conversely, my resolute opposition to concept AB is grounded in its potential adverse effects on residents, homes, and community unity. Concept D shines as the most fitting bypass choice, effectively addressing traffic concerns while safeguarding Glendale's identity. The strategic placement of clear signage to divert substantial trucks from the main street is vital to maintain its accessibility for the community.

Bypass concepts A, C, and D have my unwavering support as viable solutions for Glendale's transportation issues. However, I strongly reject concept AB due to its potential negative consequences for residents, homes, and community harmony. Concept D clearly emerges as the optimal bypass selection, effectively resolving traffic problems while preserving Glendale's unique ambiance. I stress the importance of deploying visible signage to reroute sizable trucks away from the main street, thus ensuring its continued availability for the community.

My firm endorsement extends to bypass concepts A, C, and D, which hold promise in addressing Glendale's transportation challenges. On the contrary, I express strong opposition to concept AB due to its potential to detrimentally affect residents, homes, and our community's cohesion. Concept D proves itself as the superior bypass choice, offering traffic relief while maintaining Glendale's distinctive character. I emphasize the crucial role of well-placed signage in diverting heavy trucks from the main street, thus preserving its accessibility for the community.

I offer my wholehearted support to bypass concepts A, C, and D as potential solutions for Glendale's transportation dilemmas. Conversely, I hold a steadfast objection to concept AB due to its anticipated negative impact on residents, homes, and community solidarity. Concept D emerges as the most fitting bypass solution, effectively tackling traffic congestion while safeguarding Glendale's essence. I emphasize the essential nature of deploying clear signage to redirect large trucks away from the main street, ensuring its continued use for the community.

Please help keep downtown Glendale as is

Please watch this:

https://youtu.be/UAEKctl2eis?si=EqLvVnce6WBJ_rmW

Save the pink house

Save the PINK HOUSE!!!

Do not destroy lives in the process of building a bypass!!!

I want to express my sincere support for bypass concepts A, C, and D as potential solutions for Glendale's transportation challenges. On the other hand, I hold genuine concerns about concept AB due to its potential negative impact on our residents, homes, and community. Concept D genuinely appears to be the best choice for a bypass, as it not only offers traffic relief but also ensures the preservation of Glendale's unique character. I genuinely urge the implementation of clear signage to divert large trucks away from the main street, genuinely keeping it open for our community's use.

I genuinely and wholeheartedly endorse bypass concepts A, C, and D as promising solutions for addressing Glendale's transportation issues. However, my sincere opposition to concept AB stems from my genuine worries about its potential harm to our residents, homes, and the unity of our community. Concept D genuinely stands out as the most suitable bypass option, genuinely addressing traffic concerns while preserving the essence of Glendale. I genuinely emphasize the importance of deploying clear signage to reroute heavy trucks from the main street, genuinely ensuring its continued accessibility for our community.

I genuinely offer my heartfelt support to bypass concepts A, C, and D, which hold genuine promise in resolving Glendale's transportation challenges. Conversely, I genuinely harbor deep reservations about concept AB, considering its potential genuine impact on residents, homes, and community cohesion. Concept D genuinely emerges as the superior bypass choice, effectively tackling traffic congestion while genuinely safeguarding Glendale's unique character. The genuine deployment of clear signage to redirect large trucks away from the main street is of genuine importance to maintain its genuine accessibility for the community.

My genuine backing genuinely extends to bypass concepts A, C, and D, all of which genuinely hold promise in addressing Glendale's transportation problems. Conversely, my genuine concerns about concept AB are rooted in its potential genuine harm to residents, homes, and community unity. Concept D genuinely stands out as the clear frontrunner among the bypass options, genuinely balancing traffic relief and the preservation of Glendale's distinctive charm. The genuine placement of clear signage to divert substantial trucks away from the main street is genuinely paramount to maintain its genuine community-friendly accessibility.

I genuinely and wholeheartedly support the adoption of bypass concepts A, C, and D as viable solutions for mitigating Glendale's transportation problems. Conversely, my genuine reservations regarding concept AB are based on its potential genuine negative impact on residents, homes, and community cohesiveness. Concept D genuinely emerges as the clear choice among the bypass options, genuinely addressing traffic concerns while preserving Glendale's identity. I genuinely emphasize the crucial role of clear signage in redirecting heavy trucks away from the main street, genuinely ensuring its accessibility for the community.

My genuine and unwavering support is extended to bypass concepts A, C, and D as potential remedies for Glendale's transportation challenges. However, I genuinely stand firmly against concept AB due to my genuine recognition of its potential to harm residents, homes, and community harmony. Concept D genuinely stands out as the ideal bypass solution, genuinely addressing traffic problems while genuinely safeguarding Glendale's identity. I genuinely stress the importance of deploying visible signage to reroute sizable trucks away from the main street, genuinely securing its continued availability for the community.

I offer my wholehearted support to bypass concepts A, C, and D as potential solutions for Glendale's transportation dilemmas. Conversely, I hold a steadfast objection to concept AB due to its anticipated negative impact on residents, homes, and community solidarity. Concept D emerges as the most fitting bypass solution, effectively tackling traffic congestion while safeguarding Glendale's essence. I emphasize the essential nature of deploying clear signage to redirect large trucks away from the main street, ensuring its continued use for the community.

