APPENDIX D

GEOTECHNICAL OVERVIEW



Report of Geotechnical Overview

New Corridor Study from I-71 to AA Highway Boone, Kenton, Campbell, Gallatin, Grant and Pendleton Counties, Kentucky

P-002-2019

April 1, 2019

Prepared for:

Kentucky Transportation Cabinet

Prepared by:

Stantec Consulting Services Inc.



Stantec Consulting Services Inc. 3052 Beaumont Centre Circle, Lexington KY 40513-1703

April 1, 2019

File: rpt_001_let_178568021

Attention: Mr. Michael Carpenter, PE

Kentucky Department of Highways Division of Structural Design Geotechnical Branch 1236 Wilkinson Boulevard Frankfort, Kentucky 40601

Reference: Geotechnical Overview New Corridor Study from I-71 to AA Highway Boone, Kenton, Campbell, Gallatin, Grant and Pendleton Counties, Kentucky P-002-2019

Dear Mr. Carpenter,

Enclosed is the geotechnical overview for the proposed Planning Study overview for the referenced project. The geotechnical overview is based upon research of available published data and preliminary alignments being considered for the study area. The scope of work performed, and results of the overview are presented in the accompanying report.

Stantec appreciates the opportunity to work with the Kentucky Department of Highways on this project. If you have any questions or comments pertaining to the contents of this report, please contact us.

Sincerely,

STANTEC CONSULTING SERVICES INC.

Luis J. Arduz, PE Senior Associate Phone: (859) 422-3051 Fax: (859) 422-3100 Luis.Arduz@stantec.com Donald L. Blanton, PE Senior Associate Phone: (859) 422-3033 Fax: (859) 422-3100 Donald.Blanton@stantec.com



Table of Contents

1.0	PROJECT	DESCRIPTION	1			
2.0	SCOPE O	F WORK	2			
 3.0 3.1 3.2 3.3 3.4 3.5 3.6 	PHYSIOG TOPOGRA STRATIGA FAULTING SOILS AN REGIONA LANDSLID	RAPHIC AND STRATIGRAPHIC SETTING APHY AND DRAINAGE RAPHY RAPHY B IN THE AREA D UNCONSOLIDATED MATERIALS L SEISMICITY DES	3 3 3 3 3 4 5			
4.0 4.1 4.2 4.3 4.4 4.5 4.6 4.7 4.8 4.9 4.10	GEOTECH GENERAL CUT SLOF EMBANKN STRUCTU SATURAT COAL SEA GAS AND WATER W MUNICIPA KARST CO	INICAL CONSIDERATIONS PE CONSIDERATIONS MENT CONSIDERATIONS IRES ED, SOFT OR UNSTABLE AREAS AMS/MINING OIL WELLS VELLS AND SPRINGS AL SOLID WASTE LANDFILL DNDITIONS	6 6 6 7 7 8 			
5.0	CONCLUS	SIONS	9			
LIST C	F FIGURE	S				
Figure Figure	R-1. R-2.	I-71 to AA Highway Potential Corridors Earthquake epicenters and seismic zones in and around Kentucky	1 4			
LIST C	F APPEND	DICES				
APPE	NDIX A	USGS TOPOGRAPHIC MAP AND FIELD PHOTOGRAPHS				
APPE	NDIX B	USGS GEOLOGIC MAP				
APPEN	NDIX C	KGS LANDSLIDE INFORMATION MAP				

APPENDIX D NORTHERN KENTUCKY OUTER LOOP STUDY ALTERNATIVES

Project Description April 1, 2019

1.0 PROJECT DESCRIPTION

The Kentucky Transportation Cabinet (KYTC) is considering a potential corridor in Northern Kentucky which would connect I-71 with the AA Highway. A study is being pursued which involves seven corridor alternatives. For purposes of this study, a 2,000-foot width of each of the alternatives is being considered. The preliminary alignment showing all the corridor alternatives are shown in Figure R-1.



Figure R-1. I-71 to AA Highway Potential Corridors

Scope of Work April 1, 2019

2.0 SCOPE OF WORK

The scope of work for this study consists of performing a geotechnical overview for the potential corridors based upon research of available published data and Stantec's experience with highway design and construction within the region. General geotechnical and geologic characteristics of the study area have been identified and are discussed in this report. Stantec personnel, using a variety of sources, performed a literature search that included reviews of the following sources:

- Available topographic and geologic mapping of the project area published by the United States Geological Survey (USGS) and the Kentucky Geological Survey (KGS);
- Kentucky Geologic Map Information Service http://kgs.uky.edu/kgsmap/kgsgeoserver/viewer.asp;
- KYTC Geotechnical Data, published by the KGS and KYTC, http://kgs.uky.edu/kgsmap/kytcLinks.asp;

Corridor	Boport Number	County	Pouto	Itom Number
Allemative	Report Number	County	Roule	
1	L-011-1985-1978	Boone	I-71	06.0012.02
1	S-049-2001-2004	Kenton	I-71	099-44.10
1	S-092-2002	Campbell	US 27	06-046.20
1	L-019-2011	Campbell	US 27	06-046.20
1	R-038-1985	Campbell	KY 9	06-0000.00
2	L-007-2012	Gallatin	KY 16	06-0000.00
2	L-004-1981	Grant	I-75	6-017.1
2	S-154-1999	Grant	KY 1994	6-72.00/6-72.01
2	S-006-1987	Grant	KY 1942	6-195.0
2	S-038-1974	Pendleton	KY 467	6-168.0
2	S-049-1975	Pendleton	KY 17	06-0177.00
7	L-012-1985	Gallatin	I-71	06-0012.20
7	S-139-2001	Gallatin	US 35	06-0333.17
7	R-039-1985	Pendleton	KY 9	06-0000.00
7	L-055-2017	Pendleton	KY 1853	-

• KYTC Projects Nearby (Identified by KYTC Report Number):

- United States Department of Agriculture, Soil Conservation Service (SCS) Soil Survey Publications for affected counties;
- Earthquakes in Kentucky, Hazards, Mitigation, and Emergency Preparedness, Kentucky Geological Survey and Kentucky Division of Emergency Management, Special Publication 17, Series XII, 2014;
- Physiographic Regions, published by KGS, http://kgs.uky.edu/kgsweb.

