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SUBJECT: Audits Guidance Manual

This manual has been prepared to provide information and guidance to personnel of the Kentucky Transportation Cabinet. Its purpose is to establish uniformity in the interpretation and administration of laws, regulations, policies, and procedures applicable to the operations and services of the Office of Audits and its relationship with other units of the Cabinet.

The policies and procedures set forth herein are hereby approved and declared effective unless officially changed.

All previous instructions, written and oral, relative to or in conflict with this manual are hereby superseded.

Signed and approved this 15th day of August, 2019.
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The Audits Guidance Manual is a guide for use in performing various types of audits for the Transportation Cabinet. The manual sets forth policies, procedures, standards, and instructions for planning and conducting all audits performed by Cabinet auditors.

**Purpose**

**Organization & Numbering**

- **Chapters**—The subject matter in the manual is divided into chapters or sections. The chapter title appears in the upper right-hand corner of the first page of a subject and in the upper left-hand corner of any subsequent page.

- **Sections**—Some chapters are divided into sections. Each section title, instead of chapter title, appears in the upper right-hand corner of the first page of a subject and in the upper left-hand corner of any subsequent page.

- **Subjects**—Chapters and sections are arranged by subjects.

- **Subject Number**—Each subject is assigned a number, which appears in the upper right-hand corner of each page of the subject. For example, Chapter 100 includes subjects 101, 102, and 103, followed by subject 104 which is divided into section subjects 104-1 through 104-4.

- **Subject Title**—The title of a subject appears in the upper right-hand corner of the first page of a subject and in the upper left-hand corner of any subsequent page.

- **“AUD” Prefix**—Preceding each subject number, this prefix stands for the manual title Audits.

- **Date**—The latest issuance date of a subject appears at the bottom left of each page of the subject. This date agrees with the latest issuance date shown for the subject in the Table of Contents (AUD-01).
**Page Numbering**—Each subject has its own page numbering, which appears at the bottom of each page.

**Table of Contents**
The Table of Contents (AUD-01) lists the titles of the manual's chapters and sections and their subjects, as well as other information, in numerical order. It includes the latest issuance dates of all the subjects. As the manual matures, these dates change.

**Cross-References in Manual**
**Subject Numbers within Text**—A boldfaced subject number that appears within the text references the location of more information about the subject.

**Questions**
**Whom to Contact**—For answers to questions about the contents of the manual, please contact:

Office of Audits  
Transportation Cabinet Office Building  
200 Mero Street  
Frankfort, KY 40622  
(502) 564-6760

For copies of the manual, please contact:

Organizational Management Branch  
Transportation Cabinet Office Building  
200 Mero Street  
Frankfort, KY 40622  
(502) 564-4610
The Division of Audit Services adheres to Generally Accepted Government Auditing Standards (GAGAS), often called the “Yellow Book,” issued by the Comptroller General of the United States.

Road fund auditors adhere to regulations as detailed in Chapters AUD-500–1100.

Auditors use a variety of resources for reference and guidance, including:

- American Institute of Certified Public Accountants
- Code of Federal Regulations
- Federal Acquisition Regulation
- Federal Highway Administration program manuals
- AASHTO Uniform Audit and Accounting Guide
- Government Accountability Office
- Generally Accepted Accounting Principles
- Generally Accepted Auditing Standards
- Generally Accepted Government Auditing Standards
- Governmental Accounting Standards Board
- Institute of Internal Auditors
- Kentucky Administrative Regulations
- Kentucky Revised Statutes
- Office of Management and Budget
- Kentucky Transportation Cabinet (Cabinet) programmatic and administrative policy manuals
Auditors use many different abbreviations and acronyms in their daily work. The following list represents those used in this manual, as well as in the engagements performed by the auditors:

- AASHTO American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials
- A/E Architectural/Engineering
- AICPA American Institute of Certified Public Accountants
- ALTS Automated License and Taxation System
- AM Audit Manager
- APA Auditor of Public Accounts
- AUP Agreed Upon Procedures
- CAB Contract Audit Branch
- CAE Chief Audit Executive
- CFR Code of Federal Regulations
- CPA Certified Public Accountant
- CPE Continuing Professional Education
- CVE Division of Commercial Vehicle Enforcement (Kentucky State Police)
- DPS Division of Professional Services
- EAB External Audit Branch
- eMARS enhanced Management Administrative Reporting System
- FAC Federal Audit Clearing House;
  - Finance and Administration Cabinet
- FAP Finance and Administration Policy
- FAR Federal Acquisition Regulation
- FAHP Federal Aid Highway Program
- FHWA Federal Highway Administration
- GAAP Generally Accepted Accounting Principles
- GAAS Generally Accepted Auditing Standards
- GAGAS Generally Accepted Government Auditing Standards
- GAO Government Accountability Office
- GAS Government Auditing Standards
- GASB Governmental Accounting Standards Board
- IAB Internal Audit Branch
- IAC Internal Audit Committee
- IAR Interjurisdictional Audit Report
- IAS Internal Audit Supervisor
- IIA Institute of Internal Auditors
- IFTA International Fuel Tax Agreement
  ♦ IFTA (A) Audit Manual
  ♦ IFTA (P) Procedures Manual
  ♦ IFTA (R) Articles of Agreement
ABBREVIATIONS &
ACRONYMS (CONT.)

- IPC  IFTA Processing Consortium
- IRP  International Registration Plan
- IVDR Individual Vehicle Distance Records
- KAR  Kentucky Administrative Regulation
- KBTA Kentucky Board of Tax Appeals
- KPL  Kilometers per Liter
- KRS  Kentucky Revised Statute
- KYIMS Kentucky Information Management System
- KYTC Kentucky Transportation Cabinet
- MPG  Miles per Gallon
- OBFM Office of Budget and Fiscal Management
- OH   Overhead
- OMB  Office of Management and Budget
- OW/OD Overweight/Over-Dimensional
- PTO  Power Take-Off
- PRAR PTO Refund Approved Report
- SAS  Statements on Auditing Standards
- SEFA Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards
- SSAE Statements on Standards for Attestation Engagements
- UA   District Utility Agent
- UDI  U-Drive It
- WP   Workpapers
The Office of Audits was established on June 16, 2008 by Executive Order 2008-529 and codified by Senate Bill 182 of the 2009 Regular Session of the Kentucky General Assembly. The office contains two divisions: Division of Audit Services and Division of Road Fund Audits.

The office is responsible for:

- Ensuring the Kentucky Transportation Cabinet (Cabinet) has appropriate internal controls in place for financial statement purposes
- Ensuring Cabinet management is aware of and in compliance with state and federal laws
- Ensuring contractors and vendors are in compliance with the requirements of the contract or agreement with the Cabinet
- Auditing overhead rates of architectural and engineering firms doing business with the Cabinet
- Ensuring motor carriers and leasing companies pay the proper amount of taxes and fees assessed in accordance with Kentucky Revised Statute 138

The Office of Audits has significant responsibility for oversight and coordination of the annual financial statement and compliance audit of the Cabinet, as well as the Motor Vehicle Registration audit performed by the Auditor of Public Accounts (APA).

The Executive Director, or designee, of the Office of Audits is also a liaison with the Federal Highway Administration and works closely with the Office of Inspector General on investigations when needed.
RESPONSES TO APA REQUESTS

To ensure timely and accurate responses to requests by the Auditor of Public Accounts (APA), the Cabinet shall comply with KRS 43.080 “Access to books and records – Power to obtain testimony” as follows:

1. The Executive Director of the Office of Audits, or their designee, will serve as liaison between the Cabinet and the APA.

2. Only office or department heads, or their designees, shall respond to requests from the APA by copy to the Executive Director, or designee, of the Office of Audits.

3. APA shall submit special requests to the Executive Director, or designee, of the Office of Audits who will then forward the request to the appropriate office or department head for response.

4. The Executive Director, or designee, of the Office of Audits shall track requests to ensure timely responses.

5. The office or department head shall submit responses to the Executive Director, or designee, of the Office of Audits who will review the response and make suggestions as necessary.
INTRODUCTION

The Division of Audit Services is comprised of the Internal Audit Branch, External Audit Branch, and Contract Audit Branch.

The Division of Audit Services conducts audits and attestation engagements in accordance with Generally Accepted Government Auditing Standards (GAGAS).

INTERNAL AUDIT BRANCH

The Internal Audit Branch conducts performance audits and attestation engagements of internal offices, departments, divisions, and functions within the Kentucky Transportation Cabinet (Cabinet). The objective of the branch is to ensure the Cabinet has appropriate internal controls in place to ensure taxpayer dollars are accounted for appropriately and in compliance with state and federal laws and regulations.

The Internal Audit Branch:

- Evaluates the adequacy of the Cabinet’s internal control structure
- Assesses compliance with written policies and procedures as well as laws and regulations
- Evaluates the reliability of financial information
- Verifies the existence of assets and ensures proper safeguards are in place for their protection
- Reviews operations or programs to ascertain whether results are consistent with goals and objectives

While conducting audits, auditors are authorized to have full, free, and unrestricted access to property, personnel, and records relevant to the area under audit.

AUD-201 through AUD-210 details the policies and procedures pertaining to internal audits.
The External Audit Branch performs audits of indirect cost rate, consultant contracts, utility and railroad companies, and miscellaneous audits as requested. The audits are performed in accordance with GAGAS and the AASHTO Uniform Audit and Accounting Guide.

The Cabinet spends nearly $100 million per year on architectural and engineering design contracts. The External Audit Branch ensures that indirect cost rates charged by these firms are developed in accordance with the Federal Acquisition Regulations and do not include unallowable costs. This helps ensure the Cabinet is paying appropriate fees using proper overhead rates for design contracts. The branch also performs post-audits of design contracts used by the Cabinet.

AUD-301 through AUD-309-2 details the policies and procedures pertaining to external audits.

The Contract Audit Branch performs audits of various contracts or agreements the Cabinet has with third party entities. Contract audits help assure the Cabinet’s contract expenditures sustain the Commonwealth’s transportation system effectively and efficiently. The Cabinet spends millions of dollars annually through various contracts, including the following:

- Construction contracts
- Traffic contracts
- Maintenance contracts
- Agreements with grant recipients and local public agencies
- Reimbursements to local governments for construction and other items

The Contract Audit Branch supports district and Central Office staff in the Cabinet by conducting audits of these contracts and issuing audit report to the Cabinet’s management with recommendations to improve contractor compliance and Cabinet procedures.
The Contract Audit Branch exercises a dual perspective, focusing on both sides of the contract agreement – the contractor and the Cabinet. Typical audit objectives include:

- Verifying both the contractor and the Cabinet have complied with the contract terms and state and federal laws and regulations;
- Ensuring contract payments have been adequately documented, accurately billed, and appropriately processed;
- Determining whether organizational units responsible for contract oversight follow Cabinet policies and procedures; and
- Assessing whether Cabinet policies and procedures are suitable for ensuring proper contract procurement, monitoring, and payment processing.

**AUD-401** through **AUD-407** details the policies and procedures pertaining to the contract audits.

The Internal Audit Committee (IAC) is comprised of:

- Cabinet Secretary, Transportation Cabinet, Ex-Officio (voting)
- Chief of Staff, Transportation Cabinet, Chair (voting)
- State Highway Engineer, Department of Highways (voting)
- Executive Director, Office of Budget and Fiscal Management (voting)
- Executive Director, Office of Legal Services (voting)
- Executive Director, Office of Inspector General (nonvoting)
- Executive Director, Office of Audits (nonvoting)
- Director/Assistant Director, Division of Audit Services (nonvoting)
- Internal Audit Manager (nonvoting)
- Contract Audit Manager (nonvoting)
Other Transportation Cabinet Members, as designated by the Transportation Cabinet Secretary

The duties of the IAC include:

- Directing and guiding Cabinet management on issues involving the Cabinet’s internal control structure—including the internal audit function—and the Cabinet’s use of independent external auditors

- Evaluating and making recommendations to the Secretary regarding areas or programs to be audited

- Reviewing audit reports issued by the Cabinet’s Internal Audit Branch and Contract Audit Branch

- Reviewing and recommending actions to the Secretary on unresolved audit findings

- Meeting quarterly and at the request of the Secretary or the Chief of Staff

The committee discusses reports issued since the last quarterly meeting and highlights important findings. The Inspector General also attends and discusses reports his or her office has issued over the last quarter. The committee then decides if further actions are necessary.
The Division of Audit Services has a Quality Control & Assurance Plan (QCAP) in place to ensure that auditors produce high-quality audits that are performed in accordance with professional standards. This QCAP consists of five elements:

- Daily, ongoing engagement supervision and workpaper review, including review of audit reports and audit template review
- Quarterly monitoring of continuing professional education (CPE) hours and needs
- Annual *Independence Statement* update
- External peer review every three years for the Internal Audit Branch (IAB), Contract Audit Branch (CAB), and the External Audit Branch (EAB)
- Quality control monitoring of office policies and procedures

The daily, ongoing engagement supervision and workpaper review, including audit template review consists of the following items with the objective that the testing and procedures performed meet audit objectives as well as ensure that the engagement was conducted and documented in accordance with professional auditing standards:

- Internal audit supervisor (IAS) supervision of audit engagement
- Regular status meetings with the audit manager (AM) regarding work performed, concerns noted, etc.
- Involvement of audit team and management on risk assessments and fraud brainstorming sessions
ENGAGEMENT SUPERVISION & WORKPAPER REVIEW (cont.)

- IAS, or designee detailed first-level review of workpapers
- AM, or designee second-level review of workpapers
- Division of Audit Services Director/Assistant Director report review (and sometimes workpaper review)
- Executive Director report review
- Review of updates to audit templates in TeamMate by all management levels

MONITORING CPE HOURS & NEEDS

The Executive Director, or designee, shall review the CPE hours obtained for the CPE reporting cycle on a quarterly basis to:

- Ensure that all auditors obtain the required number of CPE in accordance with GAGAS 4.16 (2018 version)
- Determine upcoming CPE needs (both quantity and quality) while taking into consideration any special engagement needs
- Ensure that sufficient training certificates are on file

The designee shall submit the results of these reviews to the Executive Director of Audits.

ANNUAL QUALITY CONTROL REVIEW

The Division will perform an in-house quality control review annually to ensure that engagements are adequately documented and performed in accordance with professional auditing standards and that office practices comply with Generally Accepted Government Auditing Standards (GAGAS). This review shall consist of the following:

- Annual review of audit workpaper and audit program templates to incorporate changes in professional auditing standards and to increase efficiencies and effectiveness
- Evaluation of procedures potentially requiring updates due to changes in GAGAS requirements or other professional auditing standards
These reviews should be conducted annually; however, the timing may differ between the branches given the different natures of the work performed. The Internal Audit Branch (IAB) and Contract Audit Branch (CAB) typically perform this review around the end or beginning of the fiscal year. The External Audit Branch (EAB) performs this review prior to starting the new cycle of audits (typically between January and May).

Each year, IAB, CAB, and EAB auditors, supervisors, and management shall review their independence to ensure no independence impairments exist. Staff shall document their independence by completing an Independence Statement each year. The IAB and CAB obtain these statements near the end or start of the fiscal year. The EAB may obtain these either near the end or start of the calendar or fiscal year. In addition, independence is evaluated in each engagement as a step on the audit program.

The Division of Audit Services has an external peer review conducted every three years in accordance with Government Auditing Standards. As a member of the American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (AASHTO), the Cabinet participates in the Internal/External Audit Subcommittee Peer Review program. Historically, this cycle has been performed as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Years</th>
<th>Peer Review</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FY08, FY09, FY10</td>
<td>August 2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY11, FY12, FY13</td>
<td>September 2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY14, FY15, FY16</td>
<td>September 2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY15, FY16, FY17</td>
<td>Fall 2019</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The Cabinet participates in the peer review program sponsored by the Internal/External Audit Subcommittee of AASHTO.

The Executive Director of Audits shares the results of the peer review with the Internal Audit Committee upon completion.
OVERVIEW

The Division of Audit Services documents the work performed on engagements (regardless of engagement type) using workpapers. These workpapers serve as the primary support to demonstrate the procedures followed and the results determined. Workpapers shall be designed and prepared so that an experienced auditor can review the workpapers and determine the nature, timing, and extent of work performed.

Workpapers may exist in hard-copy or electronic format. However, as of January 2012, auditors shall document new engagements in TeamMate, which is an electronic system used by the Office of Audits for audit workpaper organization and project management control.

WORKPAPER ACCESS

Workpapers serve as the basis and support for audit reports. Although they are confidential in nature, they shall be filed and secured after engagement completion (if they exist in paper form) or secured electronically (if in electronic form). These workpapers, however, may be made available, by request, to certain third parties, such as the following:

- Peer reviewers during the external peer review that occurs once every three years to evaluate audit quality and the office’s quality controls
- Governmental regulators, such as Federal Highway Administration (FHWA), to determine how results could impact federal programs
- Auditor of Public Accounts’ office to assist in their determination of the nature, timing, and extent of the work they perform as the external auditor for the Kentucky Transportation Cabinet (Cabinet)
- Third-party certified public accountant (CPA) firms to review the work of prior auditors in accordance with Generally Accepted Government Auditing Standards (GAGAS), when applicable (such as for overhead audits performed by the External Audit Branch)
The Kentucky Transportation Cabinet’s (Cabinet) Division of Audit Services follows Generally Accepted Government Auditing Standards (GAGAS). GAGAS 4.16 requires auditors performing work under GAGAS, including planning, directing, performing field work, or reporting on audits or attestation engagements, to maintain their professional competence through continuing professional education (CPE).

The topics for CPE vary greatly, but courses shall be structured such that the training:

- Has learning objectives designed to maintain or enhance knowledge, skills, and abilities in areas applicable to performing audits or attestation engagements
- Has an outline prepared in advance and is preserved
- Is conducted by a qualified instructor, whose background, training, or experience is appropriate for the subject matter
- Has a record of registration or attendance maintained by the CPE sponsor
- Has a course completion document issued by the sponsor at the end of the course

Additionally, GAGAS 4.16 requires each auditor to complete at least 24 hours of CPE during each 2-year cycle that directly relates to any of the following:

- Government auditing
- Government environment
- Specific or unique environment in which the audited entity operates
When new employees are hired, GAGAS allows them to obtain a prorated amount of CPE hours for both the 80-hour requirement and the 24-hour governmental requirement. The amount of prorated hours required for the new hire may be determined by performing the following steps:

1. Taking the number of full 6-month intervals remaining in the 2-year cycle and dividing it by 4 (total intervals in the 2-year cycle)

2. Multiplying the result by the 2-year CPE requirement (for both the 80 hours and 24 governmental hour requirements)

The annual 20-hour requirement does not need to be prorated for new hires.

Although auditors are required to obtain the required CPE, in the extenuating circumstance that the required training was not obtained during the 2-year cycle, GAGAS provides auditors up to 2 months immediately following the 2-year cycle to make up the deficiency. If a deficiency in CPE is identified, the auditor shall immediately stop performing or reviewing audits and remedy his or her CPE deficiency.

Any CPE hours completed toward a deficiency in one cycle shall be documented in the CPE records and shall not be counted toward the requirements for the next 2-year cycle.

There are several training options available for auditors to obtain their CPE, including, but not limited to, the following:

- Live instructor-led courses
- Webinars
- Audio conferences
- Association of Government Accountants (AGA) meetings
- Internal Division of Audit Services training sessions
- Self-study

Each method of training shall be designed to meet the requirements detailed in the “General Requirements” above.
REQUESTING CPE

In general, auditors shall submit the request for training to their internal audit supervisor (IAS), with final approval by the Executive Director of the Office of Audits, or his or her designee. If the training is relevant to the auditors’ work, is needed by the auditors to obtain their required hours for the cycle, and does not have a cost, the IAS may approve the training.

If the training is relevant to the auditors’ work, is needed by the auditors to obtain their required hours for the cycle, and does have a cost associated with the training, the IAS shall review the request and discuss it with the audit manager (AM). Requests and approval must be obtained in advance to accomplish the following:

- Take advantage of discounts
- Ensure there is sufficient time to consider funding of the training and submit the appropriate paperwork

**Note:** Auditors should review their schedule carefully to ensure that conflicts are resolved and communicated to management prior to signing up for training with a cost. Excluding emergencies, if an auditor signs up for training where there is a direct additional fee to attend and the auditor misses part or all of the training (arriving late, leaving early, extended lunch, not attending, etc.), then the auditor may be held responsible for part or all of the cost for them to attend the training.

If auditors have already obtained their training for the cycle, the IAS shall discuss the appropriateness and need of the training with the manager.

**GAP-501, “Request for Training,”** in the *General Administration and Personnel Manual* details the Cabinet’s training policies and procedures.

MONITORING & DOCUMENTING CPE HOURS

Upon completion of training, auditors should turn in CPE certificates to the Office of Audits’ administrative specialist, who maintains the official records of office training and enters the hours into the training database. Auditors shall review their training on a quarterly basis to ensure correctness. Additionally, the Executive Director of the Office of Audits, or his or her designee, will monitor the training needs on a quarterly basis to ensure that the required hours and content are obtained.

REFERENCE

More information on CPE may be found by reviewing Sections 4.16-4.53 of GAGAS.
INTRODUCTION

The Division of Road Fund Audits performs compliance audits of various Road Fund taxes prescribed under KRS Chapter 138. The division performs audits of commercial motor carriers and U-Drive-It permit holders’ taxes paid by rental and leasing companies to ensure the correct amount of applicable road fund taxes are collected. The division consists of four branches.

WORKPAPERS

The Division of Road Fund Audits documents the work performed on engagements (regardless of engagement type) using workpapers. AUD-104-3 provides general information on workpapers, while each chapter concerning the division (AUD-500—800) specifically discusses relevant workpaper requirements.

INTERNATIONAL FUEL TAX AGREEMENT (IFTA) BRANCH

IFTA Branch auditors conduct audits of motor carriers having International Fuel Tax Agreement (IFTA) decals. Forty-eight continental United States and 10 Canadian provinces have entered into an agreement whereby each of the 58 jurisdictions collect motor fuels taxes from the registered carriers in each jurisdiction. Settlement is provided monthly for the collected taxes, and each jurisdiction is required to audit a minimum of 3 percent of their registered carriers. Audit results are shared with all other applicable jurisdictions. There are approximately 4,447 IFTA-registered Kentucky carriers that operate between states.

AUD-800 describes the policies and procedures pertaining to IFTA audits.

AUD-900—AUD-1100 detail audit review and protest policies and procedures.
KYU/KIT Branch auditors conduct audits of motor carriers having a KYU or KIT license. The Kentucky Usage Tax, or Weight Distance Tax, (KYU) is a mileage tax for vehicles having a combined gross weight or licensed weight in excess of 59,999 pounds, excluding farm-licensed vehicles.

For each qualified vehicle operating on Kentucky’s public highways, the weight distance tax is computed by the rate of $0.0285 (2.85 cents) per mile. There are 59,697 registered carriers responsible for paying this tax. Approximately 53,400 of these carriers are registered in the other 57 IFTA jurisdictions which are contractually responsible for auditing the reporting of Kentucky motor fuels taxes.

The Kentucky Intrastate Tax (KIT) is a fuel consumption tax prescribed by KRS 138.660. "KIT license" is defined as the Kentucky intrastate tax license issued by the Kentucky Transportation Cabinet (Cabinet) to intrastate motor carriers subject to the taxes imposed by KRS 138.660(1) and (2). The laws governing KIT are found in KRS 138.665 through KRS 138.7291. There are 3,692 KIT-registered carriers operating only in Kentucky.

AUD-600 details the policies and procedures concerning KYU/KIT audits.

AUD-900—1100 detail audit review and protest policies and procedures.

The U-Drive-It (UDI) usage tax is an alternative method of paying vehicle usage tax under KRS 138.460 (paying tax at registration). It allows for 6 percent tax to be paid based on the monthly rental or lease income of a vehicle. UDI permit holders calculate the tax and report monthly on a Cabinet-provided tax return. As of July 2011, there were 314 U-Drive-It permit holders operating in Kentucky. UDI auditors conduct audits of UDI permit holders. AUD-700 describes the policies and procedures for U-Drive-It audits.
International Registration Plan (IRP) branch auditors conduct audits of motor carriers owning an IRP decal. Forty-eight continental United States, the District of Columbia, and 10 Canadian provinces have entered into an agreement whereby each of the 59 jurisdictions collect the apportioned licensing fee based upon the percentage of miles traveled in each jurisdiction. Settlement is provided monthly for the collected registration fees, and each jurisdiction is required to audit a minimum of 3 percent of their registered carriers for all jurisdictions. As of December 31, 2010, there were 4,465 IRP-registered Kentucky carriers that operate between states paying apportioned license registration fees.

AUD-500 details policies and procedures concerning International Registration Plan audits.

AUD-900—1100 detail audit review and protest policies and procedures.
DEFINITION
The Institute of Internal Auditors (IIA) defines internal auditing as “an independent, objective assurance and consulting activity designed to add value and improve an organization’s operations. It helps an organization accomplish its objectives by bringing a systematic, disciplined approach to evaluate and improve the effectiveness of risk management, control, and governance processes.”

PURPOSE
The Internal Audit Branch (IAB) assists the Kentucky Transportation Cabinet (Cabinet) Secretary, management, and staff in providing a safe, efficient, environmentally sound, and fiscally responsible transportation system that delivers economic opportunity and enhances the quality of life in Kentucky. To fulfill this mission, the IAB has access to all Cabinet records and may conduct audits of internal or external operations as needed or requested by the Secretary or the Internal Audit Committee (IAC).

The internal auditors must be free from impairments to independence, either actual or perceived. The IAB manager is responsible for assuring that auditors are impartial and not affected by personal or external impairments. To assure organizational independence, the IAB reports results of their engagements to the Secretary and the IAC.

RESPONSIBILITIES
The IAB conducts its engagements in accordance with Generally Accepted Government Auditing Standards (GAGAS), also called the “Yellow Book,” issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. When necessary, internal auditors obtain additional guidance from standards issued by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants (AICPA) and the Institute of Internal Auditors (IIA).

Internal auditors perform a variety of engagements, ranging from attestation engagements consisting of reviews, examinations, and agreed-upon procedures, to performance audits.
The IAB is responsible for:

- Reviewing Cabinet internal controls to ensure they are adequately designed and are functioning properly
- Reviewing Cabinet programs and processes to ensure they comply with applicable federal and state laws and regulations as well as Cabinet policies and procedures
- Reviewing Cabinet processes to ensure they operate effectively and efficiently
- Reviewing programs to ensure that management has adequately safeguarded Cabinet assets and used taxpayer resources properly
- Reporting to the Cabinet Secretary, IAC, and management, noting any weaknesses or areas of improvement

The IAB shall conduct themselves in a professional manner at all times. IAB auditors shall:

- Be cognizant of professional relationships formed with other Cabinet offices and departments
- Treat Cabinet employees and outside entities with respect, courtesy, and dignity
- Become familiar with and abide by Cabinet personnel policies and procedures
- Not use confidential information obtained in their professional capacity for personal gain or in a manner that would be detrimental to the Cabinet or the public interest
- Adhere to applicable standards, laws, and regulations as prescribed by professional organizations, legislative bodies, or governmental agencies
- Identify and report on all significant deficiencies found in the course of their work
- Obtain sufficient evidential matter before expressing an opinion or conclusion
Professional Conduct (cont.)

- Maintain credibility at all times
- Not give advice or information if not confident in its accuracy
- Present all recommendations to the IAB manager for review before submitting to the auditee
- Not remove documentation from the auditee site (unless preapproved by the IAB manager and auditee, when necessary) and protect the documentation while in possession of it

INTERNAL AUDIT COMMITTEE (IAC)

The IAB management staff discusses reports issued within the last quarter, highlights important findings, and reports those findings to the IAC. The IAC then decides if the internal audit reports (and reports from the Office of Inspector General) require further actions. AUD-104-1 further details the IAC’s responsibilities and membership.
AUDIT TEAM

OVERVIEW
An audit team is a group of two or more individuals selected to perform an engagement and is responsible for auditing selected functions or organizations in the Kentucky Transportation Cabinet (Cabinet). Depending upon the circumstances surrounding the engagement, the audit team can have a wide variety of experience, ranging from new auditors to experts with a specialized background, but must collectively have sufficient competence to ensure that audit objectives are met.

AUDITORS

Auditors are the “eyes and ears” of the Internal Audit Branch (IAB). Everything that the IAB accomplishes is built upon the work performed by IAB auditors. IAB management assigns engagements to auditors, who are responsible for preparing workpapers to document the work performed, designing tests to meet audit objectives, and writing reports to communicate audit results. Auditors have a wide range of experience levels, from the auditor I who may not have any auditing experience to the auditor IV who has a minimum of three years of auditing experience. Auditors typically report to the internal audit supervisor (IAS), but may report to other levels of IAB management on some engagements.

INTERNAL AUDIT

SUPERVISOR (IAS)
Whether an audit is carried out by a team or an individual, an IAS will be responsible for the day-to-day affairs of the engagement. The IAS provides guidance and direction to auditors throughout the engagement. Upon completion of the fieldwork and report, the IAS performs a thorough first-level review of the workpapers to ensure accuracy and completeness. The IAS typically reports to the Audit Manager (AM).

AUDIT MANAGER

(AM)
The AM is responsible for all aspects of engagements assigned to the branch. The AM will assign an IAS to conduct a thorough first-level review of the workpapers to ensure the accuracy and completeness of the audit. The AM will then complete a second-level quality control review of the audit to ensure that the workpapers meet all required standards and that the report is ready for issue as either a draft or final.
**AUDIT MANAGER (AM) (cont.)**

The AM, or designee, signs or issues all reports. The AM provides guidance and direction to all branch staff. The AM typically reports to the Director of the Division of Audit Services and the Executive Director of the Office of Audits.

**DIRECTOR OF DIVISION OF AUDIT SERVICES**

The Director, or Assistant Director in absence of the Director, of the Division of Audit Services, is responsible for the general guidance and direction of the audits that occur within the division. The director reviews reports (and workpapers on occasion) for understandability and appropriateness as well as to serve as a quality control review to ensure that IAB work meets applicable audit standards. The director reports to the Executive Director of the Office of Audits.

**OFFICE OF AUDIT’S EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR**

The Executive Director of the Office of Audits serves as the Chief Audit Executive (CAE) and provides guidance and oversight to the Internal Audit Branch to ensure that the branch fulfills its mission of serving as an independent, objective internal audit function designed to add value and improve Cabinet operations. The CAE reviews and approves audit reports prior to issuance. The CAE reports administratively to the Office of the Secretary and functionally to the IAC.

Executive director responsibilities include:

- Communicating concerns to the Director of the Division of Audit Services for inclusion in the Audit Universe
- Reviewing and approving the Annual Audit Plan for the IAB
- Presenting the Annual Audit Plan to the IAC
- Communicating audit issues, concerns, and updates to IAB management as needed
- Reviewing and approving individual audit plans to ensure procedures meet audit objectives
- Attending risk assessments where needed
Office of Audit’s Executive Director (cont.)

- Performing a final quality control review of audit reports prior to issuance to ensure they are prepared in accordance with applicable professional auditing standards and other appropriate directives such as policies and procedures
- Performing administrative tasks such as reviewing timesheets, approving leave requests, and conducting evaluations
- Managing budgetary aspects of the office
- Serving as liaison with the Auditor of Public Accounts
- Serving as liaison with the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)
- Serving as Kentucky voting member for the American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (AASHTO) Subcommittee on Internal and External Audit
The Internal Audit Branch (IAB) performs audits in accordance with Generally Accepted Government Auditing Standards (GAGAS). GAGAS prescribes general standards and provides guidance for performing financial audits, attestation engagements, and performance audits. These ensure the credibility of auditors’ results, which is essential to all audit organizations performing government engagements and audits.

These standards consist of:

- Independence of the audit organization and its individual auditors
- Exercise of professional judgment in the performance of work and the preparation of related reports
- Competence of audit staff, including continuing professional education
- Existence of quality-control systems and external peer reviews

According to Section 3.18 of GAGAS, the general standard related to independence is:

“In all matters relating to the GAGAS engagement, auditors and audit organizations must be independent from the audited entity.”

GAGAS 3.17 through 3.108 provides more specific information regarding threats and safeguards to independence, as well as documenting the audit group’s consideration of independence.

The IAB is free from organization impairments in that the IAB reports to the Internal Audit Committee, as well as to the Kentucky Transportation Cabinet (Cabinet) Secretary.
INDEPENDENCE

IMPAIRMENTS (CONT.)

The IAB is accountable to the:

- Internal Audit Committee
- Cabinet Secretary
- Chief Audit Executive (Executive Director, Office of Audits)
- Director or Assistant Director in absence of Director, Division of Audit Services

IAB auditors are free from political pressures and can conduct their audits and engagements objectively and report their findings, opinions, and conclusions objectively.

ANNUAL INDEPENDENCE STATEMENTS

All IAB auditors, including the audit manager (AM), complete independence statements once a year and as needed throughout the year. The AM scans these statements into the network drive. Auditors also confirm their independence as a step in the audit program in each engagement conducted. These independence statements cover both personal and external impairments.

PROFESSIONAL JUDGMENT

The GAGAS general standard related to professional judgment as outlined in Sections 3.109—3.117 states the following:

“Auditors must use professional judgment in planning and conducting the engagement and in reporting the results.”

To comply with the GAGAS general standard, IAB auditors shall adhere to the following professional judgment guidelines:

- Conduct themselves in a professional manner at all times
- Maintain the highest degree of integrity, objectivity, and independence in applying professional judgment to all aspects of their work
- Exercise reasonable care when performing work, which involves acting diligently in accordance with applicable professional standards and ethical principles
- Exercise professional skepticism, which involves a questioning mind and a critical assessment of evidence
**Professional Judgment (cont.)**

Exercising reasonable care and using professional judgment assists auditors in detecting material misstatements or noncompliance.

**Competence**

The GAGAS standard related to competence is Section 4.02—4.15.

IAB auditors shall adhere to the following competencies:

- Have a bachelor’s degree that includes 20 semester hours or 30 quarter hours in accounting
- Competently apply auditing standards to the engagement assigned
- Communicate clearly and effectively
- Comply with the continuing professional education (CPE) requirements set forth in GAGAS

The Office of Audits maintains a CPE file for all auditors and monitors this file to ensure compliance.

The Cabinet provides IAB auditors various types of training, including on-the-job training, classroom training, and self-study materials.

The Cabinet encourages participation in professional organizations.

**Quality Control & Assurance**

The GAGAS general standard related to quality control and assurance can be found in Chapter 5.

To comply with these standards, the Cabinet’s Office of Audits employs the following practices:

- The Executive Director of the Office of Audits serves as the Cabinet’s Chief Audit Executive (CAE) and plays an active role in the day-to-day activities of the IAB.
- An audit supervisor reviews the workpapers upon completion of an engagement, if applicable.
- The IAB Manager conducts a thorough review of the workpapers, as well as the report.
- The Director of the Division of Audit Services and the CAE perform a quality-control review of the report and, when necessary, the workpapers.
The IAB requests an external peer review every 3 years and participates in the American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials’ (AASHTO’s) peer-review process, which is based on audit standards promulgated by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants (AICPA) and GAGAS.
INTRODUCTION
The Internal Audit Branch (IAB) performs several types of engagements. The nature of the engagement to be performed depends upon the engagement objectives and the level of assurance needed. Below is a brief description of each engagement type.

AUDITS
The IAB can perform financial audits, compliance audits, and performance audits. The most common audit that the IAB performs is a performance audit. Chapters 8 and 9 of Generally Accepted Government Auditing Standards (GAGAS) describes performance audits.

Performance audits are very structured, normally provide the greatest level of assurance, and often require the most time. These engagements, however, may be used in various ways, including, but not limited to, the following:

- Reviewing a program’s accomplishments to see if it is fulfilling its mission
- Determining if internal controls are designed and implemented correctly
- Determining if staff are following procedures established in Kentucky Transportation Cabinet (Cabinet) policies and procedures manuals
- Determining if programs are being operated in accordance with statutes, regulations, and federal requirements

Performance audits are conducted in accordance with GAGAS. Auditors shall follow and use standard IAB performance audit workpapers when doing these engagements. Additional requirements for these may be found in AUD-203 and AUD-208.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Engagement Types</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **EXAMINATIONS** | Examinations are attestation engagements that provide the highest level of assurance outside of an audit. When staff perform an examination, they provide an opinion (or assurance) about the conformity of subject matter with stated criteria. Since these engagements provide assurance, staff must reduce the risk of undetected material misstatements to a low level, which can involve substantial testing. Examinations are conducted in accordance with the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants (AICPA) Statements on Standards for Attestation Engagements (SSAE). Staff who have not performed examinations or have not conducted one recently shall become familiar with these standards. Staff are also encouraged to review the SSAE Attest Engagements AT, Section 101 at the link below:  
Additional requirements for these may be found in AUD-203 and AUD-209. |
| **REVIEWS** | Reviews are also attestation engagements; however, they provide limited assurance on the subject matter being reviewed. During a review, auditors do not obtain assurance that they will become aware of all significant matter that would be disclosed in an audit. A review is designed to obtain limited assurance that there are no material weaknesses noted. Auditors shall conduct review engagements in accordance with GAGAS. Additional requirements for these may be found in AUD-203 and AUD-209. |
| **AGREED UPON PROCEDURES (AUP)** | Agreed Upon Procedures (AUP) engagements are also attestation engagements; however, they are unique from other engagement types as staff only perform specific procedures agreed to with the specified parties (often the requestor of the engagement). With these engagements, the specified party takes responsibility for the engagement to ensure that the procedures performed are sufficient. Reports for these engagements normally detail the procedure performed and the findings or results from applying the procedures. AUP engagements do not provide assurance, and because staff only perform the procedures requested, these can be very efficient engagements. |
Agreed Upon Procedures (AUP) (Cont.)

Auditors shall conduct AUP engagements in accordance with the SSAE. Staff who have not performed AUPs or have not conducted one recently shall become familiar with these standards. Staff are also encouraged to review the SSAE Agreed-Upon Procedures Engagements AT Section 201 at the link below:


Investigations

When discovering an area that warrants an audit investigation, auditors should contact the Chief Audit Executive immediately. The Chief Audit Executive will decide if the issue can be handled internally by performing an audit or if it should be referred to the KYTC Office of Inspector General (OIG).

Performing an Investigation

Investigations differ from audits and other attestation engagements in that professional auditing standards are not required (unless an audit is also being conducted). Nevertheless, if the IAB undertakes an investigation, staff prepares and documents work performed with workpapers that includes, but is not limited to, the following:

- A work program that outlines the work to be performed
- Workpapers to document all aspects of the investigation
- Time budget
- Planning (to obtain an understanding of the allegation and the significance of it)
- Fieldwork (to document the actual procedures performed)
- Completion (for documenting significant findings from the investigation)
- Determination of the investigation outcome (formal report, informal recommendations, etc.)
- Review of the investigation workpapers by the internal audit supervisor (IAS) and audit manager (AM).
PERFORMING AN INVESTIGATION (CONT.)

When the IAB conducts investigations, staff shall properly secure workpapers at the end of the day. When workpapers are electronic, IAB management shall evaluate the need for ensuring that network folders are appropriately restricted and secured.