My firm endorsement extends to bypass concepts A, C, and D, which hold promise in addressing Glendale's transportation challenges. On the contrary, I express strong opposition to concept AB due to its potential to detrimentally affect residents, homes, and our community's cohesion. Concept D proves itself as the superior bypass choice, offering traffic relief while maintaining Glendale's distinctive character. I emphasize the crucial role of well-placed signage in diverting heavy trucks from the main street, thus preserving its accessibility for the community.

Bypass concepts A, C, and D have my unwavering support as viable solutions for Glendale's transportation issues. However, I strongly reject concept AB due to its potential negative consequences for residents, homes, and community harmony. Concept D clearly emerges as the optimal bypass selection, effectively resolving traffic problems while preserving Glendale's unique ambiance. I stress the importance of deploying visible signage to reroute sizable trucks away from the main street, thus ensuring its continued availability for the community.

I wholeheartedly endorse bypass concepts A, C, and D as potential remedies for Glendale's transportation challenges. Conversely, my resolute opposition to concept AB is grounded in its potential adverse effects on residents, homes, and community unity. Concept D shines as the most fitting bypass choice, effectively addressing traffic concerns while safeguarding Glendale's identity. The strategic placement of clear signage to divert substantial trucks from the main street is vital to maintain its accessibility for the community.

The bypass concepts A, C, and D have my strong support as potential solutions to tackle Glendale's transportation issues. Conversely, my stance against concept AB is equally strong due to its foreseeable negative impact on residents, homes, and community coherence. Concept D clearly emerges as the superior bypass option, offering relief from traffic congestion while upholding Glendale's character. The implementation of visible signage to divert heavy trucks away from the main street is paramount to its continued use by the community.

My unequivocal endorsement is extended to bypass concepts A, C, and D, all of which hold potential to rectify Glendale's transportation challenges. Conversely, I firmly reject concept AB, recognizing its potential to harm residents, homes, and our community's unity. Concept D emerges as the optimal bypass solution, skillfully managing traffic concerns while maintaining the distinct essence of Glendale. I underscore the crucial role of clear signage in rerouting large trucks away from the main street, thus safeguarding its accessibility for the community.

<p>I stand firmly in favor of bypass concepts A, C, and D as plausible solutions to address Glendale's transportation issues. Conversely, I hold a strong opposition to concept AB due to its potential detrimental impact on residents, homes, and the cohesiveness of our community. Concept D rises as the most suitable bypass option, effectively easing traffic while ensuring Glendale's unique ambiance endures. I emphasize the vital need for prominent signage to divert sizeable trucks from the main street, thus preserving its utility for the community.</p>
<p>Bypass concepts A, C, and D receive my unwavering support as potential avenues to tackle Glendale's transportation challenges. However, I am unequivocally opposed to concept AB due to its foreseen negative repercussions for our residents, homes, and the fabric of our community. The superiority of concept D as the ideal bypass alternative is evident, offering traffic mitigation while safeguarding Glendale's identity. The implementation of conspicuous signage to reroute heavy trucks away from the main street is paramount to maintain its community-friendly accessibility.</p>
<p>My resolute endorsement extends to bypass concepts A, C, and D, which hold promise in resolving Glendale's transportation dilemmas. In stark contrast, I firmly object to concept AB, recognizing its potential harm to our residents, homes, and community cohesion. Concept D emerges as the most fitting bypass solution, striking a balance between traffic relief and the preservation of Glendale's unique character. I earnestly stress the necessity of installing visible signage to divert substantial trucks away from the main street, securing its accessibility for our community.</p>
<p>I am a staunch advocate for bypass concepts A, C, and D as viable remedies to address Glendale's transportation issues. Nonetheless, my deep concern lies with concept AB, as its adverse effects on residents, homes, and our community are evident. Concept D stands out as the optimal bypass choice, effectively alleviating traffic congestion while upholding Glendale's distinct identity. I strongly emphasize the importance of deploying prominent signage to redirect sizable trucks from our main street, ensuring its unobstructed use by the community.</p>
<p>Why did you find a loophole in the 2005 map? Under the table? Save the Pink House</p>
<p>Who does the maps for historical districts? I smell a rat. No to AB. SAVE THE PINK HOUSE</p>
<p>Shame on you. You're ruined that nice couple's lives. Save the Pink House</p>
<p>That elderly lady had to hear from a reporter. Shady study AFTER she was told. Is the study actually real? Liars SAVE THE PINK HOUSE</p>
<p>I think the decisions have already been dealt under the table. Do you think that I believe this study is nothing more than a shameful scam. Save the Pink House you crooks</p>
<p>I saw the sign in the yard of the pink house. They asked me around their yard. What you have done to them is disgusting. Are they going to see the FAKE results of the study on the news. How do you sleep at night! SAVE THE PINK HOUSE</p>
<p>Why not just destroy what's left of Glendale. Leave you small historical district and put your roads all around it. I bet Dollar General is saved. SAVE the pink houdr</p>
<p>FAKE STUDY. WHEN IT GETS ON THE NEWS YOU DO A FAKE STUDY. WHEN WILL YOU LET THESE PEOPLE IN GLENDALE HAVE SOMR PEACE. YOUR NAME IS LIAR IF YOU TAKE THAT PINK HOUSE AND THE WHOLE STREET</p>
<p>You told Glendale you would leave their community alone. Under the table dirty dealings. You are all crooks and liars. If you have the least bit of a heart. Disappearing historically districts magically disappearing in July. JULY. How convenient for you. Find a loophole and start bulldozing my favorite dream house. You should be ashamed of yourself.</p>
<p>I have two questions. Who helped you change the historical district in JULY 2023? Did anyone notify the homes that they were no longer included? I'm for saving the pink house and the WHOLE COMMUNITY.</p>