Physiographic and Stratigraphic Setting April 1, 2019

3.0 PHYSIOGRAPHIC AND STRATIGRAPHIC SETTING

3.1 TOPOGRAPHY AND DRAINAGE

The potential roadway corridors are located in the Outer Bluegrass physiographic region of Kentucky. The Outer Bluegrass is characterized by highly dissected topography including deeper valleys with few areas of flat land. Subsurface conditions are characteristic of Ordovician age bedrock being mostly composed of interbedded limestone and shale.

Surface drainage is directed towards named and unnamed tributaries of the Licking River to the east of I-75, and to Eagle Creek located east of I-71 within the western portion of the alignments. The Ohio River is situated between three-fourths to two miles east of the AA Highway near the potential tie-in locations of the alignments with this roadway. A topographic map depicting the alternative roadway corridors is included as Figure 1 in Appendix A.

3.2 STRATIGRAPHY

Available geologic mapping indicates that much of this region of northern Kentucky where the study corridor alternatives have been proposed is underlain by Upper Ordovician age bedrock. The following formations are present across the region in descending order of lithology. The Bull Fork Formation, Bellevue Tongue of Grant Lake Limestone, the Fairview Formation, and the Kope Formation. In addition, the geologic mapping shows various Quaternary deposits that include alluvium, terrace deposits and high-level fluvial deposits. These deposits are generally associated with the larger creeks or rivers that are present in this region. The geologic mapping of the area is presented as Figure 2 in Appendix B.

3.3 FAULTING IN THE AREA

A review of the geologic mapping of the corridor areas depicted in Figure 2 and located across Gallatin, Owen, Grant, Pendleton, Boone, Kenton, and Campbell Counties does not indicate the presence of any known fault lines which would affect roadway construction in the region.

3.4 SOILS AND UNCONSOLIDATED MATERIALS

Residual soils are the predominant soil type found within the study areas for the various corridor alternatives. Soil descriptions contained herein are based upon SCS soil surveys and on Stantec's knowledge of the study area. Residual soils have derived in-place from a weathering process of the parent shale, and limestone rock formations. These soils consist of plastic clays and sandy silty clays and include abundant rock remnants within the soil matrix.



Physiographic and Stratigraphic Setting April 1, 2019

Alluvial deposits are mapped within the flood plain of the major drainage courses, including the Licking River, Eagle Creek, and many other tributaries to these major streams. These deposits consist of clays, silts, sands, and gravels with varying thicknesses up to approximately 75 feet within the major stream flood plains. The regional geologic mapping also suggests the presence of terrace deposits which include larger fragments such as cobbles, pebbles and locally slabs of limestone and siltstone. In addition, there are finite areas of glacial drift deposits located near the flood plains of the Licking River and the Ohio River. These deposits include silt, clay, gravel, and conglomerates of these particles. The drift deposits are generally unconsolidated without evident bedding or sorting.

3.5 **REGIONAL SEISMICITY**

Seismicity within the Commonwealth of Kentucky varies widely depending on location. The western portion of the state is dominated by the New Madrid and Wabash Valley source zones, while the southeastern portion of the state is mostly affected by the Eastern Tennessee seismic zone. In general, the New Madrid zone has historically been the most active with many documented historical seismic events. Central and northern portions of the state experience less frequent earthquakes because the source zones are quite distant from these areas. Figure R- 2 depicts seismic events with magnitudes of 3 or greater (through 2008) and seismic zones in and around Kentucky.



Figure R-2. Earthquake epicenters and seismic zones in and around Kentucky

Physiographic and Stratigraphic Setting April 1, 2019

The seismic hazard for a bridge site shall be characterized by the acceleration response spectrum for the site and the site factors for the relevant site class. A comprehensive geotechnical investigation will be required to determine the site class. However, based on anticipated depths to bedrock at/near stream locations, Site Class B/C can be expected. The 2017 AASHTO LRFD Bridge Design specifications provide guidelines for selecting a seismic performance category and a soil profile type for bridge sites. This information establishes the elastic seismic response coefficient and spectrum for use in further structural design and analyses. Refer to Section 3.10.2 of the AASHTO guidelines for specifications. The corridor alternatives will be likely affected by seismic activity from the New Madrid and Wabash Valley source zones and/or "local" seismic events such as the Sharpsburg 5.2-magnitude earthquake registered in 1980.

3.6 LANDSLIDES

A review of the database contained in the Kentucky Geologic Service website shows landslide locations of either documented roadway sites or of features observed from LiDAR imagery that appeared to be landslides, but which were not field verified. In general, landslide-prone areas are mapped in southern Kenton County and throughout Campbell County, but mostly near the Ohio River. Other documented slides were dealt with by the KYTC along US 25 which is the route that generally parallels I-75 in Grant and Kenton Counties. A map prepared from the Kentucky Geological Survey website shows the locations of the slides across the several counties where the seven roadway alternatives are being considered.

Most of the potential corridors being considered by this study will traverse farm and wooded land, as well as partially developed parcels, specific locations of landslides directly affecting the corridor alternatives were not identified as part of this study. However, there are several slides that have been reported near the banks of the Licking River and other water courses within the region. Furthermore, roadway construction within the Kope Formation and areas of steep terrain have been known to be prone to landslides and will need to be constructed with close compliance to the KYTC standard specifications for road construction.