SPECIAL REQUESTS

During the year, the IAB often receives special requests to perform audits. These requests can come from Kentucky Transportation Cabinet management or executive management; however, each instance may not require an audit. When these requests are received, IAB management discovers what the requestor would like for the IAB to verify and then determines the engagement type based upon the nature, timing, and extent of work the requestor would like performed.

OMB A-133

DESK REVIEWS

The IAB receives numerous requests throughout the year to review single audits performed by Certified Public Accountants (CPAs) on various nonprofits and local governments that receive funding from the Cabinet. The role of the IAB when conducting these engagements is to ensure that the audit performed by the CPA was not deficient. Additionally, while reviewing the report, the IAB looks for anything that directly impacts or could impact Cabinet funds passed through to the subrecipient and will communicate these to the program managers for their consideration and possible follow-up.

AUD-210 details procedures to follow when conducting these engagements.
The Internal Audit Branch Manager and/or Director of the Division of Audit Services will conduct a risk assessment of Kentucky Transportation Cabinet (Cabinet) programs and operations to determine the Internal Audit Plan. This is conducted on an annual or biennial basis.

The following are the suggested procedures for developing the audit plan with recommended timeframe:

- Email Cabinet management (managers and above) asking if they would like for the Internal Audit Branch (IAB) to review any areas on their behalf (March—April).

- Email Internal Audit Committee (IAC) members asking if they would like for the IAB to review any areas on their behalf (March—April).

- Prepare a 2-4 year trend analysis of revenues by department, office, and division, including the road fund revenues collected by the Department of Revenue within the Finance and Administration Cabinet (March—June)

- Prepare a 2-4 year trend analysis of expenditures by department/division/function (March—June)

- Prepare a 2-4 year trend analysis of revenues and expenditures by document type (March—June)

While performing the trend analysis, the IAB management should look for unusual fluctuations in revenues and expenditures that do not have any apparent reasonable explanation as well as any new programs for the year.
IAB management should also review and consider the following when developing ideas for the plan (March—May):

- Audits, risk factors, and concerns noted within the Audit Universe
- Concerns noted in conversations and emails from Cabinet staff
- Observations and concerns noted in other audits
- New programs or activities within the Cabinet
- Prior experience with the auditee or management
- Change in key personnel
- Extent of regulatory or other oversight [such as the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) or the Auditor of Public Accounts (APA)]
- Inadequate segregation of duties in key functions
- Potential for fraud
- New IT systems that are key to Cabinet operations
- Potential for adverse effects for the Cabinet
- Frequency of prior audits or engagements
- Review of Cabinet information in the prior year Comprehensive Annual Financial Report (CAFR) or Supplementary Information to the CAFR
- The Division of Accounts’ Report to Management

Engagements should be selected primarily based upon risk as well as potential impact (those providing the most benefit for the Cabinet). High-risk audits should be audited more frequently than moderate and low-risk audits.

The workpapers, basis, and methodology for the risk assessment and audit plan should be maintained on the N drive, and in hardcopy if necessary, so they can be referenced as needed.
**ENGAGEMENT SCHEDULING**

There are two factors to consider when developing the audit plan and engagement scheduling:

1. Hours available for audit staff
2. Engagement timing for auditee

**Engagement timing for auditee**

When planning the timing of engagements, IAB management and auditors should be conscious of auditee schedules and deadlines. For example, the following units are very busy during the following times:

- Division of Accounts during fiscal year closeout (late June—early July)
- Division of Construction Procurement during March—May
- Division of Accounts, Program Billing Branch during Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards (SEFA) preparation and project closeout (September—October)
- Maintenance crews during snow and ice removal season
- Construction crews during the summer months

**ENGAGEMENT**

This does not mean that work cannot be performed with these auditees during these timeframes, but auditors should be sensitive to their work demands and try to work around their schedules as much as possible. This could involve auditors pulling files themselves, saving questions for a later date, changing the timing of requests or procedures, etc.

If an auditee is not cooperating with the auditor, the auditor should discuss the concern with the IAS or Audit Manager (AM) to seek resolution.

**IAC REVIEW OF AUDIT PLAN**

The IAB management submits the completed preliminary audit plan to the Executive Director of the Office of Audits, who, upon approval, submits it to the Internal Audit Committee members in early June. This affords the committee members the time to review the plan and prepare their ideas and feedback for discussion in the June/July IAC meeting.
AUD-206

Chapter
INTERNAL AUDITS

Subject
Tracking & Maintaining Audits, Auditees, & Risks

AUDIT UNIVERSE
The Audit Universe is a listing prepared by the Internal Audits Branch (IAB) Manager or the Director of the Division of Audit Services of the Kentucky Transportation Cabinet (Cabinet) that contains the following:

- Each auditee within the Cabinet (classified at a minimum by department/office and division)
- Risk factor for the auditee (such as high risk, moderate risk, and low risk)
- Prioritization of audits that can be performed within the Cabinet (based upon judgment)
- Date of last audit performed in that area
- Concerns noted or expressed regarding the program, activity, or auditee from Cabinet management, Auditor of Public Accounts’ audits, or from prior experience

The Cabinet’s organizational chart can be helpful in maintaining and reviewing the Audit Universe.

RISK FACTOR & AUDIT FREQUENCY
The risk factor is judgmental and should consider several areas including, but not limited to, the following:

- Prior experience with the auditee or management
- Newness of the program
- Change in key personnel
- Extent of regulatory or other oversight
RISK FACTOR & AUDIT FREQUENCY (CONT.)

- Lack of segregation of duties
- Potential for fraud
- New IT systems that are key to Cabinet operations
- Potential for adverse effects for the Cabinet
- Frequency and results of prior audits or engagements

The risk factor is used to determine the audit frequency. The following table provides general guidelines for consideration when determining the risk factors for auditees:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Risk Factor</th>
<th>Audit Frequency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>High</td>
<td>Try to perform an engagement at least every 1—3 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>Try to perform an engagement at least every 4 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Try to perform an engagement every 5 years or not at all</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MAINTAINING & UPDATING THE AUDIT UNIVERSE

Periodically throughout the year, as concerns and risks with programs or activities are increased, IAB management:

- Documents concerns so they may be considered when planning future engagements
- Records risks and concerns as specifically as possible so that the engagement objectives and procedures can be adequately planned
OVERVIEW

Internal Audit Branch (IAB) staff members perform several types of engagements in the course of their work:

- Performance Audits
- Examinations
- Reviews
- Agreed-Upon Procedures
- Investigations
- Special Requests
- Desk Reviews

AUD-204 describes each of these engagement types in more detail. Regardless of the engagement type, much of the processes and work flow followed by the IAB follows a similar format. For example, every engagement normally includes planning, fieldwork, and completion sections.

The “Internal Audit Process” flowchart on the following pages details the general engagement process (regardless of the engagement type). AUD-207-2 through AUD-207-13 provide descriptions and additional details on various aspects of the internal audit process.

Note: The first four types listed above are always conducted in accordance with Generally Accepted Government Auditing Standards (GAGAS).
INTERNAL AUDIT PROCESS

Audit Selection Sources

- The Internal Audit Committee reviews and approves the IAB plan based on risk and experience, either annually or biennially.
- Executive management requests help and the IAB does so at the discretion of the IAB management.
- IAB staff identify areas of concern that need immediate audit attention.

Research is conducted in the audit area's policies and procedures, KRS, KARs, and CFRs. Audit Manager may contact auditee executive staff to conduct preliminary research on audit area.

Opening contact is made to officially start the audit. This may be done in person, as a telephone meeting or by email. The preferred mode is in person. Ideally this is documented with an engagement letter but a contact memo or email is acceptable.

A risk assessment and fraud brainstorming meeting is held with applicable audit staff to identify highest risk areas.

Audit Plan is written, revised and approved by each level of IAB management.

Audit steps/procedures are performed.

Continued on Page 3
Auditors prepare & reference wps and report. Auditors provide to Audit Supervisor or designee for first level review. This review may occur while the audit is in process.

Audit Supervisor or designee reviews wps and report.

Revisions required?

Exit Conference with auditee is held to discuss preliminary findings and recommendations.

Revisions required?

NO

YES

Audit Manager reviews wps and report.

NO

YES

Staff Auditor makes revisions. Revisions reviewed & accepted by Audit Supervisor & Audit Manager

Revisions required?

NO

YES

Director reviews report.

Executive Director reviews the report.

Report sent to the Director and Audit Manager so the Audit Manager can issue the draft audit report.

Continued from Page 2

Continued on Page 4
INTERNAL AUDIT PROCESS (CONT.)

Audit Manager issues draft audit report to auditee, IA management, and applicable staff.

Auditee responds to draft audit report.

Either Lead Auditor, Audit Supervisor, or Audit Manager communicates concerns to auditee and requests revised response.

Responses sufficient?

Lead Auditor reviews auditee's responses,

Revisions required?

Director reviews final audit report.

Revisions required?

Executive Director reviews final audit report.

Audit Manager reviews final audit report.

Revisions required?

Lead Auditor prepares follow-up responses, if needed, and prepares the final audit report. Forwards to Audit Supervisor/ Audit Manager for review.

Audit Manager signs and emails final audit report to auditee, IAC, IA management, and applicable audit staff.

Continued from Page 3

Continued on Page 5
Final audit report and email correspondence are saved to TeamMate.

Within 4-12 months, Audit Manager will determine whether a follow-up is required.

Follow-up required?

YES

What type of follow-up?

INQUIRY

NO

File inquiry letter and auditee response in TeamMate. Auditor is assigned to follow up on each finding and to prepare the results in a report.

Revisions required?

NO

YES

Audit Manager sends inquiry letter to auditee asking the status of the corrective action plan.

Recommendations implemented properly?

NO

 Recommendations to not conduct a follow-up is documented.

FOLLOW-UP AUDIT

TRACK ISSUE

What type of follow-up is needed?

YES

INQUIRY

EXIT CONFERENCE TO DISCUSS PRELIMINARY FOLLOW-UP FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Exit Conference held to discuss preliminary follow-up findings and recommendations.

Revisions required?

NO

YES

Staff Auditor makes revisions. Revisions reviewed & accepted by Audit Supervisor & Audit Manager

FOLLOW-UP AUDIT

Audit Supervisor or designee reviews follow-up audit wps and report.

Revisions required?

NO

YES

Executive Director reviews the follow-up audit report.

Revisions required?

NO

YES

Director reviews follow-up audit report.
Final follow-up audit report and workpapers are filed in TeamMate.

Lead Auditor, Audit Supervisor, or Audit Manager communicates concerns to auditee and requests revised response.

Responses sufficient?

NO

Revisions required?

YES

Lead Auditor reviews auditee’s responses.

Lead Auditor prepares follow-up responses, if needed, and prepares final follow-up audit report. Forwards to Audit Supervisor/Audit Manager for review.

NO

Revisions required?

YES

Audit Manager reviews final follow-up audit report.

Executive Director reviews final follow-up audit report.

Director reviews final follow-up audit report.

Audit Manager issues draft follow-up audit report to auditee, IA management, and applicable IA staff.

Auditee responds to draft follow-up audit report.

Lead Auditor, Audit Supervisor, or Audit Manager communicates concerns to auditee and requests revised response.

Revisions required?

YES

NO

Revisions required?

NO

Audit Manager signs and emails final follow-up audit report to auditee, IAC, IA management, and applicable audit staff.

STOP
Generally Accepted Government Auditing Standards (GAGAS), Fieldwork Standards for Performance Audits, sections 8.33 to 8.35 discuss audit plans for performance audits.

The engagement plan establishes the plan for each phase of the engagement and serves as a communication link for audit management and audit staff.

The engagement plan documents include the following:

- Engagement objectives, the proposed scope, and methodology that will result in a useful report
- Procedures designed to meet engagement objectives and adequately address relevant risks
- Nature, timing, and extent of the procedures to be performed
- Sufficient and appropriate evidence for purposes of the audit
- Sufficient staff, supervisors, and specialists who have the collective professional competence to perform the engagement and to meet expected time frames for completing the work
- Control and evaluation of the progress of the audit work

The engagement plan includes the following areas:

- Engagement objectives, which define what the engagement should accomplish as well as the scope which defines the area covered by the engagement (measured in time periods or by documents to review)
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AUDIT PROGRAM / ENGAGEMENT PLAN
(CONT.)

- Engagement planning, which includes review of applicable policies, laws, or regulations, entrance conference documentation, budget office concerns, risk assessment, and various other administrative requirements

- Engagement fieldwork procedures

- Engagement completion, which includes summarizing the findings and recommendations, discussing areas of concern not included in the report, organizing and indexing work papers, and preparing the draft report

Note: The auditor should update and change the overall audit strategy and audit plan, as necessary, during the course of the audit.

ENGAGEMENT TEAM SELECTION CRITERIA

In consultation with the audit manager, the internal audit supervisor may help select the engagement team utilizing the following criteria:

- Engagement objectives, scope, and complexity

- Whether it is an individual or joint engagement

- Staff availability and experience levels, including estimated duration of the engagement

- Overall competence of engagement team to achieve engagement objectives

Note: While it is not required that all individuals have the skill to conduct all phases of the engagement, it is required that the team collectively have those competencies.

- Statutory, regulatory, contractual, and accreditation/registration requirements, as applicable

- Independence of engagement team and avoidance of conflict of interest
INTERNAL AUDIT PROCESS
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ENGAGEMENT TEAM
SELECTION CRITERIA
(CONT.)

➢ Ability of engagement team to interact with each other and with auditee

➢ The need for a technical expert

◆ ◆ ◆
Near the beginning of each engagement, the auditor will prepare an engagement letter to establish an understanding with the auditee as to the services to be performed, engagement objectives, management and auditor responsibilities, and limitations of the engagement. The auditor prepares these letters using the standard templates (based upon the specific type of engagement) and submits them to audit management for review prior to providing to the auditee. These letters shall be signed by a member of the auditee’s management and be filed in the electronic workpapers.

Prior to performing fieldwork on an engagement, the Internal Audit Branch’s (IAB) audit team will hold an entrance conference with management and staff of the audit area that have the operational and reporting responsibility to take corrective action on any proposed recommendations. The entrance conference sets the tone for the audit and provides an opportunity to discuss the following areas:

- Overview of the audit
- Scope and objectives of the audit
- Audit staff assigned to the audit
- Primary auditee contacts
- High-level overview of how processes work within the scope of the audit
- Identification of the regulations that govern the audit area
- Areas of concern in the auditee’s internal controls
- Management’s knowledge of any fraud, waste, or abuse
- Opportunities for improvement in processes, policies, or procedures
- Ways that the IAB may assist or help auditee management during the audit
During the entrance conference, the audit manager or internal audit supervisor will deliver an engagement letter to the responsible member of the auditee management for their review and signature.

The entrance conference significantly influences the commitment and level of cooperation throughout the audit process and helps to prevent any misunderstandings on the audit scope and objectives that could affect the efficiency and effectiveness of the audit.

Scheduling and holding the entrance conference may take a few days to a few weeks. While waiting for the entrance conference, auditors may begin preliminary research on the audit; however, they shall not begin fieldwork until after the entrance conference is held.

The preliminary research stage of an engagement allows the auditor to become familiar with the mission, goals, and primary activities of the auditee or function being evaluated. The preliminary research information reviewed helps develop the scope and specific objectives for the engagement. Information obtained and reviewed during this phase may include, but is not limited to, the following:

- Organizational charts
- Financial budgets
- Policies, procedures, and control processes
- Recent developments and practices in the department
- Federal and state regulations
- Prior engagement issues and concerns
- Information provided by the Office of Inspector General and the Office of Legal Services (to ensure that the engagement will not interfere with any of their investigations or legal proceedings)
OVERVIEW

Time budgets are established at two levels:

- Total hours allotted for the engagement
- Estimated time for audit section (planning, fieldwork, etc.)

ENGAGEMENT TIME BUDGET

The audit manager (AM), the Director of the Division of Audit Services, and the Executive Director of the Office of Audits establish the engagement’s time budget based upon the significance of the engagement in comparison with the engagements in the audit plan and available staff hours for the year.

There are many variables to consider when establishing a time budget, including:

- Significance of the engagement in comparison with the other engagements on the annual audit plan
- Significance of the engagement for the auditee
- Priority for the Kentucky Transportation Cabinet (Cabinet)
- Sensitivity of the engagement
- Frequency of prior engagements
- Extent of problems in the prior engagement
- Engagement objectives and extent of work needed to meet those objectives
- Type of engagement to be performed (audit = more time, Agreed Upon Procedures = less time)
- Complexity of the engagement
- Age of the program or area under audit
- Experience level of the staff assigned
ENGAGEMENT TIME BUDGET (CONT.)

As the engagement’s time budget and scope increases, the number of engagements that can be performed decreases. Larger engagements will result in more of an impact for the specific auditee; however, it could decrease the overall coverage that can be provided Cabinet wide. For example, more engagements can result in more impact for the Cabinet.

ESTIMATED TIME FOR COMPLETING WORK PROGRAM PROCEDURES

Forming a reasonable time budget requires an understanding of the engagement objectives, knowledge of the types of data available, and an understanding of the methods and techniques that can be used to gather and analyze evidence.

The auditor and the internal audit supervisor review each step in the engagement plan and develop milestones in the electronic workpaper system to estimate the time allotted for the engagement. This allows the auditor, supervisor, and audit management to track budgets and time spent on audits.
Once the scope of the audit has been determined, auditors identify and assess the risks associated with the department or program (auditee) under audit. Risk and fraud assessments:

- Identify and assess the potential material risks facing the auditee
- Identify and consider the controls or procedures in place to prevent or mitigate such threats
- Include a vulnerability assessment of the audit risks and controls

A risk assessment helps auditors determine the nature, timing, and extent of the procedures to be performed during the engagement to help reduce audit risk to an appropriately low level. This allows auditors to address the most vulnerable areas and conduct more cost-effective, beneficial, and timely audits.

Auditors shall document risk and fraud assessments based on information gathered during the preliminary planning of the engagement, including, for example:

- Interviews with management and other key personnel
- Internal control observations
- Prior experience with management or the program under audit
- Analytical procedures

In conducting risk and fraud assessments:

- Identify the risks and threats associated with the area or activity under review
- Evaluate the likelihood of risk occurrence
- Evaluate the magnitude of the risk occurrence
RISK ASSESSMENT MEETING (CONT.)

- Determine the inherent risk associated with the identified threats
- Assess whether the existing internal controls will prevent, detect, or correct instances when threats actually occur
- Document their response to the risk

Auditors consider several risk factors in these risk assessments. Examples include:

- Misstatements, whether due to errors or fraud
- Complexity of the program
- Newness of program
- Inadequate segregation of duties
- Management levels of competence and oversight
- Political pressures
- Inadequate internal controls
- Risk of noncompliance with laws, rules, or regulations and its potential material effect on the auditee’s operations
- Risk of significant abuse or illegal acts
- Employee or vendor collusion
- Threats to computer-processed data, if computer-processed data is an important or integral part of the audit and the reliability of the data is crucial to accomplishing audit objectives

**Note:** In these situations, auditors consult with the internal audit supervisor (IAS) and audit manager (AM) to determine specialized IT audit assistance.

When considering the magnitude of risks, auditors need to consider the quantitative and qualitative aspects. Sometimes there are risks that may not be quantitatively significant; however, they have qualitative aspects such as safety, public sensitivity, etc. After completing the risk assessment auditors schedule a meeting with the entire audit team, as well as audit management, to review and discuss the list of potential risks or threats and include any additional risks and threats to the list. Meetings shall never be held in open areas or offices where others outside the audit staff can hear.
Auditors conduct a brainstorming session in every audit to consider potential fraud risks and to emphasize the importance of professional skepticism throughout the entire audit process. When carefully planned and managed, brainstorming can lead to many high-quality ideas about possible fraud risks that audit team members may not have identified individually. The Office of Inspector General (OIG) or designee may also attend fraud-brainstorming meetings at the discussion of IAB management.

All members of the audit team (staff auditors, IAS, and AM) meet during the planning stages and during the course of the audit to do the following:

- Exchange ideas about how and where they believe the auditee’s program may be susceptible to fraud
- Discuss how management or staff could perpetrate and conceal fraudulent activities or the misappropriation of assets

Many times, the risk assessment and fraud brainstorming meetings will be held at the same time.
INTRODUCTION
Obtaining an understanding of the auditee’s internal controls is essential in ensuring that the audit is properly designed.

DEFINITION OF INTERNAL CONTROLS
Internal controls are processes implemented by management and other personnel that are designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the achievement of objectives in the following areas:

- Effectiveness and efficiency of operations
- Reliability of financial reporting
- Safeguarding of assets
- Compliance with applicable laws and regulations

IMPORTANCE OF INTERNAL CONTROLS
If controls are effective, then they may be relied upon, and evidence produced through the system will be more reliable. This allows auditors to reduce substantive or compliance testing.

OBTAINING AN UNDERSTANDING OF INTERNAL CONTROLS
Auditors can obtain an understanding of an auditee’s internal controls in several ways. One of the most effective means of accomplishing this is to observe the auditee’s operations. Auditors are encouraged to invest time in this process. The greater the understanding of how the program operates, the greater the impact the audit can have for the Kentucky Transportation Cabinet (Cabinet).
OBTAINING AN UNDERSTANDING OF INTERNAL CONTROLS (cont.)

First determining the auditee’s significant, or key controls can obtain this understanding. The auditor then contacts auditee staff and requests to watch how staff handles transactions in these significant areas. This phase of the audit can last anywhere from a few minutes up to several hours. While observing operations, auditors may find it helpful to prepare a series of questions in advance, take their laptops to document the observation, and record what is being done, by whom, and when. Following the life cycle of a sample document as it flows through the auditee’s system can be very helpful in obtaining this understanding. The auditor shall make copies of key documents for the workpapers when obtaining this understanding.

When documenting their understanding of the auditee’s internal controls, auditors can consider obtaining information in the following areas:

- Who processes and approves the transaction?
- What are the procedures they follow?
- When do they perform the procedure?
- For processes involving documents, where is the work performed, where did the document come from, and where does it go next?
- Why do they do it that way? Are there any problems with how they process things?
- How does the process work? How are errors and exceptions handled?

DOCUMENTING INTERNAL CONTROLS

When conducting an audit or examination, auditors document their understanding of internal controls in the workpapers to:

- Provide evidence that the work was performed
- Preserve a record of how processes work
- Document the process for reviewers and other third parties
DOCUMENTING INTERNAL CONTROLS (CONT.)

This documentation may take several forms, but it normally will involve:

- **Narratives & transaction “walk-throughs”**—Auditors observe processes and record their observations in paragraphs that document the sequential order of transaction flow.

- **Flowcharts**—Auditors prepare a graphical chart showing the physical flow of documents through the auditee’s system.

- **Internal Control Questionnaires (ICQs)**—Auditors review these checklists of controls in an area of the audit cycle (such as payables, receivables, cash handling, etc.) to identify whether the control exists based upon their knowledge and observations.

Once the significant areas have been documented, auditors select and test key controls.

Auditors shall document the processes based upon their observations, not based upon the policies and procedures or how things should work. Auditors may compare observations with auditee policies and procedures and look for gaps that need to be communicated.

EVALUATION OF INTERNAL CONTROLS

When considering the effectiveness of internal controls, auditors consider both their design and implementation. If a control is implemented, but it is designed poorly, this increases the risk that the control will fail to prevent fraud, theft, error, misstatement, etc.

ICQs, flowcharts, and narratives to document internal controls are effective tools used to evaluate whether controls were designed effectively.

Auditors can verify if controls are implemented as designed by conducting observations and performing attribute testing on a sample of documents.
Upon determining that controls are inadequately designed or implemented, auditors shall communicate the weakness to management based upon the likelihood and magnitude of the concern. This communication may be verbal, written via an informal management letter, or formally, such as in the audit report. The matrix below can help auditors determine how or where to report the weakness to management.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Likelihood of Misstatement or Error</th>
<th>Magnitude of Misstatement (or Error) that Occurred or Could Occur</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Inconsequential</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Remote</td>
<td>Not a significant deficiency or material weakness</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Do not report</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More than remote</td>
<td>Not a significant deficiency or material weakness</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Report informally, verbally or via management letter</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
INTRODUCTION

Sampling is the process of applying audit procedures to less than 100 percent of a population and then utilizing the results to draw a conclusion about the entire population. This process saves the time, effort, and expense involved in comprehensive testing.

STANDARDS

Government Auditing Standards - Field Work Standards for Performance Audits, Section 8.107 discusses sampling.

Further, Government Auditing Standards - Reporting Standards for Performance Audits, Sections 9.10 through 9.17 discuss objective, scope, and methodology.

SAMPLING PROCEDURES

The common steps involved in sampling are as follows:

1. Obtain an understanding of the population and evaluate population contents (such as the number and nature of transactions, using descriptive statistics or histograms, etc.).

2. Develop a sampling plan (one for internal controls and one for substantive/compliance testing) that documents all sampling steps and includes:
   - Definition of the population being tested (such as fiscal or calendar year, revenue source code, object code, total items within the population or account, total dollars within the population, etc.)
   - Definition of the sampling unit (such as each document, Journal Voucher, payable, etc. within the population)
   - Determination of sample size with a discussion of how many items will be tested and why this is sufficient coverage to reduce sampling risk to an acceptably low level
Sampling Procedures (cont.)

- Documentation of how the auditor knows the population being tested is complete
- Method of item selection (randomly, systematically, haphazardly, etc.)
- Description of why the auditor selected this method of sampling

3. Consider test objectives and determine the type of sampling selection technique to use to achieve those objectives. The more commonly used sampling selection techniques are:

- Random Sampling
- Interval Sampling
- Stratified Sampling
- Haphazard Sampling
- Judgmental Sampling
- Cluster Sampling
- Multistage Samples

4. Determine sample size.

5. Ensure every item in the population has an equal chance of being selected.

6. Select the sample items based upon the methodology chosen.

7. Perform tests on sample items (alternate sample selection may be made if audit procedure was not applicable on selected item).

8. Investigate the nature and cause of deviations and evaluate the possible effect on the purpose of the audit procedure (consider following up on exceptions found in the sample both quantitatively and qualitatively.)
9. Draw conclusion on the sample by using a nonstatistical approach or calculate statistically.

10. Combine with other evidence to draw conclusion regarding audit area.

11. Project results to the population if applicable.
PERSUASIVENESS OF EVIDENCE

Documentation gathered during an audit may be predominantly qualitative in nature and the form, content, and extent of documentation requires professional judgment. The conclusions and recommendations in the audit report shall be properly supported based upon the observations and work performed, which is evidenced by the documentation in the work papers.

Auditors shall obtain competent, relevant, and sufficient documentation to support the judgment and conclusions regarding the organization, program, activity, or function under audit.

COMPETENT DOCUMENTATION

Competent documentation refers to the validity, reliability, or trustworthiness of the evidence. In general, the more competent the evidence is, the greater the odds are that the report objectives will be achieved. Characteristics of competent evidence include:

- **Independence of the provider**—Documentation obtained from outside the audit unit is more reliable than evidence originating from internal sources.

- **Effectiveness of the auditee’s internal controls**—Reliability of auditee-generated information will be a function of the reliability of the audit unit’s internal control systems. The stronger their internal controls, the more reliable the evidence they provide.

- **Auditor’s direct knowledge and observation**—Documentation gathered through direct auditor observation or analysis is more reliable than evidence not obtained through observation.

- **Qualifications of individuals providing information**—In general, documentation gathered from individuals with greater qualifications will be more reliable.
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COMPETENT DOCUMENTATION (cont.)

- **Type and source of documentation**—Evidence is more reliable when conclusions are supported by different types of documentation and different sources.

- **Oral information obtained that is corroborated in writing**

RELEVANT DOCUMENTATION

Relevant documentation has a clear and logical relationship to the audit objectives and to the audit procedure. In other words, if evidence is gathered for an audit procedure that doesn’t relate to an audit objective, it isn’t relevant.

Documentation is also relevant when it is obtained timely.

SUFFICIENT DOCUMENTATION

Sufficient documentation refers to the extent or quantity of evidence obtained. Sufficiency refers primarily to sample sizes and ensuring that the evidence obtained enables the auditor to draw reasonable conclusions on which to base the audit finding in the audit report. Additionally, the status of documents should be considered. For example, draft documents from auditees may not be sufficient in terms of determining the auditee’s intentions and decisions.

Evidence and documentation become more persuasive when all of the factors above (competence, relevance, and sufficiency) have been considered.

TYPES OF EVIDENCE

When performing procedures, auditors shall obtain and evaluate evidence. There are several types of audit evidence:

- **Physical Examination**—Inspection or count of items by auditors; typically performed in inventory observations or cash counts, but also relates to other aspects of the audit cycle; verifies existence and is one of the most reliable types of audit evidence

- **Confirmation**—Receiving written or oral responses from independent third parties; helps serve as independent verification of evidence and is more reliable than information obtained internally from auditees
Types of Evidence (cont.)

- **Documentation**—Auditor’s examination of auditee’s documents and records to substantiate the information that should be included in the workpapers; typically gathered by listing the work performed (such as attribute testing on a sample of items, describing procedures performed, documenting observations, etc.)

- **Observation**—Refers to what auditor sees, hears, etc.; is very useful audit evidence, but it often is necessary to obtain additional evidence

  **Note:** The auditor shall document key observations in workpapers so that reviewers can understand the conclusions reached.

- **Inquiries of the client**—Obtaining written or oral information from auditees in responses to questions; used to obtain information, understanding, or clarification; however, by themselves, may not constitute reliable evidence, as information can be biased or withheld

  **Note:** When auditors obtain information through inquiry, it is normally necessary to obtain additional evidence through other procedures.

- **Reperformance**—Rechecking a sample of computations or tasks to see if the auditor independently arrives at the same conclusion reached by the auditee

- **Analytical Procedures**—Comparisons of relationships between data to look for trends, anomalies, etc.; are very useful tools for auditors and can be utilized in determining the nature, timing, and extent of the audit work to be performed

Other Considerations for Obtaining & Evaluating Evidence

The factors that dictate the strength of documentation required to support an observation include:

- Level of materiality or significance of the observation

- Degree of risk associated with coming to an incorrect conclusion

- Experience gained in previous engagements on the degree of reliability of the audit unit’s records and representations

- Known audit unit’s sensitivity to an issue
OTHER CONSIDERATIONS FOR OBTAINING & EVALUATING EVIDENCE (cont.)

- Cost of obtaining the documentation relative to the benefits in terms of supporting the observation

POTENTIAL FRAUD, ABUSE, & ILLEGAL ACTS

If the evidence or other information comes to the auditors’ attention indicating that fraud, abuse, or illegal acts may have occurred that are significant (either qualitatively or quantitatively) within the context of the engagement objectives, staff shall extend the steps and procedures, as necessary, to determine the following:

1. Whether the fraud/abuse/illegal act has likely occurred
2. The effect(s) the fraud/abuse/illegal act has upon audit findings
3. Whether the instance must be reported to external third parties

While evidence may indicate that potential fraudulent and illegal acts have occurred, determination of whether the specific event is truly fraud or illegal is a legal determination. Staff should consult with Internal Audit Branch (IAB) management and consider discussing the concern with the Office of Legal Services and the Office of Inspector General.

Additionally, staff shall consult with the Generally Accepted Auditing Standards (GAGAS) fieldwork and reporting requirements as well as applicable professional standard guidance for the particular engagement they are performing when they encounter potential fraud, abuse, or illegal acts.

AUD-204 has more information regarding communication of concerns to third parties outside the Kentucky Transportation Cabinet (Cabinet).

INTERNAL CONTROL FINDINGS & RECOMMENDATIONS

If the evidence obtained from the procedures performed indicates the auditee has internal control weaknesses, the staff member shall evaluate the likelihood and magnitude of the weakness to determine reporting requirements. AUD-207-6 and AUD-207-10 provide more information on this process.
**OBTAINING PRIOR YEAR REPORTS**

In the planning stages of each audit, auditors:

- Obtain copies of the prior year engagement reports when applicable
- Consult with the internal audit supervisor (IAS) and audit manager (AM) to determine if any reports from the following may be applicable to the engagement:
  - Auditor of Public Accounts (APA)
  - Contract Audit Branch (CAB)
  - Office of Inspector General (OIG)
- Review and consider these prior reports, including findings and recommendations, when determining the nature, timing, and extent of the work to be performed in the current engagement as well as to obtain an understanding of the auditee and their environment, internal controls, deficiencies, or concerns, as well as the risks that they face
- Design their work to ensure that prior engagement findings [Internal Audit Branch (IAB) and APA findings] are followed up and the current status of management’s corrective action plans evaluated

**CORRECTIVE ACTION PLAN REVIEW**

When following up on prior year findings and recommendations, auditors review management’s corrective action plan as stated in the prior year report.
While performing the current year engagement, auditors inquire of management about the status of the corrective actions taken. Auditors use this inquiry as a guide on what to test; however, auditors shall base the evaluation of the corrective actions taken upon observation and testing (not the inquiry) to ensure that corrective action has been taken sufficiently.

Auditors determine the status of each prior-year finding, as indicated below:

- **Fully resolved**—All elements of the weakness or noncompliance have been corrected or are no longer applicable. If this is the case, the prior engagement recommendation does not need to be repeated in the current engagement.

- **Partially resolved**—Some elements of the weakness or noncompliance have been corrected or are no longer applicable. If this is the case, items resolved do not need to be repeated in the current engagement report; however, depending upon significance, the unresolved portion of the prior recommendation may need to be repeated in the current engagement report. Auditors shall discuss these items with the IAS and AM prior to engagement completion.

- **Not resolved**—Elements of the prior weakness or noncompliance have not been corrected. Depending upon significance within the program, the unresolved items shall be repeated in the current engagement report. Auditors shall discuss these items with the IAS and AM prior to engagement completion.
EVALUATION OF FINDINGS DURING FIELDWORK

While performing fieldwork, auditors may detect exceptions, note concerns, or discover potential weaknesses. Auditors will communicate concerns based upon the nature of each concern.

EXCEPTIONS & POTENTIAL EXCEPTIONS

In general, auditors shall discuss exceptions or potential exceptions noted during testing with auditee staff to ensure a complete understanding of the potential exception. Often, there could be additional information that could possibly resolve the concern. Based upon a discussion with staff:

- If the concern appears to be an exception, auditors:
  1. Mark it as an exception in the testing workpapers
  2. Make a copy of the exception for the workpapers
  3. Ask auditee staff what caused the exception to occur (such as lack of adequate review, failure to keep adequate records, lack of understanding of rules, etc.)
  4. Consider adding an explanation in the exception analysis on the workpaper or adding comments on the documentation of the exception if they are needed for clarification purposes

- If the concern does not appear to be an exception after all, auditors mark the attribute as met. Auditors may add an explanatory tick mark as necessary.
Sometimes auditors may note concerns or weaknesses that are not explicitly tested as an attribute, but the concern appears to be relevant and needs addressing. If the concern falls directly within the scope of the engagement and can be looked into efficiently without taking much time, then it is acceptable for auditors to pursue and document the results in the workpapers.

If it appears that additional investigation will be needed to learn more about the concern, auditors shall consult the internal audit supervisor (IAS) and audit manager (AM) to determine whether to investigate. If it is investigated, auditors document the work performed for the workpapers. If the concern is not investigated, auditors add the concern to the recommendations for consideration for future auditors.

If the concern does not appear to fall directly within the scope of the engagement and the auditors believe a potential benefit exists for the auditee, the auditors shall speak with the IAS, AM, and Director of the Division of Audit Services to determine whether to investigate. If it is investigated, auditors document the work performed for the workpapers. If the concern is not investigated due to scope or time restrictions, auditors communicate the potential concern to the IAS, AM, and Director of the Division of Audit Services for consideration as a possible audit lead and inclusion in the Audit Universe for the IAB, Contract Audit Branch (CAB) Manager, or to the External Audit Branch (EAB) Manager.

Additionally, auditors will often hear of concerns that do not relate to their specific engagements. Auditors shall communicate those concerns to the IAS, AM, and the director or assistant director for consideration as a possible audit lead and inclusion in the Audit Universe.

After completing the fieldwork and audit testing, a formal exit conference is held with the AM and/or Director of the Division of Audit Services and staff of the audit area to present the findings and recommendations noted during the review to eliminate any surprise on the audit findings. Internal auditors shall discuss with the AM whether the Office of Audits or Cabinet executive management should attend.
EXIT CONFERENCE WITH AUDITEE MANAGEMENT (cont.)

This meeting gives the auditee management and staff a final opportunity to discuss the accuracy of an exception or offer an explanation on any misconception or misunderstanding of the finding. Each recommendation shall be discussed and importance given to finding out the following information from management:

- The cause of the exception
- A suggested recommendation that is feasible for implementation
- Planned corrective actions to be taken

Upon completion of the exit conference, auditors:

- Document the results of the meeting (date of meeting, attendees, agreement or disagreement, comments from management, etc.) in the Finding & Recommendations Documentation within the audit documentation
- Evaluate the responses received for validity and accuracy with the IAS
- Determine whether the finding is still supported, and:
  - If so, proceed to writing the report and consider the information obtained during the exit conference
  - If not, document the reason the finding was withdrawn in the audit documentation

DRAFTING AUDIT FINDINGS & RECOMMENDATIONS

When drafting findings and recommendations, auditors:

- Consider the information obtained from management and staff during the meetings discussed above
- Follow the guidance in **AUD-208-2** (for IAB) regarding drafting the elements of a finding for the report
INTERNAL AUDIT PROCESS
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DRAFTING AUDIT FINDINGS & RECOMMENDATIONS (cont.)

- Classify and categorize findings based upon their significance and materiality as follows:
  - Include **significant deficiencies and material weaknesses** in the audit report, even if they were remediated during the audit
  - Communicate **control deficiencies** in a separate management letter and reference them in the audit report
  - Communicate **other items** verbally or via email

  **Note:** Auditors shall document and track this communication within the audit documentation for subsequent follow up.

REPORTING ON SENSITIVE OR CONFIDENTIAL MATTERS & GENERAL RESTRICTION ON REPORTS

When reporting the results of engagements, IAB report distribution shall be restricted when applicable. Although workpapers are not subject to open records, engagement reports are, as allowed by the Kentucky Open Records Act (KRS 61.870—61.884). Engagement reports typically include the following statement:

“This report is intended solely for the information and use of KYTC and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than the specified party. However this report is public record and, as such, is subject to open records and laws.”

Sometimes, certain information is sensitive and should be excluded from the formal report due to sensitivity, confidentiality, or security concerns. In these instances, auditors shall consider and follow the GAGAS requirements in Generally Accepted Government Auditing Standards, Sections 9.61 through 9.67.

REPORT ISSUANCE

Once the draft report has been reviewed, the AM will finalize and issue the report in draft status to the auditee’s management for their review and consideration. The auditee’s management typically has 7 calendar days to respond in writing to the IAB report recommendations. When their response is received, auditors shall evaluate management’s response and corrective action plan as specified in AUD-207-11.
When the final report is ready to issue, the AM prints and signs the report in a PDF format and submits the final report to the auditee management as well as members of the Internal Audit Committee. The engagement may then be closed out (as documented in AUD-207-12).
EVALUATING MANAGEMENT RESPONSES

At the conclusion of engagements, auditors issue findings and recommendations to auditee management for their review and consideration based upon the observations noted during the engagement. The auditee’s management typically has seven calendar days, as required by the Internal Audit Committee, for response.