So you found a loophole to destroy homes. Irony is they were outlined in pink. Found a loophole in JULY, then it's LEAKED on the news in AUGUST. then a "STUDY" that's a SCAM to quite the UPROAR OF WHATS LEFT OF GLENDALE. The lady in the pink house had more guts than you. Finally someone in Glendale said ENOUGH

THIS STUDY IS A PR STUNT TO MAKE THE PEOPLE BELIEVE THEY HAVE A CHOICE. The woman in the pink house had more PR than you. Do you think Glendale is believing this study. Just to smooth over the outcry with some PR. Way to go CHRIS JESSIE. I think the pink house is getting more PR than you. More of your lies exposed.

WHO ARE THE CROOKS THAT CHANGED THE HISTORICAL DISTRICT?????
2005 loophole.. Not announced in July to those houses in any way that you could take their homes. My hats off to the couple in the pink house standing up to you bullies. We ALL know you will bulldoze anything you want.

Haven't you destroyed Glendale enough already. Only the historical district will remain. What happened to the community you said you would save. The pink house was Glendale's last straw. We know if you take that house you have power to destroy Glendale.

I just don't understand. You're proposing to put a bypass INSIDE THE COMMUNITY to go AROUND THE COMMUNITY. How does that make any sense. Is this the same planner who made the little roundabout at the end of the bypass? I'm not a highway planner, but how do semi trucks make it around. And nobody knows who is supposed to stop. By the way, the quality of work is terrible. Please. leave what's left of the community. Up to 8 more homes. I agree with the elderly lady in the pink house. Somebody has to take a stand for what is right for the community. In doing so, you have ruined their lives.

I hope you are haunted by the pitiful elderly lady. I talked to her and it's so sad. How long until she knows what you are actually going to do. It's wrong to treat our elderly and veteran like you did. If you said noone is immune that's a horrible thing to say. Just a threat that You will do whatever we want and they don't matter. With their health so bad I doubt if they will live to see the road built.

I pass by the dream house every day. I look forward to seeing it. It makes me happy. I was disturbed when I saw their banner. You can't be for real. Too many people love that house. That house IS GLENDALE.

SAVE THE PINK HOUSE!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

SAVE THE PINK HOUSE!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

When you go over the railroad tracks on New Glendale Road you are in the Glendale community. Why would you even propose to take away the community. 8 proposed homes to be the leveled for a highway to go around Glendale. Why don't you just bulldoze from the rr tracks all the to the giant plant? That's just as good an option. Just level the whole town. If anything gets in the way redo the historic district AGAIN.

If the new road is supposed to be a by-pass around Glendale, how come Plan AB road goes right through the town of Glendale while taking property that contributes to the integrity of the small town. Eight houses being taken from a community as small as Glendale is a BIG DEAL!! That much traffic that close to other remaining houses in downtown also makes them undesirable. Plan D looks like the best plan for Glendale. At least it doesn't take homes out of the downtown area. Our family was born and raised in Glendale. We live about 3 miles from downtown. Plan AB would devastate the town of Glendale. Sure would hate to see that happen!

I probably wouldn't have know about this study, if weren't for a one elderly person to stand up for all of us. How did you publicly announce it? Personally, I don't this study matters one bit. You'll do whatever you want.

I doubt if this will ever be read, but do you ever think of the elderly couple in the pink house? It took all these years for someone to stand up and speak the words the community should have already said

I drove by the pink house today. The elderly couple were outside playing with their pups. What a sad picture. They have a sign in their yard. They won't win against the State of Kentucky, but I admire them.



WHICH CAME FIRST? STUDY? PROPOSAL? SECRET MEETINGS? UNDER THE TABLE DEALS? LIARS CAME FIRST

Where and when did this study come from? I would not have even known there was a study. Until I saw a sign in the front yard of the pink house. I heart breaks for them. Not knowing if or when. You ruined their lives.

Secret meetings. Secret proposals. Secret studies. Secret decisions already made. Nice try trying to make the people feel like they have a voice. Nice job. It didn't work

I don't make heads or tails out of your fancy maps. It's just wrong what you do to Glendale

We Glendalians know you already made the plans. Best people in Glendale your treating the worst. Best house in Glendale. I don't rally like the pink but I still look at it ever time I go by


 you really saying you will tear down the pink house  my dream house.

I am concerned that you have shown little transparency. I would have not known anything about MY HOME if I hadn't been told by a banner in the yard of the pink house. They did something no one else has done. Hell, you didn't even bother to let the homeowners know, before they see it on the news. Ironic. Proposal or Study. Which comes first? THE HOMEOWNERS SHOULD HAVE KNOWN FIRST. You got caught. Study is a JOKE making HOMEOWNERS feel like they have a say. We knew something was going on with all the airplanes.

Great job! You almost kept the study as another secret. If it hadn't been a banner in the yard of the pink house how would I or anyone even know there was a secret study. Secret meetings. Secret deals. PLEASE HAVE SOME MERCY and tell that sweet lady the TRUTH.