Geotechnical Considerations April 1, 2019

4.0 GEOTECHNICAL CONSIDERATIONS

4.1 GENERAL

The alternative roadway corridors being considered for this study will cross a variety of topographic settings which include well-dissected uplands, rolling terrain, and several streams and associated valleys. Several interchanges or at-grade crossings, and bridge structures will be required for all seven potential corridors. For purposes of taking a closer look at the potential alignments, each individual corridor alternative was plotted on the topographic map for the northern Kentucky region. These maps showing each individual corridor alignment are included in Appendix D.

For improved safety where existing roadways will tie-in to the new connector or vice versa, some of the current highways may require widening and/or realignments. The revisions to the interchanges will include: providing necessary clear zones, addressing geometric deficiencies in the roadway, and adjusting the alignment. As the interchanges are reworked, the Project Team should keep in mind the geotechnical considerations that are included in Section 4 as they pertain to existing utilities, cut slopes, embankments, widening of existing structures as well as construction of new structures.

4.2 CUT SLOPE CONSIDERATIONS

Cut slope configurations in rock are generally controlled by bedrock lithology, bedrock quality, results of Slake Durability Index (SDI) tests in shales and siltstones, and by the presence of any fractures and/or joints. In general, if joint/fracture angles are high (as measured from horizontal), steeper cut slopes can be constructed, and an acceptable level of stability can be maintained. If discontinuities exhibit low angles and steep cut slopes are utilized, large block failures may occur along the open cut face.

As noted in Section 3, many portions of the alternate roadway alignments will encounter bedrock associated with the Kope Formation. This bedrock unit consists of 75 to 80 percent shale which is interbedded with thin layers of limestone. This type of bedrock weathers and slumps readily when exposed, hence cuts in these types of nondurable shales and shallow cuts in bedrock may be best constructed on 2H:1V slopes. In several areas, roadway construction may also encounter bedrock belonging to the Fairview Formation. This formation includes interbedded limestones, approximate 50 percent, and shales. Existing cuts along the AA Highway were constructed using pre- split 1H:1V slopes below the RDZ line on approximate 30-foot intervals of vertical height with 18 to 20-foot intermediate benches. These types of cuts could be anticipated within any of the selected corridor alternatives. Some of the photographs included in Appendix A (Observation IDs 11 and 16) show existing cut slopes that appear to be on 2H:1V grades, and which also exhibit some vegetation growth on the slopes.

Two photographs included in Appendix A (Observation IDs 17 and 18) show a roadside cut interval along the AA Highway that appear to have been constructed using the noted guidelines for pre-split slopes. Slope configurations along the corridors will be dependent on many factors, including but not limited to, roadway grade, geology and bedrock durability which will be evaluated during a geotechnical exploration.

Geotechnical Considerations April 1, 2019

Slope configurations for soil cuts and materials within the RDZ zone are generally constructed on a 2H:1V or flatter, and where the cut slope are \pm 10 feet in height or greater, these will require slope stability analyses to meet adequate factors of safety guidelines.

4.3 EMBANKMENT CONSIDERATIONS

It is anticipated that a large portion of rock excavations will encounter non-durable shales, and for this reason close compliance with the KYTC Standard Specifications for Road Construction will need to be followed to ensure adequate compaction for roadway embankments constructed from this rock type. Select rock types for use as rock embankment, rock road bed, channel lining, etc., would be durable limestone. Foundation soils are likely to be plastic clays with varying contents of gravel and rock remnants and silty sands.

Embankments constructed of durable rock materials generally exhibit adequate stability at 2H:1V slope configurations. However, flatter embankment slopes may be required for tall embankments constructed from nondurable shales or in areas where embankments are founded on alluvial materials. Based upon a review of geotechnical reports for the AA Highway and other regional roads, 3H:1V embankment slopes appear to be the adequate fill slopes for most embankments built out of non-durable shales. Alluvial soils can be expected along major drainage courses such as the Licking River, Eagle Creek, and other tributaries of these. In areas such as these, granular embankment material and/or retaining walls may be necessary depending on the proposed alignment.

Low shear strengths and high settlement potentials are generally associated with alluvial deposits. Consolidation settlements and short-term embankment stability problems are common for roadway embankments in alluvial floodplains, and controlled embankment construction rates and/or flatter embankment side slopes and or partial rock embankment should be anticipated for these areas.

4.4 STRUCTURES

It is anticipated that several bridges and other drainage structures will be constructed for any of the seven corridor alternatives being considered as part of this study. Based on Stantec's knowledge of the area, and a review of geotechnical reports conducted for existing structures

it is evident that most of the bridges across the region are likely supported by rock bearing foundation systems, which could be a spread footing or steel H-piles driven to bedrock. Culverts along the proposed alignment may be replaced or widened. It can be anticipated the culverts within the project corridor are likely supported by either a non-yielding or yielding foundation system depending upon the location along the proposed alignment. A detailed geotechnical investigation will be required to determine the foundation support systems.

4.5 SATURATED, SOFT OR UNSTABLE AREAS

Based on topographic mapping and literature reviewed, the alignment will cross or be near ponds, drainage swales or stream channels. Any saturated, soft, or unstable areas encountered within



Geotechnical Considerations April 1, 2019

embankment foundation limits should be drained and stabilized utilizing non-erodible granular embankment or durable limestone from roadway excavation. The rock platform shall be underlain with Geotextile fabric. Ponds should be drained, and any soft or saturated material should be removed and/or stabilized. Additional rock may be required to stabilize soft soils and to maintain positive drainage. Based on observations, various farm ponds exist within most of the corridors being considered. Depending on the project alignment, these ponds will require treatment if they are located within the construction limits.

4.6 COAL SEAMS/MINING

Based on the available geologic mapping, there are no coal seams mapped near the project alignment.

4.7 GAS AND OIL WELLS

Based on the available geologic mapping, there are no oil and gas wells which are directly located within the corridor alternatives.

4.8 WATER WELLS AND SPRINGS

Based on available information, there are some groundwater and other local wells which are shown within/near the proposed study area. These locations should be inventoried, and their locations verified. If impacted during construction, special construction will be required to close the wells, and spring boxes and/or granular material may be required in the vicinity of springs.