Management shall respond to findings in writing, often via email. Upon receiving management’s response, auditors shall:

- Replace staff names with job titles of the staff performing those roles
- Ensure the response includes the date corrective action will be taken
- Evaluate the response for adequacy using the “Evaluating & Responding to Management’s Corrective Action Plans” flowchart on the following page

When drafting an auditor response, auditors may consider including a comment that follow-up will occur in 4—6 months.

Since management responses are included in the audit reports and the Internal Audit Branch (IAB) does not test them, the following language may be optionally incorporated into IAB audit reports when necessary:

Management has responded to the findings identified in our audit. We did not audit Management’s response and, accordingly, we express no opinion on it.
EVALUATING & RESPONDING TO MANAGEMENT’S CORRECTIVE ACTION PLANS

1. Does Management agree with the recommendation?
   - Yes
   - No
     - Did Management submit a response that indicates the finding/recommendation may be incorrect?
       - Yes
         - Evaluate management’s response for reasonableness. If their response appears reasonable, request management to provide evidence to support their claim.
       - No
         - Discuss with the IAS and/or AM regarding whether to 1) provide an Auditor Response OR 2) resubmit to management and request follow-up corrective actions on each facet of the recommendation.
     - No
       - Evaluate management’s response for reasonableness. If their response appears reasonable, request management to provide evidence to support their claim.

2. Did Management provide an explicit corrective action plan including implementation date and actions to address each facet of the recommendation?
   - Yes
     - Does Management’s corrective action appear to be sufficient?
       - Yes
         - No auditor response needed. Include the response in the audit report.
       - No
         - Discuss with the IAS and/or AM regarding whether to 1) provide an Auditor Response OR 2) resubmit to management and request follow-up corrective actions on each facet of the recommendation.
   - No
     - Discuss with the IAS and/or AM regarding whether to 1) provide an Auditor Response OR 2) resubmit to management and request follow-up corrective actions on each facet of the recommendation.

3. Does management’s evidence refute our finding/recommendation?
   - Yes
     - Contact Management and request a resubmission of their response
   - No
     - Discuss the finding with the Auditee Management.

4. Was the concern satisfactorily resolved?
   - Yes
     - No auditor response needed. Include the response in the audit report.
   - No
     - Draft an Auditor Response.

5. Drop the finding and document the reasons why it was dropped in the work papers.

AM – Audit Manager
IAS – Internal Audit Supervisor
AUDIT COMPLETION

After the final report has been issued and released by the audit manager (AM), the AM completes a final review of the reports, workpapers, and documents pertinent to the audit to ensure accuracy and completeness before finalizing. This final review of the workpapers may include, but not be limited to, the following administrative tasks prior to being closed:

- Ensure the final audit report, with management’s response and auditor response (when applicable), is documented in the workpapers.
- Ensure the final audit report and draft audit report have received at least two levels of electronic approval by the Internal Audit Branch (IAB) management.
- Examine the electronic workpapers to ensure all unresolved issues have been resolved and TeamMate notes have been closed. (Coaching notes are removed from the project during finalization.)
- Review all procedures steps in TeamMate to ensure they have received two levels of electronic approval, indicating the workpapers are properly referenced and documented.
- Ensure that if any findings were removed from the final audit report, rationale is documented on the issue tab in TeamMate and in workpapers as to why it was removed.
- Move information in the active audit folder on the N Drive to the completed audits folder on the N Drive.
- Email auditor’s recommendations for future audits to AM and director.
- Ensure all workpapers in TeamMate are finalized, closed, and secured within 60 days of the report issuance date.
INTRODUCTION

The Internal Audit Branch (IAB) reviews the status of the corrective actions implemented from prior audits of the auditee. IAB will evaluate implemented actions to determine if the auditee has sufficiently addressed the deficiencies and recommendations in the prior audit findings.

CONDUCTING INQUIRIES

When performing these inquiries, the following procedures are performed:

- The assigned auditor contacts the auditee and asks for a formal written response on the status of the corrective actions taken on the engagement’s recommendations.

- The auditor reviews and evaluates each response by management and, based upon the review, draws a conclusion as to whether management appears to have taken adequate corrective action on each facet of the recommendation in the original audit report.

- The audit manager (AM) or internal audit supervisor (IAS) reviews the response and the auditor evaluation.

- Recommendations that have not been resolved may appear as repeat findings in the current audit report or be recommended for further review during a future audit.
The Internal Audit Branch (IAB) conducts performance audits to address specific objectives regarding economy, efficiency, and effectiveness of Kentucky Transportation Cabinet (Cabinet) programs, activities, and functions as well as adequacy of controls and compliance with policies, procedures, and state and federal laws and regulations. The IAB Manager (AM), in consultation with the Director of Division of Audit Services and Executive Director of the Office of Audits, determines the type of engagement to perform based on risk assessment, user needs, and available staffing.

The fieldwork standards for performance audits are detailed in Chapter 8 of Generally Accepted Government Auditing Standards (GAGAS) and relate to:

- Planning the audit
- Supervising staff
- Obtaining sufficient and appropriate evidence
- Preparing audit documentation

Auditors shall plan each audit to reduce audit risk to an appropriate level in order to provide reasonable assurance that the evidence obtained is sufficient and appropriate to support the auditors' findings and conclusions. This determination is a matter of professional judgment. In planning the audit, auditors shall assess significance and audit risk and apply these assessments in defining the audit objectives and the scope and methodology to address those objectives.

The AM and internal audit supervisors (IAS) work with the auditors to help assess risk and define audit objectives, as well as determine the scope and methodology to achieve those objectives. The objectives and procedures to be performed shall be documented in a preliminary audit plan.
AUDIT PLANNING (cont.)

The preliminary audit plan and related procedures are reviewed and approved by the IAS, AM, Director of the Division of Audit Services, and Executive Director of Office of Audits.

DOCUMENTATION OF PLANNING

Auditors shall adequately plan each engagement and ensure that audit planning is sufficiently documented in the workpapers. The planning section of each engagement shall include:

- Significance of various programs and needs of potential users of the report
- Understanding of the program, entity, and environment to be audited
- Understanding of internal control as it relates to the specific objectives and scope of the audit
- Understanding of significant information systems that relate to the audit objectives or program under audit
- Understanding and assessment of the risks for significant errors, misstatements, or fraud and their potential impact on the program under audit, as well as procedures to address these risks
- Procedures to detect significant misstatements or noncompliance with legal and regulatory requirements, contract provisions, or grant agreements
- Criteria to evaluate audit issues
- Consideration of results of prior audits and attestation engagements
- Sources of audit evidence
- Consideration of whether the work of other auditors and experts may be useful
- Assignment of appropriate and sufficient staff and specialist (if necessary) to ensure the engagement team has the collective professional competence to ensure audit objectives are met
- Communication of general information concerning the audit to management officials responsible for program being audited
- Preparation of an audit plan or an altered audit plan
PROGRAM SIGNIFICANCE

Auditors consider program significance and the purpose of the audit in their audit planning. Indicators of program significance include:

- Visibility and sensitivity of the program under audit
- Age of the program or changes in its conditions
- Size of the program in terms of total dollars, number of citizens affected, or other measures

UNDERSTANDING OF THE PROGRAM

Auditors obtain as much information about the program as possible to assess relevant risks associated with the program and the impact on the audit objectives, scope, and methodology. This understanding includes considering:

- Laws, regulations, and provisions of contracts or grant agreements that have a direct and material impact on the program
- Purpose and goals of the program
- Program policies and procedures
- Internal controls
- Efforts or amount of resources (money, material, personnel, etc.) dedicated to the program
- Program operations
- Outputs, such as the quantity of goods or services produced by the program
- Outcomes, accomplishments, or results of the program

INTERNAL CONTROL

The need for effective internal control is paramount and auditors shall obtain an understanding of controls significant within the context of audit objectives. Some characteristics of internal control objectives that auditors may consider to help determine significance include:

- Effectiveness and efficiency of program operations (by examining policies and procedures that ensure the program meets its objectives)
- Validity and reliability of data (by examining policies and procedures that ensure valid and reliable data are obtained, maintained, and fairly disclosed in reports)
INTERNAL CONTROL
(cont.)

- Compliance with applicable laws and regulations and provisions of contracts or grant agreements (by examining policies and procedures that ensure compliance of program implementation)
- Safeguarding of assets and resources

Auditors may make inquiries and observations, inspect documents and records, and review other auditors’ reports to obtain their understanding of internal controls. The results of these procedures are used in evaluating both the design and implementation of controls by management.

FRAUD, ABUSE, & NONCOMPLIANCE

Auditors design the audit to provide reasonable assurance of detecting fraud, abuse, and noncompliance that is material to the program being audited. The following conditions may indicate a heightened risk of fraud:

- Management failing to enforce existing internal control or to provide adequate oversight
- Inadequate separation of duties
- Unusual transactions not satisfactorily explained
- Instances of employees’ refusal to take vacations or accept promotions
- Missing or altered documents or unexplained delays in providing information
- False or misleading information
- History of impropriety

Auditors consider these characteristics as a part of the risk assessment and fraud brainstorming that is performed for every performance audit during the planning phase. As significant risks are identified, procedures are developed around them (when applicable) to reduce the risk of failing to detect material concerns to an acceptable level.
FRAUD, ABUSE, & NONCOMPLIANCE (CONT.)

During fieldwork, if material fraud, abuse, illegal acts, or noncompliance is detected, audit procedures shall be modified as needed, such as performing additional procedures to determine the extent of the concern. Auditors shall immediately speak with the IAS and AM regarding any concerns in this area. The AM will then determine the appropriate course of action (which could include third-party notification).

CRITERIA

Auditors compare and evaluate actual performance against laws, regulations, contracts, grant agreements, standards, measures, expectations, defined business practices, and benchmarks. Specific criteria include:

- Purpose or goals prescribed by laws or regulations
- Policies and procedures
- Technically developed standards or norms
- Expert opinions
- Prior periods of performance
- Performance of similar entities
- Performance in private sector
- Best practices of leading organizations

COMMUNICATION WITH MANAGEMENT

Auditors communicate information about the nature of the audit to all parties involved in the audit to help them understand the objectives, time frames, and any data needs. Auditors communicate in writing via an engagement letter and obtain signatures of all responsible parties. Auditors may accept email correspondence as approval agreements from auditees.
SUPERVISION

IASs provide guidance to auditors throughout the engagement to help ensure that audit objectives are met and that the audit is conducted in accordance with auditing standards. The nature and extent of supervision depend upon the complexity of the audit and the experience level of the auditor.

Audit staff meets weekly with the AM and Director of the Division of Audit Services (and Executive Director of the Office of Audits when available) to review the status of audits, answer questions, and review potential findings and recommendations.

IASs review workpapers and document their review by approving the workpapers in TeamMate. The IAS’s first-level review is a detailed review of the work performed to ensure that work was adequately performed and that workpapers adequately support audit findings, conclusions, and recommendations.

The AM performs a final quality-control review on all performance audits, including the workpapers.

EVIDENCE

IAB auditors obtain sufficient, appropriate evidence to provide a reasonable basis for their findings and conclusions. IAB auditors:

- Rely more heavily on evidence when internal controls are strong
- Obtain evidence through direct physical examination, observation, computation, and inspection
- Interview key personnel

AUDIT DOCUMENTATION

IAB auditors prepare and maintain audit documentation that would enable an experienced auditor who has had no previous connection with the audit to ascertain from the documentation the evidence that supports the auditors’ significant judgments and conclusions. Audit documentation supports findings, conclusions, and recommendations and therefore contains:

- Objectives, scope, and methodology of the audit, including sampling and other selection criteria used
- Auditors’ determination that certain standards do not apply or that an applicable standard was not followed, the reasons thereof, and the known effect that not following the applicable standard had or could have had on the audit
AUDIT DOCUMENTATION (CONT.)

- Work performed to support significant judgments and conclusions (descriptions of transactions and records examined)

- Evidence of supervisory review that supports findings and conclusions of audit report

**Note:** This review is before issuance of the audit report.

IAB maintains electronic records in TeamMate indefinitely.
The reporting standards for performance audits relate to the report:

- Form
- Contents
- Quality
- Issuance and Distribution

The Internal Audit Branch (IAB) auditors prepare audit reports that communicate the results of each audit. The report shall be appropriate for its intended use, be issued in writing, and be addressed to the appropriate department head of the auditee.

The report shall:

- Communicate the results of engagements to management and other interested parties
- Provide recommendations for improvement to management when appropriate
- Make the results available for public inspection if requested
- Facilitate follow-up to determine whether appropriate corrective actions have been taken
Audit reports provide context and perspective, document the nature and extent of the audit work performed, and explain any significant limitations to report users. The IAB auditors include the following in the report:

### Audit Objectives
- The IAB auditors shall clearly:
  - State specific, neutral, and unbiased objectives that include relevant assumptions
  - Explain why they undertook the assignment, what the report is to accomplish, and why the subject matter is important

### Audit Scope
- The IAB auditors shall clearly:
  - Describe the scope of the work they conducted to accomplish the audit’s objectives
  - Explain the relationship between what was sampled and what was audited, if sampling was involved
  - Describe the scope of work performed, any limitations, and any applicable standards they did not follow and the reasons for not following them
  - Identify locations and time frames of the audit
  - Note the type of evidence obtained and any limitations encountered during the audit

### Audit Methodology
- The IAB auditors shall clearly:
  - Explain how they accomplished the audit objectives, which includes referencing the evidence gathered and the analysis techniques used
  - Explain any significant assumptions they made when performing the audit
  - Describe the criteria used and the design of the sample if findings include exceptions found in testing and sampling
  - Explain why they chose the sample and whether the results can be projected to the population
Audit Results—IAB auditors shall determine results (that include findings, conclusions, and recommendations as applicable) as follows:

Findings—The IAB auditors shall report findings and conclusions by providing sufficient, appropriate evidence related to the audit objectives. The IAB auditors shall include background information to explain the significance of program and operations, as well as the auditee’s responsibilities.

Elements of a finding include the condition, criteria, cause, and effect. As necessary, the IAB auditors shall develop these elements to assist management in understanding the need for taking corrective action. For performance audits, however, the elements needed for a finding depend on the audit objectives. Therefore, for performance audits, a finding is complete to the extent that it satisfies the audit objectives, and the report clearly relates those objectives to the elements of the finding.

- Condition explains the situation the auditors found. The IAB auditors help management gain a better perspective by explaining the scope or extent of the condition.

- Criteria detail the required, expected, or desired state of the program or operation. Common sources include laws, regulations, policies, procedures, etc.

- Cause provides factors responsible for the difference between the condition and the criteria. Sometimes the cause serves as a basis for the recommendation.

- Effect provides a clear, logical connection to establish the impact of the difference between what the auditors found and what should be. The effect is easier to understand when it is stated clearly, concisely, and, if possible, in quantifiable terms.

Recommendation—When necessary, the IAB auditors shall recommend actions to correct problems identified during the audit and to improve programs and operations. Recommendations shall be practical, feasible, cost effective, and measurable. Recommendations shall follow findings and conclusions in the report, particularly when significant instances of possible fraud, illegal acts, or violations of contracts or grant agreements are noted or when abuse or deficiencies in internal control were found.
Conclusions—The IAB auditors shall clearly state their conclusions on the basis of their findings. Conclusions are stronger when the evidence supporting the findings is persuasive and the logic used to form the conclusions is sound.

The IAB auditors shall also report deficiencies in internal control that are significant within the context of the objectives of the audit, all instances of fraud and illegal acts, significant violations of provisions of contracts or grant agreements, and significant abuses that have occurred or are likely to have occurred.

The IAB auditors shall include deficiencies found in internal control in the report to management unless the deficiencies are clearly inconsequential on the basis of both qualitative and quantitative factors. When auditors detect deficiencies in internal control that are not significant to the objectives of the audit, they may include those deficiencies in the report or communicate those in writing to management unless clearly inconsequential both qualitatively and quantitatively. The IAB auditors shall refer to the written communication in the audit report if the written communication is separate from the audit report.

Upon concluding that fraud, illegal acts, significant violations of contracts or grant agreements, or significant abuses have occurred or are likely to occur, IAB auditors shall include the relevant information in the audit report. Generally Accepted Government Auditing Standards (GAGAS) Sections 9.29—9.44 provide further information.

Citations of Compliance with GAGAS—The IAB auditors shall report that they conducted the performance audit in accordance with GAGAS. If the auditors did not follow an applicable standard, they shall qualify the GAGAS statement in the report. The auditors shall disclose in the “Scope” section of the report the applicable standard they did not follow, the reasons for not following it, and the effect of not following the standard had or could have had on the results of the audit.

Views of Responsible Management—The IAB Manager (AM) shall:

Send all draft comments to the auditee’s management for response

Request that management responses be in writing and returned within seven calendar days
♦ State fairly and objectively their reasons for disagreeing with the auditee’s comments or planned corrective actions if such disagreement occurs

♦ Report management views concerning auditors’ findings, conclusions, and recommendations, as well as planned corrective actions

If the auditee’s management refuses to provide comments or is unable to provide comments within a reasonable period of time, the auditors may issue the report without receiving comments from management. In such cases, the auditors shall indicate in the report that management did not provide comments.

➢ **Omission of Privileged and Confidential Information**—If prohibited from reporting certain pertinent information, the IAB auditors shall state in their report the nature of the information omitted and the requirement that makes the omission necessary (for example, computer security). When appropriate, the AM shall consult with the executive director who will then consult with the Office of Legal Services regarding any concerns with privileged and confidential information prior to report issuance.

**REPORT QUALITY**

The report shall be as timely, complete, accurate, objective, persuasive, clear, and concise as possible.

➢ **Timely**—The audit report shall provide relevant current information in time to respond to the legitimate needs of the auditee’s management, legislative officials, and other users. With this goal in mind, auditors shall plan for the appropriate issuance date of the report as they conduct the audit.

➢ **Complete**—The audit report shall contain all evidence needed to satisfy the audit objectives and to promote an adequate and correct understanding of the matters reported.

➢ **Accurate**—The audit report shall contain only credible and reliable evidence. One inaccuracy in a report can lead to doubt on the reliability of the entire report and can distract attention from the substance of the report. The report shall include only the information, findings, and conclusions supported by sufficient, competent, and relevant evidence in the audit workpapers.
REPORT QUALITY (cont.)

- **Objective**—The audit report shall:
  - Be fair and balanced
  - Encourage management to act on the auditors’ findings and recommendations
  - Recognize the positive aspects of the program reviewed if applicable to the audit objectives

- **Persuasive**—The audit results shall be responsive to the audit objectives, the findings shall be persuasive, and the conclusions and recommendations shall follow logically from the evidence presented.

- **Clear**—The audit report shall be easy to read and understand. The IAB auditors shall use straightforward, nontechnical language wherever possible. When using technical terms, abbreviations, and acronyms, auditors shall clearly define them. For lengthy reports, auditors shall consider using an Executive Summary that highlights the overall message.

- **Concise**—The audit report shall be no longer than necessary to convey and support the message.

ISSUANCE & DISTRIBUTION OF AUDIT REPORT

The AM shall submit audit reports to:

- Appropriate management of the audited entities
- Appropriate management of the organizations requiring or arranging for the audits
- Kentucky Transportation Cabinet (Cabinet) Secretary
- Internal Audit Committee

The Director of Audit Services, upon agreement with the Executive Director of the Office of Audits shall submit copies of the reports to the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA), Auditor of Public Accounts (APA), Office of Human Resource Management (OHRM), and Office of Inspector General (OIG) when requested, or when applicable.
The AM shall follow up with management and inquire on the status of management’s corrective action plan. The IAB will evaluate management’s response to ensure the appropriateness and timeliness of corrective action.

If appropriate, the IAB shall evaluate management’s response to determine if more formal follow-up (such as limited testing or a follow-up audit) is needed. If the IAB management has determined that sufficient follow-up action has not been taken and the correction action is significant enough, the concern shall be brought to the attention of the Internal Audit Committee.

**AUD-207-13** further details report follow-up.
INTRODUCTION

There are three types of attestation engagements: examinations, reviews, and agreed-upon procedures. When performing these engagements, audit staff report on a subject matter or an assertion about a subject matter that is the responsibility of another party.

- For an **examination**, auditors perform sufficient testing to express an opinion on whether the subject matter is based on or in conformity with the criteria in all material respects or whether the assertion is presented or fairly stated in all material respects based on the criteria.

- For a **review**, auditors perform sufficient testing to express a conclusion about whether any information came to the auditors’ attention on the basis of the work performed that indicates the subject matter is **not** based on or in conformity with the criteria or that the assertion is **not** presented or fairly stated in all material respects based on the criteria. These engagements provide negative assurance.

- For an **agreed-upon procedures report**, auditors perform sufficient testing to issue a report that describes the procedures applied and the results of their application (findings) based on specific procedures performed on subject matter.

Generally Accepted Government Auditing Standards (GAGAS) incorporates the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants (AICPA) general standards on criteria, fieldwork, and reporting for attestation engagements, as well as the AICPA Statements on Standards for Attestation Engagements (SSAE) unless the Comptroller General of the United States excludes them by formal announcement.

**AICPA General Standard**

The AICPA general standard 101.23 related to criteria states that auditors must have a reason to believe the subject matter is capable of evaluation against suitable criteria.
To provide a reasonable basis for the conclusion expressed in the report, fieldwork standards require the work to be:

- Planned adequately
- Supervised properly
- Supported sufficiently by evidence

The Internal Audit Branch (IAB) management prepares and maintains an annual audit plan for attestation engagements and performance audits and submits the plan for approval to the Internal Audit Committee (IAC). The IAC may amend the plan as necessary. The audit manager (AM) may submit any significant interim changes to the audit plan for approval.

The AM assigns individual auditors to engagements contained in the annual audit plan and appoints a supervisor, if necessary, to oversee the engagements.

Planning includes, but is not limited to, the following:

- Establishing audit objectives
- Determining scope
- Contacting the Kentucky Transportation Cabinet’s (Cabinet) Office of Inspector General (OIG) and Office of Legal Services regarding any ongoing investigations
- Reviewing the Auditor of Public Accounts’ annual audit, noting any significant findings
- Reviewing prior engagements related to subject matter
- Obtaining the permanent file, if available
- Scheduling an entrance conference
- Preparing an engagement letter
- Preparing, obtaining, and editing the preliminary audit plan
For attestation engagements, GAGAS prescribes additional fieldwork standards that go beyond the requirements contained in the AICPA SSAEs. IAB auditors shall comply with these standards when citing GAGAS in their attestation engagement reports:

➢ **Auditor Communication**—Auditors shall communicate information regarding the nature, timing, and extent of planned testing and reporting on the subject matter or assertion about the subject matter to officials of the entity and to individuals contracting for or requesting the attestation engagement. Written communication is preferable, using an engagement letter to communicate the information.

Staff shall also establish an understanding of the services to be performed in the engagement. Staff shall obtain written acknowledgement or other evidence of the entity’s responsibilities for the subject matter or written assertion as it relates to the objectives of the engagement.

➢ **Consideration of the Results of Previous Audits and Attestation Engagements**—The IAB auditors shall consider previous audits and engagements and follow up on significant findings and recommendations that directly relate to the subject matter or to the assertion about the subject matter. IAB management uses this information to assess risk and determine the nature, timing, and extent of the current work to be performed.

➢ **Internal Control**—When planning examination-level attestation engagements, the IAB auditors shall obtain a sufficient understanding of internal control that is material to the subject matter or assertion to plan the engagement and design procedures to achieve the objectives of the attestation engagement. This may relate to:

♦ Effectiveness and efficiency of operations

♦ Reliability of financial reporting

♦ Compliance with applicable laws and regulations, provisions of contracts or grant agreements, etc.

♦ Safeguarding of assets
The IAB auditors shall document their understanding of internal controls as appropriate (narrative, flow chart, internal control questionnaire, etc.) for inclusion in the workpapers.

➢ Detection of Fraud, Illegal Acts, Violations of Contracts or Grant Agreements, or Abuse

♦ For examination level—The AM and auditors shall design the attestation engagement to provide reasonable assurance of detecting fraud, illegal acts, or violations of provisions of contracts or grant agreements that could have a material effect on the subject matter or assertion of the engagement and shall be alert to situations of transactions that may indicate abuse.

♦ For review or agreed-upon procedure level—If indications of fraud, illegal acts, violations of provisions of contracts or grant agreements that could materially affect the subject matter or assertion exist, the IAB auditors shall apply procedures specifically directed to ascertain whether violations have occurred. If indications of abuse that may significantly affect the results of the engagement exist, the IAB auditors shall apply audit procedures specifically directed to determine whether abuse has occurred.

➢ Development of Elements of Findings—Elements needed for a finding depend entirely on the attestation engagement’s objectives. Therefore, a finding or set of findings is complete to the extent it satisfies the objectives. Upon identifying problems, the IAB auditors shall plan attest procedures to develop the elements of a finding to assist in developing the report.

➢ Attest Documentation—Documentation of workpapers related to planning, conducting, and reporting on the attestation engagement shall be clear and contain information sufficient to enable an experienced auditor who has had no previous connection with the attestation engagement to determine from the documentation the evidence that supports the auditors’ significant judgments and conclusions. Documentation shall also contain support for findings, conclusions, and recommendations before the auditor issues the report. These workpapers shall include:

♦ Objectives, scope, and methodology, including any sampling criteria
ADDITIONAL GAGAS FIELDWORK STANDARD (CONT.)

♦ Auditors’ determination of applicable standards, noting reasons for not following particular standards

♦ Work performed to support significant judgments and conclusions, including descriptions of transactions and records examined.

♦ Auditors’ consideration that the procedures performed achieve the objectives of the engagement when evidential matter obtained is highly dependent on computerized information systems and is material to the objectives of the engagement and that the auditors are not relying on the effectiveness of internal control over those systems that produced the information

♦ Evidence of supervisory review

♦ Purpose, source, and conclusion when necessary

USE OF ANALYTICS WHEN PERFORMING PROCEDURES

Analytical procedures are one of the most powerful resources available to staff. When performing attestation engagements, staff shall utilize analytical procedures to assist in reviewing the population and data being considered and in planning the nature and extent of the work to be performed. Analytics are very useful in lowering risk, as sometimes material misstatements and errors may be identified even though no testing was performed in a particular area.

RESOURCES

IAB staff may find it helpful to review guidance and resources on performing attestation engagements prior to performing the engagement to become familiar with the planning, fieldwork, and reporting requirements. Relevant resources include:

➢ Chapter 7 of GAGAS

➢ AICPA Statement on Standards for Attestation Engagement (SSAE) Section 101
AICPA REPORTING STANDARDS

Generally Accepted Government Auditing Standards (GAGAS) incorporates the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants (AICPA) reporting standards for attestation engagements. In accordance with the four AICPA standards for all levels of reporting on attestation engagements, the report shall state:

- Subject matter or assertion being reported on and the character of the engagement
- Auditors’ conclusions about the subject matter or assertion in relation to the criteria used to evaluate the subject matter
- All the auditors’ significant reservations about the engagement, the subject matter, and, if applicable, the assertion related thereto
- Use of the report is restricted to specified parties under certain circumstances

ADDITIONAL GAGAS REPORTING STANDARDS

GAGAS has additional standards for reporting on attestation engagements. The report shall:

- State compliance with GAGAS
- Contain any deficiencies in internal control, fraud, illegal acts, violations of provisions of contracts or grant agreements, and abuse, including all elements of a finding such as condition, criteria, cause, effect, and recommendation
- Include views of responsible officials if report discloses deficiencies in internal control, fraud, illegal acts, violations of contracts or grant agreements, or abuse
ADDITIONAL GAGAS REPORTING STANDARDS (CONT.)

- State the nature of any information omitted and the requirement that makes the omission necessary if certain information is prohibited from general disclosure

- Be submitted in a timely manner to appropriate management of the audited entity and to appropriate management of the organizations requiring or arranging for the engagement

The Internal Audit Branch (IAB) Manager (AM) shall review all reports and workpapers before submitting the report to the Director of the Division of Audit Services or Executive Director of the Office of Audits for review. Upon the executive director’s concurrence, the AM shall send the draft report to the auditee for response, if findings are noted. The auditee shall have 7 days to respond to the draft report. The IAB shall include the auditee’s response in the report.

Note: IAB auditors shall ascertain that the workpapers support all the information in the report and that the report is accurately supported by the workpapers.

REPORT ISSUANCE & DISTRIBUTION

The AM shall submit engagement reports to the following:

- Appropriate management of the audited entities

- Appropriate management of the organizations requiring or arranging for the audits

- Kentucky Transportation Cabinet (Cabinet) Secretary

- Internal Audit Committee

The Director of Audit Services or Executive Director of the Office of Audits may submit copies of the reports to the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA), Auditor of Public Accounts (APA), Office of Human Resource Management (OHRM), and Office of Inspector General (OIG) when requested or applicable.
**REPORT FOLLOW-UP**  
The AM shall follow up with management and inquire on the status of management’s corrective action plan. Management’s response shall be evaluated to ensure the appropriateness and timeliness of corrective action.

If appropriate, the IAB shall evaluate management’s response to determine if more formal follow-up (such as limited testing or a follow-up audit) is needed. If the IAB management has determined that sufficient follow-up action has not been taken and the correction action is significant enough, the concern shall be brought to the attention of the Internal Audit Committee.

**AUD-207-13** further details this process.
Chapter

INTERNAL AUDITS

Subject

Desk Reviews

PURPOSE

Nonfederal entities that annually expend $750,000 or more in federal awards shall have a single or program-specific audit in accordance with 2 CFR 200.

The subrecipient shall submit the audit report to the Federal Audit Clearing House (FAC) within the earlier of the following:

- 30 days after receipt of the certified public accountant’s (CPA) report
- 9 months after the end of the audit period

The Internal Audit Branch (IAB) retrieves the audit report from the FAC and evaluates the audit reports of these subrecipients and communicates the results of the desk review to KYTC administering office project managers. The IAB will issue results of the desk review within 4 months of receiving the subrecipient’s audit report and receipt of all documentation required to complete the desk review. These desk review reports will be issued to the project managers from the following offices:

- Office of Transportation Delivery
- Office of Highway Safety
- Office of Local Programs
- Division of Planning
- Division of Highways

Note: Desk reviews are not Generally Accepted Government Auditing Standards (GAGAS) engagements.
PROCEDURES

After retrieving the subrecipient’s audit report, the IAB:

1.Dates the report and assigns an audit control number

2. Reviews the information included with the report to ensure the report meets applicable reporting standards and the 2 CFR 200 reporting requirements, which include the following:

   - Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards

   - Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with Government Auditing Standards (GAGAS)

   - Report on Compliance for Each Major Federal Program; Report on Internal Control Over Compliance; and Report on Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards Required by the Uniform Guidance

   - Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs

   - Summary Schedule of Prior Audit Findings

3. If all information is not received, instructs the subrecipient to contact the CPA and request the missing information

4. Assigns desk reviews to IAB staff auditors by audit control number

Upon receipt of desk review assignment, the IAB staff auditor:

1. Completes the applicable desk review procedures in Teammate.

2. Prepare an audit report.

3. Informs another IAB first level reviewer member that the engagement is ready for review.

4. Responds to review comments, revises the report if necessary, and informs the IAB Manager (AM) that the engagement is ready for review.
After the IAB staff auditor completes all applicable desk review procedures, the following steps are performed:

1. IAB staff auditor prepares a report.
2. Auditor informs another IAB audit staff member that the engagement is ready for review.
3. Auditor clears review comments, revises the report if necessary, and informs the IAB Manager (AM) that the engagement is ready for review.

After review comments from the AM are cleared, the following steps are performed:

1. The IAB staff auditor or AM notifies the Director of Division of Audit Services the engagement is ready for review.
2. Auditor clears review comments, revises the report if necessary, and informs the AM that the report is ready to be issued.
3. The IAB staff auditor ensures the audit report, management letter (if applicable), and revised schedules (if applicable) are filed at:

\[N:\EVERYONE\Internal Audit\]

4. The AM prints, signs, and scans the final report as a pdf file and assigns the name, “Audit Number Audit Name-Report Date.”

5. The AM emails a copy of the report to Kentucky Transportation Cabinet (Cabinet) program managers. This email includes a link to files on the N Drive.

6. The IAB staff auditor includes a copy of the signed final report and the email to program managers in the TeamMate engagement file.

7. The IAB staff auditor saves the final signed pdf report to the following directory:

\[N:\Audits\Audit Services\Internal Audit\Final Audit Reports\OMB A-133 Desk Reviews\FYXXXX\]
The External Audit Branch (EAB) is primarily responsible for performing audits of entities that are external to the Kentucky Transportation Cabinet (Cabinet). The EAB also performs internal audits of Cabinet operations as needed or requested. The EAB reports to the Director or Assistant Director of the Division of Audit Services. The Executive Director of the Office of Audits oversees all audits the EAB performs.

The External Audit Branch (EAB) is responsible for oversight of professional service contracts with external vendors performing services for the Cabinet. This oversight includes the following:

- **Indirect cost (overhead) rate**—The purpose is to verify compliance of indirect cost rates with 48 CFR Part 31 (see AUD-303 and AUD-307).

- **Lump sum contracts**—The purpose is to provide the Cabinet with information to assist in negotiating reasonable fees for services provided (which may include internal audits of the Division of Professional Services [DPS] processes) (see AUD-303).

- **Cost plus contracts**—The purpose is to verify allowability of costs reimbursed by the Cabinet (see AUD-303).

- **Contracts with utility and rail companies**—The purpose is to verify allowability of costs reimbursed by the Cabinet upon request by Utility and Rail Branch (see AUD-304).

- **Special requests and other engagements**
The EAB conducts audits in accordance with the following:

- Generally Accepted Government Auditing Standards (GAGAS), also known as the “Yellow Book”
- American Institute of Certified Public Accountants (AICPA) Statements on Auditing Standards (SAS)
- 600 KAR 3:030, Relocation or reconstruction of utility and rail facilities; recordkeeping and audit requirements
- 600 KAR 6:080 Financial records and audit or other engagement of firms
- 23 USC 112 (b)(2)
- 23 CFR 172.11, “Allowable Costs and Oversight”
- Uniform Audit and Accounting Guide, prepared by the American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (AASHTO) Internal/External Audit Subcommittee, which consists of chief auditors from state departments of transportation and departments of highways

Note: The guide provides all interested parties a uniform reference for performing audits of consulting firms and developing overhead rates. The guide assists in determining allowance of costs and applicable federal acquisitions regulations, and is not a standard, specification, or regulation.

- AICPA Statements on Standards for Attestation Engagements (SSAE)
- AICPA Statements on Standards for Accounting and Review Services (SSARS)
The EAB staff shall conduct themselves in a professional manner at all times. EAB auditors shall do the following:

- Be cognizant of professional relationships formed with both external and internal personnel and organizations
- Identify and report concerns found in the course of their work
- Obtain sufficient evidential matter before expressing an opinion or conclusion
- Maintain credibility at all times
- Give advice or information only when confident in its accuracy
- Discuss all recommendations with the internal audit supervisor (IAS) or audit manager (AM) before submitting to the auditee in the engagement close-out
- Not remove original documentation from the auditee site (unless preapproved by the AM and auditee, when necessary)
- Treat employees (internal and external) with respect, courtesy, and dignity
There are three factors to consider when developing the audit plan and number of engagements that can be performed:

- Hours available for audit staff
- Subject matter
- Types of engagements

**Hours available for audit staff**

Prior to completing the audit plan, External Audit Branch (EAB) management should determine the number of engagement hours that are available for each auditor for the calendar year.

After determining the number of hours available for each branch auditor, EAB management calculates the total project hours for the branch. Once this number has been determined, management can begin planning engagements and hours available based upon risk to determine the number of engagements that can be completed during the year.

EAB management determines the number of audits that can be performed in the upcoming calendar year based on the man hours available and by considering the following:

- Number of firms not audited within last 4 years
- Estimated number of firms who will request an overhead audit
- Number of lump sum contracts closed during the year and required to be audited or compiled by state regulation
- Number of cost plus contracts closed during the year
DEVELOPING THE AUDIT PLAN (CONT.)

- Whether audits of the Division of Professional Services (DPS) internal processes should be performed or rotated

- Special requests from management for other work including utilities and rail work

Subject matter
Each subject matter to be included in the audit plan for the year will require varying time commitments. EAB management considers the time associated with each subject matter when determining the number of audits to include in the audit plan.

Type of engagement
Each type of engagement to be included in the audit plan for the year will require varying time commitments. Types of engagement include audits, attestations, approvals, workpaper reviews, etc. EAB management considers the time associated with each type of engagement when determining the number of audits to include in the audit plan.

ENGAGEMENT TIMING FOR AUDITEE

When planning the timing of engagements, EAB management and auditors should be conscious of auditee deadlines and internal needs. For example some consultants may need their audit expedited as they could be in negotiations with the DPS or another state and need the audited overhead rate as soon as possible. These engagements receive priority.

Overhead submissions (AUD-305) are due annually by May 31. Depending upon timing, it is possible that lump sum engagements could be started or performed prior to this deadline.

Some auditees may have internal deadlines that need to be considered. This does not mean that work cannot be performed with these auditees during these timeframes, but auditors should be sensitive to their work demands and try to work around their schedules as much as possible.

OVERHEAD AUDIT SELECTION & RISK ASSESSMENT

After determining the number of overhead audits the branch will perform, EAB management decides which consultants to audit. This consideration includes, but is not limited to, the following:

- Time since EAB performed prior audit
OVERHEAD AUDIT
SELECTION & RISK
ASSESSMENT (CONT.)

- Major problems in prior audits
- Amount of contracts received during the calendar year under audit
- Amount of payments received by consultant during the calendar year under audit
- Availability of CPA audit
- Number of prequalified, Kentucky-based firms that anticipate working as a prime or subconsultant on Kentucky Transportation Cabinet (Cabinet) contracts for the calendar year
- Number of prequalified, Kentucky-based firms that received a contract or received payments from the Cabinet

LUMP SUM POST
AUDIT SELECTION
& RISK ASSESSMENT

600 KAR 6:080 Section 3 (2) requires the EAB to perform a risk assessment and determine the number of audits or other engagements to be performed on lump sum contracts for which the final pay estimates have been submitted and paid. All information required to perform an accurate audit is not available until the following year. Therefore, during the following calendar year the EAB completes the audit or other engagement of the lump sum contracts chosen for audit for the prior year.

When the DPS requests a final lump sum contract payment, the DPS Director sends a copy of the final pay estimate to the EAB audit manager (AM). The AM records certain data from the final pay estimate into a database and maintains an electronic file of the final pay estimates. EAB analyzes the data, performs a risk assessment, and selects a sample of contracts to audit. EAB may also elect to compile a report for DPS based upon information provided by the consultants in place of performing audits of the lump sum final pay estimates.