You're trying to say we can vote out a bypass in Glendale . You should have asked for a vote on that circle at the end of New Glendale Road. Bad design. How do big trucks even make the little circle. If I am understanding it right. You get off the bypass and go around the little circle. Drive to Glendale on a 2 lane highway. That turns into a 4 lane bypass. Crazy. Is that one of those circles on top of the pink house. You need to find a person with some common sense.

This bypass will kill Glendale. Rest of Glendale might as well leave. To late now. If you don't steal the houses the ones left won't be worth nothing.

Do you really think  this study makes sense. SAVE THE PINK HOUSE

My family's Christmas tradition is going on the Christmas home tours. One year the pink house was on the tour. We went there first and stayed the whole time. It was the most magical house. I can't imagine Glendale without our favorite house.

Are you actually considering the destruction of the pink house! The year it was the candy house. Every night we would take a ride to see the candy house just before bedtime. How do we explain to children that the BIG GUYS destroyed the candy house?

Do you actually have us voting to choose to demolish the fairyland house. No one can demolish a fairy house.

I vote to save the pink house. I think it's every girl's dream to grow up and live in that house. One day I stopped and asked if they would let our daughter get a photo with her dream house. The sweetest lady invited us into her playhouse. A memory our daughter still talks about every time we drive by. She believes she's going to live there someday.

<p>A drive to the Whistle Stop would not be complete without a drive by the Pink House. I always wondered who would nurture such a dream house. When I heard about this, never did I imagine, I would see an elderly couple completely broken. I just can't imagine Glendale without the pink house.</p>
<p>We used to go to the Whistle Stop often. Always circled behind the restaurant to see the pink house. Always knowing if they were outside you would get a tour around the yard and most likely given some flowers. If our favorite home is gone, Glendale will be gone.</p>
<p>I live on 222. Go by the pink house twice a day on my way to and from work. Never imagined it could be taken from us all right here IN Glendale. The pink house is the last straw. That house is GLENDALE.</p>
<p>I'm a neighbor of the pink house. You have just about killed them. Hard to see her pulling weeds today. He was sitting on his wheelchair talking to her. Just to think what you have done to them. Is it right for them to get a letter and go to a meeting in public to find out the cards you have dealt. They deserve better. All of Glendale deserves better. When you go over those RR tracks you are in Glendale. Do the right thing.</p>
<p>I hope this study is a real deal. I've been in Glendale a long time. I admire DR and RITA standing up to the bullies. Just wish others had fought you as hard as they are.</p>
<p>Do not tear down the pink house or any houses on that street. The factory was "supposed to help the community". If you force those in the community to move you are only hurting the community. Find another way without kicking people out of their homes.</p>
<p>DO NOT BUILD AND RUIN ANY DOWNTOWN OF GLENDALE! These homes being destroyed didn't ask for this!</p>
<p>SAVE THE PINK HOUSE and stop ruining Glendale's small-town feel. I live off of New Glendale Rd (Elizabethtown address) and care very much about changes that affect the community I have called home for 25 years.</p>
<p>Please choose a different option than AB. Glendale is a quaint town and demolishing up to 8 houses of people who've lived and been a part of the community for years just isn't right. Choose any of the other options because they don't change the face of Glendale or devastate its community.</p>
<p>SAVE THE PINK HOUSE AND THE GLENDALE COMMUNITY</p>
<p>Save the pink house. And don't put any of those small crazy circles 🌀🌀🌀 if you are going in a circle which way is left</p>
<p>The news leaked your secrets. Had to do a study to quiet the people down. Make them feel like a vote counts. All the while you're scheming your plans. How many planes flew over Glendale. Did you think we didn't know that you weren't up to no good. I saw a sign in the pink house. Didn't know of your secret plans. Still don't know.</p>
<p>Your question don't make sense. Don't take the pink house and their neighbors</p>
<p>Living in Glendale was a good life. Now it's just a red clay area. We didn't trust you. We didn't want you from the beginning. Now you decide to take downtown homes. A few made millions, but now we are paying for the price. I didn't know anything about asking for our votes. Trying to smooth some feathers. The pink house should not be pay to the ones you left. Leave us alone</p>
<p>I read in the newspaper Mr Chris Jessie that your plans are like kids playing with highlighters. You may have been amused. While at the same time the lady of the pink house was being tortured by your playing with 2 highlighters. Give your highlighters to a kid. They could do a better job. Pretty sure they wouldn't highlight 8 houses to be gone.</p>
<p>I read articles online. One a lady begging for answers that you know. Then the same day you are making a joke of what you are doing to her. The old couple in the pink house will never be the same. And it's a joke to you. Kids playing with highlighters.</p>

Why were all the airplanes flying over Glendale? You? Making secret plans. The secret round table discussions that you say are public. I didn't see no flashing light. This town would not have known anything until one brave lady said NOT MY PINK HOUSE. Good for her. Shame others couldn't have done that.

SAVE THE PINK HOUSE 😞😞😞😞😞😞😞😞😞😞😞😞

Who made the historical boundary changes? Who found a loophole from 2005 that would give you permission to wipe out the pink house and that part of Glendale?

There is right and wrong. Glendale had been tormented for years. Now you want to take the town. Something and someones got caught being crooked. Glendale won't be Glendale with out that pink house.

Who and why were you looking for a loophole all the way back to 2005. The historic district maps seem to magically come and go. These elderly couple in the pink house never were told about the change in the historic district. They should have been told by whoever making these decisions. Honestly, I think the historical district was just another pawn you moved. One more way to destroy Glendale and the citizens. It is also odd that someone along RR Ave had enough pull to get added. But 5 old homes (plus the pink house) were removed per loophole from 2005. Leave the homes.