4.9 MUNICIPAL SOLID WASTE LANDFILL

A portion of the Alternative 3 and 5 roadway corridors is near the Pendleton County Landfill (operated by Rumpke Waste and Recycling). As part of the siting requirements for a solid waste landfill, the minimum buffer zone would be 250 feet from any property line.

Any encroachment on the buffer zone could limit future expansion of the landfill. Obtaining additional right-of -way in this area could be difficult. Construction over and through existing landfilled areas would be costly when compared to other corridor options.

As part of the landfill permitting process, groundwater monitoring wells are required at the facility. Results from the groundwater monitoring program should be evaluated for constituents which could affect construction in the vicinity of the landfill.

4.10 KARST CONDITIONS

A review of the geologic mapping of the northern Kentucky study area where the roadway corridors are being considered indicates that there is a low potential for karst activity or new development of sinkhole occurrences.

Conclusions April 1, 2019

5.0 CONCLUSIONS

5.1. The purpose of this overview is to provide a general summary of the bedrock, soil, and geomorphic features likely to be encountered within the proposed alignments; and to identify geotechnical features that may have an adverse impact on the project alignment.

5.2. Geotechnical drilling will be needed for any new bridge or reinforced concrete box culvert structures as well as any necessary retaining walls and roadway cuts and fills. In widening or structure replacement situations, additional geotechnical explorations may be necessary to supplement information for existing structures. It is anticipated that conventional spread footing and/or pile foundation systems can be utilized for bridge structures.

5.3. Because there are portions of the potential corridors that may involve roadway widening and at tie-in locations, pavement structure and California Bearing Ratio (CBR) information on existing pavements should be obtained to assist the design team. It should be anticipated that chemically or mechanically stabilized roadbed will be required on most new roadway construction because CBR values are expected to be 6 or less.

5.4. Once alignment and sections are identified, then open faced logging of exposed cuts and/or drilling should be performed in accordance with KYTC guidelines. Sampling of foundation soils should be performed for embankment situations of sufficient height to evaluate stability.

5.5 Any potential roadway construction near the area of the existing landfill should stay within the existing or proposed right-of-way. This may require the use of retaining walls or steepened slopes. Construction within the landfill facility will increase the cost significantly.

5.6. Water wells, monitoring wells and springs exist along/near the proposed corridor. The design team should inventory and survey active wells and springs. In addition, results from groundwater monitoring program at the landfill site should be reviewed to assess any potential effects on construction.

5.7 The potential for karst conditions within the northern Kentucky area where these corridor alternatives are located is low. If any open sinkholes or other karst activity are encountered, then treatment should be performed in accordance with Section 215 of the current edition of the Standard Specifications for Road and Bridge Construction.

5.8. The information presented in this overview should be reviewed in the general nature in which it was intended. A thorough geotechnical exploration of the selected alignment and grade will be required to properly anticipate and plan for special requirements necessary for the design and construction of the proposed alignment.



APPENDIX A

USGS Topographic Map and Field Photographs









California_IMG_2360.jpg



Glencoe_1138AM_01.jpg

Observation ID: 5

General Location : I-71 (Gallatin Co.)

Latitude and Longitude Coordinates:

38.738789, -84.829894

Remarks:

Existing cut slope just east of US 127 near the Interchange with I-71 and potential Interchange with Alternative 2 corridor.



Observation ID: 6

General Location : KY 16 (Gallatin Co.)

Latitude and Longitude Coordinates:

38.734589, -84.788253

Remarks:

View towards the south of potential Interchange of KY 16 with Alternative 2 Corridor.





Dry_Ridge_1051AM_01.jpg

Observation ID: 7

General Location : KY 1942 (Grant Co.)

Latitude and Longitude Coordinates:

38.724331, -84.756019

Remarks:

View of KY 1942 towards the southeast near potential crossing of Alternative 2 corridor over Ten Mile Creek.





Dry_Ridge_1026AM_01.jpg

Observation ID: 8

General Location : KY 1942 (Grant Co.)

Latitude and Longitude Coordinates:

38.727633, -84.704658

Remarks:

View from KY 1942 towards the east near potential Interchange with Alternative 2 corridor.



Dry_Ridge_1012AM_2to4COMB.jpg

Observation ID: 9

General Location : KY 1942

Latitude and Longitude Coordinates:

38.738361, -84.659675

View from KY 1942 towards the south near potential Interchange with Alternative



General Location : I-75 (Grant Co.)

Latitude and Longitude Coordinates:

38.708350, -84.602561

Remarks:

View of Bannister Pike overpass over I-75 NB. Location is about 1.3 miles south near potential Interchange with Alternative 2 corridor.









Butler 203PM.jpg

Observation ID: 15

General Location: US 27 (Pendleton Co.)

Latitude and Longitude Coordinates:

38.737072, -84.369011

Remarks:

View of US 27 NB near potential Interchange with Alternative 6 Corridor.



Foster 250PM.jpg

Observation ID: 16

General Location : AA Highway (Pendleton Co.)

Latitude and Longitude Coordinates:

38.805503, -84.242264

Remarks:

View of existing cut slope of KY 159 near its intersection with the AA Highway and close to the potential Interchange with Alternative 6 Corridor.



Foster 254PM_2.jpg

Observation ID: 17

General Location : AA Highway (Pendleton Co.)

Latitude and Longitude Coordinates:

38.813092, -84.243775

Remarks:

View of AA Highway cut slope near potential Interchange with Alternative 6 Corridor.



Foster 255PM.jpg

Observation ID: 18

General Location : AA Highway (Pendleton Co.)

Latitude and Longitude Coordinates:

38.813553, -84.244386

Remarks:

View of AA Highway cut slope near potential Interchange with Alternative 6 Corridor.