EAB bases their selection of lump sum contracts on the following factors:

- Size of the contract, with all contracts of $1,000,000 or more selected for the sample first
EXTERNAL AUDITS

LUMP SUM POST
AUDIT SELECTION
& RISK ASSESSMENT
(cont.)

- Preference to more recent contracts, given the availability of records as well as relevancy of any recommendations for Cabinet management

- Time since last post audit

COST PLUS CONTRACT
AUDIT SELECTION

600 KAR 6:070 Section 8 (6) requires the EAB to audit all cost plus contracts that are finalized. All information required to perform an accurate audit is not available until the following year. Therefore, during the following calendar year the EAB completes the audit of the cost plus contracts with final payments in the prior calendar year.

When the DPS requests a final cost plus contract payment, the DPS Director sends a copy of the cost plus estimate to the AM. The AM records certain data from the final pay estimate into a database and maintains an electronic file of the final pay estimates.

SPECIAL REQUEST &
OTHER ENGAGEMENTS

Prior to finalizing the audit plan, the EAB determines if any special requests for audits have been made. The EAB performs audits on utility and railroad projects for which audits have been requested by the Utilities and Rails Branch Manager—when he or she believes a utility company may be overstating cost, performing questionable activities, etc. The EAB may also receive audit requests from other areas of the Cabinet when questionable activities or overstating cost to a contract is an issue.
INDIRECT COST AUDITS

The External Audit Branch (EAB) provides the Division of Professional Services (DPS), which enters into contracts with design consultants, audited indirect cost rates to use when contracting, as well as objective information and appraisals of the various consulting firms the Kentucky Transportation Cabinet (Cabinet) uses. Specifically, the EAB audits and performs other engagements in accordance with the Federal Acquisition Regulations (FARs), the Kentucky Administrative Regulations (KARs), and applicable Cabinet policies. The EAB mainly uses 48 CFR 31 and 600 KAR 6:080.

The EAB also performs post audits and other engagements on consultant contracts and performs cost-plus engagements to determine the reimbursable cost of engineering design contracts.

PURPOSE

The Cabinet hires consultants to perform a wide array of services and relies on the EAB to provide assurance on consultant overhead rates.

TYPES OF CONSULTANT ENGAGEMENTS

The EAB conducts six types of consultant engagements:

- **Indirect cost rate**—performed to provide Cabinet assurance on the consultant’s indirect cost rate for a specified period (usually a fiscal year)

- **Lump-sum engagement**—a fixed price, including cost and operating margin, agreed upon by the consultant and the Cabinet for a group of tasks without a breakdown of individual values (lot price); performed to determine the actual costs incurred under contracts and to show how the negotiated costs compare to the actual costs incurred under contracts
TYPES OF CONSULTANT ENGAGEMENTS (CONT.)

- **Cost-plus fixed fee engagement**—a price based on actual allowable cost of the work plus any pre-established fixed amount for operating margin; performed to determine the actual costs incurred under contracts and to determine whether any amount is owed by or due to the Cabinet under contracts.

- **Pre-award**—performed (in rare instances) to evaluate the reasonableness and accuracy of a cost proposal for a specific contract.

- **Pay-rate**—performed to recommend pay rates for a specific period; performed only if the pay rates have not been verified for more than one year and an up-to-date indirect cost rate submission is available.

- **Prequalification**—performed to verify the information submitted by consultants for prequalification to perform contract work for the Cabinet.

**Note:** The financial status of the company may be evaluated during this audit.

Occasionally, EAB conducts a “special” audit requested by management. Generally, however, staff spends approximately 75 percent of their time on indirect cost rate engagements.

INDIRECT COST RATE ENGAGEMENTS

The main purpose of an indirect cost rate engagement is to determine the reasonableness of the indirect cost rate of a given consulting firm. DPS uses this indirect cost rate in negotiating a contract with the subject firm.

The audit manager (AM) performs a risk analysis and uses the DPS’s database to select firms for an audit. The AM also uses a risk management framework and the selection report issued by the DPS to track which firms need an indirect cost rate approved by EAB.

Occasionally, the DPS or consultants request an indirect cost rate engagement. The division submits the request to the EAB, which records it in the appropriate directory.
After the AM has selected the engagements to perform, the internal audit supervisor (IAS) assigns the engagements to audit staff based upon audit complexity, firm experience, staff experience, and availability. The assigned auditor performs preliminary planning to determine the best location and time for the engagement. Using the standard engagement program, the auditor then develops an engagement plan and sends the consultant an engagement letter requesting any additional documentation needed, including information that may not have been included in the firm’s overhead packet (which is required by each prequalified consulting firm within 5 months of their fiscal year end per 600 KAR 6:040 Section 3).

Upon completion of the engagement, the auditor informs the IAS that the engagement is ready for review in TeamMate, and the IAS then informs the AM for further review. The AM reviews and prepares a draft report in TeamMate and informs the Director or Assistant Director of the Division of Audit Services that the engagement is ready for review and approval. The director or assistant director then informs the Executive Director of the Office of Audits for further review and approval of the engagement (if necessary). Upon notification from the director, assistant director, or executive director that the draft report is acceptable, the IAS or AM sends it to the consultant, with a provision that the consultant has a timeframe, as detailed in the “Appeal Process” section, to submit in writing any objections to the results.

If the consultant has not submitted a written objection by the end of the timeframe for response or has agreed to the draft audit report, the auditor, IAS, or AM prepares the report for AM signature and issuance of the official report. The IAS or AM sends the signed final report, along with a letter explaining the right to appeal, to the consultant and a copy to the DPS Director.

The EAB performs lump-sum engagements to determine if a reasonable cost was negotiated for the project. DPS negotiators use the results of a lump-sum engagement when negotiating future lump-sum contracts with the consultant.

Lump-sum engagements proceed basically the same as indirect cost rate engagements. As with indirect cost rate engagements, the assigned auditor performs preliminary planning to decide whether a site visit is necessary and then prepares the engagement plan, using the standard program.
LUMP-SUM ENGAGEMENTS (CONT.)

Upon completion of the engagement, the auditor sends preliminary findings to the consultant for responses and informs the IAS that the engagement is ready for review in TeamMate. Unless there are comments to clear, the IAS informs the AM that the engagement is ready for further review. After reviewing the engagement and closing any comments, the AM informs the Director of the Division of Audit Services that the engagement is ready for review and approval. The director then informs the Executive Director of the Office of Audits that the engagement is ready for review and approval. Upon the executive director’s review and approval, the AM sends drafts of the final findings and report to the consultant and DPS who shall have a minimum of 5 business days to respond.

If the consultant agrees with the “Schedule of Negotiated and Actual Costs” or the “Schedule of Negotiated and Actual Manhours” or does not respond with an objection within the allowed timeframe, then the schedule will become a part of the report that is issued to the DPS. If the consultant disagrees or takes objection to the schedule, then EAB auditors will consider the objections prior to including the schedule in the report submitted to the DPS.

The EAB will also include consultant responses to the record of control weaknesses and the record of noncompliances in the report to the DPS. If the consultant fails to respond within the required timeframe, the recommendations will be included in the report to the DPS.

COST-PLUS ENGAGEMENTS

The EAB conducts cost-plus engagements after the completion of a project to verify the consultant has claimed only legitimate and appropriate costs. The assigned auditor completes the preliminary planning and decides after discussions with the IAS or AM whether to expand the scope or not. Cost-plus engagements proceed basically the same as overhead and lump-sum engagements, with one addition: the auditor also sends a copy of the questioned costs to the Division of Accounts (if applicable).

Note: The Division of Accounts will need the original accounting string when they establish the receivable, if applicable.
The EAB performs prequalification engagements at the request of the DPS. When a consultant with no prior experience with the Cabinet desires to participate in potential design or other consulting work, part of the prequalification process may be a “pre-audit.”

The purpose of a prequalification engagement is to secure information regarding the financial and professional capabilities of a consultant desiring to enter into an agreement with the Cabinet. This information is highly confidential and remains secured in the EAB’s files. The report the auditor submits to the DPS states in only general terms whether or not the prospective consultant could perform in accordance with Cabinet policies.

At the request of the DPS, the EAB conducts a pre-award engagement before the Cabinet signs a contract with a consultant. The purpose of the engagement is to determine whether the contract complies with all applicable federal and state statutes, regulations, and policies.

At the consultant’s request, the EAB may perform a pay-rate engagement; however, the branch does not always grant the request. The branch considers several factors to determine whether a pay-rate engagement is necessary:

- Time since last overhead engagement
- Significance of the difference in pay rates
- Other factors

 Appealing the Final Report

600 KAR 6:080 Section 5 states (in part):

Prior to the issuance of a final audit report, the . . . [EAB] . . . shall present preliminary findings to the firm. . . . A comment from the firm in response to the auditor’s preliminary findings shall be submitted in writing within fifteen (15) calendar days of the firm’s receipt of the preliminary findings. . . . A firm shall have thirty (30) calendar days from the date the final report is received by the firm to request a review of the final report. The request for a review shall be in writing and clearly state all of the concerns with the final report and the reasons for the concern. If the concerns and the reasons for the concerns are not clearly stated, the request for review shall be returned.
To request a review, the auditee shall send an appeal letter to the Chairperson of the External Audit Review Committee who will then schedule a meeting. This committee shall consist of the following:

- Executive Director of the Office of Budget and Fiscal Management, Chairperson
- Deputy State Highway Engineer for Project Development
- Executive Director of the Office of Legal Services

**Note:** A committee member may appoint a proxy to serve on this committee. This committee only meets when an audit is appealed.

The committee may request the firm or auditor to answer questions. The request shall state whether a representative is to respond in person or in writing.

If the firm is not satisfied with the decision of the committee, an appeal may be made to the Secretary of the Transportation Cabinet within 30 calendar days of receipt of the committee's decision. An administrative hearing for the appeal shall be held pursuant to the provisions of KRS Chapter 13B.

The committee chairperson will notify the Executive Director of the Office of Audits and obtain necessary information for the committee to discuss and make a decision. All paperwork regarding the appeal will be filed in the Office of Audits’ file room or in electronic format on the Office of Audits network drive.
The External Audit Branch (EAB) audits utility relocation and railroad maintenance contracts and billings as requested. The branch conducts utility and rail engagements to determine the reimbursable costs of a contract. Specifically, the EAB audits these engagements in accordance with 23 CFR 645 (relating to utility companies), 23 CFR 140 and 23 CFR 646 (relating to railroads), and 600 KAR 3:030.

The Kentucky Transportation Cabinet (Cabinet) is responsible for building and maintaining roads throughout the Commonwealth. The Cabinet has accommodated utility companies by permitting them to install their necessary facilities (water, sewer, gas, electric, and telecommunications) along federal and state rights of way. As the Cabinet resurfaces or expands roads, it often needs to relocate utilities. The Cabinet and the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) reimburse utility companies for the cost of these relocations.

The Utilities and Rail Branch of the Division of Right of Way and Utilities monitors the relocation process and provides guidance and support for the district utility agents (UAs) throughout the state by doing the following:

- Reviewing relocation plans, proposals, and agreements submitted by district personnel
- Establishing contracts
- Paying final bills
- Coordinating work with other Cabinet divisions and outside agencies

The rails component of the Utilities and Rail Branch maintains an inventory of rail crossings of public roads throughout the state.

The Cabinet relies on the EAB to attest to costs involved with the utility-relocation and rail-crossing expenditures.
TYPES OF RELOCATION CONTRACTS

The Division of Right of Way and Utilities administers two types of relocation contracts: lump sum and keep cost.

In most cases, the Cabinet approves lump-sum contracts only for relocation projects of $200,000 or less. Lump-sum contracts can be paid either in one lump sum or as a percentage of completion.

Keep-cost contracts may be approved in accordance with the Utilities and Rail Branch’s policies and procedures for any dollar amount and require itemized billings for reimbursement. The contract with the Cabinet requires the utility company to maintain records to support its billings. The company shall submit a final and complete billing of the project within a year of project completion or the Cabinet can close out the contract using the prior billing as final.

ENGAGEMENT

When a relocation project is complete, the Cabinet requires the utility company to present one complete final billing of all project costs to the Utilities and Rail Branch Manager. Periodically, the EAB will perform a risk assessment of the utility and rail projects closed out during the prior year and will select a sample of projects to perform an engagement. The auditor will perform the following actions:

- Verify costs billed
- Determine that costs were reimbursed under federal and state cost regulations
- Assess the utility or rail company’s compliance with the terms of the contract and with federal and state regulations and Cabinet policies and procedures
- Assess the Cabinet’s compliance with federal and state regulations as well as Cabinet policies and procedures

Pursuant to 23 CFR 645.117 (i)(3), “All utility cost records and accounts relating to the project are subject to audit by representatives of the state and federal government for a period of 3 years from the date final payment has been received by the utility.”

The EAB staff conducts varying levels of testing and procedures based upon the risks involved in order to reach a conclusion. The staff then prepares any findings or questioned costs, submits the workpapers for review, and finally clears any review comments, as with all other audits or other engagement types.
The internal audit supervisor (IAS) of the EAB performs an in-depth review of the workpapers and report. The audit manager (AM) also conducts a high-level review and sends the report to the Director of the Division of Audit Services or the Executive Director of the Office of Audits for a final review.

The AM or IAS sends the draft report to the utility company for response. Upon completion of the engagement, the EAB issues a final report to the Utilities and Rail Branch Manager for final processing of the contract and provides a copy of the report to the Division of Accounts to close out the project or to take further action as required. If federal funds are involved, the EAB sends a copy of the report to the Program Billing Branch Manager in the Division of Accounts. The Executive Director of the Office of Audits provides copies of EAB reports to the FHWA, if requested.

**Utility & Rail Appeal Process**

600 KAR 3:030 Section 5 states (in part):

“... prior to the issuance of a final report, the ... [EAB] ... shall present the preliminary findings and relevant work papers to the railroad or utility. A comment about or objection to the preliminary findings shall be submitted to the ... [EAB] ... in writing within fifteen (15) calendar days of the railroad’s or utility’s receipt of the preliminary findings. A comment or objection shall be taken into consideration by the ... [EAB] ... prior to finalizing the report. If a railroad or utility disagrees with the results of a final report ... the railroad or utility may request a review by the External Audit Review Committee within thirty (30) calendar days of the date the final report is received by the utility or railroad. The request shall be in writing and clearly state the concern with the final report and the reason for the concern. If the concern and the reason are not clearly stated, the request for review shall not be accepted.”

To request a review, the utility or railroad company shall send an appeal letter to the Chairperson of the External Audit Review Committee who will then schedule a meeting. This committee shall consist of the following:

- Executive Director of the Office of Budget and Fiscal Management, Chairperson
- Deputy State Highway Engineer for Project Development
- Executive Director of the Office of Legal Services

**Note:** A committee member may appoint a proxy to serve on this committee.
The committee may request the utility, railroad, or auditor to answer questions. The request shall state whether a representative is to respond in person or in writing.

If the railroad or utility is not satisfied with the decision of the committee, an appeal may be made to the Secretary of the Transportation Cabinet within 30 calendar days of receipt of the committee's decision. An administrative hearing for the appeal shall be held pursuant to the provisions of KRS Chapter 13B.

The committee chairperson will notify the Executive Director of the Office of Audits and obtain necessary information for the committee to discuss and make a decision. All paperwork regarding the appeal will be filed in the Office of Audits’ file room or in electronic format on the Office of Audits network drive.
CONSULTANT SUBMISSIONS

600 KAR 6:040 Section 3 (2) requires consultants who wish to remain prequalified with the Kentucky Transportation Cabinet (Cabinet) to submit within 5 months of the end of the firm’s fiscal year, an updated overhead submission packet to the External Audit Branch (EAB). The overhead submission shall include the following:

- Statement of Direct Labor, Fringe Benefits, and General Overhead
- Schedule of Employees, Pay Rates, and Job Classifications
- Payroll register for the current year
- Detailed General Ledger for the fiscal year
- Audited Financial Statement for the fiscal year or a financial statement certified as accurate by an officer of the company
- Certification of Final Indirect Costs
- American Association of State Highway Transportation Officials (AASHTO) Internal Control Questionnaire for Consulting Engineers

Firms that have received an audit from another state department of transportation (SDOT) or CPA firm may submit their audited indirect cost rate with current payroll information in lieu of a self-prepared “Statement of Direct Labor, Fringe Benefits, and General Overhead.”

TYPES OF MEMOS & REPORTS

The EAB can choose to perform multiple types of reports that reflect the acceptance of a consultant’s indirect cost rate. The reports vary depending on the risk assessment that will be performed on each consultant. Auditors’ judgment may dictate the type of report or engagement performed on a particular consultant. These reports may include, but are not limited to, the following:

- Acceptance—to accept a firm’s indirect cost rate as an interim rate with little or no review due to the risk analysis showing the firm is low risk
Cognizant Acceptance—to accept a firm’s indirect cost rate based upon a cognizant audit by another state or government agency

Approval—to approve an indirect cost rate for firms that have received a CPA audit with a low risk analysis, or firms with more than $150,000 in current contracts and have undergone a risk assessment deeming them medium risk. May also be used to approve an indirect cost rate as an interim rate for consultants that have been audited or approved by another State Department of Transportation (SDOT).

Cognizant Approval—for consultants that have received a CPA audit and have workpapers that have been reviewed by the Cabinet

Audit—for firms that have more than $150,000 in current contracts and have undergone a risk assessment deeming them medium-to-high risk

Either of the following reports can be accepted as being a cognizant approval:

Examination—Firms deemed medium-high risk may require an examination that includes an extensive review of the indirect cost rate with an understanding of internal controls.

Audit—Firms deemed medium-to-high risk may require an audited indirect cost rate, including a full internal control evaluation.

The EAB evaluates employee classifications and pay rates. The EAB sends the audited/examined “Statement of Direct Labor, Fringe Benefits, and General Overhead” to the consultant and the Division of Professional Services. If the EAB recommends approval of an indirect cost rate for which pay rates are not included, the consultant’s self-certification of pay rates and personnel may be accepted and used for negotiations.
GENERAL STANDARDS

The External Audit Branch (EAB) performs audits in accordance with Generally Accepted Government Auditing Standards (GAGAS). GAGAS prescribes general standards and provides guidance for performing financial audits, attestation engagements, and performance audits. These ensure the credibility of auditors’ results, which is essential to all audit organizations performing government engagements and audits. These standards consist of the following:

- Independence of the audit organization and its individual auditors
- Exercise of professional judgment in the performance of work and the preparation of related reports
- Competence of audit staff, including continuing professional education (CPE)
- Existence of quality-control systems and external peer reviews

INDEPENDENCE

According to Section 3.18 of GAGAS, the general standard related to independence is as follows:

“In all matters relating to the GAGAS engagement, auditors and audit organizations must be independent from the audited entity.”

GAGAS 3.17 through 3.117 provides for more specific information regarding threats and safeguards to independence, as well as documenting the audit group’s consideration of independence.

The EAB is free from organization impairments in that the EAB issues reports to the Division of Professional Services, consultants, the Utilities and Rail Branch of the Division of Right of Way and Utilities, and to rail and utility companies. This freedom helps ensure that the audit results of the EAB are independent and free from both internal and external impairments.
INDEPENDENCE (CONT.) The EAB auditors are free from political pressures and can conduct their audits and engagements objectively and report their findings, opinions, and conclusions objectively.

INDEPENDENCE IMPAIRMENT CONCERNS FOR NONAUDIT SERVICES

Audit organizations sometimes perform other professional services that are nonaudit services that are not performed in accordance with GAGAS. When receiving a request to perform a nonaudit service, EAB management shall evaluate whether providing the service creates independence impairment either in fact or appearance. GAGAS Sections 3.85—3.106 provide guidance to consider, ensuring independence is not impaired.

ANNUAL INDEPENDENCE STATEMENTS

All EAB auditors, including the audit manager (AM), complete independence statements once a year and as needed throughout the year. The AM scans these statements into the network drive.

Auditors also confirm their independence as a step in the audit program in each engagement conducted. These independence statements cover both personal and external impairments.

PROFESSIONAL JUDGMENT

The GAGAS general standard related to professional judgment as outlined in Sections 3.109—3.117 states:

“Auditors must use professional judgment in planning and conducting the engagement and in reporting the results.”

EAB auditors shall:

- Conduct themselves in a professional manner at all times
- Maintain the highest degree of integrity, objectivity, and independence in applying professional judgment to all aspects of their work
- Exercise reasonable care when performing work, which involves acting diligently in accordance with applicable professional standards and ethical principles
Exercise professional skepticism, which involves a questioning mind and a critical assessment of evidence.

Exercising reasonable care and using professional judgment assists auditors in detecting material misstatements or noncompliances.

The GAGAS standard related to competence is Section 4.02—4.15. EAB auditors shall do the following:

- Have a bachelor’s degree that includes 20 semester hours or 30 quarter hours in accounting
- Comply with the continuing professional education (CPE) requirements set forth in GAGAS

The Office of Audits maintains a CPE file for all auditors and monitors this file to ensure compliance.

The Kentucky Transportation Cabinet (Cabinet) provides EAB auditors various types of training, including on-the-job training, classroom training, self-study materials, and participation in professional conferences.

The GAGAS general standard related to quality control and assurance is detailed in Sections 5.02—5.59.

Upon completion of an engagement, the internal audit supervisor, or another auditor with the experience and qualifications to perform reviews, examines the workpapers and shares this review with the AM. The EAB Manager conducts a thorough review of the workpapers, as well as the report. The Director of the Division of Audit Services and Executive Director of the Office of Audits also perform a quality-control review of the report and, when necessary, the workpapers.

The EAB requests an external peer review every 3 years and participates in the American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials’ (AASHTO) peer-review process, which is based on audit standards promulgated by GAGAS and the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants (AICPA).
Once the submission or preliminary information for the audit has been received, auditors identify and assess the risks associated with the auditee and the information being audited. Risk and fraud assessments identify and assess the risk of material misstatement whether due to errors or fraud.

A risk assessment helps auditors determine the nature, timing, and extent of the procedures to be performed during the engagement to help reduce audit risk to an appropriately low level. This allows auditors to address the most vulnerable areas and conduct more cost-effective, beneficial, and timely audits.

Auditors shall adhere to 23 CFR 172.11(c)(2)(i), “Conducting and documenting an annual assessment of risks of noncompliance with the Federal cost principles per consultant doing business with the agency, considering the following factors:

- Consultant’s contract volume with the State
- Number of States in which the consultant operates
- Experience of consultant with Federal Aid Highway Program (FAHP) contracts
- History and professional reputation of consultant
- Audit history of consultant
- Type and complexity of consultant accounting system
- Size (number of employees or annual revenues) of consultant
- Relevant experience of certified public accountant performing audit of consultant
- Assessment of consultant’s internal controls
Changes in consultant organizational structure; and

Other factors as appropriate.”

Auditors shall document their risk and fraud assessments based on information gathered during the preliminary planning of the engagement, including, for example:

- Interviews with management and other key personnel
- Internal control observations
- Prior experience with management or the program under audit
- Analytical procedures

In conducting risk and fraud assessments, auditors shall:

- Identify the risks and threats associated with the area or activity under review
- Evaluate the likelihood of risk occurrence
- Evaluate the magnitude of the risk occurrence
- Determine the inherent risk associated with the identified threats
- Assess whether the existing external controls will prevent, detect, or correct instances when threats actually occur
- Document their response to the risk

Auditors consider several risk factors in these risk assessments. Examples of risk factors include the following:

- Misstatements, whether due to errors or fraud
- Complexity of the program
- Newness of program
- Inadequate segregation of duties
- Management levels of competence and oversight
- Political pressures
- Inadequate external controls
RISK ASSESSMENT MEETING (CONT.)

- Risk of noncompliance with laws, rules, or regulations and its potential material effect on the auditee’s operations
- Risk of significant abuse or illegal acts
- Employee or vendor collusion
- Threats to computer-processed data, if computer processed data is an important or integral part of the audit and the reliability of the data is crucial to accomplishing audit objectives

**Note:** In these situations, auditors consult with the internal audit supervisor (IAS) and audit manager (AM) to determine the need for specialized IT audit assistance.

When considering the magnitude of risks, auditors need to consider the quantitative and qualitative aspects. Sometimes there are risks that may not be quantitatively significant; however, they have qualitative aspects such as safety, public sensitivity, etc. After completing the risk assessment auditors shall schedule a meeting with the entire audit team as well as audit management to review and discuss the list of potential risks or threats and include any additional risks and threats to the list.

FRAUD BRAINSTORMING SESSION

Auditors conduct a “brainstorming” session in every audit to consider potential fraud risks and to emphasize the importance of professional skepticism throughout the entire audit process. When carefully planned and managed, brainstorming can lead to many high-quality ideas about possible fraud risks that audit team members may not have identified individually.

All members of the audit team (staff auditors, the IAS, and AM) meet during the planning stages and throughout the course of the audit to:

- Exchange ideas about how and where they believe the auditee’s program may be susceptible to fraud
- Discuss how management or staff could perpetrate and conceal fraudulent activities or the misappropriation of assets

Many times, the risk assessment and fraud brainstorming meetings will be held at the same time.
In the planning stages of each audit, auditors shall:

- Obtain copies of the prior year engagement reports when applicable

- Review and consider the prior reports, including findings and recommendations, when determining the nature, timing, and extent of the work to be performed in the current engagement as well as to obtain an understanding of the auditee and their environment, internal controls, deficiencies, or concerns, as well as the risks that they face

- Design their work to ensure that prior engagement findings are followed up

If the engagement involves a Kentucky Transportation Cabinet internal process, auditors evaluate the current status of management’s corrective action plans. When following up on prior year findings and recommendations, auditors review management’s corrective action plan as stated in the prior year report. While performing the current year engagement, auditors inquire of management about the status of the corrective actions taken. Auditors use this inquiry as a guide on what to test; however, auditors shall base the evaluation of the corrective actions taken upon observation and testing (not the inquiry) to ensure that corrective action has been taken sufficiently.

Auditors determine if each prior year finding has been resolved as follows:

- **Fully resolved**—All elements of the weakness or noncompliance have been corrected or are no longer applicable. If this is the case, the prior engagement recommendation does not need to be repeated in the current engagement.
CORRECTIVE ACTION
PLAN REVIEW (cont.)

- **Partially resolved**—Some elements of the weakness or noncompliance have been corrected or are no longer applicable. If this is the case, items resolved do not need to be repeated in the current engagement report; however, depending upon significance, the unresolved portion of the prior recommendation may need to be repeated in the current engagement report. Auditors shall discuss these items with the internal audit supervisor (IAS) and audit manager (AM) prior to engagement completion.

- **Not resolved**—Elements of the prior weakness or noncompliance have not been corrected. Depending upon significance within the program, the unresolved items shall be repeated in the current engagement report. Auditors shall discuss these items with the IAS and AM prior to engagement completion.
Evaluating Fieldwork Findings
While performing fieldwork, auditors may detect exceptions, note concerns, discover potential weaknesses, or question costs. Auditors will communicate the concerns based upon their nature.

Exceptions & Potential Exceptions
In general, auditors shall discuss exceptions or potential exceptions noted during testing with auditee staff during fieldwork to ensure a complete understanding of the potential exception. Often, there could be additional information that could possibly resolve the concern. Based upon a discussion with staff:

- If the concern appears to be an exception, auditors shall:
  1. Mark it as an exception in the testing workpapers
  2. Make a copy of the exception for the workpapers
  3. Ask auditee staff what caused the exception to occur (such as lack of adequate review, failure to keep adequate records, lack of understanding of rules, etc.) and document the response in the workpapers
  4. Consider adding an explanation in the exception analysis on the workpaper or adding comments on the documentation of the exception if they are needed for clarification purposes

- If the concern does not appear to be an exception, auditors mark the attribute as met. Auditors may add an explanatory tick mark as necessary.
EXIT CONFERENCE WITH AUDITEE MANAGEMENT

After audit testing, a formal exit conference is held with auditee management and staff to present the findings and recommendations noted during the review to eliminate any surprise when the audit report is received.

This meeting gives the auditee management and staff a final opportunity to discuss the accuracy of an exception or offer an explanation on any misconception or misunderstanding of the finding and to dispute any questioned cost. Each recommendation shall be discussed, and importance given to acquiring the following information from auditee management:

- The cause of the exception
- Whether auditee management would like to suggest a recommendation that is feasible for implementation

Upon completion of the exit conference, auditors shall:

- Document the results of the meeting (date of meeting, attendees, agreement or disagreement, cause, comments from management, etc.) in TeamMate or on the Exit Conference Form within the audit documentation
- Complete the workpapers and write the report, considering the information obtained during the exit conference

DRAFTING AUDIT FINDINGS & RECOMMENDATIONS

When drafting findings and recommendations, auditors shall do the following:

- Consider the information obtained from management and staff during the meetings discussed above
- Ensure that findings have all of the proper elements of a finding (condition, criteria, cause, effect, and recommendation)
Classify and categorize findings based upon their significance and materiality as follows:

- Include significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the audit report, even if they were remediated during the audit.
- Communicate control deficiencies in a separate management letter and reference them in the audit report.
- Communicate other items verbally or via email.

Note: Auditors shall document and track this communication within the audit workpapers for subsequent follow-up.

When reporting the results of engagements, the External Audit Branch (EAB) report distribution shall be restricted when applicable. Although workpapers are not subject to open records, engagement reports are, as allowed by the Kentucky Open Records Act (KRS 61.870—61.884). Cognizant audit reports on indirect cost rates typically include the following statement:

“This report is intended solely for the use and information of the Company and government agencies or other customers related to contracts employing the cost principles of the Federal Acquisition Regulations and should not be used for any other purpose. This restriction is not intended to limit the distribution of this memorandum, which is a matter of public record.”

Reports on indirect cost rates other than cognizant audits typically include the following statement:

“This memorandum is intended solely for the use and information of the Company and Kentucky Transportation Cabinet related to contracts employing the cost principles of the Federal Acquisition Regulations and should not be used for any other purpose. This restriction is not intended to limit the distribution of this memorandum, which is a matter of public record.”
Sometimes certain information is sensitive and should be excluded from the formal report due to sensitivity, confidentiality, or security concerns. In these instances, auditors shall consider and follow the Generally Accepted Government Auditing Standards (GAGAS) requirements in Government Auditing Standards (GAS), Sections 9.61—9.67.

PRELIMINARY FINDINGS

Auditors may present preliminary findings to the auditee during the exit conference. Auditee management has 15 calendar days from the date the preliminary findings are presented to respond in writing to the audit manager (AM) or director. Upon receipt of an auditee’s response, the AM evaluates the response.

REPORT ISSUANCE

Once the draft report has been reviewed, auditors will finalize the report, obtain the AM’s signature, and issue the report in draft status to the auditee’s management for their review and consideration. The auditee’s management typically has 5 business days to respond in writing to the EAB report recommendations. When their response is received, auditors shall evaluate management’s response and corrective action plan as specified in AUD-306-5.

When the final report is ready to issue, the AM prints and signs the report in a PDF format and submits the final report to the auditee. The engagement may then be closed out (as documented in AUD-306-6).

REPORT APPEAL

Once the report is issued, the auditee has 30 days to appeal the findings in the report to the Audit Review Committee [600 KAR 6:080 Section 5 (2)(a)]. The appeal shall be in writing and sent to the chairperson of the committee who will then schedule a meeting. This committee shall consist of the following:

- Executive Director of the Office of Budget and Fiscal Management, Chairperson
- Deputy State Highway Engineer for Project Development
- Executive Director of the Office of Legal Services

Note: A committee member may appoint a proxy to serve on this committee. This committee only meets when an audit is appealed.
REVIEW APPEAL (CONT.)

The committee may request the auditee or auditor to answer questions. The request shall state whether a representative is to respond in person or in writing.

If the auditee is not satisfied with the decision of the committee, an appeal may be made to the Secretary of the Transportation Cabinet within 30 calendar days of receipt of the committee’s decision. An administrative hearing for the appeal shall be held pursuant to the provisions of KRS Chapter 13B.

The committee chairperson will notify the Executive Director of the Office of Audits and obtain necessary information for the committee to discuss and make a decision. All paperwork regarding the appeal will be maintained by the Office of Audits.
EVALUATING MANAGEMENT RESPONSES

During the exit conference or at the conclusion of engagements, auditors issue findings and recommendations to auditee management for their review and consideration based upon the observations noted during the engagement. The auditee’s management typically has 15 calendar days, as required by 600 KAR 6:080 Section 5(1)(b), to respond to preliminary results. The auditee’s management then has another 5 days to respond to the draft audit report.

Management shall respond to findings in writing, often via email. Upon receiving management responses, auditors shall:

- Replace the names of staff with the titles of the staff performing those roles
- Ensure the response includes the date corrective action will be taken
- Evaluate the response for adequacy using the “Evaluating & Responding to Management’s Corrective Action Plans” flowchart on the following page

When drafting an auditor response, auditors may consider including a comment that the External Audit Branch (EAB) will follow up on the status of the corrective action plan in the next audit.

Since management responses are included in the audit reports and the EAB does not test the responses, auditors shall incorporate the following language into EAB audit reports:

“The Company’s responses to the findings identified in our audit are described in the accompanying Schedule of Material Weaknesses and Significant Deficiencies. We did not audit the Company’s response and, accordingly, we express no opinion on it.”
EVALUATING & RESPONDING TO MANAGEMENT'S CORRECTIVE ACTION PLANS

Does Management agree with the recommendation?

- Yes
  - Is this an external process? (Process is not a KYTC process)
    - No
      - Did Management provide an explicit corrective action plan including implementation date and actions to address each facet of the recommendation?
        - Yes
          - Discuss with the IAS and/or AM regarding whether to 1) provide an Auditor Response OR 2) resubmit to management and request follow-up corrective actions on each facet of the recommendation.
        - No
          - Draft an Auditor Response.
  - No
    - Evaluate management’s response for reasonableness. If their response appears reasonable, request management to provide evidence to support their claim.

- No
  - Did Management submit a response that indicates the finding/recommendation may be incorrect?
    - Yes
      - Contact Management and request a resubmission of their response
    - No
      - Does management’s evidence refute our finding/recommendation?
        - Yes
          - Discuss with the Auditee Management.
        - No
          - Was the concern satisfactorily resolved?
            - Yes
              - Drop the finding and document the reasons why it was dropped in the work papers.
            - No
              - Draft an Auditor Response.

AM = Audit Manager
IAS = Internal Audit Supervisor
AUDIT COMPLETION

After the final report has been issued and released by the audit manager (AM), the AM completes a final review of the reports, workpapers, and documents in TeamMate pertinent to the audit to ensure accuracy and completeness before finalizing. This final review of the workpapers may include, but not be limited to, the following administrative tasks prior to being closed:

- Ensure any remaining TeamMate coaching notes have been responded to and closed. Coaching notes are removed from the project during finalization.

- Ensure the final audit report, with management’s response and auditor response (when applicable), is documented in the workpapers

- Ensure the final audit report and draft audit report have received electronic approval by the External Audit Branch management

- Examine the electronic workpapers to ensure all unresolved issues have been resolved

- Review all procedure steps in TeamMate to ensure they have received two levels of electronic approval indicating the workpapers are properly referenced and documented

- Ensure that if any findings were removed from the final audit report, rationale as to why it was removed is documented in workpapers and on the issue tab in TeamMate
AUDIT COMPLETION (cont.)

➢ Create a permanent file that includes significant correspondence and information such as the following:

♦ Articles of incorporation
♦ Chart of accounts
♦ Bylaws
♦ Pension plan summary plan description
♦ Financial policy manual or employee handbook
♦ Federal and state laws and regulations

➢ Ensure all workpapers in TeamMate are finalized, closed, and secured within 60 days of the report issuance date
OVERVIEW
The External Audit Branch (EAB) conducts indirect cost rate audits and examinations in accordance with Generally Accepted Government Auditing Standards (GAGAS), which incorporates the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants (AICPA) Statements on Auditing Standards (SAS) or Statements on Standards of Attestation Engagements (SSAE). These engagements provide assurance on the indirect cost rates of architectural and engineering (A/E) firms, which determines the amount of compensation that A/E firms receive on contracts. When performing these engagements, staff follows the external audit processes detailed in AUD-306 as well as the guidance noted in this policy.

PLANNING
When an indirect cost rate audit or examination is assigned to staff, they begin working on the planning section of the engagement, which includes, but is not limited to, preparing or determining the following:

- **Independence**—Upon receiving an assignment, the auditor, internal audit supervisor (IAS), and audit manager (AM) will ensure that all parties are independent and will appropriately indicate their independence within the procedure step in TeamMate.

- **Engagement Letter**—An engagement letter documenting the understanding with the auditee is prepared and signed by the auditee.

- **Understanding of Auditee and Their Environment**—Staff reviews the prior audit workpapers (whether performed by the Kentucky Transportation Cabinet (Cabinet) or an independent CPA) and the permanent file to obtain a greater understanding of the entity, their environment, their risks, prior concerns, etc.

- **Materiality**—Staff uses the Materiality Worksheet to calculate materiality to help determine significance and coverage.
Reconciliation of Submission to Financial Statements—Staff reconciles the consultant’s submission to their financial statements and their General Ledger to ensure the accuracy of the submission. Variances are investigated and considered to determine if they need to be included in the Statement of Direct Labor, Fringe Benefits, and General Overhead (Statement).

Preliminary Analytical Procedures—When performing indirect cost rate engagements, staff should utilize analytical procedures to assist in reviewing the population and data being considered in order to properly plan the nature, timing, and extent of the procedures to be performed. Analytics are very useful in lowering risk, as sometimes material misstatements and errors may be identified even though no testing was performed in a particular area. To help ensure that the work is properly planned, staff performs analytical procedures to compare expenses among categories over time to look for material variances that need to be investigated. Additionally, staff considers audit materiality and selects the accounts for fieldwork testing based upon significance as well as risk or susceptibility of noncompliance with 48 CFR Part 31.

Risk Assessment and Fraud Brainstorming—Staff performs these as detailed in AUD-306-2.

Review of Board Minutes—Staff reviews board minutes of the company for the year under audit for any pertinent information that could impact the audit.

Review and Approval of Audit Plan—The IAS reviews and approves the audit planning prior to the start of fieldwork.

Entrance Conference—An entrance conference is held with the consultant the first day of fieldwork, or as soon as possible if the required attendees are unable to attend on the first day, to discuss the following:

♦ Purpose of the engagement
♦ Engagement letter
♦ Possibility of fraud, abuse, and illegal acts
♦ Availability of requested documentation
**PLANNING (CONT.)**

- *Internal Control Questionnaire*
- Staff available to perform a walk-through
- Consultant’s concerns
- Common control issues
- Personal use of company assets
- Documentation of company accounting policies
- Date for exit conference

Auditors shall document the date and attendees of the entrance conference for inclusion in a procedure step in TeamMate.