Will the results and comments be transparent and made public? You should be honest with the elderly couple in the pink house. You did them wrong. I stopped by and she said that Jessie said no one is immune. What a terrible thing to say while they were so upset. That's cruel. Whoever the "three men" were you should be ashamed of yourself. You don't treat our elderly and disabled Veterans like that. Maybe you have taken so many homes that it doesn't bother you anymore. They are old and sick and yet, they are the first to stand up to you bullies. You have done so many wrongs to the Glendale Community. Can't you, for once, at least tell them the truth. Don't make them wait for a letter. What kind of life can someone waiting for a letter every day the rest of their lives. Respect.

I would like to ask if there would be a study to "vote" if you hadn't been caught stabbing homeowners in the back. Would there have been a study if the July 2023 maps weren't leaked? Who dug all the way back to 2005 to find a loophole? Did you ever consider letting people know so they could get the historical district put back like they thought they would? Who dropped the ball in 2005? Hmmm wonder if it's the one and the same.

I WOULD HAVE KNOWN NOTHING ABOUT THIS STUDY!!!!

Shame on you for your lies and secrets. Bless the lady in the pink house for spreading the word that there is a "study" to vote.

Why does the "No build" disappear when I click the comments? I want no build. I cannot fathom why you would even consider the icon of Glendale. The pink House always greets you into Glendale. Always makes my heart smile. I always wondered when I passed by. Who lives there? Unfortunately, it took this heartbreaking cruelty to get to meet them. I can't imagine the pink house being demolished. Glendale. Haven't you already done enough to that quaint town.

America. Kentucky. Glendale. If the pink house is not protected. How can that really happen

I click no build but when I tap for comment the no build goes away. Is that another loophole?

Transparency? Who changed the historical district in July 2023? What was not finalized in 2005? Who was responsible? Who was looking for a loophole? Why were you looking for a loophole? Which comes first? Secret maps? Secret Meetings? Lady in the pink house outing you? Secret study? Where did you publicize the study? Something you don't have is compassion for those home you have and will destroy

I've lived in Kentucky all my life. I've been proud of Kentucky, but what has happened? The way Kentucky has treated it's citizens of Glendale is disgusting. Sure, you made a few millionaires. Now all the little people and their homes mean nothing. Give them a check and 30 days. That's not the Kentucky I thought it was. Kentucky is no longer the Bluegrass State. It's where SK took over. Why didn't you plan roads 20 years ago when you bought all the millionaires farms.

I couldn't get the no build to stay marked? I hope that's not another sly way of reporting the study. You can't vote no build and comment. You know how you know it's Glendale when you go over the railroad tracks. That's Glendale. The Glendale side of the tracks IS the pink house. Who could imagine even considering to wipe out a whole neighborhood on the Glendale side of the tracks. Why not do something with that awful bunch of buildings on the other side of the tracks

Dear KYTC, as a resident of Glendale I support the adoption of bypass concepts A, C, and D as solutions to address the transportation needs for Glendale. Conversely, I strongly oppose concept AB, as it presents the potential for grave harm to our residents, homes, and the overall cohesion within our community. Among these bypass options, Concept D emerges as the obvious choice, adeptly tackling traffic congestion while safeguarding the distinctive ambiance that defines our historic town. Concept D, with its careful balance, appears poised to preserve our town's unique identity. In conclusion, I request that concepts A, C, and D be considered as solutions to our transportation challenges, while concept AB not be considered at all. Keep main street open to grow Glendale as well. Our community's vitality and the well-being of its residents should always be at the forefront of our decisions. Let us protect the character of Glendale and its cherished residents for generations to come.

As a Glendale resident, I am writing to express my full support for the adoption of bypass concepts A, C, and D to address our transportation needs. On the contrary, I strongly oppose concept AB, as it poses a significant threat to our community. Concept D, in particular, stands out as the ideal choice, effectively easing traffic congestion while preserving our town's unique charm. Please prioritize concepts A, C, and D, and keep Main Street open for the growth and prosperity of Glendale.

I am a proud Glendale resident, and I want to voice my strong endorsement for bypass concepts A, C, and D to address our transportation issues. Conversely, I vehemently oppose concept AB due to its potential harm to our community. Concept D, with its balanced approach, promises to alleviate traffic congestion while safeguarding our town's historic character. I urge you to consider concepts A, C, and D as viable solutions while disregarding concept AB for the sake of Glendale's future.

As a resident of Glendale, I wholeheartedly support the adoption of bypass concepts A, C, and D to address our transportation needs. However, I strongly object to concept AB, which could seriously harm our community. Concept D is the standout choice, effectively addressing traffic congestion while preserving our town's unique identity. Please prioritize concepts A, C, and D, and maintain Main Street's openness for the betterment of Glendale.

As a Glendale resident, I am fully in favor of adopting bypass concepts A, C, and D to address our transportation challenges. Concept AB, on the other hand, poses a significant threat to our community, and I firmly oppose it. Concept D is the clear frontrunner, tackling traffic congestion while safeguarding our town's distinct character. Let's prioritize A, C, and D, and keep Main Street open for the benefit of Glendale.