APPENDIX B USGS Geologic Map



Disclaimer: Stantec assumes no responsibility for data supplied in electronic format. The recipient accepts full responsibility for verifying the accuracy and completeness of the data. The recipient releases Stantec, its officers, employees, consultants and agents, from any and all claims arising in any way from the content or provision of the data.

Legend					
	ion of Photogr	aph and Direct	ion Taken		
÷ KGS lands	slide inventory	data			
• Groundwo	ater Well				
 Coal Bed Dry and A 	Methane Gas Abandoned W	s Well 'ell			
Gas Well					
\star Oil and G	as Well				
	r Se ee eden (D				
Service ofOther We					
2000-Foot Corri	idors				
Alternativ	e 2				
Alternativ	e 3				
Alternativ	e 5				
Alternativ Alternativ	e 6 e 7				
Follow Exis	sting I-75 (No I	mprovements)			
Fault					
Areas Unc	derlain by Bed	lrock with Hiah F	Potential for	Karst Develo	opment
	derlain by Bed	rock with Mode	erate Potent	tial for Karst E)evelopment
— 1:24,000 g	geologic map	landslides			
— Landslide	areas derived	d from LiDAR			
— Landslide	areas derived	d from aerial ph	otography		
			Δ	6	
	1.126.7		4	6 Nent size of 2	Ailes
Notes 1. Coordina	te System: NA	20 (At origina	4 I docume	6 ent size of 2 (y FIPS 1600 F	Ailes 2x34) eet
Notes 1. Coordinat 2. Basemap Japan, METI OpenStreet/ Esri, HERE, G 3. The Karst of from a digita (Noger, M.C these covert hazards or h classification	U 1:126,72 te System: NA Sources: Esri, H J, Esri China (Ho Map contribut armin, © Oper Occurrence G al version of th C., 1988). Becc ages should N hydrogeology o n of the poten	Z 20 (At origina D 1983 StatePla HERE, Garmin, U ong Kong), Esri I tors, and the GIS nStreetMap cor GIS polygon cov ie 1:500:000-sca duse of the 1:500 IOT be used for at scales larger tial for karst dev	4 I docume ISGS, Interm Corea, Esri (S User Com Intributors, and erages for H le geologic 0,000 scale evaluating than 1:500, velopment	6 ent size of 2 ky FIPS 1600 F hap, INCREMI Thailand), NC munity nd the GIS us centucky well map of Ken of the source karst geolog 000. The was based o	Ailes 2x34) ENT P, NRCan, Es GCC, © Fer community re compiled tucky e map, ic
Notes 1. Coordinat 2. Basemap Japan, METI OpenStreet/ Esri, HERE, G 3. The Karst of from a digita (Noger, M.C these cover hazards or h classification the field exp	U 1:126,72 te System: NA Sources: Esri, H J, Esri China (He Map contribut armin, © Oper Occurrence G al version of th C., 1988). Becc ages should N hydrogeology of the poten berience of the	Z 20 (At original D 1983 StatePla HERE, Garmin, U ong Kong), Esri I tors, and the GIS nStreetMap cor GIS polygon cov to 1:500:000-sca duse of the 1:500 IOT be used for at scales larger tial for karst dev e authors and o	4 I docume ISGS, Interm Corea, Esri (S User Com htributors, and erages for H le geologic 0,000 scale evaluating than 1:500, velopment ther data.	6 ent size of 2 ky FIPS 1600 F hap, INCREMI Thailand), NC munity nd the GIS us kentucky we map of Ken of the source karst geolog 000. The was based o A number of	Ailes 2x34) eet ENT P, NRCan, Es GCC, © eer community re compiled tucky e map, ic n
Notes 1. Coordinat 2. Basemap Japan, METI OpenStreeth Esri, HERE, G 3. The Karst of from a digita (Noger, M.C) these covers hazards or h classification the field exp	te System: NA Sources: Esri, H Sources: Esri, H A Esri China (He Map contribut armin, © Oper Occurrence G al version of th C., 1988). Becc ages should N hydrogeology n of the poten berience of the	20 (At original AD 1983 StatePla HERE, Garmin, U ong Kong), Esri I tors, and the GIS nStreetMap cor GIS polygon cov ie 1:500:000-sca duse of the 1:500 IOT be used for at scales larger tial for karst dev e authors and o	4 I docume ISGS, Interm Corea, Esri (S User Comm tributors, and erages for H le geologic 0,000 scale evaluating than 1:500, velopment we ther data.	6 ent size of 2 ky FIPS 1600 F hap, INCREMI Thailand), NC munity nd the GIS us centucky well map of Ken of the source karst geolog 000. The was based o A number of	Ailes 2x34) eet ENT P, NRCan, Es GCC, © ter community re compiled tucky e map, ic n
Notes 1. Coordinat 2. Basemap Japan, METI OpenStreet/ Esri, HERE, G 3. The Karst of from a digita (Noger, M.C these cover hazards or h classification the field exp	te System: NA Sources: Esri, H J, Esri China (Ha Map contribut armin, © Oper Occurrence G al version of th C., 1988). Becc ages should N hydrogeology n of the poten berience of the	20 (At original D 1983 StatePla HERE, Garmin, U ong Kong), Esri I tors, and the GIS nStreetMap cor GIS polygon cov to 1:500:000-sca buse of the 1:500 IOT be used for at scales larger tial for karst device a uthors and o	4 I docume ISGS, Intern Corea, Esri (S User Comp tributors, and erages for H le geologic 0,000 scale evaluating than 1:500, velopment w ther data.	6 ent size of 2 ky FIPS 1600 F hap, INCREMI Thailand), NC munity nd the GIS us centucky well map of Ken of the Source karst geolog 000. The was based of A number of	Ailes 2x34) eet ENT P, NRCan, Es GCC, © eer community re compiled tucky e map, ic n