**FIELDWORK**

The fieldwork section of audits or examinations normally contains the following:

- **Internal Controls**—Auditors obtain their understanding of internal controls primarily in three ways:
  - Reviewing the *Internal Control Questionnaire* submitted by the consultant
  - Performing transaction walk-throughs and observations of their internal control processes
  - If an audit, performing internal control tests

- **Internal Control and Compliance Testing**—For audits, auditors shall perform tests of controls. For both audits and examinations, substantive tests for compliance with 48 CFR Part 31 shall be performed. Controls are first tested to determine if they may be relied upon to reduce substantive/compliance testing. The final control risk assessment is determined based upon the internal control testing and this helps determine the nature, timing, and extent of the additional work to be performed. In general, strong internal controls may be relied upon to reduce substantive/compliance testing and weak internal controls may not be relied upon; therefore, more substantive/compliance testing is needed.
FIELDWORK (CONT.) Auditors perform testing on all significant accounts and accounts that were selected based upon risk. Whenever unallowable items are found during testing, auditors project the results to the population (if possible and unless the auditors determine the cost to be a one-time occurrence). Auditors remove all questioned costs from the Statement and accompany each adjustment by a Federal Acquisition Regulations reference so that the unallowable item is properly disclosed and reported within the Statement.

RELATED-PARTY TRANSACTIONS Many A/E firms have related-party transactions with businesses or persons that have a family or business relationship. A result of a related-party transaction is referred to as “common control” as described in the American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (AASHTO) Uniform Audit & Accounting Guide. Examples of common control include, but are not limited to, the following:

- Transactions between family members
- Transactions between subsidiaries of the same parent company
- Transactions between companies owned by the same person or persons

As a part of each engagement, staff considers if the auditee has any known related-party transactions. In addition to considering the known related-party transactions, staff plans the audit to detect undisclosed related-party transactions. Auditors accomplish this by:

- Requesting information from the auditee about related-party transactions and common control as a part of the Internal Control Questionnaire when obtaining an understanding of the entity and its environment
- Considering common control (disclosed and possible undisclosed) during the risk assessment and fraud brainstorming session
- Reviewing officers of the auditee on the Secretary of State’s website for ownership of other entities with whom the A/E firm may have transactions
- Considering related-party and common-control transactions and reviewing to ensure that they are properly disclosed in the notes (nature of the relationship, impact on the Statement, and the amount of the transactions) as well as to ensure that they are handled properly in accordance with 48 CFR Part 31
When performing procedures, auditors shall obtain and evaluate evidence. There are several types of audit evidence:

- **Physical Examination** is the inspection or count of items by auditors. This is typically performed in inventory observations or cash counts, but also relates to other aspects of the audit cycle. Physical examination verifies existence and is one of the most reliable types of audit evidence.

- **Confirmation** is obtained by receiving written or oral responses from independent third parties. Confirmation helps serve as independent verification of evidence and is more reliable than information obtained internally from auditees.

- **Documentation** is determined during the auditors’ examination of auditee’s documents and records to substantiate the information included in the workpapers. Documentation is typically gathered by listing the work performed (such as attribute testing on a sample of items, describing procedures performed, documenting observations, etc.).

- **Observation** refers to what the auditors see, hear, etc. Observation is very useful audit evidence, but it is often necessary to obtain additional evidence. Auditors document key observations in workpapers so that reviewers can understand conclusions.

- **An inquiry of the client** is the obtainment of written or oral information from auditees in responses to questions. Auditors use inquiries to obtain information, understanding, or clarification; however, inquiries, by themselves, may not constitute reliable evidence, as information can be biased or withheld. When obtaining information through inquiry, auditors normally must obtain additional evidence through other procedures.

- **Re-performance** is achieved by rechecking a sample of computations or tasks to see if the auditors independently arrive at the same conclusion reached by the auditee.
OTHER CONSIDERATIONS FOR OBTAINING & EVALUATING EVIDENCE

The factors that dictate the strength of documentation required to support an observation include the following:

- Level of materiality or significance of the observation
- Degree of risk associated with coming to an incorrect conclusion
- Experience gained in previous engagements on the degree of reliability of the audit unit’s records and representations
- Known audit unit’s sensitivity to an issue
- Cost of obtaining the documentation relative to the benefits in terms of supporting the observation

POTENTIAL FRAUD, ABUSE, & ILLEGAL ACTS

If evidence or other information comes to the auditors’ attention indicating that fraud, abuse, or illegal acts may have occurred that are significant (either qualitatively or quantitatively) within the context of the engagement objectives, auditors shall contact the AM or IAS to discuss how to proceed. At a minimum, steps and procedures will need to be extended, as necessary, to:

1. Determine whether the fraud, abuse, or illegal act has likely occurred
2. Determine its effect on the audit findings
3. Determine if the instance must be reported to external third parties

While evidence may indicate that potential fraudulent and illegal acts have occurred, determination of whether the specific event is truly fraud or illegal is a legal determination. Auditors shall consult with EAB management and the Executive Director of the Office of Audits to consider discussing the concern with the Office of Legal Services (OLS) or Office of Inspector General (OIG).

Additionally, staff shall consult with the GAGAS fieldwork and reporting requirements and applicable professional standard guidance for the particular engagement they are performing when they encounter similar instances listed above.
INTERNAL CONTROL
FINDINGS & RECOMMENDATIONS

If the evidence obtained from the procedures performed indicates the auditee has internal control weaknesses, auditors shall evaluate the likelihood and magnitude of the weakness to determine how to communicate.

COMPLETION

Prior to finalizing the audit, the auditors shall complete the following:

- **Final Analytical Procedures**—Once the final schedule has been prepared (after factoring in all adjustments), the auditors compare the final amounts with prior audited amounts in an analytical procedure to ensure that the current schedule appears fairly stated and that all significant variances are reasonably explained.

- **Exit Conference**—The auditors shall hold an exit conference with the consultant on the final day of fieldwork (or as soon as possible if the required attendees are unable to attend on the final day) to discuss:
  - Additional information required
  - Preliminary findings
  - Significant changes to findings
  - Internal control findings
  - Questioned costs
  - Final analytics
  - Subsequent events
  - Management representation letter

Auditors shall document the date and attendees of the exit conference for inclusion in the procedure step in TeamMate.

- **Subsequent Event Review**—Auditors review information for events or transactions that impact the Statement that occur after the date on the Statement (normally 12/31) but before the audit report is issued. Auditors look for both recognized events (events that existed as of year-end) and unrecognized events (those that did not exist as of year-end, but occurred after that date). Examples of subsequent events to review and consider include, but are not limited to, the following:
  - Filing or settlement of a lawsuit
  - Going concern issues
  - Mergers and acquisitions
Auditors can consider subsequent events by doing the following:

- Inquiring officers about material subsequent events, the current status of those, and existence of any unusual adjustments after year-end

- Reading any financial statements prepared since year-end for any indication of significant subsequent events

- Reading board minutes subsequent to year-end

**Note:** If minutes for such meetings are unavailable, the auditors should inquire about significant matters and document responses received. Alternatively, auditees may provide a written summary in lieu of the official minutes.

- Examining cash disbursements records for periods after year-end for any significant unusual payments

- **Written Representation from Management**—Auditors submit the management representation letter to management that requests their certification. The management representation letter states that they have submitted accurate information to the EAB, have disclosed all related-party transactions, etc. This representation letter requires the signature of a member of management or an officer in the company.

- **Audit Report Notes**—Each Statement shall include notes that provide more information on the data contained in the *Statement of Direct Labor, Fringe Benefits and General Overhead* to help facilitate user understanding of the *Statement of Direct Labor, Fringe Benefits and General Overhead*. The EAB follows the guidance found in the *AASHTO Uniform Audit & Accounting Guide* for determining the appropriateness of note disclosures.
When reporting the results of engagements, EAB report distribution shall be restricted when applicable. Although workpapers are not subject to open records, engagement reports are, as allowed by the Kentucky Open Records Act (KRS 61.870—61.884). Cognizant audit reports on indirect cost rates typically will have the following statement:

“This report is intended solely for the use and information of the Company and government agencies or other customers related to contracts employing the cost principles of the Federal Acquisition Regulations and should not be used for any other purpose. This restriction is not intended to limit the distribution of this memorandum, which is a matter of public record.”

Reports on indirect cost rates other than cognizant audits typically will have the following statement:

“This memorandum is intended solely for the use and information of the Company and Kentucky Transportation Cabinet related to contracts employing the cost principles of the Federal Acquisition Regulations and should not be used for any other purpose. This restriction is not intended to limit the distribution of this memorandum, which is a matter of public record.”

Sometimes, certain information is sensitive and should be excluded from the formal report due to sensitivity, confidentiality, or security concerns. In these instances, auditors shall consider and follow the GAGAS requirements in Government Auditing Standards, Sections 9.61—9.67.
The External Audit Branch (EAB) performs three types of attestation engagements: examinations, reviews, and agreed-upon procedures. When performing these engagements, staff report on a subject matter or an assertion about a subject matter that is the responsibility of another party.

- For an examination, auditors perform sufficient testing to express an opinion on whether the subject matter is based on or in conformity with the criteria in all material respects or whether the assertion is presented (or fairly stated) in all material respects based on the criteria.

- For a review, auditors perform sufficient testing to express a conclusion about whether any information came to the auditors’ attention on the basis of the work performed that indicates the subject matter is not based on or in conformity with the criteria or that the assertion is not presented or fairly stated in all material respects based on the criteria. These engagements provide negative assurance.

- For an agreed-upon procedures engagement, auditors perform sufficient testing to issue a report that describes the procedures applied and the results of their application (findings) based on specific procedures performed on subject matter.

Generally Accepted Government Auditing Standards (GAGAS) incorporates the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants (AICPA) general standards on criteria, fieldwork, and reporting for attestation engagements, as well as the AICPA Statements on Standards for Attestation Engagements (SSAE) unless the Comptroller General of the United States excludes them by formal announcement.

The AICPA general standard 101.23 related to criteria states that auditors must have a reason to believe the subject matter is capable of evaluation against suitable criteria.
To provide a reasonable basis for the conclusion expressed in the report, fieldwork standards require the work to be:

- Planned adequately
- Supervised properly
- Supported sufficiently by evidence

Planning includes, but is not limited to, the following:

- Establishing engagement objectives
- Determining scope
- Reviewing prior engagements related to subject matter
- Obtaining the permanent file, if available
- Scheduling an entrance conference
- Preparing an engagement letter
- Preparing, obtaining, and editing the engagement audit plan

For attestation engagements, GAGAS prescribes additional fieldwork standards that exceed the requirements contained in the AICPA SSAEs. EAB auditors shall comply with these standards when citing GAGAS in their attestation engagement reports:

- **Auditor Communication**—Auditors shall communicate information regarding the nature, timing, and extent of planned testing and reporting on the subject matter or assertion about the subject matter to officials of the entity and to individuals contracting for or requesting the attestation engagement. Written communication is preferable, using an engagement letter to communicate the information.

  Staff shall also establish an understanding of the services to be performed in the engagement. Staff shall obtain written acknowledgement or other evidence of the entity’s responsibilities for the subject matter or written assertion as it relates to the objectives of the engagement.
Consideration of the Results of Previous Audits and Attestation Engagements—EAB auditors shall consider previous audits and engagements and follow up on significant findings and recommendations that directly relate to the subject matter or to the assertion about the subject matter. EAB management uses this information to assess risk and determine the nature, timing, and extent of the current work to be performed.

Internal Control—When planning examination-level attestation engagements, EAB auditors shall obtain a sufficient understanding of internal control that is material to the subject matter or assertion to plan the engagement and design procedures to achieve the objectives of the attestation engagement. This may relate to:

- Effectiveness and efficiency of operations
- Reliability of financial reporting
- Compliance with applicable laws and regulations, provisions of contracts or grant agreements, etc.
- Safeguarding of assets

EAB auditors shall document their understanding of internal controls as appropriate (narrative, flow chart, Internal Control Questionnaire, etc.) for inclusion in the workpapers.

Detection of Fraud, Illegal Acts, Violations of Contracts or Grant Agreements, or Abuse

- For examination level—The EAB Manager and auditors shall design the attestation engagement to provide reasonable assurance of detecting fraud, illegal acts, or violations of provisions of contracts or grant agreements that could have a material effect on the subject matter or assertion of the engagement and shall be alert to situations of transactions that may indicate abuse.

- For review or agreed-upon procedure level—If indications of fraud, illegal acts, violations of provisions of contracts or grant agreements that could materially affect the subject matter or assertion exist, the EAB auditors shall apply procedures specifically directed to ascertain whether violations have occurred. If indications of abuse that may significantly affect the results of the engagement exist, the EAB auditors shall apply audit procedures specifically directed to determine whether abuse has occurred.
Development of the Elements of Findings—Elements needed for a finding depend entirely on the attestation engagement’s objectives. Therefore, a finding or set of findings is complete to the extent it satisfies the objectives. Upon identifying problems, the EAB auditors shall plan attest procedures to develop the elements of a finding to assist in developing the report.

Attest Documentation—Documentation of workpapers related to planning, conducting, and reporting on the attestation engagement shall be clear and contain information sufficient to enable an experienced auditor who has had no previous connection with the attestation engagement to determine from the documentation the evidence that supports the auditors’ significant judgments and conclusions. Documentation shall also contain support for findings, conclusions, and recommendations before the auditors issue the report. These workpapers shall include:

- Objectives, scope, and methodology, including any sampling criteria
- Auditors’ determination of applicable standards, noting reasons for not following particular standards
- Work performed to support significant judgments and conclusions, including descriptions of transactions and records examined
- Auditors’ consideration that the procedures performed achieve the objectives of the engagement when evidential matter obtained is highly dependent on computerized information systems and is material to the objectives of the engagement and that the auditors are not relying on the effectiveness of internal control over those systems that produced the information
- Evidence of supervisory review
- Purpose, source, and conclusion when necessary
USE OF ANALYTICS WHEN PERFORMING PROCEDURES

Analytical procedures are one of the most powerful resources available to staff. When performing attestation engagements, staff shall utilize analytical procedures to assist in reviewing the population and data being considered and in planning the nature and extent of the work to be performed. Analytics are very useful in lowering risk, as sometimes material misstatements and errors may be identified even though no testing was performed in a particular area.

RESOURCES

EAB staff may find it helpful to review guidance and resources on performing attestation engagements prior to performing the engagement to become familiar with the planning, fieldwork, and reporting requirements. Relevant resources include:

- Chapter 7 of GAGAS
- AICPA Statement on Standards for Attestation Engagement (SSAE) Section 101
AICPA REPORTING STANDARDS

Generally Accepted Government Auditing Standards (GAGAS) incorporates the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants (AICPA) reporting standards for attestation engagements. In accordance with the four AICPA standards for all levels of reporting on attestation engagements, the report shall state the following:

- Subject matter or assertion being reported on and the character of the engagement
- Auditors’ conclusions about the subject matter or assertion in relation to the criteria used to evaluate the subject matter
- All the auditors’ significant reservations about the engagement, the subject matter, and, if applicable, the assertion related thereto
- Use of the report is restricted to specified parties under certain circumstances

ADDITIONAL GAGAS REPORTING STANDARDS

GAGAS has additional standards for reporting on attestation engagements. The report shall:

- State compliance with GAGAS
- Contain any deficiencies in internal control, fraud, illegal acts, violations of provisions of contracts or grant agreements, and abuse, including all elements of a finding such as condition, criteria, cause, effect, and recommendation
- Include views of responsible officials, if report discloses deficiencies in internal control, fraud, illegal acts, violations of contracts or grant agreements, or abuse
ADDITIONAL GAGAS
REPORTING STANDARDS (CONT.)

- State the nature of any information omitted and the requirement that makes the omission necessary if certain information is prohibited from general disclosure.

- Be submitted in a timely manner to appropriate management of the audited entity and to appropriate management of the organizations requiring or arranging for the engagement.

REPORT ISSUANCE & DISTRIBUTION

The External Audit Branch (EAB) shall issue its final reports to the following:

- Companies audited
- Division of Professional Services, when applicable
- Federal Highway Administration (FHWA), when requested
- Program Billing Branch in the Division of Accounts, when applicable
- Utilities and Rail Branch Manager in the Division of Right of Way and Utilities, when applicable

The audit manager (AM) shall review all reports and workpapers and may submit the report to the Director of the Division of Audit Services or the Executive Director of the Office of Audits for review. Upon the AM finishing the review (director or assistant director concurrence), the AM or internal audit supervisor (IAS) shall send the draft report to the auditee for response, if findings are noted.

The auditee shall have 15 calendar days to respond to the report if they have not previously had 15 calendar days to respond to the preliminary findings. Otherwise, the auditee shall have a minimum of 5 business days to respond to the report. The auditee’s responses shall be incorporated into the report.

Note: EAB auditors shall ascertain that the workpapers support all the information in the report and that the report is accurately supported by the workpapers.

The same appeal process shall apply to engagements as to audits of indirect cost rates (AUD-303) and utility and rail companies (AUD-304).
**DEFINITION**

The External Audit Branch (EAB) conducts performance audits to address specific objectives regarding economy, efficiency, and effectiveness of Kentucky Transportation Cabinet (Cabinet) programs, activities, and functions as well as adequacy of controls and compliance with policies, procedures, and state and federal laws and regulations. The EAB management determines the type of engagement to perform based upon risk assessment, user needs, and available staffing.

**GAGAS FIELDWORK STANDARDS**

The fieldwork standards for performance audits are detailed in Chapter 8 of Generally Accepted Government Auditing Standards (GAGAS) and relate to the following:

- Planning the audit
- Supervising staff
- Obtaining sufficient and appropriate evidence
- Preparing audit documentation

**AUDIT PLANNING**

Auditors shall plan each audit to reduce audit risk to an appropriate level in order to provide reasonable assurance that the evidence obtained is sufficient and appropriate to support the auditors' findings and conclusions. This determination is a matter of professional judgment. In planning the audit, auditors shall assess significance and audit risk and apply these assessments in defining the audit objectives and the scope and methodology to address those objectives.

The EAB Manager (AM) and internal audit supervisor (IAS) work with the auditors to define audit objectives and the scope and methodology to achieve those objectives. The objectives and procedures to be performed shall be documented in an audit plan in TeamMate.
The auditors document their planning, which involves the following:

- Considering the needs of potential users of the report
- Understanding the program, entity, and environment to be audited
- Understanding internal control as it relates to the specific objectives and scope of the audit
- Understanding significant information systems that relate to the audit objectives or program under audit
- Understanding and assessing the risks for significant errors, misstatements, or fraud and their potential impact on the program under audit as well as developing procedures to address these risks
- Developing procedures to detect significant misstatements or noncompliance with legal and regulatory requirements, contract provisions, or grant agreements
- Identifying criteria to evaluate audit issues
- Considering results of prior audits and attestation engagements
- Identifying sources of audit evidence
- Considering whether the work of other auditors and experts may be useful
- Assigning appropriate and sufficient staff and specialists (if necessary) to ensure the engagement team has the collective professional competence to ensure audit objectives are met
- Communicating general information concerning the audit to management officials responsible for program being audited
- Preparing an audit plan or altered audit plan
UNDERSTANDING OF THE PROGRAM

Auditors obtain as much information about the program as possible to assess relevant risks associated with the program and the impact on the audit objectives, scope, and methodology. The understanding includes considering the following:

- Laws, regulations, and provisions of contracts or grant agreements that have a direct and material impact on the program
- Purpose and goals of the program
- Program policies and procedures
- Internal controls
- Efforts or amount of resources (money, material, personnel, etc.) that are put into the program
- Program operations
- Outputs, such as the quantity of goods or services produced by the program
- Outcomes, accomplishments, or results of the program

INTERNAL CONTROL

The need for effective internal control is paramount. Auditors shall obtain an understanding of controls significant within the context of audit objectives. Some characteristics of internal control objectives that auditors may consider to help determine significance include the following:

- Effectiveness and efficiency of program operations (by examining policies and procedures that ensure the program meets its objectives)
- Validity and reliability of data (by examining policies and procedures that ensure valid and reliable data are obtained, maintained, and fairly disclosed in reports)
- Compliance with applicable laws and regulations and provisions of contracts or grant agreements (by examining policies and procedures that ensure compliance of program implementation)
- Safeguarding of assets and resources
Auditors may make inquiries and observations, inspect documents and records, and review other auditors’ reports to obtain their understanding of internal controls. Auditors use the results of these procedures to evaluate the design and implementation of controls by management.

Auditors design the audit to provide reasonable assurance of detecting fraud, abuse, and noncompliance that is material to the program being audited. The following conditions may indicate a heightened risk of fraud:

- Management failing to enforce existing internal control or to provide adequate oversight
- Inadequate separation of duties
- Unusual transactions not satisfactorily explained
- Instances of employees’ refusal to take vacations or accept promotions
- Missing or altered documents or unexplained delays in providing information
- False or misleading information
- History of impropriety

Auditors consider these characteristics as a part of the risk assessment and fraud brainstorming that is performed for every performance audit during the planning phase. As significant risks are identified, procedures are developed around them (when applicable) to reduce the risk of failing to detect material concerns to an acceptable level.

During fieldwork, if material fraud, abuse, illegal acts, or noncompliance is detected, audit procedures shall be modified as needed, such as performing additional procedures to determine the extent of the concern. Auditors shall immediately speak with the IAS and AM regarding any concerns in this area. The Executive Director of the Office of Audits will then determine the appropriate course of action (after consultation with the AM and the Director of the Division of Audit Services), which could include third-party notification.
**EXTERNAL PERFORMANCE AUDITS**

**Fieldwork Standards**

### CRITERIA

Auditors compare and evaluate actual performance against laws, regulations, contracts, grant agreements, standards, measures, expectations, defined business practices, and benchmarks. Specific criteria include:

- Purpose or goals prescribed by laws or regulations
- Policies and procedures
- Technically developed standards or norms
- Expert opinions
- Prior periods of performance
- Performance of similar entities
- Performance in private sector
- Best practices of leading organizations

### COMMUNICATION WITH MANAGEMENT

Auditors communicate information about the nature of the audit to all parties involved in the audit to help them understand the objectives, time frames, and any data needs. Auditors communicate in writing via an engagement letter and obtain signatures of all responsible parties. Auditors may accept email correspondence as approval agreements from auditees.

### SUPERVISION

IASs provide guidance to auditors throughout the engagement to help ensure that audit objectives are met and that the audit is conducted in accordance with auditing standards. The nature and extent of supervision depend upon the complexity of the audit and the experience level of the auditor.

Audit staff meets with the EAB management at least monthly to review the status of audits, answer questions, and review potential findings and recommendations.

IASs review workpapers and document their review by initialing the workpapers. The IASs’ first-level review is a detailed review of the work performed to ensure that work was adequately performed and that workpapers adequately support audit findings, conclusions, and recommendations.
**SUPERVISION (CONT.)**
The AM performs a final quality-control review on all performance audits, including the workpapers.

**EVIDENCE**
EAB auditors obtain sufficient, appropriate evidence to provide a reasonable basis for their findings and conclusions. EAB auditors shall adhere to the following guidelines:

- Rely more heavily on evidence when internal controls are strong
- Obtain evidence through direct physical examination, observation, computation, and inspection
- Review original documents only at the auditee’s location, not elsewhere (unless preapproved by the AM)
- Interview key personnel

**AUDIT DOCUMENTATION**
EAB auditors prepare and maintain audit documentation that would enable an experienced auditor who has had no previous connection with the audit to ascertain from the documentation the evidence that supports the auditors’ significant judgments and conclusions. Audit documentation supports findings, conclusions, and recommendations and therefore contains the following:

- Objectives, scope, and methodology of the audit, including sampling and other selection criteria used
- Auditors’ determination that certain standards do not apply or that an applicable standard was not followed, the reasons thereof, and the known effect that not following the applicable standard had or could have had on the audit
- Work performed to support significant judgments and conclusions (descriptions of transactions and records examined)
- Evidence of supervisory review that supports findings and conclusions of audit report

**Note:** This review is before issuance of the audit report. EAB maintains electronic records in TeamMate indefinitely.
Section
EXTERNAL PERFORMANCE AUDITS
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GAGAS REPORTING STANDARDS

The reporting standards for performance audits relate to the following components of the report:

- Form
- Contents
- Quality
- Issuance and Distribution

REPORT FORM

The External Audit Branch (EAB) auditors prepare audit reports that communicate the results of each audit. The report shall be appropriate for its intended use, be issued in writing, and be addressed to the appropriate management and consultant personnel and the appropriate Kentucky Transportation Cabinet (Cabinet) personnel.

The report shall:

- Communicate the results of audits to management and other interested parties
- Provide recommendations for improvement to management when appropriate
- Make the results available for public inspection if requested
- Facilitate follow-up to determine whether appropriate corrective actions have been taken
Audit reports provide context and perspective, document the nature and extent of the audit work performed, and explain any significant limitations to report users. EAB auditors include the following in the report:

- **Audit Objectives**—The EAB auditors shall clearly:
  - State specific, neutral, and unbiased objectives that include relevant assumptions
  - Explain why they undertook the assignment, what the report is to accomplish, and why the subject matter is important

- **Audit Scope**—The EAB auditors shall clearly:
  - Describe the scope of the work they conducted to accomplish the audit’s objectives
  - Explain the relationship between what was sampled and what was audited, if sampling was involved
  - Describe the scope of work performed, any limitations, and any applicable standards they did not follow and the reasons for not following them
  - Identify locations and time frames of the audit
  - Note the type of evidence obtained and any limitations encountered during the audit

- **Audit Methodology**—The EAB auditors shall clearly:
  - Explain how they accomplished the audit objectives, which includes referencing the evidence gathered and the analysis techniques used
  - Explain any significant assumptions they made when performing the audit
  - Describe the criteria used and the design of the sample if findings include exceptions found in testing and sampling
  - Explain why they chose the sample and whether the results can be projected to the population
REPORT CONTENTS
(cont.)

- **Audit Results**—EAB auditors shall determine results (including applicable findings, conclusions, and recommendations) as follows:

  ♦ **Findings**—The EAB auditors shall report findings and conclusions by providing sufficient, appropriate evidence related to the audit objectives.

  Elements of a finding include the condition, criteria, cause, and effect. As necessary, the EAB auditors shall develop these elements to assist management in understanding the need for taking corrective action. For performance audits, however, the elements needed for a finding depend on the audit objectives. Therefore, for performance audits, a finding is complete to the extent that it satisfies the audit objectives, and the report clearly relates those objectives to the elements of the finding. Performance audit objectives include the following:

  - **Condition** explains the situation the auditors found. The EAB auditors help management gain a better perspective by explaining the scope or extent of the condition.

  - **Criteria** detail the required, expected, or desired state of the program or operation. Common sources include laws, regulations, policies, procedures, etc.

  - **Cause** provides factors responsible for the difference between the condition and the criteria. Sometimes the cause serves as a basis for the recommendation.

  - **Effect** provides a clear, logical connection to establish the impact of the difference between what the auditors found and what should be. The effect is easier to understand when it is stated clearly, concisely, and, if possible, in quantifiable terms.

  ♦ **Recommendation**—When necessary, the EAB auditors shall recommend actions to correct problems identified during the audit and to improve programs and operations. Recommendations shall be practical, feasible, cost effective, and measurable. Recommendations shall follow report findings and conclusions, particularly when significant instances of possible fraud, illegal acts, or violations of contracts or grant agreements are noted, or when abuse or deficiencies in internal control were found.
The EAB auditors shall also report deficiencies in internal control that are significant within the context of the objectives of the audit, all instances of fraud and illegal acts, significant violations of provisions of contracts or grant agreements, and significant abuses that have occurred or are likely to have occurred.

The EAB auditors shall include deficiencies found in internal control in the report to management unless the deficiencies are clearly inconsequential on the basis of both qualitative and quantitative factors. When auditors detect deficiencies in internal control that are not significant to the objectives of the audit, they may include those deficiencies in the report or communicate those in writing to management unless clearly inconsequential both qualitatively and quantitatively. The EAB auditors shall refer to the written communication in the audit report if the written communication is separate from the audit report.

Upon concluding that fraud, illegal acts, significant violations of contracts or grant agreements, or significant abuses have occurred or are likely to occur, EAB auditors shall include the relevant information in the audit report. Sections 9.29—9.44 of the Generally Accepted Government Auditing Standards (GAGAS) provide further information.

- **Citations of Compliance with GAGAS**—The EAB auditors shall report that they conducted the performance audit in accordance with GAGAS. If the auditors did not follow an applicable standard, they shall qualify the GAGAS statement in the report. The auditors shall disclose in the “Scope” section of the report the applicable standard they did not follow, the reasons for not following it, and the effect of not following the standard had or could have had on the results of the audit.

- **Views of Responsible Management**—The EAB auditors shall:
  - Send all draft comments to management for response
  - Request that management responses be in writing and returned within 15 calendar days
  - State fairly and objectively their reasons for disagreeing with the auditee’s comments or planned corrective actions if such disagreement occurs
  - Report management views concerning auditors’ findings, conclusions, and recommendations, as well as planned corrective actions
If management refuses to provide comments or is unable to provide comments within a reasonable period of time, the auditors may issue the report without receiving comments from management. In such cases, the auditors shall indicate in the report that management did not provide comments.

- **Omission of Privileged and Confidential Information**—If prohibited from reporting certain pertinent information, the EAB auditors shall state in their report the nature of the information omitted and the requirement that makes the omission necessary (for example, computer security). When appropriate, the EAB Manager (AM) shall consult with the Executive Director of the Office of Audits who will then consult with the Office of Legal Services regarding any concerns with privileged and confidential information prior to report issuance.

**REPORT QUALITY**

The report shall be as timely, complete, accurate, objective, persuasive, clear, and concise as possible.

- **Timely**—The audit report shall provide relevant, current information in time to respond to the legitimate needs of the auditee’s management, legislative officials, and other users. With this goal in mind, auditors shall plan for the appropriate issuance date of the report as they conduct the audit.

- **Complete**—The audit report shall contain all evidence needed to satisfy the audit objectives and to promote an adequate and correct understanding of the matters reported.

- **Accurate**—The audit report shall contain only credible and reliable evidence. One inaccuracy in a report can lead to doubt on the reliability of the entire report and can distract attention from the substance of the report. The report shall include only the information, findings, and conclusions supported by sufficient, competent, and relevant evidence in the audit workpapers.

- **Objective**—The audit report shall:
  - Be fair and balanced
  - Encourage management to act on the auditors’ findings and recommendations
  - Recognize the positive aspects of the program reviewed if applicable to the audit objectives
REPORT QUALITY (cont.)

- **Persuasive**—The audit results shall be responsive to the audit objectives, the findings shall be persuasive, and the conclusions and recommendations shall follow logically from the evidence presented.

- **Clear**—The audit report shall be easy to read and understand. The EAB auditors shall use straightforward, nontechnical language wherever possible. When using technical terms, abbreviations, and acronyms, auditors shall clearly define them. For lengthy reports, auditors shall consider using an Executive Summary that highlights the overall message.

- **Concise**—The audit report shall be no longer than necessary to convey and support the message.

ISSUANCE & DISTRIBUTION OF AUDIT REPORT

The AM shall submit audit reports to the following:

- Appropriate management of the audited entities
- Appropriate management of the organizations requiring or arranging for the audits

The AM shall submit copies of the reports to the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) when requested or when applicable.
The Contract Audit Branch (CAB) is responsible for performing audits on the Kentucky Transportation Cabinet’s (Cabinet) oversight of contracts with external vendors, contractors, or subrecipients performing services for the Cabinet. The CAB also performs internal audits of Cabinet operations as needed or requested. The CAB reports to the Director or Assistant Director of the Division of Audit Services. The Executive Director of the Office of Audits oversees all audits the CAB performs.

CAB audits provide Cabinet management with information on the millions of dollars the Cabinet spends annually through various contracts. The CAB determines whether the vendor, contractor, or subrecipient is complying with the terms of the agreement or contract as well as with state and federal laws and regulations. The CAB also audits expenditures paid to the external parties to ensure proper billing and payment. During the course of the audit, the CAB examines whether the Cabinet is following procurement procedures and whether monitoring procedures are effective. The CAB recommends improvements to Cabinet management if weaknesses are noted.

The CAB conducts its engagements in accordance with Generally Accepted Government Auditing Standards (GAGAS) contained in Government Auditing Standards (GAS) issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, also called the “Yellow Book.” When necessary, auditors consult standards issued by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants (AICPA) and the Institute of Internal Auditors (IIA).

The CAB performs mostly performance audits, and can perform a variety of other engagements, including attestation engagements consisting of reviews, examinations, and agreed-upon procedures audits.

A typical contract audit includes the following actions:

- Reviewing contracts or agreements to obtain understanding on the purpose and scope of the service
Responsibilities (cont.)

- Reviewing Cabinet procurement or bid process to ensure the contract or agreement was properly procured and they complied with applicable laws and regulations as well as Cabinet policies and procedures.
- Reviewing external party compliance with applicable Federal/State laws and contract/agreement compliance.
- Reviewing Cabinet monitoring process with the contract or agreement to ensure the service was provided as specified in the contract and the vendor, contractor, or subrecipient complied with applicable federal and state laws and regulations as well as Cabinet policies and procedures.
- Reviewing Cabinet processes, as they relate to the contract or agreement, to ensure they operate effectively and efficiently.
- Reviewing expenditures on the contract or agreement to ensure the Cabinet paid for the service that was due.
- Reporting to the Cabinet Secretary and management, noting any contract noncompliance, weakness or areas of improvement.

Professional Conduct

The CAB staff shall conduct themselves in a professional manner at all times. CAB auditors shall:

- Be cognizant of professional relationships formed with both external and internal personnel and organizations.
- Treat Cabinet employees and outside entities with respect, courtesy, and dignity.
- Become familiar with and abide by Cabinet personnel policies and procedures.
- Avoid using confidential information obtained in their professional capacity for personal gain or in a manner that would be detrimental to the Cabinet or the public interest.
- Adhere to applicable standards, laws, and regulations as prescribed by professional organizations, legislative bodies, or governmental agencies.
Professional Conduct (cont.)

- Identify and report concerns found in the course of their work
- Obtain sufficient evidential matter before expressing an opinion or conclusion
- Maintain credibility at all times
- Give advice or information only when confident in its accuracy
- Present all recommendations to the internal audit supervisor (IAS) and audit manager (AM) for review before submitting to the auditee
- Protect the original documentation while in possession of it and not remove it from the auditee site (unless approved by the AM and auditee, when necessary)

Internal Audit Committee (IAC)

The CAB management staff discusses reports issued within the last quarter, highlights important findings, and reports those findings to the IAC. The IAC then decides if the contract audit reports (and reports from the Office of the Inspector General) require further actions. AUD-104-1 further details the IAC’s responsibilities and membership.
AUDIT TEAM

OVERVIEW

An audit team is a group of two or more individuals selected to perform an engagement and is responsible for auditing contracts in the Kentucky Transportation Cabinet (Cabinet). Depending upon the circumstances surrounding the engagement, the audit team can have a wide variety of experience, ranging from new auditors to experts with a specialized background, but must collectively have sufficient competence to ensure that audit objectives are met.

AUDITORS

Auditors are the “eyes and ears” of the Contract Audit Branch (CAB). Everything that the CAB accomplishes is built upon the work performed by CAB auditors. CAB management assigns engagements to auditors, who are responsible for preparing workpapers to document the work performed, designing tests to meet audit objectives, and writing reports to communicate audit results. Auditors have a wide range of experience levels, from the auditor I who may not have any auditing experience to the auditor IV who has a minimum of three years of auditing experience. Auditors typically report to the internal audit supervisor (IAS), but may report to other levels of CAB management on some engagements.

INTERNAL AUDIT SUPERVISOR (IAS)

Whether an audit is carried out by a team or an individual, an IAS will be responsible for the day-to-day affairs of the engagement. The IAS provides guidance and direction to auditors throughout the engagement. Upon completion of the fieldwork and report, the IAS performs a thorough first-level review of the workpapers to ensure accuracy and completeness. The IAS typically reports to the audit manager (AM). The IAS will also perform audits for the branch, which will be supervised directly by the AM.
AUDIT MANAGER (AM) The AM is responsible for all aspects of engagements assigned to the branch. The AM develops the annual audit plan submitted to the internal audit committee (IAC) for approval. The AM will assign an IAS to conduct a thorough first-level review of the workpapers to ensure the accuracy and completeness of the audit. The AM will then complete a second-level quality control review of the audit to ensure that the workpapers meet all required standards and that the report is ready for issue as either a draft or final.

Audits performed by the IAS will receive a thorough first-level review by the AM and a second-level quality control review by the Director or Assistant Director of the Division of Audit Services. The AM, or designee, signs or issues all reports. The AM provides guidance and direction to all branch staff. The AM reports to the Director or Assistant Director of the Division of Audit Services and the Executive Director of the Office of Audits.

DIRECTOR OF DIVISION OF AUDIT SERVICES The Director, or Assistant Director in absence of the Director, of the Division of Audit Services is responsible for the general guidance and direction of the audits that occur within the division. The director reviews reports (and workpapers on occasion) for understandability and appropriateness as well as to serve as a quality control review to ensure that CAB work meets applicable audit standards. The director reports to the Executive Director of the Office of Audits.

OFFICE OF AUDIT’S EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR The Executive Director of the Office of Audits serves as the Chief Audit Executive (CAE) and provides guidance and oversight to the CAB to ensure that the branch fulfills its mission of serving as an independent, objective contract/internal audit function designed to add value and improve contract and Cabinet operations. The CAE reviews and approves audit reports prior to issuance. The CAE reports administratively to the Office of the Secretary and functionally to the IAC.

Executive director responsibilities include:

- Communicating concerns to the Director of the Division of Audit Services
- Reviewing and approving the Annual Audit Plan for the CAB
- Presenting the Annual Audit Plan to the IAC
OFFICE OF AUDIT’S EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR (cont.)

- Communicating audit issues, concerns, and updates to CAB management as needed
- Reviewing and approving individual preliminary audit plans to ensure procedures meet audit objectives
- Attending risk assessments where needed
- Performing a final quality control review of audit reports prior to issuance to ensure they are prepared in accordance with applicable professional auditing standards and other appropriate directives such as policies and procedures
- Performing administrative tasks such as reviewing timesheets, approving leave requests, and conducting evaluations
- Managing budgetary aspects of the office
- Serving as liaison, or designating a liaison, with the Auditor of Public Accounts
- Serving as liaison, or designating a liaison, with the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)
- Serving as American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (AASHTO) Subcommittee on Internal and External Audit voting member representing Kentucky
GENERAL STANDARDS

The Contract Audit Branch (CAB) performs audits in accordance with Generally Accepted Government Auditing Standards (GAGAS) contained in Government Auditing Standards (GAS) by the U.S. Government Accountability Office, also known as the “Yellow Book.” GAGAS establishes general standards and provides guidance for performing financial audits, attestation engagements, and performance audits. These ensure the credibility of auditors’ results, which is essential to all audit organizations performing government engagements and audits.

General standards consist of the following:

- Independence of the audit organization and individual auditors assigned to an audit
- Use of professional judgment in the performance of work and the preparation of related reports, including the exercise of reasonable care and professional skepticism
- Competence of audit staff, including continuing professional education
- System of quality control, including external peer review

INDEPENDENCE

According to Section 3.18 of GAGAS, the general standard related to independence states the following:

“In all matters relating to the GAGAS engagement, auditors and audit organizations must be independent from the audited entity.”