Supporting Glendale as a resident, I am enthusiastic about the implementation of bypass concepts A, C, and D to tackle our transportation issues. My strong objection lies with concept AB, which could have detrimental effects on our community. Concept D stands out as the best choice, effectively alleviating traffic congestion while preserving our town's unique charm. I urge you to prioritize A, C, and D and ensure Main Street remains open for the betterment of Glendale.

I proudly represent Glendale as a resident, and I am wholeheartedly behind the adoption of bypass concepts A, C, and D to address our pressing transportation needs. However, concept AB is a major concern, as it threatens our community. Among these options, concept D shines as the most suitable choice, as it tackles traffic congestion while maintaining our town's distinctive character. Please prioritize A, C, and D and safeguard Main Street's openness for the benefit of Glendale.

I visit Glendale often. I have watched homes moved to remain as grown up weeds. I watched the electrical lines ruining views of our farms. I thought it was terrible when they came so close to the community. When I learned of the proposal to demolish the pink house. When you go over the railroad tracks the Pink house welcomes you to Glendale. You let those owners believe they had nothing about until JULY 2023 and then you let the news tell them their "proposal" fate. I talked with them today. It's awful to see the elderly suffer not knowing who to trust. He's a 100% disabled Vietnam veteran. You have already taken their lives away. Living out your last years shouldn't be lived dreading each day they will get a letter. Or even worse, a letter to go to a public meeting to learn their fate with a room full of officials. Or worse again finding their fate on the news again. It's shameful that the historical area suddenly changed for your road. You let believe they were safe

There's a trick on this so called study. You mark no build then it's erased when you try to add a comment. The cesspool of lies and deception has eaten away at Glendale again. SAVE THE PINK HOUSE. I hope you have been bombarded with comments. I wonder if we the people will ever see the comments from this so called study. Will they be deleted just like the historical district.

When you put the pink house in a demolition zone, you're gone too far. You're ruined the lives of the sweet elderly couple. I've watched them for years as they built the Glendale icon. Directions to Glendale start with the pink house. It is just as much talked about as the Whistle Stop. You under the table made decisions to clear the way to decimate Maple Street. What happened to leaving the village intact. Glendale is more than a few in the historic district. The historical district is another deception by people who will benefit. Don't think we didn't notice RR Ave was included. You have turned neighbor against neighbor. Neighbors against farmers. The community spirit is broken. Who's in on the deception? Who stands to make big money. Who will get your appraisal, but can't afford a home or the move.

You can line up the crooks in a row. It breaks my heart but I think Mike Bell backstabbing deception. Even on the study you can't mark no build. Glitch or more deception.

Why would you choose to destroy Glendale's Statue of Liberty!!!! The pink house welcomes you. You said you would leave the town alone. Leave the pink house and the homes just like they are. I wanted to mark no build, but I couldn't make it stay on no build.


Do not destroy the Pink House. Leave their house be. It's rightfully their property.

I want no to AB. I want No Build, but it won't let me mark it. I'm voting NO TO AB AND SAVE THE PINK HOUSE

SAVE THE PINK HOUSE AND THE GLENDALE COMMUNITY

Save the Pink House ! Save history! Save the historical neighborhood!

Please leave people's homes alone. There are historic homes in the Glendale area and they need to remain there. These homes are special and mean everything to the owners and to the people of the Glendale community. These homes can not be replaced, especially "The Pink House". This is just the wrong thing to do. There is an elderly man who is a Veteran that lives in one of the homes that could be taken. I can't even begin to understand how you could do that to a Veteran, not to mention all the other people's homes that could be taken. I beg you to please reconsider and think of the people who have worked so hard for their land and their homes.

Please don't take the pink house that the owners have built and lived in for years! That is their HOME! Please find another route for your bypass.
Save the pink house!!It is a treasure and gift in this community
please save Glendale residents homes. Save the pink house  Please don't take their home.
Save the Pink House! Do the right thing.
I think that what this project has done to the quaint little town of Glendale is disgraceful! It is a town of retired folks who retired there because they loved the old houses and antique shops and small town life. Now they live in a cancer colony with all the high power lines everywhere and the planning and zoning people telling them they may lose their beloved homes because the state matters more than their retirement years. None of us who live in these rural small towns wants your progress! Take it somewhere else. Eminent domain is a disgusting overreach of government, in my opinion. Also, please reconsider demolishing The Pink House on New Glendale Road. The owners have so obviously poured countless hours of labor and money into and delight in their home. To demand that they watch their home be demolished rather than using farmland so that there can be a bypass built around Glendale , well, it is pretty criminal in my opinion. Just an FYI, the locals aren't happy about the BOSK !
Whatever is decided, no one should lose, be forced out of, or be displaced from their homes, just to build a road or traffic for this new plant. The people of Glendale should always be placed above a road. How would you feel if someone that could be as old as your grandparents were going to lose their home just to facilitate a road? The AB plan isn't a good one. Buy up some farmland or unused land, build a new road where people's homes are, and make your bypass/road that way.
You have a duty to meet the needs and wants of the people in this community. You cannot tear down the pink house in Glendale or any other homes in the area for that matter. DO NOT GO THROUGH WITH OPTION AB! This is so tragic what you are doing to people have lived and helped build the small town of Glendale. Please do what is right and have some humanity.
The historic district should be expanded to included "the pink area". Glendale has a very unique downtown that is quite quaint and charming. It is grossly unfair that you have allowed this large company to move in and are planning on destroying one of the unique features of our county. I live off of overall Phillips, and this in many ways is my local town. The people of the area made it very clear that option C what's the best for the locals needs. Your new AB option is no better than the original A or B option.
Should stick with original plan that does not displace people or tear down homes.
No AB
Two points on the safety of railroad crossings: This study should take more of an effort to quantify the rate and changes of unsafe crossings. There have been high profile issues on the tracks recently, yes, but there's always been incidents on the tracks, higher profile to the community and lessor known by the greater public. The study then continues to suggest that we should increase pedestrian traffic on the tracks despite safety concerns already listed in the study. Therefore, perhaps a reroute of the train tracks and abandonment of the existing tracks should be considered to achieve the benefits listed by the study: reduce risks to vehicles, and promote a more walkable downtown.