Notes 1. Coordinar 2. Basemap Japan, METI OpenStreeth Esri, HERE, G 3. The Karst G from a digita (Noger, M.C these cover hazards or h classification the field exp	te System: NA Sources: Esri, H J, Esri China (He Map contribut armin, © Oper Occurrence G al version of th C., 1988). Becc ages should N hydrogeology o h of the poten berience of the	20 (At original AD 1983 StatePla HERE, Garmin, U ong Kong), Esri I tors, and the GIS InStreetMap cor GIS polygon cov be 1:500:000-sca duse of the 1:500 IOT be used for at scales larger tial for karst dev e authors and o	4 I docume ISGS, Interm Corea, Esri (S User Community Intributors, and erages for H le geologic 0,000 scale evaluating than 1:500, velopment to ther data.	6 ent size of 2 ky FIPS 1600 F hap, INCREMI Thailand), NC munity nd the GIS us (entucky well map of Ken of the source karst geolog 000. The was based o A number of	Ailes 2x34) eet ENT P, NRCan, Es GCC, © ter community re compiled tucky e map, ic n
Notes 1. Coordinar 2. Basemap Japan, METI OpenStreeth Esri, HERE, G 3. The Karst of from a digita (Noger, M.C these cover hazards or h classification the field exp	te System: NA Sources: Esri, H J, Esri China (He Map contribut armin, © Oper Occurrence G al version of th C., 1988). Becc ages should N hydrogeology n of the poten berience of the	20 (At original AD 1983 StatePla HERE, Garmin, U ong Kong), Esri I tors, and the GIS nStreetMap cor GIS polygon cov the 1:500:000-sca cause of the 1:500 IOT be used for at scales larger tial for karst dev e authors and o	4 I docume IsGS, Intern Corea, Esri (S User Comp tributors, and erages for H le geologic 0,000 scale evaluating than 1:500, velopment w ther data.	6 ent size of 2 ky FIPS 1600 F hap, INCREMI Thailand), NC munity nd the GIS us centucky wells map of Ken of the source karst geolog 000. The was based o A number of	Ailes 2x34) eet ENT P, NRCan, Es GCC, © rer community re compiled tucky e map, ic n
Notes 1. Coordinar 2. Basemap Japan, METI OpenStreeth Esri, HERE, G. 3. The Karst of from a digita (Noger, M.C. these covern hazards or ha classification the field exp	te System: NA Sources: Esri, H J, Esri China (He Map contribut armin, © Oper Occurrence G al version of th C., 1988). Becc ages should N hydrogeology o n of the poten berience of the	2 20 (At original AD 1983 StatePla HERE, Garmin, U ong Kong), Esri I tors, and the GIS nStreetMap cor GIS polygon cov the 1:500:000-sca buse of the 1:500 IOT be used for at scales larger tial for karst dev e authors and o	4 I docume IsGS, Interm Corea, Esri (S User Com thibutors, and erages for H le geologic 0,000 scale evaluating than 1:500, velopment v ther data.	A ent size of 2 ky FIPS 1 600 F hap, INCREMI Thailand), NC munity nd the GIS us kentucky well map of Ken of the source karst geolog 000. The was based o A number of	Ailes 2x34) eet ENT P, NRCan, Es GCC, © ter community re compiled tucky e map, ic n
Notes 1. Coordinar 2. Basemap Japan, METI OpenStreeth Esri, HERE, G 3. The Karst of from a digita (Noger, M.C) these covern hazards or h classification the field exp	1:126,72 te System: NA Sources: Esri, H J, Esri China (He Map contribut armin, © Oper Occurrence C al version of th 2., 1988). Becc ages should N bydrogeology of the poten berience of the	20 (At original AD 1983 StatePla HERE, Garmin, U ong Kong), Esri I tors, and the GIS nStreetMap cor GIS polygon cov ie 1:500:000-sca cuse of the 1:500 IOT be used for at scales larger itial for karst deve e authors and o	4 I docume SGS, Intern Corea, Esri (S User Comp tributors, and erages for H le geologic 0,000 scale evaluating than 1:500, velopment w ther data.	A ent size of 2 ky FIPS 1 600 F hap, INCREMI Thailand), NC munity nd the GIS us centucky well map of Ken of the source karst geolog 000. The was based o A number of	Ailes 2x34) eet ENT P, NRCan, Es GCC, © ter community re compiled tucky e map, ic n
Notes 1. Coordinar 2. Basemap Japan, METI OpenStreeth Esri, HERE, G. 3. The Karst of from a digita (Noger, M.C. these covers hazards or ha classification the field exp	1:126,72 te System: NA Sources: Esri, H J, Esri China (He Map contribut armin, © Oper Occurrence G al version of th C., 1988). Becc ages should N bydrogeology of the poten berience of the	20 (At original AD 1983 StatePla HERE, Garmin, U ong Kong), Esri I tors, and the GIS nStreetMap cor GIS polygon cov the 1:500:000-sca buse of the 1:500 IOT be used for at scales larger tial for karst device authors and o	4 I docume IsGS, Interm Corea, Esri (S User Com hributors, and erages for H le geologic 0,000 scale evaluating than 1:500, velopment v ther data.	A ent size of 2 ky FIPS 1600 F hap, INCREMI Thailand), NC munity nd the GIS us kentucky well map of Ken of the source karst geolog 000. The was based o A number of	Ailes 2x34) eet ENT P, NRCan, Es GCC, © ter community re compiled tucky e map, ic n
Notes 1. Coordinar 2. Basemap Japan, METI OpenStreeth Esri, HERE, G 3. The Karst of from a digita (Noger, M.C) these covers hazards or h classification the field exp	1:126,72 te System: NA Sources: Esri, H J, Esri China (He Map contribut armin, © Oper Occurrence C al version of th 2, 1988). Becc ages should N hydrogeology n of the poten berience of the	2 20 (At original AD 1983 StatePla HERE, Garmin, U ong Kong), Esri I tors, and the GIS nStreetMap cor GIS polygon cov ie 1:500:000-sca ause of the 1:500 IOT be used for at scales larger tial for karst deve e authors and o	4 I docume IsGS, Intern Corea, Esri (S User Comp tributors, and erages for H le geologic 0,000 scale evaluating than 1:500, velopment of ther data.	A ent size of 2 ky FIPS 1600 F hap, INCREMI Thailand), NC munity and the GIS us centucky well map of Ken of the source karst geolog 000. The was based o A number of	Ailes 2x34) eet ENT P, NRCan, Es GCC, © ter community re compiled tucky e map, ic n