GAGAS 3.17 through 3.117 provides more specific information regarding threats, safeguards to independence, and documentation of the audit group’s consideration of independence.
The CAB is free from organizational impairments in that the CAB reports to the Internal Audit Committee, as well as to the Kentucky Transportation Cabinet (Cabinet) Secretary. The CAB is accountable to the following:

- Internal Audit Committee
- Cabinet Secretary
- Chief Audit Executive (Executive Director, Office of Audits)
- Director (or Assistant Director in absence of Director), Division of Audit Services

CAB auditors are free from political pressures and can conduct their audits and engagements objectively and report their findings, opinions, and conclusions objectively.

All CAB auditors, including the audit manager (AM), complete independence statements once a year, and they update them as needed throughout the year. The AM scans these statements into the network drive. Auditors also confirm the independence of all team members as a step in the audit program in each engagement conducted. These independence statements cover both personal and external impairments.

The GAGAS general standard related to professional judgment as outlined in Sections 3.109—3.117 states:

“Auditors must use professional judgment in planning and conducting the engagement and in reporting the results.”

To comply with the GAGAS general standard related to professional judgment, CAB auditors shall adhere to the following guidelines:

- Conduct themselves in a professional manner at all times
- Maintain the highest degree of integrity, objectivity, and independence in applying professional judgment to all aspects of their work
- Exercise reasonable care when performing work, which involves acting diligently in accordance with applicable professional standards and ethical principles
- Exercise professional skepticism, which involves a questioning mind and a critical assessment of evidence
PROFESSIONAL JUDGMENT (CONT.)

Exercising reasonable care and using professional judgment assists auditors in detecting material misstatements or noncompliance.

COMPETENCE

The GAGAS standard related to competence is Section 4.02—4.15.

CAB auditors shall adhere to the following competencies:

- Have a bachelor’s degree that includes 20 semester hours or 30 quarter hours in accounting
- Competently apply auditing standards to the engagement assigned
- Communicate clearly and effectively
- Comply with the continuing professional education (CPE) requirements set forth in GAGAS

The Office of Audits maintains a CPE file for all auditors and monitors this file to ensure compliance.

The Cabinet provides CAB auditors various types of training, including on-the-job training, classroom training, and self-study materials.

The Cabinet encourages participation in professional organizations.

QUALITY CONTROL & ASSURANCE

The GAGAS general standard related to quality control and assurance can be found in Sections 5.02 through 5.59.

To comply with these standards, the Cabinet’s Office of Audits employs the following practices:

- The Executive Director of the Office of Audits serves as the Cabinet’s Chief Audit Executive (CAE) and plays an active role in the activities of the CAB.
- An audit supervisor reviews the workpapers upon completion of an engagement, if applicable.
- The CAB Manager conducts a thorough review of the workpapers, as well as the report.
QUALITY CONTROL & ASSURANCE (CONT.)

➢ The Director of the Division of Audit Services and CAE perform a quality-control review of the report and, when necessary, the workpapers.

➢ The CAB requests an external peer review every 3 years and participates in the American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials’ (AASHTO’s) peer-review process, which is based on audit standards promulgated by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants (AICPA) and GAGAS.
CONTRACT AUDITS

Engagement Types

OVERVIEW

The Contract Audit Branch (CAB) performs three types of engagements. The type of the engagement chosen depends upon the engagement objectives and the level of assurance needed. Below is a brief description of each engagement type.

Contract Audit Branch (CAB) staff members execute performance audits, but may also perform other engagement types, as needed.

Audits are conducted in accordance with Government Auditing Standards. Regardless of the engagement type, much of the processes and workflow followed by CAB follows a similar format. For example, every engagement normally includes planning, fieldwork, and completion sections. Performance audit planning, fieldwork, and completion procedures appear in sections AUD-406 through AUD-407.

The CAB conducts performance audits. Section 8.01-8.02 of Generally Accepted Government Auditing Standards (GAGAS) describes performance audits.

Performance audits are very structured, normally provide the greatest level of assurance, and often require the most time. These engagements may be used in various ways, including, but not limited to, the following:

- Reviewing contractor information to see if it is fulfilling contract terms and conditions
- Determining if internal controls are designed and implemented correctly
- Determining if staff are following procedures established in Kentucky Transportation Cabinet (Cabinet) policies and procedures manuals
- Determining if contracts are being operated in accordance with statutes, regulations, and federal requirements
OVERVIEW (CONT.)

The CAB conducts performance audits in accordance with GAGAS standards from Chapter 8, “Fieldwork Standards,” and Chapter 9, “Reporting Standards.” Auditors shall follow and use standard CAB performance audit workpapers when doing these engagements. Additional requirements for these may be found in AUD-406-2 and AUD-406-3.

SPECIAL REQUESTS

During the year, the CAB often receives special requests to perform audits. These requests can come from Cabinet management or executive management; however, each instance may not require an audit. When these requests are received, CAB management discovers what the requestor would like for the CAB to verify and then determines the engagement type based upon the nature, timing, and extent of work the requestor would like performed.
RISK ASSESSMENT

The Contract Audit Branch (CAB) Manager conducts an ongoing risk assessment of the Kentucky Transportation Cabinet (Cabinet) contracts to determine the Audit Plan.

The following are the suggested procedures for developing the audit plan with recommended timeframe:

- Email Cabinet management (managers and above) annually to ask if they would like the CAB to review any areas on their behalf. (April – May)

- Prepare an eMARS report at least annually of vendors and/or contracts to perform an analysis of the amount of contracts received, including the amount of each vendor, division, and district. (May)

CAB management should also review and consider the following when developing ideas for the plan:

- Concerns noted in conversations and emails from Cabinet staff
- Observations and concerns noted in other audits
- Prior experience with the vendor, division, or district, including prior year audit findings and management corrective action
- Potential for fraud

AUDIT PLAN

Engagements should be selected primarily based upon risk as well as potential impact (those providing the most benefit for the Cabinet).

The risk assessment and audit plan should be maintained on the N drive.
ENGAGEMENT SCHEDULING

There are two factors to consider when developing the audit plan and engagement scheduling:

1. Hours available for audit staff
2. Engagement timing for auditee

Engagement timing for auditee

When planning the timing of engagements, CAB management and auditors should be conscious of auditee schedules and deadlines. For example, the following units are very busy during the following times:

- Division of Accounts during fiscal year closeout (late June—early July)
- Division of Construction Procurement during (March—May)
- Division of Accounts, Program Billing Branch during Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards (SEFA) preparation and project closeout (September—October)
- Maintenance crews during snow and ice removal season
- Construction crews during the summer months

This does not mean that work cannot be performed with these auditees during these timeframes, but auditors should be sensitive to auditees’ work demands and work around their schedules as much as possible. This could involve auditors pulling files themselves, saving questions for a later date, changing the timing of requests or procedures, etc.

If an auditee is not cooperating with the auditor, the auditor should discuss the concern with the Internal Audit Supervisor (IAS) or Audit Manager (AM) to seek resolution.

IAC REVIEW OF AUDIT PLAN

The Internal Audit Branch (IAB) management submits the completed preliminary audit plan to the Executive Director of the Office of Audits, who, upon approval, submits it to the Internal Audit Committee (IAC) members in early June. This affords the committee members the time to review the plan and prepare their ideas and feedback for discussion in the June/July IAC meeting.
AUDIT PLANNING

OVERVIEW

Auditors shall plan each audit to reduce audit risk to an appropriate level in order to provide reasonable assurance that the evidence obtained is sufficient and appropriate to support the auditors' findings and conclusions. This determination is a matter of professional judgment. In planning the audit, auditors shall assess significance and audit risk and apply these assessments in defining the audit objectives and the scope and methodology to address those objectives.

PRELIMINARY

RESEARCH

Scheduling and holding the entrance conference may take a few days to a few weeks. While waiting for the entrance conference, auditors may begin preliminary research on the audit; however, they shall not begin fieldwork until after the entrance conference is held.

The preliminary research stage of an engagement allows the auditor to become familiar with contract terms, laws and regulations, and Kentucky Transportation Cabinet (Cabinet) policies and procedures for the audit. The preliminary research information reviewed helps develop the scope and specific objectives for the engagement. Information obtained and reviewed during this phase may include, but is not limited to, the following:

- Contract and any modifications or addendums
- Organizational charts
- Financial budgets
- Policies, procedures, and control processes
- Recent developments and practices in the department
- Federal and state regulations
- Prior engagement issues and concerns
PRELIMINARY RESEARCH (CONT.)

- Information provided by the Office of Inspector General (OIG) and the Office of Legal Services (to ensure that the engagement will not interfere with any of their investigations or legal proceedings)

UNDERSTANDING OF THE PROGRAM

Auditors obtain as much information about the program as possible to assess relevant risks associated with the program and the impact on the audit objectives, scope, and methodology. This understanding includes consideration of the following:

- Laws, regulations, and provisions of contracts or grant agreements that have a direct and material impact on the program
- Purpose and goals of the program
- Program policies and procedures
- Internal controls
- Efforts or amount of resources (money, material, personnel, etc.) dedicated to the program
- Program operations
- Outputs, such as the quantity of goods or services produced by the program
- Outcomes, accomplishments, or results of the program

INTERNAL CONTROL

The need for effective internal control is paramount. Auditors shall obtain an understanding of internal controls that are significant within the context of audit objectives. Some characteristics of internal control objectives that auditors may consider helpful in determining significance include the following:

- Effectiveness and efficiency of program operations (by examining policies and procedures that ensure the program meets its objectives)
- Validity and reliability of data (by examining policies and procedures that ensure valid and reliable data are obtained, maintained, and fairly disclosed in reports)
- Compliance with applicable laws and regulations and provisions of contracts or grant agreements (by examining policies and procedures that ensure compliance of program implementation)
- Safeguarding of assets and resources
INTERNAL CONTROL
(cont.)

Auditors may make inquiries and observations, inspect documents and records, and review other auditors’ reports to obtain their understanding of internal controls. The results of these procedures are used in evaluating both the design and implementation of controls by management.

DOCUMENTATION OF PLANNING

Auditors shall adequately plan each engagement and ensure that audit planning is sufficiently documented in the workpapers. The planning section of each engagement shall include the following:

- Significance of various programs and needs of potential users of the report
- Understanding of the program, entity, and environment to be audited
- Understanding of internal control as it relates to the specific objectives and scope of the audit
- Understanding of significant information systems that relate to the audit objectives or program under audit
- Understanding and assessment of the risks for significant errors, misstatements, or fraud and their potential impact on the program under audit, as well as procedures to address these risks
- Procedures to detect significant misstatements or noncompliance with legal and regulatory requirements, contract provisions, or grant agreements
- Criteria to evaluate audit issues
- Consideration of results of prior audits
- Sources of audit evidence
- Consideration of whether the work of other auditors and experts may be useful
- Assignment of appropriate and sufficient staff and specialists (if necessary) to ensure the engagement team has the collective professional competence to ensure audit objectives are met
- Communication of general information concerning the audit to management officials responsible for program being audited
- Preparation of an audit plan or an altered audit plan
COMMUNICATION WITH MANAGEMENT

Auditors communicate information about the nature of the audit to all parties involved in the audit to help them understand the objectives, time frames, and any data needs. Auditors communicate in writing via an engagement letter and obtain signatures of all responsible parties. Auditors may accept email correspondence as approval agreements from auditees.

ENTRANCE CONFERENCE

Prior to performing fieldwork on an engagement, the Contract Audit Branch’s (CAB) audit team will hold an entrance conference in person, through video/phone conference, or through email with management and staff of the audit area that have the operational and reporting responsibility to take corrective action on any proposed recommendations. The entrance conference sets the tone for the audit and provides an opportunity to discuss the following areas:

- Overview of the audit
- Scope and objectives of the audit
- Audit staff assigned to the audit
- Primary auditee contacts
- High-level overview of how processes work within the scope of the audit
- Identification of the regulations that govern the audit area
- Areas of concern in the auditee’s internal controls
- Management’s knowledge of any fraud, waste, or abuse
- Opportunities for improvement in processes, policies, or procedures
- Ways that the CAB may assist or help auditee management during the audit

During the entrance conference, the audit manager or internal audit supervisor will deliver an engagement letter to the responsible member of the auditee management for their review and signature.

The entrance conference significantly influences the commitment and level of cooperation throughout the audit process and helps to prevent any misunderstandings on the audit scope and objectives that could affect the efficiency and effectiveness of the audit.
**FRAUD, ABUSE, & NONCOMPLIANCE**

Auditors design the audit to provide reasonable assurance of detecting fraud, abuse, and noncompliance that is material to the program being audited. The following conditions may indicate a heightened risk of fraud:

- Management failing to enforce existing internal control or provide adequate oversight
- Inadequate separation of duties
- Unusual transactions not satisfactorily explained
- Instances of employees’ refusal to take vacations or accept promotions
- Missing or altered documents or unexplained delays in providing information
- False or misleading information
- History of impropriety

Auditors consider these characteristics as a part of the risk assessment and fraud brainstorming that is performed for every performance audit during the planning phase. As significant risks are identified, procedures are developed around them (when applicable) to reduce the risk of failing to detect material concerns to an acceptable level.

During fieldwork, if material fraud, abuse, illegal acts, or noncompliance is detected, audit procedures shall be modified as needed, such as performing additional procedures to determine the extent of the concern. Auditors shall immediately speak with the IAS and AM regarding any concerns in this area. The AM will then determine the appropriate course of action (which could include third-party notification). Additional fieldwork procedures are described in **AUD-406-2**.

**RISK ASSESSMENT MEETING**

Once the scope of the audit has been determined, auditors identify and assess the risks associated with the contract, department, or program (auditee) under audit. Risk and fraud assessments should accomplish the following:

- Identify and assess the potential material risks facing the auditee or contract
- Identify and consider the controls or procedures in place to prevent or mitigate such threats
RISK ASSESSMENT MEETING (CONT.)

- Include a vulnerability assessment (high, moderate, or low) of the audit risks and controls

A risk assessment helps auditors determine the nature, timing, and extent of the procedures to be performed during the engagement to help reduce audit risk to an appropriately low level. This allows auditors to address the most vulnerable areas and conduct more cost-effective, beneficial, and timely audits.

Auditors shall document their risk and fraud assessments based on information gathered during the preliminary planning of the engagement, including, for example:

- Interviews with management and other key personnel
- Internal control observations
- Prior experience with management or the program under audit
- Analytical procedures

In conducting risk and fraud assessments, auditors:

- Identify the risks and threats associated with the area or activity under review
- Evaluate the likelihood of risk occurrence
- Evaluate the magnitude of the risk occurrence
- Determine the inherent risk associated with the identified threats
- Assess whether the existing external controls will prevent, detect, or correct instances when threats actually occur
- Document their response to the risk

Auditors consider several risk factors in these risk assessments. Examples include:

- Misstatements, whether due to errors or fraud
- Complexity of the program
RISK ASSESSMENT MEETING (CONT.)

- Newness of program
- Inadequate segregation of duties
- Management levels of competence and oversight
- Political pressures
- Inadequate internal/external controls
- Risk of noncompliance with laws, rules, or regulations and its potential material effect on the auditee’s operations
- Risk of significant abuse or illegal acts
- Employee or vendor collusion
- Threats to computer-processed data, if computer processed data is an important or integral part of the audit and the reliability of the data is crucial to accomplishing audit objectives

**Note:** In these situations, auditors consult with the internal audit supervisor (IAS) and audit manager (AM) to determine the need for specialized IT audit assistance.

When considering the magnitude of risks, auditors need to consider the quantitative and qualitative aspects. Sometimes there are risks that may not be quantitatively significant; however, they have qualitative aspects such as safety, public sensitivity, etc. After completing the risk assessment auditors shall schedule a meeting with the entire audit team as well as audit management to review and discuss the list of potential risks or threats and include any additional risks and threats to the list.

FRAUD BRAINSTORMING MEETING

Auditors conduct a “brainstorming” session in every audit to consider potential fraud risks and to emphasize the importance of professional skepticism throughout the entire audit process. When carefully planned and managed, brainstorming can lead to many high-quality ideas about possible fraud risks that audit team members may not have identified individually. The Office of Inspector General (OIG) or designee may also attend fraud-brainstorming meetings.
FRAUD BRAINSTORMING MEETING (CONT.)

All members of the audit team (staff auditors and management) meet during the planning stages and during the course of the audit to:

- Exchange ideas about how and where they believe the auditee’s program may be susceptible to fraud
- Discuss how management or staff could perpetrate and conceal fraudulent activities or the misappropriation of assets

Many times, the risk assessment and fraud brainstorming meetings will be held at the same time.

PRELIMINARY AUDIT PLAN

Auditors shall plan each audit to reduce audit risk to an appropriate level in order to provide reasonable assurance that the evidence obtained is sufficient and appropriate to support the auditors' findings and conclusions. This determination is a matter of professional judgment. In planning the audit, auditors shall assess significance and audit risk and apply these assessments in defining the audit objectives and the scope and methodology to address those objectives.

The AM and internal audit supervisor (IAS) work with the auditors to help assess risk and define audit objectives, as well as determine the scope and methodology to achieve those objectives. The objectives and procedures to be performed shall be documented in a preliminary audit plan.

The preliminary audit plan and related procedures are reviewed and approved by the IAS, AM, Director (or Assistant Director) of the Division of Audit Services, and Executive Director of Office of Audits.
The Contract Audit Branch (CAB) conducts performance audits to address specific objectives regarding economy, efficiency, and effectiveness of Cabinet contracts as well as adequacy of controls and compliance with policies, procedures, and state and federal laws and regulations. The CAB Audit Manager (AM), in consultation with the Director of Division of Audit Services and Executive Director of the Office of Audits, determines the contracts to audit based on risk assessment, user needs, and available staffing.

The fieldwork standards for performance audits are detailed in Chapter 8 of Generally Accepted Government Auditing Standards (GAGAS) and relate to the following:

- Planning the audit
- Supervising staff
- Obtaining sufficient and appropriate evidence
- Preparing audit documentation

Auditors compare and evaluate actual performance against laws, regulations, contracts, grant agreements, standards, measures, expectations, defined business practices, and benchmarks. Specific criteria include:

- Purpose or goals prescribed by laws or regulations
- Policies and procedures
- Technically developed standards or norms
- Expert opinions
CRITERIA (CONT.)

- Prior periods of performance
- Performance of similar entities
- Performance in private sector
- Best practices of leading organizations

COMMUNICATION WITH MANAGEMENT

Auditors communicate information about the nature of the audit to all parties involved in the audit to help them understand the objectives, time frames, and any data needs. Auditors may accept email correspondence as approval agreements from auditees.

SUPERVISION

Internal audit supervisors (IAS) provide guidance to auditors throughout the engagement to help ensure that audit objectives are met and that the audit is conducted in accordance with auditing standards. The nature and extent of supervision depend upon the complexity of the audit and the experience level of the auditor.

Audit staff meets with the IAS, AM, Director of the Division of Audit Services, and Executive Director of the Office of Audits at least every two weeks to review the status of audits, answer questions, and review potential findings and recommendations.

IASs review workpapers and document their review by approving the workpapers in TeamMate. The IASs’ first-level review is a detailed review of the work performed to ensure that work was adequately performed and that workpapers adequately support audit findings, conclusions, and recommendations.

The AM performs a final quality-control review on all performance audits, including the workpapers.

EVIDENCE

CAB auditors obtain sufficient, appropriate evidence to provide a reasonable basis for their findings and conclusions.

IAB auditors:

- Rely more heavily on evidence when internal controls are strong
- Obtain evidence through direct physical examination, observation, computation, and inspection
EVIDENCE (CONT.)

- Review original documents only at the auditee’s location, not elsewhere (unless preapproved by the AM)
- Interview key personnel

AUDIT DOCUMENTATION

CAB auditors prepare and maintain audit documentation that would enable an experienced auditor who has had no previous connection with the audit to ascertain from the documentation the evidence that supports the auditors’ significant judgments and conclusions. Audit documentation supports findings, conclusions, and recommendations and therefore contains the following:

- Objectives, scope, and methodology of the audit, including sampling and other selection criteria used
- Auditors’ determination that certain standards do not apply or that an applicable standard was not followed, the reasons thereof, and the known effect that not following the applicable standard had or could have had on the audit
- Work performed to support significant judgments and conclusions (descriptions of transactions and records examined)
- Evidence of supervisory review that supports findings and conclusions of audit report

**Note:** This review is before issuance of the audit report.

CAB maintains electronic records in TeamMate indefinitely.
REPORTING STANDARDS

The reporting standards for performance audits relate to the following elements of the report:

- Form
- Contents
- Quality
- Issuance and Distribution

REPORT FORM

The Contract Audit Branch (CAB) auditors prepare audit reports that communicate the results of each audit. The report shall be appropriate for its intended use, be issued in writing, and be addressed to the appropriate department head of the auditee.

The report shall:

- Communicate the results of engagements to management and other interested parties
- Provide recommendations for improvement to management when appropriate
- Make the results available for public inspection if requested
- Facilitate follow-up to determine whether appropriate corrective actions have been taken
Audit reports provide context and perspective, document the nature and extent of the audit work performed, and explain any significant limitations to report users. The Internal Audit Branch (IAB) auditors include the following in the report:

- **Audit Objectives**—The CAB auditors shall clearly:
  - State specific, neutral, and unbiased objectives that include relevant assumptions
  - Explain why they undertook the assignment, what the report is to accomplish, and why the subject matter is important

- **Audit Scope**—The CAB auditors shall clearly:
  - Describe the scope of the work they conducted to accomplish the audit’s objectives
  - Explain the relationship between what was sampled and what was audited, if sampling was involved
  - Describe the scope of work performed, any limitations, and any applicable standards they did not follow and the reasons for not following them
  - Identify locations and time frames of the audit
  - Note the type of evidence obtained and any limitations encountered during the audit

- **Audit Methodology**—The CAB auditors shall clearly:
  - Explain how audit objectives were accomplished, referencing the evidence gathered and the analysis techniques used
  - Explain any significant assumptions made when performing the audit
  - Describe the criteria used and the design of the sample if findings include exceptions found in testing and sampling
  - Explain why the sample was chosen and whether the results can be projected to the population

- **Audit Results**—CAB auditors shall determine results (applicable findings, conclusions, and recommendations) as follows:
  - *Findings*—The CAB auditors shall report findings and conclusions by providing sufficient, appropriate evidence related to the audit objectives. The CAB auditors shall include background information to explain the significance of program and operations, as well as the auditee’s responsibilities.
Elements of a finding include the condition, criteria, cause, and effect. CAB auditors shall develop these elements as necessary to assist management in understanding the need for taking corrective action. For performance audits, however, the elements needed for a finding depend on the audit objectives. Therefore, for performance audits, a finding is complete to the extent that it satisfies the audit objectives, and the report clearly relates those objectives to the elements of the finding.

- **Condition** explains the situation the auditors found. The CAB auditors help management gain a better perspective by explaining the scope or extent of the condition.

- **Criteria** detail the required, expected, or desired state of the program or operation. Common sources include laws, regulations, policies, procedures, etc.

- **Cause** provides factors responsible for the difference between the condition and the criteria. Sometimes the cause serves as a basis for the recommendation.

- **Effect** provides a clear, logical connection to establish the impact of the difference between what the auditors found and what should be. The effect is easier to understand when it is stated clearly, concisely, and, if possible, in quantifiable terms.

- **Recommendation**—When necessary, the CAB auditors shall recommend actions to correct problems identified during the audit and to improve programs and operations. Recommendations shall be practical, feasible, cost effective, and measurable. Recommendations shall follow findings and conclusions in the report, particularly when significant instances of possible fraud, illegal acts, or violations of contracts or grant agreements are noted or when abuse or deficiencies in internal control were found.

- **Conclusions**—The IAB auditors shall clearly state their conclusions on the basis of their findings. Conclusions are stronger when the evidence supporting the findings is persuasive and the logic used to form the conclusions is sound.
The CAB auditors shall also report internal control deficiencies that are significant within the context of the objectives of the audit, all instances of fraud and illegal acts, significant violations of provisions of contracts or grant agreements, and significant abuses that have occurred or are likely to have occurred.

The CAB auditors shall include deficiencies found in internal control in the report to management unless the deficiencies are clearly inconsequential on the basis of both qualitative and quantitative factors. When auditors detect internal control deficiencies that are not significant to the objectives of the audit, they may include those deficiencies in the report or communicate those in writing to management unless clearly inconsequential both qualitatively and quantitatively. The CAB auditors shall refer to the written communication in the audit report if the written communication is separate from the audit report.

Upon concluding that fraud, illegal acts, significant violations of contracts or grant agreements, or significant abuses have occurred or are likely to occur, CAB auditors shall include the relevant information in the audit report. Generally Accepted Government Auditing Standards (GAGAS) Sections 9.29—9.44 provide further information.

- **Citations of Compliance with GAGAS**—The CAB auditors shall report that they conducted the performance audit in accordance with GAGAS. If the auditors did not follow an applicable standard, they shall qualify the GAGAS statement in the report. The auditors shall disclose in the “Scope” section of the report the applicable standard they did not follow, the reasons for not following it, and the effect that not following the standard had or could have had on the results of the audit.

- **Views of Responsible Management**—The CAB Manager (AM) shall:
  - Send all draft comments to the auditee’s management for response
  - Request that management responses be in writing and returned within seven calendar days
State fairly and objectively reasons for disagreeing with the auditee’s comments or planned corrective actions if such disagreement occurs.

Report management views concerning auditors’ findings, conclusions, and recommendations, as well as planned corrective actions.

If the auditee’s management refuses to provide comments or is unable to provide comments within a reasonable period of time, the auditors may issue the report without receiving comments from management. In such cases, the auditors shall indicate in the report that management did not provide comments.

- **Omission of Privileged and Confidential Information**—If prohibited from reporting certain pertinent information, the CAB auditors shall state in their report the nature of the information omitted and the requirement that makes the omission necessary (for example, computer security). When appropriate, the AM shall consult with the executive director who will then consult with the Office of Legal Services regarding any concerns with privileged and confidential information prior to report issuance.

**REPORT QUALITY**

The report shall be as timely, complete, accurate, objective, persuasive, clear, and concise as possible.

- **Timely**—The audit report shall provide relevant current information in time to respond to the legitimate needs of the auditee’s management, legislative officials, and other users. With this goal in mind, auditors shall plan for the appropriate issuance date of the report as they conduct the audit.

- **Complete**—The audit report shall contain all evidence needed to satisfy the audit objectives and to promote an adequate and correct understanding of the matters reported.

- **Accurate**—The audit report shall contain only credible and reliable evidence. One inaccuracy in a report can lead to doubt on the reliability of the entire report and can distract attention from the substance of the report. The report shall include only the information, findings, and conclusions supported by sufficient, competent, and relevant evidence in the audit workpapers.
REPORT CONTENTS (cont.)

- **Objective**—The audit report shall:
  - Be fair and balanced
  - Encourage management to act on the auditors’ findings and recommendations
  - Recognize the positive aspects of the program reviewed if applicable to the audit objectives

- **Persuasive**—The audit results shall be responsive to the audit objectives, the findings shall be persuasive, and the conclusions and recommendations shall follow logically from the evidence presented.

- **Clear**—The audit report shall be easy to read and understand. The IAB auditors shall use straightforward, nontechnical language wherever possible. When using technical terms, abbreviations, and acronyms, auditors shall clearly define them. For lengthy reports, auditors shall consider using an Executive Summary that highlights the overall message.

- **Concise**—The audit report shall be no longer than necessary to convey and support the message.

ISSUANCE & DISTRIBUTION OF AUDIT REPORT

The AM shall submit audit reports to the following:

- Appropriate management of the audited entities
- Appropriate management of the organizations requiring or arranging for the audits
- Kentucky Transportation Cabinet (Cabinet) Secretary
- Internal Audit Committee

The Director of Audit Services, upon agreement with the Executive Director of the Office of Audits, shall submit copies of the reports to the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA), Auditor of Public Accounts (APA), Office of Human Resource Management (OHRM), and Office of Inspector General (OIG) when requested or when applicable.
ISSUANCE & DISTRIBUTION OF AUDIT REPORT

The AM shall review all reports and workpapers before submitting the report to the Director of the Division of Audit Services and Executive Director of the Office of Audits for review. Upon the executive director’s concurrence, the AM shall send the draft report to the auditee for response, if findings are noted. The auditee shall have 7 calendar days to respond to the draft report. The CAB shall include the auditee’s response in the report.

If an amount owed by a Contractor will not be collected by the auditee, the CAB auditor will prepare a “Schedule of Questioned Costs”. In addition, the CAB auditor will draft a letter to the Contractor detailing the questioned costs as well as the Contractor appeal procedures. The Contractor can appeal audit findings by sending a letter to the Office of Audits within twenty (20) days of receipt of findings requesting a hearing pursuant to KRS 13b. The letter should thoroughly detail the grounds on which they believe the hearing is required.

If the (20) day appeal period has ended and a dispute letter has not been received from the Contractor, the AM will submit the form “Questioned Costs Email to Accounts” to the Division of Accounts to initiate billing and collection of the amounts owed. The necessary information will be provided on this form, including contract number, company name, report issuance date, appeal deadline date, and necessary financial information.

The AM will maintain a log of the amounts collected by the Cabinet, or paid by the Cabinet, as a result of the audits performed.

Note: Amounts to be collected by the auditee will be included in the follow-up procedures of the CAB auditor.

REPORT FOLLOW-UP

During planning of every audit the auditor shall follow-up on prior year findings and management corrective action for audits conducted by CAB that are relevant to their current audit and objectives. The IAS and AM should guide the auditor on how best to follow-up on prior audit management corrective action. The auditor shall develop a plan to follow-up on prior audits to be approved by the IAS, AM, director, and executive director.
During yearly audit plan work, the AM should consider whether prior corrective action should result in a repeat audit of an area or contract type to ensure Cabinet management has taken appropriate and timely corrective action.

If the CAB auditor and management has determined that sufficient follow-up action has not been taken and the correction action is not significant enough, the concern shall be brought to the attention of the director and executive director, who will report to the Internal Audit Committee.

**Note:** See AUD-405 and AUD-406 for information regarding Planning and prior year follow-up as well as Audit Plan considerations regarding prior year findings.
AUDIT COMPLETION

After the final report has been issued and released by the audit manager (AM), the AM completes a final review of the reports, workpapers, and documents in TeamMate pertinent to the audit to ensure accuracy and completeness before finalizing. This final review of the workpapers may include, but not be limited to, the following administrative tasks prior to being closed:

- Ensure any remaining TeamMate coaching notes have been responded to and closed. (Coaching notes are removed from the project during finalization.)

- Ensure the final audit report, with management’s response and auditor response (when applicable), is documented in the workpapers

- Ensure the final audit report and draft audit report have received electronic approval by the Contract Audit Branch (CAB) management

- Examine the electronic workpapers to ensure all unresolved issues have been resolved

- Review all procedure steps in TeamMate to ensure they have received two levels of electronic approval indicating the workpapers are properly referenced and documented

- Ensure rationale is documented in the workpapers and on the issue tab in TeamMate as to why any findings were removed from the final audit report

- Create a permanent file on the Kentucky Transportation Cabinet’s (Cabinet) N Drive that includes significant correspondence and information, such as the following:
  - Contracts and addendums
  - Articles of Incorporation
Chart of accounts

Bylaws

Pension plan summary plan description

Financial policy manual or employee handbook

Federal and state laws and regulations

Ensure all workpapers in TeamMate are finalized, closed, and secured within 60 days of the report issuance date
Kentucky adopted the International Registration Plan (IRP) in 1973 for commercial motor vehicles engaged in interstate operations.

The plan authorizes the apportioned registration of vehicle fleets and their recognition as properly licensed vehicles by all member states. Under the plan, the vehicle registration fee for each jurisdiction is determined by the percentage of miles traveled within a state relative to the total mileage.

A carrier’s base state calculates fees due, collects fees, and distributes fees for all jurisdictions.

Under the IRP, the interstate operator shall file an application with the state in which the operator is based. Any operator operating or intending to operate one or more than one apportionable vehicle into or through two or more of the member jurisdictions shall be IRP registered or purchase trip permits for each jurisdiction.

601 KAR 9:135, Section 13, “Audits of Apportioned Registrants” states, “(1) In accordance with the provisions of the International Registration Plan, the Transportation Cabinet, Division of Audit Review [Road Fund Audits] shall every five (5) years audit fifteen (15) percent of the apportioned registrants based in Kentucky. (2) An audit shall be performed in accordance with the “Uniform Operational Audit Procedure Guidelines” [Effective March 1, 1993 and issued by the Audit Committee of the International Registration Plan, Inc.].”

To qualify under IRP, an apportionable vehicle shall:

- Be used or intended for use in two or more jurisdictions that allocate or proportionally register vehicles
- Be used for the transportation of persons for hire, or designed, used, or maintained primarily for the transportation of property
QUALIFICATIONS (cont.)

➢ Have a power unit with a gross weight or registered gross weight in excess of 26,000 pounds

➢ Have a power unit having three or more axles, regardless of weight

➢ If used in combination, have a total weight that exceeds 26,000 pounds gross vehicle weight

The following vehicles do not qualify under IRP:

➢ Recreational vehicles

➢ Vehicles displaying a restricted plate

➢ City pickup and delivery vehicle

➢ Bus used in the transportation of a chartered party

➢ Government-owned vehicle

AUDIT REQUIREMENTS

The *International Registration Plan* - Article X – “Operational Records and Audits – Section 1025 – Frequency of Audits” requires each base jurisdiction to audit at least an average of 3 percent per year of the number of fleets whose registration it renews annually under the plan, as required to be reported by the member jurisdiction in the annual report filed pursuant to the plan.

AUDIT PROGRAM

The Division of Road Fund Audits maintains audit programs designed to do the following:

➢ Set forth the technique and procedures required to accomplish audit objectives

➢ Serve as a guide to remind auditors of specific areas requiring testing or review

➢ Provide a permanent record for coverage of each audit

The Division of Road Fund Audits has developed an IRP audit program. However, auditors shall consider any audit program as a flexible tool subject to revision. Each individual audit is unique; therefore, each audit program is unique, tailored to the individual audit.
**PROGRAM PURPOSE**  Specific purposes of any audit program include, but are not limited to, the following:

- Documenting audit work performed
- Providing a systematic method of attaining objectives
- Reducing the amount of supervision required
- Confirming adequate audit coverage
IRP requires a registrant to preserve all operational records on which the registrant’s application for apportioned registration is based for a period of 3 years following the close of the registration year to which the application pertains and to make these records available for examination by the base jurisdiction at its request.

The registrant shall make the records available for audit upon request by the base jurisdiction. If the records requested for audit are located beyond the base jurisdiction’s borders to conduct the audit, the base jurisdiction may require the registrant to reimburse the base jurisdiction for the per diem and travel expenses that the auditors incur in conducting the examination.

In accordance with IRP, failure of the registrant to make available the required records may result in a penalty assessment of up to 100 percent of apportionable fees paid by the registrant for the registration of its fleet in the registration year to which the records pertain or cancellation of apportioned registration.

The registrant shall maintain operational records that support the total in-jurisdiction distance and total distance traveled everywhere. Operational records include source documents suitable for verification of fleet distance as reported on the registrant’s application for apportionment. While it is desirable, the necessary information for a trip need not be contained on a single source document.
An acceptable source document for verifying fleet distance traveled is an “Individual Vehicle Distance Record” (IVDR). A standardized IVDR is suggested and encouraged, but not required. IVDRs shall contain the following information:

- Date of trip (starting and ending)
- Trip origin and destination
- Route of travel
- Beginning and ending odometer or hubodometer reading of the trip
- Total distance
- Power unit number or vehicle identification number
- Registrant’s name and fleet number
- Driver’s name or signature

From the information recorded on the IVDRs, the registrant shall prepare and maintain:

- A monthly summary that recaps in-jurisdiction and total distance traveled by each power unit operated during the calendar month
- A quarterly summary that recaps in-jurisdiction and total distance traveled by the fleet during each calendar quarter
- A summary of the quarterly recaps used in preparing the application for apportionment

For auditing purposes, summaries are not acceptable at face value and shall be supported by source documents such as IVDRs.

The fleet miles required to be reported on the application for apportioned registration shall be the fleet miles traveled from July 1 through June 30 of the year immediately preceding the registration year.
Operational Accountability (cont.)

The mileage shall be distributed by jurisdiction. For each jurisdiction, whether or not a member of the International Registration Plan, all miles traveled in that jurisdiction by any apportioned power unit whether the vehicle is empty or loaded, shall be reported.

The mileage to be reported for any motor vehicle power unit that was added to or deleted from the apportioned fleet during the mileage reporting period shall be only those miles generated while it was part of the apportioned fleet.

Mileage shall include the following:

- Loaded and unloaded trips
- Intrastate and interstate trips
- Miles operated under trip permits
AUDIT SELECTION

The base jurisdiction shall audit the registrants to which it has issued apportioned registration. The purpose of such an audit shall be to assess the accuracy of the distances reported in a registrant’s application for apportioned registration and, where inaccuracies are found, to adjust the registrant’s fees accordingly.

Under the IRP each member jurisdiction shall conduct a number of audits equivalent to an average of 3 percent per year of the number of fleets whose registration is renewed annually under the plan, as required to be reported by the member jurisdiction in the annual report filed pursuant to the plan. Upon the peer review of a member jurisdiction, this requirement shall be deemed to have been met if, during the interval since the previous peer review of the member jurisdiction, it has audited an average of 3 percent of the number of renewed fleets.

When selecting audits to perform, the audit manager will evaluate the following information retrieved from the Kentucky IRP database:

- Renewal registrants with less than 10 percent Kentucky mileage reported on their registration application
- Renewal registrants that have used the same mileage on their registration application for the last 3 years
- Registrants that were issued a jeopardy assessment (inadequate records assessment) in the previous 3 years
- Registrants requested for audit from the Division of Motor Carriers
PRE-AUDIT CONTACT

At least 30 days prior to conducting the audit, the registrant shall be contacted and advised via letter that the Division of Road Fund Audits will be conducting an audit of operational records substantiating distance reported on the apportioned registration application. The audit manager sends these engagement letters to the registrants in batches.

Through the initial or subsequent pre-audit contacts, the registrant shall be advised of the following information:

- Registration years to be audited
- Type of operational records to be audited
- Persons conducting the audit
- Proposed audit commencement date

Pre-audit contacts provide the registrant the opportunity to make the required operational records available.

For documentation purposes and to avoid misunderstanding, the audit file shall include any pre-audit correspondence and documentation of pre-audit contact (such as the pre-audit questionnaire and phone contact list).

INITIAL AUDIT CONFERENCE

The assigned IRP auditor will hold a documented initial audit conference with the registrant (normally via phone) to discuss the registrant’s operations and recordkeeping system, audit procedures, operational records to be examined, sample period, sampling procedures, etc. Open communication between the auditor and registrant is a must.
INITIAL AUDIT CONFERENCE (CONT.)