While I'm excited for the new jobs and possibilities presented by the battery plant, I hope that these changes can be respectful of the town of Glendale itself and the positive contribution it has made to Kentucky tourism. Historic Glendale is a draw in itself, welcoming people from throughout the state and beyond to its shops and restaurants. I understand change - even good change - can present pain points, but I hope that these changes can be made without dislodging residents and demolishing homes. Visiting Glendale has been an important tradition in my life for over 30 years, thanks to the people who have made a commitment to living and working in this community. It is my hope that the KYTC can keep their construction as far away as possible from this particular slice of the town, and allow its residents to maintain their quality of life and the homes and businesses they have worked so hard to maintain.

Why would anyone tear down anyone's house?? When there are other options available??? Does NOT make any sense to me. . And it is not American to destroy somebody home that they have lived in for years everything about wanting to tear down the house is wrong.

A north bypass is not needed if the Gilead Church Rd RR crossing was brought up to grade so that semis could cross, which should have occurred before construction began at the mega site. Hundreds of loads of fill dirt have been hauled away from the mega site that could've been used to make this upgrade. Fix this crossing, finish Ring Rd to I65, and build an interchange at Gilead Church Rd and I65. If a bypass around Glendale is still needed it needs to go south of town and straight to the mega site.

A north bypass is not needed if the Gilead Church Road railroad crossing was brought up to grade so that semis can cross (which should have been done before constructions began at the factory site). Hundreds of loads of fill dirt have been hauled away from the mega site that could have been used to bring the crossing up to grade. Ring Road needs to be finished to I-65 and an interchange built at Gilead Church Road and I-65. If a bypass is still needed around Glendale, it needs to go on the southside of town where it can directly go to the megasite.

My husband and I live in Vine Grove in Hardin County. We are frequent visitors to Glendale. The town, as it is, is a gem. We love everything about it. Taking away 8 homes through eminent domain would be a tragedy. Since there are plenty of other options to accommodate traffic, Concept AB should not even be considered. While eminent domain might be legal, it is morally wrong, especially when there are other options. Do not destroy the character of this town in the name of progress.

Do Not use imminent Domain to take the homes of people that have worked their whole lives!! Do Not take out The Pink House. It's just Wrong to destroy a wonderful place like Glendale. There MUST be Other Options. Besides. That battery plant won't last 5 years. There isn't enough Lithium to keep it going. Nevada and Wyoming don't want to become Mining Towns.

A north bypass is not needed if the Gilead Church Road railroad crossing was brought up to grade so that semi can cross "which should have been done before construction had began at construction sight". Hundreds of loads of filed dirt have been hauled away from the mega sight that could have been used to bring the crossing up to grade. Ring road needs to be finished to I65 and a interchange built at Gilead Church Road and I 65. If a bypass is still needed around Glendale, it needs to go on the south side of town where it can go directly to the mega sight.

Us citizens do not want Blue Oval here at all. Pack up and leave.

As in my comments in 2022 to this study these bypasses need to be far enough away to not create noise pollution like we hear when near I65. The Historic District needs to be protected at all costs. I do not appreciate how this second survey was structured to "lead" the results with the "new revised" AB Concept. The "A", "B", and "AB" is way to close to town. Concept "C" is a good start - widen 1136, put an exchange to I65 off of 1136 and continue that build out to the W to WK Pkwy and Hwy 62. Further N extend Ring Rd to I65, 31W, & Lincoln Pkwy. Widen 31W from E'town to BOSK. By your own admission from the 2022 survey Concept "A" had the least public support, Concept "AB" will be the same even though the way you worded the survey it is logical that "AB" is better than "A", but my wife & I are totally against it - it is too close to downtown and will create noise pollution. The downtown area needs all roads changed so you have to intentionally want to go, to shop, eat, live etc.

If "NO ONE IS IMMUNE" why are you doing a study? Just do what you have already been doing. Taking Glendale one property after another. Finally took a lady in a pink house to get your attention.

I already did the questions. I just want to say please, when you know the pink is going to be saved, please tell the couple. Don't make them suffer.

How long would it take to rule OUT the Proposal AB? If the study shows that the community is opposed to demolishing the pink house, what do you do after the study?

My question. I think you will be getting a lot of comments to save the pink house. Do you actually let the voice of the community decide? Will the study results and the comments be made public? If so, when and where?

My comment is I don't understand the order in which all this takes place. BlueOval, then Highways? Wouldn't it make more sense to fix the RR crossings BEFORE BlueOval? Trucks have always gotten stuck on the tracks. So why not fix the tracks. The derailment was because the train braked on the crossing that had been damaged by that ginormous transformer crossing over it in downtown.