Notes 1. Coordinar 2. Basemap Japan, METI OpenStreeth Esri, HERE, G. 3. The Karst of from a digita (Noger, M.C. these cover hazards or ha classification the field exp	1:126,72 te System: NA Sources: Esri, H J, Esri China (He Map contribut armin, © Oper Occurrence G al version of the Occurrence of the ages should N bydrogeology on of the poten berience of the	2 20 (At original AD 1983 StatePla HERE, Garmin, U ong Kong), Esri I tors, and the GIS nStreetMap cor GIS polygon cov the 1:500:000-sca buse of the 1:500 IOT be used for at scales larger tial for karst deve e authors and o	4 I docume SGS, Interm Corea, Esri (S User Com thibutors, and erages for H le geologic 0,000 scale evaluating than 1:500, velopment v ther data.	A ent size of 2 cy FIPS 1 600 F hap, INCREMI Thailand), NC munity and the GIS us centucky well map of Ken of the source karst geolog 000. The was based o A number of	Ailes 2x34) eet ENT P, NRCan, Es GCC, © ter community re compiled tucky e map, ic n
Notes 1. Coordinar 2. Basemap Japan, METI OpenStreeth Esri, HERE, G 3. The Karst of from a digita (Noger, M.C) these covern hazards or h classification the field exp	1:126,72 te System: NA Sources: Esri, H Ap contribut armin, © Oper Occurrence G al version of the Cal version of the Dydrogeology of the poten berience of the	2 20 (At original AD 1983 StatePla HERE, Garmin, U ong Kong), Esri I tors, and the GIS nStreetMap cor GIS polygon cov ie 1:500:000-sca cuse of the 1:500 IOT be used for at scales larger itial for karst deve e authors and o	4 I docume SGS, Intern Corea, Esri (S User Comm hributors, and erages for H le geologic 0,000 scale evaluating than 1:500, velopment wither data.	6 A ent size of 2 ky FIPS 1 600 F hap, INCREMI Thailand), NC munity nd the GIS us centucky well map of Ken of the source karst geolog 000. The was based o A number of Cincinnation Kentucky Market Lexington Kentucky Market Lexington Kentucky Market Lexington Kentucky Market Lexington Kentucky Market Lexington Kentucky Market Lexington Kentucky Market Lexington Kentucky Kentucky Market Lexington Kentucky Kentuc	Ailes 2x34) reet ENT P, NRCan, Es GCC, © rer community re compiled tucky e map, ic n
Notes 1. Coordinar 2. Basemap Japan, METI OpenStreeth Esri, HERE, G. 3. The Karst of from a digita (Noger, M.C. these cover hazards or hacards o	1:126,72 te System: NA Sources: Esri, H J, Esri China (He Map contribut armin, © Oper Occurrence G al version of the Occurrence of the opdrogeology of the poten berience of the crarksville	2 20 (At original AD 1983 StatePla HERE, Garmin, U ong Kong), Esri I tors, and the GIS nStreetMap cor GIS polygon cov the 1:500:000-sca ause of the 1:500 IOT be used for at scales larger tial for karst device authors and o	4 I docume ane Kentuch SGS, Interm Corea, Esri (S User Com tributors, and erages for H le geologic 0,000 scale evaluating than 1:500, velopment v ther data.	6 A ent size of 2 A print size	Ailes 2x34) eet ENT P, NRCan, Es GCC, © ter community re compiled tucky e map, ic n
Notes 1. Coordinar 2. Basemap Japan, METI OpenStreeth Esri, HERE, G 3. The Karst of from a digita (Noger, M.C) these covern hazards or hacassification the field exp Cape Girardeau Missouri Missouri Bracken, Boo Owen, and	te System: NA Sources: Esri, H Sources: Esri, H Ap contribut armin, © Oper Occurrence G al version of the Cal version of the potention of the potention of the potention berience of the Carksville	2 20 (At original AD 1983 StatePla HERE, Garmin, U ong Kong), Esri I tors, and the GIS nStreetMap cor GIS polygon cov ie 1:500:000-sca cuse of the 1:500 IOT be used for at scales larger itial for karst deve e authors and o	4 I docume ane Kentuck SGS, Interm Corea, Esri (S User Comp hile geologic 0,000 scale evaluating than 1:500, velopment wither data.	6 A ent size of 2 ky FIPS 1600 F hap, INCREMI Thailand), NC munity nd the GIS us Kentucky well map of Ken of the source karst geolog 000. The was based o A number of Cintinnati The function A number of Cintinnati The function Cintinna	Ailes 2x34) eet ENT P, NRCan, Es GCC, © ter community re compiled tucky e map, ic n Kingsport
Notes 1. Coordinar 2. Basemap Japan, METI OpenStreet/ Esri, HERE, G 3. The Karst of from a digita (Noger, M.C) these cover hazards or h classification the field exp Cape Gradeau Project Locol Bracken, Bo Oven, and	te System: NA Sources: Esri, H Sources: Esri, H (, Esri China (He Map contribut armin, © Oper Occurrence G al version of the Occurrence of the C, 1988). Becc ages should N hydrogeology for berience of the Derivence of the Carksville of the poten berience of the Derivence of the Evan Carksville	2 20 (At original AD 1983 StatePla HERE, Garmin, U ong Kong), Esri I fors, and the GIS nStreetMap cor GIS polygon cov ie 1:500:000-sca ause of the 1:500 IOT be used for at scales larger tial for karst deve e authors and o	4 I docume ane Kentuch SGS, Interm Corea, Esri (S User Com tributors, and erages for H le geologic 0,000 scale evaluating than 1:500, velopment v ther data.	6 A ent size of 2 sy FIPS 1600 F hap, INCREMI Thailand), NC munity nd the GIS us centucky well map of Ken of the source karst geolog 000. The was based of A number of Cincinnation Ci	Ailes 2x34) eet ENT P, NRCan, Es GCC, © ter community re compiled tucky e map, ic n