The audit file shall include:

- Documentation of requested operational records in cases where adequate operational records were not provided subsequent to request

- Documentation regarding the nature of estimate procedures employed when an assessment of liability is imposed
The Division of Road Fund Audits incorporates the *International Registration Plan Audit Procedures Manual* into this manual. Auditors shall follow the guidance of this manual when conducting Internal Registration Plan (IRP) audits. The International Registration Plan manual states the following:

**1025 FREQUENCY OF AUDITS**

(a) Each Member Jurisdiction shall conduct a number of Audits equivalent to an average of three percent per year of the number of Fleets whose registration it renews annually under the Plan, as required to be reported by the Member Jurisdiction in the annual report filed pursuant to the Plan. Upon the peer review of a Member Jurisdiction, this requirement shall be deemed to have been met if, during the interval since the previous peer review of the Member Jurisdiction, it has audited an average of three percent of the number of renewed Fleets.

(b) A new Member Jurisdiction shall not be required to conduct Audits until the January following its first full twelve months of full participation in the Plan.

(c) For purposes of the requirement in subsection (a), the examination of one Fleet for one Registration Year shall be deemed to be one Audit.

(d) For purposes of the requirement in subsection (a), a Member Jurisdiction may substitute three Records Reviews for one Audit; provided, that no Member Jurisdiction may substitute Records Reviews for more than twenty-five percent of the total of the Audits required by subsection (a). In order to use Records Reviews as a substitute for Audits, a Member Jurisdiction must adopt formal procedures that comply with the guidelines for Records Reviews set out in the Audit Procedures Manual.
(e) Nothing in this Section shall preclude a Member Jurisdiction from conducting more Audits than it is required to under this Section, or from Auditing a Registrant more than once during the interval between peer reviews.

INITIAL AUDIT PROCEDURES

The assigned auditor will determine if the registrant was previously audited. If a previous audit was conducted, any findings of noncompliance shall be documented in the audit file and followed up, noting resolution or nonresolution.

The auditor will review the registrant’s registration files to identify the population of vehicles in the registration year and in the reporting period that pertain to the fleet subject to audit.

The auditor shall do one of the following:

- List the vehicles to be audited, indicating equipment number and inclusive months each vehicle was in the fleet
- Document an alternative method of identifying the vehicles and the time periods they were registered

The auditor will use the vehicle listings, or alternative method documented, to select vehicles for audit with respect to sampling distance records. The vehicle listings or alternative method of identifying the vehicles shall be included as a workpaper in the audit file.

The auditor will conduct an analytical review of the registrant’s application subject to audit to become more familiar with the registrant’s operations. As a part of the analytical review, the auditor will:

- Summarize application information
- Note unusual trends or variances
- Draw conclusions
- Include the analytical review documentation in the audit file
### Internal Control

The auditor will gain an understanding of the registrant’s internal accounting control system by the following means:

- Review and documentation
- Tests of compliance using the *IRP Audit Procedures Manual*

The auditor notes any weaknesses found in the accounting control system in the report.

### Contact Documentation

The assigned auditor shall review all material submitted for audit and contact the registrant to conduct an entrance conference to understand the operation of their business and to request any other records to complete the audit. The auditor shall maintain a record of all attempted contacts (telephone calls, letters, emails, etc.) to ensure sufficient documentation for a jeopardy assessment (inadequate records assessment) if necessary.

### Verifying Mileage

Auditors may verify mileage using various methods:

- **Reporting by Odometer**—The auditor shall determine whether the odometer on a vehicle is registering correctly by checking the origins and destinations using a mileage/distance program. If there appears to be a considerable difference, the auditor shall investigate further to determine the percentage of variance and discuss with the registrant.

- **Reporting by Trip Records**—Trip records maintained by the registrant should adequately explain the highways used and the routes followed for all trips. The auditor shall instruct the registrant to indicate this data clearly in their records for an audit.

- **Reporting by Driver’s Logs**—If the registrant does not have odometer readings or routes of travel identified on the records, the auditor can enter the logs in a mileage program that will generate total mileage by jurisdiction.

### Sampling Records

When auditing Individual Vehicle Distance Records (IVDR) the auditors shall ensure that they accurately record the information by sampling the source document and tracing to the summaries for selected vehicles and months. In the absence of IVDRs, driver’s logs may serve as a substitute for the audit, if they contain continuous point-to-point mileage, origin, and destination.
FULL AUDIT

If the sampling reveals major discrepancies, auditors shall conduct a more thorough audit or possibly a full audit for all vehicles for the 12-month audit period.

NONCOMPLIANT RECORDS

If the records are insufficient to perform an audit or they do not meet the standards, auditors shall allow the registrant additional time (up to 30 additional days) to produce acceptable records. Upon failure to produce adequate records, auditors shall impose on the registrant an assessment in the amount of 20 percent of the apportionable fees paid by the registrant for the registration of its fleet in the registration year to which the records pertain. In an instance where the base jurisdiction knows that it is the registrant’s second such offense, the base jurisdiction shall impose an assessment of 50 percent of the apportionable fees paid by the registrant for the registration of its fleet in the registration year to which the records pertain. When the base jurisdiction knows it is the registrant’s third offense, and on any subsequent offenses of the registrant known to the base jurisdiction, the base jurisdiction shall impose an assessment of 100 percent of the apportionable fees paid by the registrant for the registration of its fleet in the registration year to which the records pertain.

The base jurisdiction shall distribute the amounts of assessment it collects under this section on a pro rata basis to the other jurisdictions in which the fleet was registered. This is pursuant to the IRP Audit Procedures Manual.

WORKPAPERS

Workpapers link the registrant’s records with the audit report and contain the evidence to support the findings, judgments, and conclusions in the report.

Upon completion of the audit, the auditor shall assemble all schedules, workpapers, source documents, and other supporting data and compile them in an audit folder with an index so that the audit is a complete and understandable file without reference to another file. For those audits performed after January 2012, this process will occur in TeamMate.

Workpapers shall be complete and accurate to provide proper support for findings, judgment, and conclusions and demonstrate the nature and scope of the audit.

Workpapers shall be understandable, without detailed supplementary oral explanations. Anyone using them should be able to readily determine their purpose, nature, scope of work done, and the conclusions. They should be complete yet concise; however, the auditor shall not sacrifice clarity and completeness to save time or paper.
**Workpapers (cont.)**  
Workpapers shall be legible and neat. Moreover, inadequate workpapers may lose their worth as evidence. The auditor shall avoid crowding and writing between lines by anticipating space needs and arranging the workpapers before writing.

The auditor shall restrict information in workpapers to matters that are materially important and relevant to the objectives of the assignment. There are no substitutes for a working understanding of the audit objectives. This understanding comes from well-planned and well-organized work programs and effective instructions by supervisors. The practice of having all workpapers contain clear statements of purpose, source, and conclusion is very helpful in ensuring that information accumulated is relevant to audit objectives and reporting.

**Exit Conference**  
The auditor shall attempt to conduct a documented exit conference (normally via phone) with the registrant to:

- Review audit issues and preliminary findings
- Provide an overview of the post-audit process, including to whom the final audit report should be addressed, reporting procedures, rights of appeal, and any recommendations for improvement of the registrant’s system

If it is not feasible for an exit conference to take place, the audit file shall document sufficient reasons for it not taking place.

**Audit Report**  
The auditor shall:

- Prepare audit reports that are concise and cover all details
- Explain all reasons for all adjustments
- Include information about the operations and details that will make the next audit easier to conduct
- Refrain from making comments that the registrant could construe as personal criticism

This is completed in Word, Excel, and the IRP audit program (Oracle).
COMPLETION OF AUDIT

Upon completion of the audit, the auditor shall:

- Review the audit file to determine the file is in order and properly indexed
- Cross-reference the audit schedules to the audit recaps, narrative audit report, audit workpapers, and summary of taxes due if there is an assessment or refund
- Include an audit recap
- Perform math check on the audit
- Ensure all audit documentation is electronically saved
- Submit the file for review to the audit manager or supervisor

⭐⭐⭐
Review of Audit

Once the audit is completed it will be reviewed by the audit manager or supervisor, who will:

- Conduct an in-depth review of the auditor’s workpapers
- Complete an audit comment sheet outlining any concerns
- Return the file to the auditor for corrections

The auditor will make changes and return the file to the audit manager or supervisor for further review.

Creating an Audit Bill

Once the review process is complete, the audit manager will create an audit bill in the Automated License and Tax System (ALTS). The bill will print out the next day. The bill shall match the assessment and supporting workpapers.

Issuing an Audit Report

A complete report documenting the audit shall be prepared and provided to the registrant. The audit report shall contain enough information, including detailed schedules, for the registrant to verify any adjustments made. The audit report shall also contain a statement on whether the registrant’s distance accounting system is adequate or inadequate and the reason why. This is determined by the consistency in which the registrant’s distance accounting system meets acceptable criteria as established in the IRP Audit Procedures Manual. The audit report will also include a copy of the bill created from the ALTS system.

The audit manager will assemble and mail the audit report and bill to the registrant. A complete copy of the audit report that was sent to the registrant is also placed in front of the audit file.

The audit manager will email a copy of the audit report to all affected jurisdictions and place a copy of the email in the audit file.
PAYMENT OF AUDIT BILL

The registrant has 45 days to pay the audit bill or protest the audit findings to the Division of Road Fund Audits – Protest Review, Executive Director, in accordance with KRS 131.110. To protest the audit results, the registrant shall submit a written request for a protest hearing with supporting documentation. AUD-1101 provides more information on protest procedures.

Fee changes are netted through all jurisdictions, with the registrant paying the net amount to Kentucky. Once the bill has been paid in full the IRP system (Oracle) will email confirmation to the audit manager. The audit manager will email the supervisor in the Division of Motor Carriers to submit the bill to the IRP Clearinghouse.

AUDIT FILE RETENTION

The Division of Road Fund Audits maintains all audit files in house for 3 years. After that, the files are transferred to the Kentucky Department for Libraries and Archives for another 3 years before being destroyed.

☆☆☆
KENTUCKY HIGHWAY USE (KYU) / KENTUCKY INTRASTATE TAX (KIT) AUDITS

Introduction

**OVERVIEW**

KYU is a mileage tax for vehicles having a combined gross weight in excess of 59,999 pounds, excluding farm-licensed vehicles. For each qualified vehicle operating on the public highways within Kentucky, the KYU tax is computed by the rate of $0.0285 (2.85 cents) per mile as outlined under KRS 138.660.

KIT is a fuel consumption tax for carriers that operate only in Kentucky. Total miles traveled by and total fuel placed into qualified vehicles are used to determine a miles per gallon that will determine fuel consumption. The fuel consumption is offset by the tax paid on fuel purchased at the pump or by bulk purchases. In addition to the fuel tax, surtax is calculated on the taxable consumption. KRS 138.655 through KRS 138.7291 contain the laws governing KIT.

The Division of Road Fund Audits’ KYU / KIT Branch shall use 601 KAR 1:200, “Administration of taxes imposed in KRS 138.655 through 138.7291” and 601 KAR 1:201, “Recordkeeping and audit requirements of taxes imposed in KRS 138.655 through 138.7291” as guidance in conducting all KIT and KYU audits.

**QUALIFICATIONS**

To qualify under KYU, a vehicle shall be plated above 59,999 pounds or have a combined gross vehicle weight in excess of 59,999 pounds.

To qualify under KIT, a vehicle shall be operated only in Kentucky and have either of the following:

- Two axles and gross vehicle weight or registered gross vehicle weight exceeding 26,000 pounds
- Three axles or more, regardless of weight
The purpose of an audit of a motor carrier is to determine the accuracy of the carrier’s reporting and to ensure the correctness of taxes reported by the carrier. KRS 138.680 requires carriers to retain records relating to fuel purchases, fuel consumption, and miles operated on Kentucky highways for a five-year period. These records are subject to audit by the Division of Road Fund Audits.

The Division of Road Fund Audits maintains audit programs designed to:

- Stipulate the techniques and procedures required to accomplish audit objectives
- Serve as a guide to remind auditors of specific areas requiring testing or review
- Provide a permanent record for coverage of each audit

The Division of Road Fund Audits has developed a highway-tax audit program for carriers. However, auditors shall consider any audit program as a flexible tool subject to revision. Each individual audit is unique; therefore, each audit program is unique, tailored to the individual audit.

The concept allows staff to perform audit procedures in a systematic logical manner based on evidence disclosed as work progresses.

Specific purposes of any audit program include, but are not limited to:

- Documenting audit work performed
- Providing a systematic method of attaining objectives
- Reducing the amount of supervision required
- Confirming adequate audit coverage
RECORDS RETENTION

Per KRS 138.680, licensees shall maintain KYU records for a period of five years from the due date of the tax return or the date that the return was filed, whichever is later. Per 601 KAR 1:201, licensees shall maintain KIT records for a period of four years from the due date of the tax return or the date that the return was filed, whichever is later.

The licensee shall make the records available for audit upon request by the Kentucky Transportation Cabinet.

In accordance with KRS 138.680 Section 2, failure of the licensee to make available the required records may result in a jeopardy assessment based on the best information available to the Cabinet.

ACCEPTABLE SOURCE DOCUMENTS

The licensee shall maintain mileage records and fuel records that support the total miles travelled for each qualified motor vehicle. An acceptable source document to verify mileage should have the following information:

- Date of trip (starting and ending)
- Trip origin and destination, along with intermediate stops
- Route of travel
- Beginning and ending odometer or hubodometer reading of the trip
- Total and state miles
- Unit number or vehicle identification number
- Licensee’s name
- Driver’s name or signature

Note: Motor carriers that have only intrastate commerce in Kentucky with all miles being taxable can choose to keep individual unit quarterly odometer readings to document miles travelled.
From the information recorded on the mileage record, the licensee shall prepare and maintain monthly or quarterly mileage recaps and fuel receipts.

The licensee shall maintain complete records of all fuel purchased, received, or used in the conduct of its business. The licensee shall compile separate totals for each fuel type [diesel/kerosene, gasoline, gasohol, liquefied petroleum gas (LPG), and compressed natural gas (CNG)] and report them separately on the quarterly tax return. The over-the-road fuel purchases shall contain the following information:

- Date of purchase
- Name and address of seller
- Number of gallons purchased
- Type of fuel purchased
- Price per gallon or liter or total amount of sale
- Unit number of the vehicle or equipment into which the fuel was placed
- Purchaser’s name

**Note:** In the case of a lessee/lessor agreement, receipts will be accepted in either name, provided a legal connection can be made to the reporting party.

The licensee who maintains a bulk motor fuel storage facility may obtain credit for tax paid on fuel withdrawn from that storage facility. The following records should be maintained:

- Date of withdrawal
- Number of gallons or liters withdrawn
- Fuel type
- Unit number of the vehicle into which the fuel was placed
- Purchase and inventory records to substantiate that tax was paid on all taxable fuel disbursements
ASSIGNMENT OF AUDITS

Audit managers and supervisors are responsible for assigning audits. Auditors will conduct audits in all areas of the state and in other states as the workload dictates.

AUDIT SELECTION

When selecting audits to perform, the audit manager or supervisor will look at the tax returns found on the Kentucky Information Management System (KYIMS) and evaluate the following:

➢ Carriers that have estimated numbers (such as numbers ending in zeroes), same MPG for each quarter, same miles, etc.

➢ Carriers that have MPGs that are consistently high or low

➢ Carriers that have huge fluctuations from quarter to quarter (miles, fuel, or MPG)

The audit manager or supervisor will also consider the following information when selecting audits:

➢ Division of Motor Carrier requests that are sent to the audit manager by email or written notice

➢ Kentucky State Police’s Division of Commercial Vehicle Enforcement (CVE) requests
ENGAGEMENT LETTER
The manager or supervisor shall send each carrier to be audited an engagement letter at least 30 days prior to the audit. The letter shall inform the carrier of the following information:

- Dates the auditor will be in the area
- Period of time to be audited
- Documentation required for the audit
- Enclosed pre-audit questionnaire and equipment list (to be completed and returned to the Division of Road Fund Audits’ KYU / KIT Branch)

CONTACT DOCUMENTATION
The assigned auditor shall contact the carrier prior to the dates indicated in the engagement letter to schedule an appointment to conduct the audit. The auditor should document each contact with the carrier (telephone calls, letters, emails, and other types of correspondence).

By the end of the initial contact the auditor should have the following information in the audit file:

- KIT/IFTA and/or KYU tax returns from the Kentucky Information Management System (KYIMS)
- Comparison of KYU KY miles to KIT or IFTA KY miles
- Outstanding tax bills of licensee from KYIMS
- Equipment list with plate weights from KYIMS
CONTACT DOCUMENTATION (CONT.)

- Observations from Access database program, Motor Carrier’s Portal, or C-view
- Carrier’s pre-audit questionnaire
- Extended weight decals and KIT decals from KYIMS
- Temporary permits and Overweight/Over-Dimensional (OW/OD) permits from KYIMS
- List of corporate officers from the Secretary of State website
Before arriving at the audit site or performing a desk audit, the assigned auditor shall verify accounts for proper support and be prepared to adjust the audit program if the need arises.

Upon arriving at the audit site, the auditor shall:

- Discuss normal day-to-day truck operation procedures with carrier
- Review and discuss the records that are needed for the audit
- Inform the company about the audit process

The auditor shall then ask the following questions:

- Who is the company representative that will assist the auditor?
- Do you maintain summaries from your tax returns? If not, how do you obtain the tax return figures that are reported?
- Has licensee had any major changes in operations, personnel, etc.?
- How do you accumulate and compile information? Do you use data processing? What controls or procedures do you use to ensure that all operations are recorded and reported?
- How many taxable units does your company own? How many does your company lease?
- Does your company trip-lease to other companies?
- Does your company have terminals in Kentucky (for out-of-state KYU carriers)? If so, which cities?
- In which states do you conduct most of your operations (for out-of-state KYU carriers)?
- Are there bulk fuel purchases? If so, do you have withdrawal records or reconciliation documents?
Next, the auditor shall:

- Discuss procedures related to audit sampling
- Explain that he or she will project to the entire audit period any errors discovered during the review of sample quarters

Verifying Mileage

The auditor may verify mileage using various methods:

- **Reporting by Odometer**—The auditor shall determine whether the odometer on a vehicle is registering correctly by checking the origins and destinations using a mileage/distance program. If there appears to be a considerable difference, the auditor shall investigate further to determine the percentage of variance.

  The auditor shall investigate the following potential causes of variance:

  - Whether the carrier has installed a new odometer
  - When the fuel consumption appears irregular and no unusual operating conditions account for this variance
  - Different origin and destination dates

- **Reporting by Trip Records**—Trip records maintained by carriers should adequately explain the highways used and the routes followed for all trips. The auditor shall always instruct carriers to indicate this data clearly in their records for an audit.

  The auditor shall check route or trip mileage by a carrier for reporting, paying particular attention to the reporting of mileage for unusual routes. The auditor shall check the actual route using observation reports, fuel-purchase receipts, and similar data.

- **Continuity of Trips**—The auditor shall check the continuity of trips to determine whether carriers have properly reported trips. The auditor should review driver’s logs, any information regarding global positioning, and mileage programs that enter point-to-point destinations.

- **Fuel Purchase**—Lack of source mileage documents could result in mileage being determined by fuel purchases and audited miles per gallon.
VERIFYING MILEAGE
(Cont.)

- **Off-Highway Mileage**—Off-highway miles are those miles operated on private property restricted to the public (for example, coal fields). Any off-highway miles claimed shall be supported with adequate documentation. This support shall include but is not limited to the following:
  
  - Odometer reading reports when entering and leaving the private property
  - Periodical logs of trips entering and leaving the private property
  - Verification of off-highway miles by any other governmental agency

- **Weigh Stations**—Many weigh stations are open throughout the state. Cameras at each location of scales capture the KYU number or DOT number of every vehicle that crosses the scales. Therefore, observation reports are in the computer systems as soon as the trucks pass the scales. These observations are utilized by the auditor to help in routing units to determine audited miles.

VERIFYING FUEL CREDIT

Every licensed motor carrier may deduct on the KIT quarterly tax return the amount of tax paid on fuel at the time of purchase provided the carrier made the purchase in Kentucky and paid the Kentucky motor fuel tax. The carrier shall obtain a valid receipt as evidence of purchase from the person making the sale or delivery.

Bulk or storage purchasers of fuel shall maintain a withdrawal or disbursement record when using such fuel in taxable highway or road units. Purchasers shall keep this record on all units fueling from this tank, showing the gallons withdrawn and the date of withdrawal. Pursuant to KRS 138.220 and 234.320, bulk or storage purchasers shall pay the tax at the time of the purchases. If a motor carrier uses tax-free bulk storage to fuel taxable units (highway units), tax shall be levied on total fuel purchased for bulk storage.

Any use of fuel from a tax-free storage tank without adequate records to prove nonhighway use shall be taxable. The Department of Revenue shall issue approved locations of tax-free storage before the carrier may purchase tax-free fuel.

Credit for fuel purchases other than for taxable units shall not be allowed.
VERIFYING FUEL CREDIT

In instances where trip-leased units purchase fuel, the lessee is responsible for the Kentucky highway tax; all receipts shall show the name of the lessee. Receipts with a name other than the person or company responsible for the fuel tax shall be invalid.

The carrier shall retain all receipts for five years, subject to audit by representatives of the Kentucky Transportation Cabinet or the Department of Revenue.

CONCERNS TO ADDRESS WITH LICENSEE

If the fuel consumption of a vehicle varies considerably or shows a sudden change, the auditor shall question the carrier what changes have occurred in its operations. Examining the fuel consumption may lead the auditor to errors in reporting as well as to any unreported mileage.

The auditor shall consider seasonal variations in a carrier’s business. Normally, there are periods of heavy activity, which increase mileage accordingly. The auditor shall check for error of addition and other clerical errors in compiling trip data and transferring the data into the KYU return.

One of the major reporting failures of a carrier is to not list complete mileage detail in reports. Most often, the data available on a listing is the vehicle number, the date of the trip, and the total mileage. The auditor is unable to check against file data without verification from the original document, which gives the routings and the beginning and ending trip points.

The auditor shall evaluate the methods of entering mileage, processing of that data, and its use in preparing reports. The actual computer processing is usually adequate. However, errors may result from a misunderstanding of the basic purpose of the processing.

AUDIT TESTS FOR KY MILEAGE, FUEL PURCHASES, & MPG

The auditor may perform the following tests to verify Kentucky mileage, fuel purchases, and miles per gallon (MPG):

**Kentucky Mileage**

1. Prepare schedule of the trips and compare reported miles to mileage program for use.

2. Cross-check the trip reports or driver’s logs with the carrier’s printout or recap to determine that the recap totals include all trips.
AUDIT TESTS FOR KY MILEAGE, FUEL PURCHASES, & MPG (CONT.)

3. Check records for “city miles” to determine whether the carrier included that mileage in the reported total mileage.

4. Prepare a schedule of audited miles and corrected miles. Compute percent-of-error factor for the sample period.

5. Apply the error factor for the sample to the entire period covered by the audit, and determine total mileage carrier should have reported.

Fuel Purchases

1. Cross-check 100 percent of the fuel-purchase receipts against the reported Kentucky trips.

2. Examine all bulk-purchase receipts and the corresponding withdrawal records for the use of bulk purchases. Perform a bulk fuel reconciliation schedule.

3. Prepare a schedule of gallons reported and corrected gallons. Compute a percent of error factor for the sample period.

4. Apply the error factor for the sample quarter to the entire period covered by the audit and determine the total gallons the carrier should have reported.

This test should determine the correct fuel purchases for the audit period. Compare fuel cost to the carrier’s federal Schedule C tax returns.

Miles per gallon (MPG)

1. Determine whether each unit’s MPG is high or low by dividing audited miles by audited fuel purchases

2. Compare the reported MPG with the amount determined using the corrected mileage and fuel consumption from the audit work.
COMBINED LICENSED WEIGHT

Per KRS 138.655 (14):

“Combined licensed weight” shall mean the greater of: (a) The declared combined maximum gross weight of the vehicle and any towed unit for registration purposes for the current registration period; or (b) The highest actual combined gross weight of the vehicle and any towed unit when operated on the public highways of the state during the current registration period.”

Sometimes carriers misinterpret the meaning of combined licensed weight. Some carriers think that when they operate a vehicle with no load or with only a light load at times, they are to report taxes on the average only and not on the maximum. Carriers may attempt to evade weight-distance tax on this basis.

COMMON CARRIER REPORTING ERRORS

When conducting an audit, the auditor shall be aware of and check for the following:

- Carriers usually code trips between fixed points with a route mileage. Processors may incorrectly apply or enter the codes or omit them entirely.

- Carriers identify vehicles by their company numbers, which may be incorrect in the system due to driver error, coding error, or incorrect entry.

- In a large operation, an operating division furnishes the data to an accounting or tax division. The functions of these divisions and their goals are different, and often a breakdown in communication occurs between them. For example, one division may make changes in declared weights and assignments of vehicles without an adequate explanation to the other division.

GENERAL GUIDELINES

The auditor shall adhere to the following general guidelines when performing KYU/KIT audits:

- In all cases, the auditor shall verify basic reporting data, such as dispatch records, driver’s logs, driver’s time reports, or trip reports. The auditor shall also ascertain that carriers process this data properly. The volume of data may be so great that a test check may be the only means possible to use. The auditor shall choose a test period that ensures that it properly represents the entire area of the audit.
In some instances, particularly where there are many vehicles and considerable mileage, a detailed audit of every vehicle and every month requires a great deal of time. Such an audit requires an extended stay in a carrier’s office with consequent loss of time to the carrier as well as a mass of detailed schedule in the audit.

In such cases, the auditor may make a test check of representative months and determine a percent of error, which the auditor can apply against the periods not checked. The auditor shall ascertain that the type of error found runs generally throughout the entire period and is not unique to the test periods. The auditor shall make sure that the carrier thoroughly understands and agrees to this method and the chosen test periods.

When an initial test shows there is little probability or general error, the auditor may thoroughly check a single current quarter. If the auditor finds no system or policy error, he or she need not perform further detailed audit. If the auditor finds errors in the test quarter, it may be necessary to check additional quarters or all quarters in the audit period, depending on the circumstances.

After determining the percent error, the auditor shall apply it to the quarters. The auditor shall correct the total taxes paid by the other adjustments not included in the percentage before its application. Tax computation and duplication errors are examples that the auditor may need to include in the percent of error.

The auditor shall use similar ratios and comparisons whenever possible as indicators of possible error. For example, the auditor may check fuel to reported mileage, drivers’ wages to taxes paid, or total expenses to taxes.
Workpapers are the link between the fieldwork and the audit report. They contain the evidence to support the findings, judgments, and conclusions in the report. The auditor shall ensure the safe custody and retention of workpapers for the time sufficient to meet legal and administrative requirements.

Workpapers may exist in hard-copy or electronic format. However, as of January 2012, auditors shall document workpapers in TeamMate, which is an electronic system used by the Division of Road Fund Audits for audit workpaper organization and project management control.

Upon completion of the audit, the auditor shall import all schedules, workpapers, and other supporting data and attach them to the TeamMate procedure step so that the audit is a complete and an understandable file in itself without reference to another file. The auditor shall avoid using tax returns filed by the carrier as workpapers, thereby leaving the tax return paperwork as close to its original condition as possible when filed.

The auditor:

- Prepares an adjustment schedule to document the final audit results and the total amount of the assessment or refund
- Calculates the amounts of any additional taxes due and any penalties and interest payable by the carrier

The minimum schedule requirement for all audits in which an adjustment occurs is an audit report and an adjustment schedule. These two documents, together with the audit review notes, represent the entire audit. However, in the majority of cases there are a number of supporting schedules. Schedules shall be hyperlinked to the appropriate workpapers.
DATA ASSEMBLY
(cont.)

The auditor shall properly hyperlink all workpapers and supporting schedules, including the following:

- Audit Report
- Summary of Adjustment Schedule
- Preliminary Results Discussion
- Exit Conference
- Pre-Audit Questionnaire
- Equipment List
- Correspondence Log
- Mileage Workpapers
- Fuel-Purchases Workpapers
- Miles-Per-Gallon Workpapers
- Tax Return Analysis
- Copies of Carrier’s Documentation

AUDIT REPORT

The auditor shall:

- Prepare audit reports that are concise and cover all details
- Explain reasons for all adjustments
- State whether records are compliant or non-compliant
- Include information about the operations and details that will make the next audit easier for the auditor
- Refrain from making comments that a carrier could construe as personal criticism
When writing comments the auditor shall consider the following:

- What is the type of carrier and what commodity is hauled?
- With whom were records checked?
- Is the ownership unchanged?
- Is the file presently active? If not, will the carrier reinstate? Is there any other pertinent information?
- Were previous audits performed?

**Completeness & Accuracy**

Workpapers shall be complete and accurate to provide proper support for findings, judgments, purpose statements, and conclusions and to enable demonstration of the nature and scope of the audit.

**Clarity & Understanding**

Workpapers shall be understandable, without detailed supplementary oral explanations. Anyone using them should be able to determine readily their purpose, nature, scope of work done, and the preparer’s conclusions. They should be complete yet concise; however, the auditor shall not sacrifice clarity or completeness to save time or paper.

**Hyperlinks**

Workpapers shall be hyperlinked to the appropriate sources. Otherwise, the auditor may waste time reviewing them and preparing reports. Moreover, insufficient hyperlinks increase reviewing time and make audits more difficult to review.

**Relevance**

The auditor shall restrict information in workpapers to matters that are materially important and relevant to the objectives of the assignment. There are no substitutes for a working understanding of the audit objectives, the reasons for performing a specific task, and its relationship to the objectives. This understanding comes from well-planned and well-organized work programs and effective instructions by the lead auditor. The practice of having all workpapers contain clear statements of purpose, source, and conclusion is very helpful in ensuring that information accumulated is relevant to audit objectives and reporting.
AUDIT COMPLETION

Upon completion of the audit, the auditor shall:

- Review the audit file to determine the file is in order and properly hyperlinked
- Have another auditor review the audit report
- Cross-reference the net account to the audit recap, narrative audit report, audit workpapers, and summary of taxes due if there is an assessment or refund
- Include an audit recap
- Perform math check on audit
- Submit the file for review to the 1st level reviewer

The first-level reviewer shall:

- Perform an in-depth review of the auditor’s workpapers
- Complete TeamMate coaching notes outlining the reviewer’s concerns, if there is a problem with the audit
- E-mail coaching notes to the auditor who shall examine the reviewer’s comments, make changes accordingly, and e-mail the reviewer stating that coaching notes have been addressed.

The first-level reviewer will submit the file to the audit manager, who will perform a review and return it to the auditor to notify the carrier of the audit findings.

FINALIZING AUDIT

The auditor shall complete the audit by conducting an exit interview with the motor carrier representative to accomplish the following:

- Explain the reason for potential adjustments and advise the carrier that the audit results will be mailed to them by the audit manager
- Explain to the motor carrier his or her right to protest the audit findings if he or she can provide additional documentation that could change the results of the audit findings

**Note:** The motor carrier should provide this documentation within 45 days from the date of the audit finding notification letter.
BILLING AUDIT

Copies of the summary of adjustment schedule with the related audit documents will be mailed to the motor carrier. If an assessment is found, the audit manager will create an audit bill in the Automated License and Tax System (ALTS) to send to the motor carrier.

AUDIT BILL PAYMENT

The motor carrier has 45 days to pay the audit bill or protest the audit findings to the tax compliance mediator in accordance with KRS 131.110. The carrier may submit a written request for a protest hearing. AUD-1001 discusses protest submittals in more detail.

AUDITOR CONDUCT AT HEARINGS

At hearings, auditors shall:

- Conduct themselves in a calm, professional manner at all times
- Be alert and attentive to all questions
- Take time to consider the intent of each question before responding
- Listen to entire questions before responding
- Testify truthfully on the basis of their knowledge of the situation
- Avoid responding to questions of which they have no knowledge; instead, simply state that they have no knowledge related to the situation
- Avoid injecting their opinions or judgments into responses unless specifically asked to do so
- Speak slowly, clearly, and distinctly
When motor carriers file a fuel tax return for either the International Fuel Tax Agreement (IFTA) or KIT, which includes PTO-qualified units, they can file the TC 95-214 form, Application for Fuel-Tax Refund for Use of Power Take-Off Equipment. In accordance with 601 KAR 1:200, these applications shall be filed within 6 months of the due date of their IFTA or KIT tax return or the refund is forfeited. The laws governing the PTO application are found in KRS 138.660 and 601 KAR 1:200 Section 11 (5).

The Division of Road Fund Audits has determined a maximum percentage to use for each PTO vehicle type that does not need to be substantiated by a carrier (as shown in the table below). If a carrier applies for a PTO refund with a higher percentage, documentation must be provided to verify this percentage.

### Maximum PTO Percentage

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PTO Vehicle Type</th>
<th>Maximum Allowable %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Boom Trucks</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bucket Trucks</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bulk Feed Trucks</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commercial Front Loader Sanitation Trucks</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Concrete Mixer Trucks</td>
<td>25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Concrete Pumping Trucks</td>
<td>25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Container Delivery Sanitation Trucks</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Digger Derrick Trucks</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dump Trailer &amp; Dump Trucks</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydraulic Car Carrier Trailer</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residential Rear or Side Loader Sanitation Trucks</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rolloff Sanitation Trucks</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seeder Trucks</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Semi-Wreckers</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Side Loader Sanitation Trucks</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tank Transport Trucks</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trucks with Hydraulic Winch</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wreckers</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
AUDITING THE REFUND APPLICATION

The Division of Road Fund Audits administrative assistant receives these refund applications and gives them to the KYU/KIT audit manager. The audit manager then gives the refund applications to the PTO administrator (supervisor or auditor). The PTO administrator logs them into a status report and assigns them to an auditor.

The auditor performs the following actions:

- Scans the PTO application and uploads the file to TeamMate PTO template.
- Enters mileage and fuel numbers from the licensee’s PTO application into an Excel file and compares to mileage and fuel numbers reported on the licensee’s KIT or IFTA tax returns.
- Compares maximum percentages allowed with the numbers filed by the carrier.
- Compares any numbers that do not math check or are over the maximum percent allowed to the number filed by the carrier.
- Computes the allowed gross refund amount, sales tax amount, and net refund amount.
- Sends the amount requested by the carrier and the computed refund amount with the worksheets and a summary sheet back to the PTO administrator for review.

The PTO administrator reviews and math checks these worksheets to verify the amount of the carrier’s refund and, if any mistakes or questions exist, returns the application to the auditor to correct. Once the PTO administrator has approved all corrections, the PTO administrator sends the information to the audit manager.

The audit manager reviews the application, worksheets, and PTO summary sheet. If any mistakes or questions exist, the audit manager returns the application and worksheets to the PTO administrator to have the auditor correct. The application, worksheets, and PTO summary sheet are then sent back to the audit manager for final approval.

Once a month the PTO administrator prepares a PTO Refund Approved Report (PRAR) that includes all refunds that have been reviewed and approved. Once the audit manager has reviewed the refunds, the PRAR is sent to the executive director for final sign-off on the report.
AUDITING THE REFUND APPLICATION (CONT.)

The audit manager then e-mails the PRAR to the Division of Motor Carriers to process the refunds to the carriers. The paper applications, along with copies of the PRAR, are filed in the Division of Road Fund Audits' file room. The paper copies are shredded six months after the refunds are issued. The electronic TeamMate files are kept indefinitely.
Kentucky imposes a 6-percent highway usage tax on every motor vehicle, except those exempt by KRS 138.470, based on either the retail price of the vehicle at the time of first registration in the state or the retail price of the vehicle at the time of ownership transference of a previously registered vehicle. This is pursuant to KRS 138.460.

Per KRS 281.014, the term “U-Drive-It” means any person who leases or rents a motor vehicle for a consideration to be used for the transportation of persons or property, but for which no driver is furnished, and the use of which motor vehicle is not for the transportation of persons or property for hire by the lessee or rentee.

KRS 138.463 allows holders of a U-Drive-It permit to defer the 6-percent highway usage tax by remitting a monthly tax based on 6 percent of the monthly rental or lease charge of a qualifying vehicle. The usage tax reported on the monthly tax returns shall be based on the fair market rental or lease value of the vehicle.

The Cabinet conducts U-Drive-it (UDI) audits to determine whether all rental or lease payments have been reported to the Cabinet and whether the payments are based on the fair market value of the vehicle. The audits encompass a 4-year period, but if an omission of 25 percent or greater is discovered, may be expanded by an additional 2 years. This is pursuant to KRS 138.463 (6).

Since the audits are specific to vehicles within the audit period, sampling is rarely done.

UDI audits are conducted in accordance with KRS 138.463, 601 KAR 1:146, and 601 KAR 1:147.

Qualifications: To qualify for deferment of the usage tax, the U-Drive-It permit holder shall adhere to KRS 138.463 (3) as well as 601 KAR 1:140. The Division of Motor Carriers issues U-Drive-It permit numbers to applicants that qualify.
The Division of Road Fund Audits shall examine and audit each return as soon as practicable upon receipt. If the amount of tax computed is greater than the amount received by the taxpayer, the excess shall be assessed within 4 years from the date the return was filed.

The Division of Road Fund Audits maintains audit programs designed to:

- Set forth the technique and procedures required to accomplish audit objectives
- Serve as a guide to remind auditors of specific areas requiring testing or reviewing
- Provide a permanent record for coverage of each audit

The Division of Road Fund Audits has developed a UDI audit program that allows the auditors to have a step-by-step guideline on how to conduct a UDI audit, as detailed in AUD-705. However, auditors shall consider any audit program as a flexible tool subject to revision. Each individual audit is unique; therefore, each audit program is unique, tailored to the individual audit.

Specific purposes of any audit program include, but are not limited to:

- Documenting audit work performed
- Providing a systematic method of attaining objectives
- Reducing the amount of supervision required
- Confirming adequate audit coverage
Chapter

U-DRIVE-IT AUDITS

Subject

Permit Holder Recordkeeping

**RECORDS RETENTION**

601 KAR 1:147 Section 2 (c) requires the permit holder to preserve all operational records for a period not less than 6 years after the due date of the U-Drive-It (UDI) return.

The permit holder shall make the records available for audit upon request by the Kentucky Transportation Cabinet (Cabinet). If the permit holder fails to maintain the required records, the Division of Road Fund Audits may:

- Bill the permit holder a tax assessment based on KRS 138.460 and 601 KAR 1:146
- Recommend that the Division of Motor Carriers cancel a permit holder’s permit

**RENTAL RECORDS**

In accordance with 601 KAR 1:147 Section 2, a UDI permit holder who rents vehicles shall:

- Develop a consecutive, preprinted numbering system for its rental agreements
- For each motor vehicle included in the permit holder’s rental fleet, retain a copy of the original invoice from the manufacturer or other document that shows the manufacturer’s suggested retail price of the motor vehicle plus the cost of all options included on the motor vehicle
- Retain a copy of each rental agreement in consecutive order, including a voided or damaged agreement

The following information shall be included on a rental agreement:

- Rental agreement number
- Beginning date of the rental
RENTAL RECORDS (CONT.)

- Ending date of the rental
- Identification of the specific rental vehicle that includes the vehicle identification number, make, model, and year
- Odometer reading of the rental vehicle at the beginning of the rental agreement
- Odometer reading of the rental vehicle at the end of the rental agreement
- Amount charged for the rental agreement
- Method of calculation of the rental amount charged that includes mileage charges and number of days used
- Identification of the person renting the vehicle

LEASE RECORDS

A UDI permit holder who leases vehicles shall retain a copy of the leasing agreement and other information as described below.