Phil Rogers 2703075534

Can someone call I'd like to discuss Glendale routes

None

I want to comment that I support save the pink house. I Finally, someone in Glendale said enough. Why everyone has sat by quietly as Glendale as a community has been decimated, this one elderly couple said no. You won't just take it with secret meetings with long ago decisions already made. No. They stood up to Kentucky. The first in Glendale to say no.

You have pitted neighbors and farmers. Neighbor against neighbor. Some thinking they can make an easy million off their home. Some whose homes are priceless to them. Others want to sell while their home still has value before a bypass is in their backyard.

Others think that Glendale is only politics and corruption that has been Glendale's ugly under belly since the first farm sold.

This study is a smooth way to make the people of Glendale to think they have a vote. That their opinion will make a difference. Many naively think that the most votes will make a difference. I believe that all the decisions have been made.

This joke of a study is to try to make the people of Glendale vote instead of talking about the pink house. Nice try.

<p>Sure wish I could have voted no build, but you can't vote no build and leave a comment. Nice touch to a scam study. I know all the decisions were made years ago and this study was to make the town stop talking about what you did to the old lady in the pink house. I went by there today. Looks like study didn't close them down. I wish I knew them. They have guts like the rest of the town doesn't. How long are you make them worry</p>
<p>Your maps make about as much sense as that circle at the end of the bypass. I wonder if the fancy engineer ever drive a school bus in a circle. How about a semi truck. Are you the same people who are planning another circle in Glendale. If you are you better leave the pink house in the center. Like the courthouse.</p>
<p>Are you the same ones that mapped the roundabout roundabout roundabout roundabout at the junction of the Bypass and 1136. You're the joke on social media. 😏🤔😏 Backhoe pulling a wrecker pulling a big truck. 🤔😏 Let's have a secret meeting there and see if you can drive a semi truck in a circle😏😏😏 SAVETHEPINKHOUSE</p>
<p>SAVE THE PINK HOUSE</p>
<p>save the pink house</p>
<p>I want to ask you to please don't let couple in the pink house live the rest of their lives worrying about where they are going to go. If you made a decision to leave them alone TELL THEM. They don't know who to believe or what. If there's not going to be a Highway you can't them them waiting. He fought in Vietnam. Have some respect.</p>
<p>I can't make heads or tails from your maps and fancy talk. You think a bridge over the tracks. A Road taking out the street and the pink house is Daggone crazy.</p>
<p>You don't build by-passes through towns you build them around towns. That's the whole purpose for a by-pass. That's about as stupid as these round-a-bouts like the one at New Glendale Road and E'town by-pass.</p>
<p>NO BUILD SAVE THE PINK HOUSE</p>
<p>Some facts. You don't build a bypass through a town. A bypass is designed to go around a town. You don't build circles that are smaller the vehicles 🚍 🚚 🚛 🚝 that need to drive around them. Another fact. Better not mess with women who live in pink house. 🏠</p>
<p>SAVE THE PINK HOUSE</p>
<p>NO BUILD NO BUILD NO BUILD SAVETHEPINKHOUSE</p>
<p>I agree with what I read. You are kids playing with highlighters. What a mean and cruel thing to say about people's homes. Just playing with highlighters. What an awful thing to say. How about taking your highlighters to the pink house and make another joke.</p>
<p>Do you know how many people will be standing in front of the pink house if you try to demolish it?</p>
<p>I was on here trying to find this to tell you to leave the pink house. I saw the picture of the backhoe trying to pull a wrecker pulling a truck stuck on top of the biggest highway fail design FAIL. Who stops? Who goes? Great job fellas</p>
<p>Just a common sense suggestion. At the little whatever you call it at the end of the bypass and 1136 why not route traffic over to 31-W. Straight shot to Glendale for workers. Same for the cement trucks.</p>
<p>Glendale is speaking. Don't touch Glendale's most loved home. That pink house is Hlendale</p>
<p>WHEN WHERE HOW do you tell the pink house WHATS ACTUALLY GOING TO HAPPEN</p>
<p>WHERE WILL YOU DISCLOSE THE RESULTS OF THE PETITION? HOW ANOUT A SECRET MEETING IN THE FRONT YARD OF THE PINK HOUSE</p>

When is the secret 🗨️ public meeting to announce the results of this study. It amazes me that you are trying to make people think their opinions matter. Do you listen to the homeowner who wants to sellout their house for big money? Do you listen to Glendale who says go away? Do you listen to the farmer saying no. You simply listen to money. One fella wants this bypass to take his house barns and land. He's making millions. Plow down every one else so he gets his greedy money.

PLEASE don't make the couple in the pink house suffer. Tell them the votes and who won

You got caught in your secret meetings. The pink house ruffles your feathers and you come up the idea of a questionnaire to calm it all down. I went by there today. Pretty sure it didn't work.

🔔🔔🔔🔔SAVE THE PINK HOUSE🔔🔔🔔🔔

I understand there must be progress. Glendale is just like the dust of BlueOval. Only the historical district of Glendale is important enough to be saved. The Glendale staple the pink house in peril.

When that house goes Glendale is gone forever

When is enough enough? When you get on the Glendale side of the tracks you need to turn around. There's so much ongoing the spirit of Glendale is dead. People used to want to live in Glendale. Now they wish they had got out. Nobody took a stand until the pink house. I never really liked the house. Now I do.