Notes 1. Coordinar 2. Basemap Japan, METI OpenStreet/ Esri, HERE, G 3. The Karst of from a digita (Noger, M.C) these covers hazards or hace classification the field exp Project Locco Bracken, Bo Owen, and Client/Project	te System: NA Sources: Esri, H Sources: Esri, H Map contribut armin, © Oper Occurrence G al version of the Cal version of the Devience of the Devience of the Devience of the Clarksville Ation one, Campbe Pendleton Co	2 20 (At original AD 1983 StatePla HERE, Garmin, U ong Kong), Esri I fors, and the GIS nStreetMap cor GIS polygon cov ie 1:500:000-sca ause of the 1:500 IOT be used for at scales larger itial for karst deve e authors and o	4 I docume ane Kentuck SGS, Interm Corea, Esri (S User Comp hile geologic 0,000 scale evaluating than 1:500, velopment wither data.	6 A ent size of 2 ky FIPS 1600 F hap, INCREMI Thailand), NC munity nd the GIS us centucky well map of Ken of the source karst geolog 000. The was based o A number of Cintinnati The fort Lexington Kentucky Prepared echnical Revi	Ailes 2x34) reet ENT P, NRCan, Es SCC, © rer community re compiled tucky e map, ic n Kingeput foxville Kingeput foxville
Notes 1. Coordinar 2. Basemap Japan, METI OpenStreet/ Esri, HERE, G 3. The Karst of from a digita (Noger, M.C) these cover hazards or h classification the field exp Project Loco Bracken, Bo Oven, and Client/Project	te System: NA Sources: Esri, F Sources: Esri, F China (He Map contribut armin, © Oper Occurrence G al version of the Occurrence of the ages should N hydrogeology f n of the poten berience of the Carksville one, Campbe Pendleton Co	2 20 (At original AD 1983 StatePla HERE, Garmin, U ong Kong), Esri I fors, and the GIS nStreetMap cor GIS polygon cov in 1:500:000-sca ause of the 1:500 IOT be used for at scales larger tial for karst dev e authors and o	4 I docume SGS, Interm Corea, Esri (S Suser Comp tributors, and erages for H le geologic 0,000 scale evaluating than 1:500, velopment to ther data.	6 A ent size of 2 sy FIPS 1600 F hap, INCREMI Thailand), NC munity ad the GIS us centucky well map of Ken of the source karst geolog 000. The was based of A number of Cincinnat Frepared echnical Review	Ailes 2x34) eet ENT P, NRCan, Es GCC, © rer community re compiled tucky map, ic n Kingsport TRS5 d by WSW on 201 ew by LA on 201
Notes 1. Coordinar 2. Basemap Japan, METI OpenStreet/ Esri, HERE, G 3. The Karst of from a digita (Noger, M.C) these covers hazards or ha classification the field exp Project Locol Bracken, Bo Owen, and Client/Project	te System: NA Sources: Esri, H Map contribut armin, © Oper Occurrence G al version of the Cal version of the potention of the poten berience of the Carsonic Carsonic tion one, Campbe Pendleton Co	2 20 (At original AD 1983 StatePla HERE, Garmin, U ong Kong), Esri H tors, and the GIS nStreetMap cor GIS polygon cov ie 1:500:000-sca cuse of the 1:500 IOT be used for at scales larger tial for karst dev e authors and o	4 I docume SGS, Interm Corea, Esri (SUser Comp tributors, and erages for H le geologic 0,000 scale evaluating than 1:500, velopment wither data.	6 A ent size of 2 ky FIPS 1 600 F hap, INCREMI Thailand), NC munity nd the GIS us centucky well map of Ken of the source karst geolog 000. The was based of A number of Cintinnati The fort Lexington Kentucky Prepared echnical Revision	Ailes 2x34) eet ENT P, NRCan, Es SCC, © rer community re compiled tucky e map, ic n
Notes 1. Coordinar 2. Basemap Japan, METI OpenStreet/ Esri, HERE, G 3. The Karst of from a digita (Noger, M.C) these cover hazards or h classification the field exp Project Local Bracken, Bo Owen, and Figure No.	te System: NA Sources: Esri, F Sources: Esri, F Map contribut armin, © Oper Occurrence C al version of the Decience of the of the poten berience of the Clarksville one, Campbe Pendleton Co	2 20 (At original AD 1983 StatePla HERE, Garmin, U ong Kong), Esri I fors, and the GIS nStreetMap cor GIS polygon cov in 1:500:000-sca ause of the 1:500 IOT be used for at scales larger tial for karst deve e authors and o	4 I docume ane Kentuch SGS, Interm Corea, Esri (S User Comm tributors, and erages for H le geologic 0,000 scale evaluating than 1:500, velopment velopment ther data.	6 A ent size of 2 sy FIPS 1600 F hap, INCREMI Thailand), NC munity ad the GIS us centucky well imap of Ken of the source karst geolog 000. The was based of A number of Cincinnation Frepared echnical Review UDUY	Ailes 2x34) eet ENT P, NRCan, Es GCC, © ter community re compiled tucky a map, ic n Kingsport TR85 d by WSW on 201 ew by LA on 201

Page 01 of 01

APPENDIX C

KGS Landslide Information Map

Kentucky Geologic Map Information Service





Title KGS Landslide Information Map

APPENDIX D

Northern Kentucky Outer Loop Study Alternatives