In accordance with 601 KAR 1:147 Section 2, a lease agreement shall include the following information:

- Complete name and address of the lessee
- Beginning date of the lease
- Ending date or term of the lease
- Identification of the lease vehicle that includes the vehicle identification number, make, model, year, and manufacturer’s suggested retail price
- Final lease termination calculation and date
- Monthly payment amount subject to the tax imposed by KRS 138.463
- Down payment or trade-in information
- Dated signature of the lessee and the lessor
The following, if applicable, shall be attached to the lease agreement:

- Certificate of title of the leased vehicle
- A lease amount calculation worksheet
- A lease extension agreement
- Documentation of a lease early termination
- Other information that would alter the original lease agreement
**DEFINITIONS**

*Lease* is a contract supported by a consideration for the use of a motor vehicle for a period of 365 days or more.

*Rental* is a contract supported by a consideration for the use of a motor vehicle for a period of less than 365 days.

*Regularly engaged in the business of renting or leasing to retail customers* means a U-Drive-It permit holder who:

- Rents or leases vehicles as part of an established business to retail customers who wish to rent or lease a vehicle
- Executes, at a minimum, 90 percent of its rental and lease transactions at fair market value
- Maintains the records required pursuant to 601 KAR 1:147

**FAIR MARKET VALUE – RENTAL**

If a U-Drive-It (UDI) permit holder is regularly engaged in the business of renting vehicles to retail customers, the Division of Road Fund Audits shall have the authority to audit the records of the permit holder to determine whether the permit holder is paying the correct tax amount.

The fair market value (FMV) rental amount shall be used to assess the usage tax imposed pursuant to KRS 138.463 on an individual transaction of a UDI permit holder who is regularly engaged in the business of renting vehicles to retail customers if one of the following is true:

- The transaction is less than FMV of the rental of the motor vehicle
- The information required to be maintained by 601 KAR 1:147 for the transaction is missing or incomplete
In accordance with 601 KAR 1:146 Section 3, the Division of Road Fund Audits shall survey the 10 randomly selected UDI permit holders permit holders to determine the average FMV rental amount for each specific vehicle type classification.

The Kentucky Transportation Cabinet (Cabinet) shall use the FMV established for the rental amount for a specific vehicle type classification (as determined in the survey) to assess the tax imposed under KRS 138.463 for the following:

- Every transaction of a permit holder who is not regularly engaged in the business of renting vehicles to retail customers
- A vehicle being used for which the permit holder regularly engaged in the business of renting or leasing vehicles to retail customers is not reporting the UDI usage tax on his or her monthly tax return

The current FMV established by the Transportation Cabinet for rentals for each specific vehicle classification is listed in the Fair Market Value Transportation Cabinet Established Rental and Lease Amounts, April 2013 edition, and is shown in the following table.

**FMV Rates by Rental Vehicle Type**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vehicle Type</th>
<th>Daily Rate ($)</th>
<th>Weekly Rate ($)</th>
<th>Monthly Rate ($)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Compact</td>
<td>54.22</td>
<td>208.47</td>
<td>691.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Midsize</td>
<td>58.53</td>
<td>228.89</td>
<td>754.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fullsize</td>
<td>67.98</td>
<td>286.13</td>
<td>986.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Luxury</td>
<td>131.94</td>
<td>504.27</td>
<td>1,622.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mini-van</td>
<td>109.16</td>
<td>630.53</td>
<td>1,717.54</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

If a UDI permit holder is regularly engaged in the business of leasing vehicles to retail customers, the Division of Road Fund Audits, in accordance with 601 KAR 1:146 Section 5, shall audit the records of the permit holder to determine an average lease amount per $1,000 value of the manufacturer’s suggested retail price (MSRP) of the permit holder’s lease vehicles.
The FMV lease amount shall be used to assess the KRS 138.463 UDI usage tax on an individual transaction of a UDI permit holder who is regularly engaged in the business of leasing vehicles to retail customers under either the following conditions:

- The transaction is for less than the FMV of the lease of the motor vehicle
- The information required to be maintained by 601 KAR 1:147 for the transaction is missing or incomplete

In accordance with 601 KAR 1:146, the Division of Road Fund Audits shall survey the executed lease agreements of 8 randomly selected UDI permit holders who are regularly engaged in the business of leasing vehicles to retail customers to determine the average dollar amount per $1,000 value of the MSRP of a vehicle.

The usage tax assessed under KRS 138.463 for a lease vehicle of a permit holder who is not regularly engaged in the business of leasing vehicles to retail customers shall be the greater of the following:

- The FMV lease amount per $1,000 value of the MSRP of the vehicle established
- The monthly lease amount assessed by the permit holder

The average dollar lease amount per $1,000 value of the MSRP is listed in the *Fair Market Value Transportation Cabinet Established Rental and Lease Amounts*, April 2013 edition.

**Note:** The current lease factor is $17.57 per $1,000 of the MSRP value.
The U-Drive-It (UDI)/IRP Branch conducts 10—15 UDI audits a year based on the number of vehicles per audit. When selecting audits to be completed, the audit manager will evaluate:

- Permit holder’s gross receipts for a given time period
- Any changes in the permit holder’s business practices
- Permit holders that have never been audited by the Division of Road Fund Audits
- Permit holders that request a refund of tax
- Requests made by the Division of Motor Carriers, Kentucky State Police, and public complaints
Guidance

Auditors shall conduct U-Drive-It (UDI) audits in accordance with KRS 138.463. The Division of Road Fund Audits conducts all audits at the permit holder’s place of business.

When selecting audits to perform, the Audit Manager evaluates the UDI monthly tax returns and assigns audits to each auditor.

The assigned auditor will determine if the permit holder was previously audited and examine any findings of noncompliance. This shall be documented in the audit file noting resolution or nonresolution.

Pre-Fieldwork Procedures

Before conducting the audit at the permit holder’s place of business, the lead auditor:

- Prepares tax return postings, vehicle schedules, and any other fieldwork schedules
- Obtains vehicle information from AVIS, including:
  - Date first put into service by the permit holder
  - Beginning mileage
  - Date taken out of service by the permit holder
  - Ending mileage
  - Vehicle make, model, and year
  - Record registration type if other than “UD” and whether any usage tax was paid at the time of registration
  - Lessee, if any
PRE-FIELDWORK PROCEDURES (CONT.)

➢ Schedules the audit with the permit holder by phone at least 15 days in advance and mails a confirmation letter stating:

♦ Audit period and permit numbers
♦ Date of audit
♦ List of all lease and rental documents that are needed to conduct the audit
♦ Enclosure of vehicle schedule
♦ Any additional and exceptional information (such as missing tax returns, rental rates not obtained during the phone interview, or Revenue Loaner Program documents)

➢ Assembles the audit folder, including:

♦ Copy of the confirmation letter
♦ All copies of Schedule of Vehicles
♦ Schedule of Payments per Tax Returns
♦ If applicable, a copy of Schedule of Actual Lease Information for each auditor that is performing the fieldwork
♦ Completed copy of Phone Interview Entrance Conference
♦ Copy of Fieldwork Close-Out Conference
♦ Copy of Agreement Fixing Period of Limitation Upon Assessment of U-Drive-It Usage Tax
♦ Copies of KRS 138.463, 601 KAR 1:146, and 601 KAR 1:147
At the permit holder’s place of business, auditors:

- Conduct an entrance conference with the permit holder to verify information obtained during the phone interview and to obtain any necessary information, including:

  - Specific details for leases, including:
    - Term
    - Amount
    - Any equity payments (cash down payment or trade-in allowance)
    - Start date
    - Turn-in date
    - Stop date (or last billing month)
    - Manufacturer’s suggested retail purchase (MSRP) or purchase price
    - Lease extension information

**Note:** Auditors use the lease information to determine the fair market rate of each specific vehicle and to determine whether all taxable payments were reported during the entire period of the lease term (monthly payment, equity payment, or mileage charge).

- Specific details for rentals, including:
  - Rental agreement number
  - Vehicle identification number
  - Dates out and in
  - Odometer readings
  - Number of days rented
FIELDWORK PROCEDURES (CONT.)

- Time and mileage charge (or taxed receipts)
- Comments

**Note:** Auditors use the rental information to verify the usage and mileage of all vehicles registered on the UDI permit. Auditors also use this information to account for all rental agreements and to determine the permit holder’s rental agreement averages.

- Check for and correct any input errors
- Combine files and verify usage of each vehicle based on the input information on the Schedule of Actual Lease Information or the Schedule of Rental Agreement with those on the Schedule of Vehicles
- After cross-referencing vehicles, consider those without records as fair market vehicles and document the following for such vehicles, if possible:
  - Start date
  - Turn-in date
  - MSRP or purchase price
  - Actual usage of vehicle if indicated on the vehicle folder
- Conduct a fieldwork close-out conference to:
  - Discuss preliminary findings, any additional information needed, and any recommendations
  - Complete the Fieldwork Closeout Conference

**Note:** Both parties shall sign the close-out form. Auditors will provide a copy for the permit holder and place the original in the audit file.

- Furnish the permit holder with a copy of KRS 138.463, 601 KAR 1:146, and 601 KAR 1:147
**FIELDWORK PROCEDURES (CONT.)**

- Furnish the permit holder with a list of any additional information needed

**Note:** The permit holder has 14 days to submit rental information and 30 days to submit lease information.

**POST-FIELDWORK PROCEDURES**

The lead auditor combines all field auditors’ work and, in accordance with 601 KAR 1:146, performs a fair market test.

For leases, the lead auditor:

- Calculates each lease amount, using the fair market rate, and compares it with the actual lease amount
- Determines whether any amounts are less than the fair market rate
- Calculates 90 percent of the fair market rate for those less than fair market
- Accepts as fair market if the lease amount is 90 percent or above the fair market rate
- Applies the fair market rate to the lease if the lease amount is less than 90 percent of the fair market rate

For rentals, the lead auditor:

- Calculates the fair market amount for each rental agreement having both money and usage indicated by using the permit holder’s lowest fair market rate
- Determines whether any rental agreements are less than the lowest fair market rate
- Calculates 90 percent of the lowest fair market rate for those less than fair market
- Accepts as fair market if the agreement amount is 90 percent or above the lowest fair market rate
Uses the following table to determine the applicable rate if agreement amount is less than 90 percent of the lowest fair market rate:

### Calculated Averages for Rental Agreements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Agreement Description</th>
<th>Applicable Rate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Agreements with money and usage indicated at less than fair market</td>
<td>Permit Holder’s Average Daily Rate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Missing agreements</td>
<td>Permit Holder’s Average Agreement Rate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agreements at no charge with usage indicated</td>
<td>Permit Holder’s Average Daily Rate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agreements at no charge without usage indicated</td>
<td>Permit Holder’s Average Agreement Rate</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Calculates the permit holder’s averages based on the rental agreements that were audited.

**Note:** The averages are used throughout the audit and include average agreement rate, the average daily rate, and the average days per agreement.

### Workpapers

Workpapers link the permit holder’s records to the audit report and contain evidence to support the findings, judgments, and conclusions in the report.

Upon completion of the audit, the lead auditor shall assemble all schedules, workpapers, source documents, and other supporting data and compile them in an audit folder with an index so that the audit is a complete and understandable file without reference to another file. For those audits performed after January 2012, this process will occur in TeamMate.

Workpapers shall be complete and accurate to provide proper support for findings, judgment, and conclusions and demonstrate the nature and scope of the audit.

Workpapers shall be understandable, without detailed supplementary oral explanations. Anyone using them should be able to readily determine their purpose, nature, scope of work done, and the conclusions. They should be complete yet concise; however, the auditor shall not sacrifice clarity or completeness to save time or paper.
WORKPAPERS (cont.)

Workpapers shall be legible and neat. Moreover, inadequate workpapers may lose their worth as evidence. The auditor shall avoid crowding and writing between lines by anticipating space needs and arranging the workpapers before writing.

The auditor shall restrict information in workpapers to matters that are materially important and relevant to the objectives of the assignment. There are no substitutes for a working understanding of the audit objectives. This understanding comes from well-planned and well-organized work programs and effective instructions by supervisors. The practice of having all workpapers contain clear statements of purpose, source, and conclusion is very helpful in ensuring that information accumulated is relevant to audit objectives and reporting.

EXIT CONFERENCE

The lead auditor shall attempt to conduct an exit conference (normally at the end of the fieldwork) with the permit holder to:

- Review audit issues and preliminary findings
- Provide an overview of the post-audit process, including to whom the final audit report should be addressed, reporting procedures, right of appeal, and any recommendations for improvement of the permit holder’s system

If it is not feasible for an exit conference to take place, the audit file shall document sufficient reasons for it not taking place.

AUDIT REPORT

The lead auditor shall:

- Prepare audit reports that are concise and cover all details
- Explain all reasons for all adjustments
- Include information about the operations and details that will make the next audit easier to conduct

COMPLETION OF AUDIT

Upon completion of the audit, the lead auditor shall:

- Review the audit file to determine whether the file is properly ordered and indexed
COMPLETION OF AUDIT (CONT.)

- Cross-reference the audit schedules to support the audit recaps, narrative audit report, audit workpapers, and summary of taxes due or payable if there is an assessment or refund

- Include an audit recap

- Perform math check on audit

- Place all audit documentation on the N Drive

- Submit the file to the U-Drive-It reviewer for review
Once the U-Drive-It (UDI) audit is completed, the UDI reviewer will:

- Conduct an in-depth review of the auditor’s workpapers
- Complete an audit comment sheet outlining any concerns
- Return the file to the auditor for corrections

The auditor will make changes and return the file to the reviewer for further review.

Once the review process is complete, the UDI/International Registration Plan (IRP) Audit Manager will create an audit bill in the Automated License and Tax System (ALTS). The bill will print out the next day and contain tax, penalty, and interest. The bill shall match all audit workpapers.

A complete report documenting the audit shall be prepared and provided to the permit holder. The audit report shall contain enough information, including detailed schedules, for the permit holder to verify any adjustments made.

The audit report shall also include a copy of the bill created from the ALTS system.

The UDI/IRP Audit Manager will assemble and mail the audit report and bill to the permit holder. A complete copy of the audit report that was sent to the permit holder is also placed in front of the audit file.
### Payment of Audit Bill

The permit holder has 45 days to pay the audit bill or protest the audit findings to the Division of Road Fund Audits – Protest Review, Executive Director, in accordance with [KRS 131.110](#). To protest the audit results, the permit holder shall submit a written request for a protest hearing with supporting documentation. [AUD-1101](#) details protest request procedures.

### Audit File Retention

The Division of Road Fund Audits maintains all audit files in house for 6 years. After that the files are transferred to the Kentucky Department for Libraries and Archives for another 8 years before being destroyed.
Kentucky adopted the International Fuel Tax Agreement (IFTA) July 1, 1996, as allowed under Kentucky Revised Statute (KRS) 138.7291. IFTA is an agreement among states and Canadian provinces to simplify the reporting of fuel used by interstate and interjurisdictional motor carriers. This agreement enables participating jurisdictions to act cooperatively and provide mutual assistance in the administration and collection of motor-fuel-use taxes. By signing an IFTA license application or a renewal to operate under an IFTA license, a carrier agrees to abide by the following:

- Duties and obligations of licensees as set forth in the current agreement and as may be amended

  **Note:** The most current IFTA manuals can be found at:

  www.iftach.org

- Laws and regulations of all jurisdictions in which it operates

By having an IFTA license, the motor carrier has one license and one quarterly fuel-tax report that reflects net tax or refund due. These advantages lead to cost and time savings for the carrier.

**Qualifications**

To qualify under IFTA, a motor vehicle shall have interstate operations and have either of the following:

- Two axles and gross vehicle weight or registered gross vehicle weight exceeding 26,000 pounds

- Three axles or more, regardless of weight

Each jurisdiction may have exemptions to the qualified units. For instance, recreational vehicles, farm-plated vehicles, buses, and government vehicles are exempt for Kentucky. IFTA, Inc. provides a listing of each jurisdiction’s exemptions online at:

www.iftach.org
IFTA allows an interstate trucking company to obtain one fuel-tax license in its base jurisdiction instead of obtaining authority in all jurisdictions in which it operates. The trucking company pays taxes to each jurisdiction on the basis of the amount of fuel that the company consumes in each jurisdiction. The company may claim a refund on the IFTA quarterly tax report for any overpayment of tax in a reporting quarter. IFTA allows credit when the company purchases tax-paid fuel in that jurisdiction.

IFTA allows the company to file one fuel-tax return to its base jurisdiction and to distribute and net the taxes among all other affected jurisdictions.

**Note:** A jurisdiction may allow a licensee to report intrastate-only motor vehicles on the IFTA return.

**Audit Requirements**

The *International Fuel Tax Agreement Audit Manual* section A310 (see below) requires each base jurisdiction to audit at least an average of 3 percent of the number of the base jurisdiction’s IFTA licensees. The jurisdiction meets the 3-percent requirement when it audits 3 percent of the jurisdiction’s licensees during the IFTA compliance review five-year cycle. Specifically, the *IFTA Audit Manual* section A250, “Number of Audits” states:

*Base jurisdictions will be held accountable for audits and will be required to complete audits of an average of 3 percent per year of the number of IFTA accounts required to be reported by that jurisdiction on the annual reports filed pursuant to the IFTA Procedures Manual, Section P1110.300.005 excluding new licensees, for each year of the program compliance review period, other than the jurisdiction’s IFTA implementation year. Such audits shall cover at least one registration year. This does not preclude audits of individual licensees several times during the program compliance review period. However, audits for a licensee selected that cover multiple registration years, fuel types, or both shall be counted as one audit for program compliance review purposes.*

The Division of Road Fund Audits may select any Kentucky IFTA licensee for audit, but at least 15 percent shall be low-distance accounts, and at least 25 percent shall be high-distance accounts, in accordance with A260 of the *IFTA Audit Manual.*
Chapter
INTERNATIONAL FUEL TAX AGREEMENT (IFTA) AUDITS

Subject
Licensee Responsibility

RECORDS RETENTION

**IFTA Procedures Manual** Section P510 requires that a licensee preserve all records pertaining to a quarterly tax return for four years from the tax return due date or filing date, whichever is later, plus any time included as a result of waivers or jeopardy assessments.

The licensee shall make the records available for audit upon request by any member jurisdiction. If the records requested for audit are located outside the base jurisdiction and if the base jurisdiction needs to send auditors to the location of the records, the licensee may be required to reimburse the base jurisdiction for reasonable per diem and travel expenses.

Failure to provide records for an audit extends the four-year record-retention requirement until the licensee provides the records. Moreover, failure to comply with the recordkeeping requirements by not maintaining the records or by not making the records available for an audit may result in the issuance of a jeopardy assessment and revocation of the IFTA license.

Additional information can be found in [KRS 138.680, 601 KAR 1:201](http://www.iftach.org), and the *IFTA Procedures Manual* online at:

www.iftach.org

ACCEPTABLE SOURCE DOCUMENTS

**IFTA Procedures Manual** Section P540 requires the licensee to maintain detailed records relating to distance and motor-fuel usage in the conduct of business. The detailed distance records may be, at the option of the licensee, an electronic data-recording system.
Operational records shall include, but not be limited to, the following:

- Taxable and nontaxable usage of fuel
- Distance traveled for taxable and nontaxable use
- Distance recaps for each vehicle for each jurisdiction in which the vehicle operated

At a minimum, the distance accounting system shall include distance data on each vehicle for each trip and shall provide a recapitulation of the data in monthly fleet summaries.

Distance records shall include the following information:

- Beginning and ending odometer readings
- Total mileage of each trip
- Miles by jurisdiction
- Beginning and ending date of each trip
- Trip origin and destination
- Route traveled on trip

All fuel records shall include the following information:

- Date of each receipt of fuel
- Name and address of the person who purchased or received the fuel
- Number of gallons or liters purchased or received
- Type of fuel
- Identification of the vehicle or equipment into which the fuel was placed
Fuel records of retail purchases shall also include:

- Retailer’s name and address
- Price per gallon or liter
- Total amount of sale

Fuel records of bulk purchases shall also include:

- Date of withdrawal and purchase
- Inventory records to substantiate payment of tax on all bulk purchases
- Copies of all delivery tickets or receipts
- Reconciliations of bulk-fuel inventory
- Documentation distinguishing fuel placed in qualified vehicles from other fuel uses

A licensee shall account for all operations of a qualified motor vehicle. **Total distance** means “all miles or kilometers traveled during the tax-reporting period by every qualified vehicle in a licensee’s fleet, regardless of whether the miles or kilometers are considered taxable or nontaxable by a jurisdiction.” Total mileage operated includes all movement—whether loaded, unloaded, deadhead, bobtail, or mileage generated while operating on a trip permit.
GUIDANCE

The International Fuel Tax Agreement (IFTA) Branch in the Division of Road Fund Audits shall use the International Fuel Tax Agreement Articles of Agreement (R), Audit Manual (A), and Procedures Manual (P) as guidance in conducting all IFTA audits.

AUDIT SELECTION

In accordance with the IFTA Audit Manual A250, each member jurisdiction shall conduct a number of audits equivalent to an average of 3 percent per year of the number of licenses issued.

When selecting audits to perform, the IFTA Branch Manager (AM) will evaluate at a minimum, the following information to determine potential audits:

- Average miles traveled per decal issued
- Consistent reporting of miles traveled, fuel purchased, or miles per gallon (MPG)
- Potential travel in jurisdictions that were not reported
- Location of the audit to maximize audit coverage across Kentucky
- Requests from the Kentucky State Police’s Division of Commercial Vehicle Enforcement or the Kentucky Transportation Cabinet’s (Cabinet) Division of Motor Carriers

AUDIT NOTIFICATION

The AM or audit supervisor will notify the licensee in writing at least 30 days prior to conducting a routine audit. The notification will advise the licensee of the approximate date of the audit and the time period the audit covers. The AM may contact affected jurisdictions before the audit to obtain pertinent information in accordance with each jurisdiction’s disclosure policy. Other member jurisdictions may assist in the audit of a Kentucky-based IFTA carrier through a joint audit under the IFTA Articles of Agreement R1370.
AUDIT NOTIFICATION (CONT.)

For just cause, the Division of Road Fund Audits shall have the right to waive notification requirements. Reasons for the waiver could include a high priority request for audit by the Division of Motor Carriers, determination that an owner or officer of a company already scheduled for audit has interest in another company with an IFTA license, or scheduling conflicts determine the best possible audit date would not allow 30-day notification.

The engagement letter shall be used as the notification device and shall list the audit period and types of records expected to be audited. It shall also list a proposed audit commencement date. It shall also include the pre-audit questionnaire for the licensee to complete and return to the audit supervisor.

PRE-AUDIT CONTACT

After the initial contact letter is sent and prior to commencement of fieldwork, the auditor shall contact the licensee to confirm the audit appointment and to do the following:

- Determine the company representative that will assist the auditor and will be responsible for receiving the final audit results
- Discuss normal day-to-day truck operations
- Inform the licensee about the audit process, including sampling
- Discuss records that will be needed for the audit

AUDIT PROGRAM

The Division of Road Fund Audits maintains audit programs designed to do the following:

- Set forth the technique and procedures required to accomplish audit objectives
- Serve as a guide to remind auditors of specific areas requiring testing or review
- Provide a permanent record for coverage of each audit
The Division of Road Fund Audits has developed an IFTA Audit Program. However, auditors shall consider any audit program as a flexible tool subject to revision. Each individual audit is unique; therefore, each audit program is unique, tailored to the individual audit. Specific purposes of any audit program include, but are not limited to, the following:

- Documenting audit work performed
- Providing a systematic method of attaining objectives
- Confirming adequate audit coverage

Auditors shall hold a documented opening conference with the licensee. Auditors use the conference to learn a general overview of the licensee’s operations, to discuss audit techniques used by the IFTA Branch, and to discuss (at a minimum) the following:

- Audit procedures
- Records to be examined
- Sample period
- Sampling procedures
- Description of the licensee’s operations and the licensee’s representative for the audit

During the discussion about the licensee’s general operations, auditors shall obtain answers to the following questions:

- What are the licensee’s normal day-to-day truck operations?
- Has the licensee had any major changes in operations, personnel, etc.?
- How does the licensee accumulate and compile information? What internal controls or procedures are used to ensure that all operations are reported?
- How many taxable units does the licensee own? How many units does the licensee lease? Does the licensee lease to or from others?
OPENING CONFERENCE (cont.)

- How many terminals does the licensee have? Where are they located?
- Where are the licensee’s general shipping lanes?

EVALUATION OF INTERNAL CONTROLS

Auditors shall review the licensee’s accounting policies and procedures (IFTA Audit Manual A300). Auditors:

- Examine and evaluate licensee’s internal accounting control system
- Determine any changes in the licensee’s accounting procedures or operations
- Identify the records the licensee keeps to support the tax return
- Document licensee’s system of internal controls and report any weaknesses to licensee

By studying the internal controls auditors can make a determination on sample sizes to determine compliance. If weaknesses are identified, auditors may have to make a more extensive review. Auditors shall report all identified weaknesses to the licensee.

SAMPLING

Unless a specific situation dictates, auditors shall conduct all audits on a sampling basis (IFTA Audit Manual A330). The sample period shall be representative of the licensee’s operation. Both the licensee and auditors shall sign an agreement that the sampling methodology is appropriate. Auditors shall use the evaluation of internal controls to determine the size of the audit sample.

AUDIT TESTING

Auditors shall conduct testing for each audit. The scope of the testing can be expanded or narrowed as needed. Severe noncompliance findings in the sample can lead to another sample being chosen either in the original sample quarter or in a different quarter. It is also possible to choose a second sample if the method or recordkeeping has changed significantly during the audit period. There also could be one-time errors noted on tax returns that need examining. During the testing auditors shall verify the levels of compliance in different aspects of the audit. In general, every audit shall test each of these sections of reporting:

- Total Miles—Each licensee shall report total miles by odometer readings. It is the goal of every audit to determine if all operations are being reported as well as being reported accurately.
AUDIT TESTING (CONT.)

- **Jurisdictional Mileage**—In addition to reporting all operations accurately, licensees shall also report the jurisdictional breakdown accurately. Auditors use a mileage software program as the tool to determine jurisdictional mileage; however, jurisdictional mileage shall not be reduced in any jurisdiction by just using the mileage software program. Mileage may only be reduced when errors can be noted and corrected accordingly.

- **Tax Paid Gallons**—Fuel will also be reviewed during the audit. All receipts for the sample shall be scheduled out. There are two main functions of auditing tax paid gallons. The first is to accurately determine total gallons which are used in the MPG calculation. The second is to determine accurate jurisdictional fuel credits and that tax has been paid on all purchases. Although not found in Kentucky, there are jurisdictions where state tax is not collected at the pump. For example, Native American reservations in New York sell fuel without New York state fuel tax. Purchases like these need to be included in “total gallons” but excluded from “tax paid gallons.”

- **MPG**—Auditors should only calculate the audited MPG when total miles captures all operations and total gallons is closely examined to determine if all purchases are being reported. IFTA allows auditors to use best information available in absence of complete records being available. In the case of MPG, if auditors find issues of noncompliance they may perform any of the following:
  - Drop the MPG to 4.0 per IFTA
  - Reduce the vehicle MPG or kilometers per liter (KPL) by 20 percent
  - Use industry averages as found in specialized industries, such as coal hauling or federal government estimate
  - Hold the MPG as reported for lack of information to change the MPG

When necessary, each auditor should make multiple attempts to obtain all records needed for an audit. To verify all operations are being reported, auditors shall:

- Review all trips for continuity where gaps are found in destination of one trip and origin of the next trip
- Compare any DOT inspection or Kentucky weigh station observation to the mileage scheduled to ensure trips are accurate
Any major noncompliance issue noted by auditors shall be discussed with the licensee’s representative as soon as possible and should not wait until the exit conference. This gives the licensee time to examine the issue to determine if there is a flaw in the sample or if they need to adjust internal controls to ensure future accuracy.

In every audit, auditors shall review the auditee’s documentation for the following common errors:

➢ **Not reporting all taxable units**—Types of these errors include:

- Licensees failed to report units with registered weights of 26,001—59,999 pounds and only reported the trucks at the highest weight classes
- Licensees have omitted reporting intrastate units and only reported interstate travel units
- The higher of registered weight or hauling weight was not used by the licensee to determine if a unit is taxable

➢ **Transposition errors**—These types of errors are when a licensee fails to carry a number from a trip sheet to the recap or records the wrong number onto the recap. These types of errors could occur from trip sheet to recap or recap to tax return.

If found, these errors might be considered as one-time errors and applied to the quarter where it was found, or the errors could be applied to all quarters in the audit period, depending on the circumstances and auditor judgment.
AUD WRITE-UP

Workpapers are the link between the fieldwork and the audit report. They contain the evidence to support the findings, judgments, and conclusions in the report. Auditors shall ensure the safe custody and retention of workpapers for the time sufficient to meet legal and administrative requirements.

DATA ASSEMBLY

Upon completion of the audit, auditors shall assemble all schedules, workpapers, and other supporting data and attach them to the index sheet or teammate procedure step so that the audit is a complete file.

Auditors shall:

- Prepare an adjustment schedule to document the final audit results and the total amount of the assessment or refund

- Calculate the amounts of any additional taxes due and any penalties and interest payable by the carrier

The minimum schedule requirement for all audits in which an adjustment occurs is an audit report and an adjustment schedule. These two documents, together with the audit review notes, represent the entire audit; however, the majority of cases also include a number of supporting schedules:

- Audit Report Narrative
- Summary of Adjustment Schedule
- Records Evaluation
- Entrance / Exit Conference
- Pre-Audit Questionnaire
DATA ASSEMBLY (CONT.)

- Internal Control Questionnaire
- Mileage Workpapers
- Fuel-Purchases Workpapers
- Miles-Per-Gallon Workpapers
- Tax Returns
- Engagement Letter / Waiver of Thirty-Day Notice
- Equipment List
- Auditors Notes
- Copies of Carrier’s Documentation

CLOSING CONFERENCE

Auditors shall hold a documented closing conference with the licensee to outline preliminary findings, including the following:

- Recommendations
- Rights of appeal
- Name of the licensee’s representative

The conference will be held either at the conclusion of the audit fieldwork or by phone after the audit is completed. If auditors can’t contact the licensee’s representative, the exit conference may be completed by mail. If auditors and the licensee fail to hold or complete the closing conference, auditors shall document the failure in the audit report.

All International Fuel Tax Agreement (IFTA) audits with assessment have a calculated penalty at 20 percent. The penalty is allowable under the IFTA Articles of Agreement R1220.300. Interest is calculated by the direction prescribed under the IFTA Articles of Agreement R1220.300.
### Audit Report
Auditors shall prepare a thorough report documenting the audit (*IFTA Audit Manual A460*). The base jurisdiction shall submit the audit report to the licensee and all affected member jurisdictions. Along with the audit report, the base jurisdiction shall provide the licensee with its customary notice of assessment, billing, or other notification that signifies the beginning of the licensee’s appeal period.

### Review of Audit
Once the IFTA audit is completed, the IFTA supervisor will do the following:

- Conduct an in-depth review of the auditor’s work schedules
- Complete review comments via the teammate coaching notes that outline concerns
- Notify the auditor to review the coaching notes
  The auditor will make changes and return the file to the supervisor for further review.

### Creating an Audit Bill
Once the review is complete, the IFTA audit manager will create and print an audit bill in the IFTA Processing Consortium (IPC). The bill shall match all audit work schedules.

The audit manager will assemble and mail the audit report and bill to the licensee. A complete copy of the audit report that was sent to the licensee will be uploaded to the IFTA Clearinghouse to notify all affected jurisdictions of the audit findings.

### Payment & Appeals
The licensee has 45 days to pay the audit bill or protest the audit findings to the Division of Road Fund Audits-Protest Review in accordance with KRS 131.110. To protest the audit results, the licensee shall submit a written request for a protest hearing. If an IFTA member jurisdiction elects to exercise the right under the *IFTA Articles of Agreement R1360* to re-audit the taxpayer, the Cabinet shall consider this a protest. *AUD-1100* provides more information on protest procedures.

### Transmittal of Audit Funds
All funds collected on audits by the Division of Road Fund Audits shall be transmitted properly to all affected member jurisdictions in the month following the month in which the funds were received. When the first payment is received on an IFTA audit, the IFTA Processing Consortium (IPC) automatically releases all the money in the next transmittal to the IFTA Clearinghouse. This allows jurisdictions to receive their payments and complies with the *IFTA Articles of Agreement R1380*. 
RECORDKEEPING  The following provide additional detailed information on IFTA recordkeeping requirements:

- IFTA Articles of Agreement
- IFTA Procedures Manual
- IFTA Audit Manual
- 601 KAR 1:201
Each branch in the Division of Road Fund Audits conducts reviews of all applicable completed audits for clarity, accuracy, and compliance with standard auditing practices, Kentucky statutes and regulations, and International Fuel Tax Agreement (IFTA) and International Registration Plan (IRP) audit and procedure manuals.

The IFTA review process requires an independent analysis of completed audits to be in compliance with applicable laws, regulations, and manuals.

Auditors conduct the analysis based on the following:

- **601 KAR 1:200**, “Administration of taxes imposed in KRS 138.655 through 138.7291”
- **601 KAR 1:201**, “Recordkeeping and audit requirements of taxes imposed in KRS 138.655 through 138.7291”
- **KRS 138.655**, “Definitions for KRS 138.660 to KRS 138.7291 and KRS 138.990(14) and (15)”
- **KRS 138.660**, “Motor fuels tax—Surtax—Weight distance tax”
- **KRS 138.680**, “Records required to be kept”
- **IFTA Audit Manual A200**, “Audit Program Standards”
- **IFTA Audit Manual A300**, Auditing “Audit Procedures”
- **IFTA Audit Manual A400**, “Audit Communications”
- **IFTA Articles of Agreement R800**, “Taxation of Motor Fuels”
IFTA Compliance Guidelines (cont.)

- *IFTA Articles of Agreement R1300*, “Audits”

- *IFTA Compliance Manual* (Kentucky Transportation Cabinet, Department of Vehicle Regulation, Division of Motor Carriers’ *IFTA Information and Compliance Manual*)

- Cabinet legal opinions

U-Drive-It Compliance Guidelines

The review process of a U-Drive-It audit requires an in-depth analysis of the audit findings specific to each and every vehicle transaction within the audit period.

Auditors conduct the analysis based on the following:

- *KRS 138.450*, “Definitions for KRS 138.455 to 138.470”

- *KRS 138.460*, “Motor vehicle usage tax—Imposition—Rate—Collection—Refunds”


- *KRS 138.463*, “Collection of U-Drive-It tax”

- *KRS 138.4631*, “Estimated assessment, plus penalty and interest, against holders of U-Drive-It permits and against dealers with loaner motor vehicles for failure or refusal to pay tax”

- *KRS 138.470*, “Exemptions from tax”

- *KRS 281.014(3)*, “Definitions for chapter”

- *601 KAR 1:146*, “Fair market rental or lease value of vehicles operated pursuant to a U-drive-it permit”

- *601 KAR 1:147*, “Auditing of U-drive-it permit holders”

- Kentucky Supreme Court rulings

- Cabinet legal opinions

- Audit standards, procedures, and guidelines set forth in this manual’s U-Drive-It chapter (*AUD-700*)
KYU/KIT Compliance Guidelines

The KYU/KIT review process requires an independent analysis of completed audits to be in compliance with applicable laws, regulations, and manuals.

Auditors conduct the analysis based on the following:

- 601 KAR 1:200, “Administration of taxes imposed in KRS 138.655 through 138.7291”
- 601 KAR 1:201, “Recordkeeping and audit requirements of taxes imposed in KRS 138.655 through 138.7291”
- KRS 138.655, “Definitions for KRS 138.660 to KRS 138.7291 and KRS 138.990(14) and (15)”
- KRS 138.660, “Motor fuels tax—Surtax—Weight distance tax”
- KRS 138.680, “Records required to be kept”
- Kentucky Supreme Court rulings
- Cabinet legal opinions
- Audit standards, procedures, and guidelines set forth in this manual’s KYU/KIT chapter (AUD-600)

IRP Compliance Guidelines

The IRP review process requires an independent analysis of completed audits to be in compliance with applicable laws, regulations, and manuals.

Auditors conduct the analysis based on the following:

- KRS 186.050, “Registration Fees...”
- 601 KAR 9:135, “Apportioned registration” (the Kentucky administrative regulation for IRP)
- International Registration Plan, Inc.’s International Registration Plan
- International Registration Plan, Inc.’s International Registration Plan Audit Procedures Manual
Once an audit is completed by the IFTA Branch, KYU/KIT Branch, or U-Drive-It/IRP Branch, the audit manager (AM) or first-level reviewer (auditor IV) shall notify the appropriate reviewer that the audit is available for review.

The reviewer will then review the audit’s schedules, report, methodology, and findings. The reviewer shall complete review notes related to each audit’s clarity, accuracy, and compliance with standard auditing practices, Kentucky statutes and regulations, and International Fuel Tax Agreement (IFTA) and International Registration Plan (IRP) audit and procedure manuals as listed in AUD-1001. The review notes shall also include any suggested revisions to audit methodology and any questions concerning an audit technique.

The reviewer returns the audit file, which includes a copy of the review notes, to the lead auditor for response to review inquiries and correct identified errors.

If the review resulted in procedure inquiries or identified corrections being necessary, the lead auditor shall return the audit to the reviewer with comments and completed error corrections within a reasonable time period. The reviewer shall review responses and verify that identified corrections have been completed by the lead auditor. The reviewer shall verify that all applicable audit schedules impacted by review notes and necessary corrections have been updated properly with hard copy provided by the lead auditor.

If all review notes and corrections are properly satisfied, the reviewer shall close the review by entering the review completion date into the audit review status file and submitting the audit file and review notes to the appropriate AM for final review and audit issuance.
Upon closing of the review, the reviewer shall verify that all audit schedules saved to the auditor’s completed audit file are the final post-review audit schedules.
**INTRODUCTION**

In accordance with KRS 131:110 and 601 KAR 1:201(5), once taxpayers receive a tax assessment bill, they have 45 days from the date of the notice to protest the assessment to the Division of Road Fund Audits-Protest Review. The Division of Road Fund Audits coordinates all taxpayer communications related to protest disputes, including the holding of protest hearings.

**APPLICABLE TAXES**

The Division of Road Fund Audits-Protest Review shall review protests of completed audits upon the following:

- Kentucky weight-distance tax (KYU)
- Kentucky intrastate fuel tax (KIT)
- Kentucky U-Drive-It tax (UDI)
- International Fuel Tax Agreement (IFTA) Kentucky-based carriers
- International Registration Plan (IRP) Kentucky-based carriers

**SUBMITTAL REQUIREMENTS**

Taxpayers, or their chosen representatives, shall provide a written supporting statement setting forth the grounds upon which a protest is made. The supporting statement shall be accompanied by documentation as evidence in support of specific disputes identified in protest.

Upon written request, the tax compliance mediator may extend the 45-day protest window for filing the supporting statement or supporting documentation if it is determined that the delay is necessary and unavoidable.
DETERMINING ACCEPTABILITY OF THE PROTEST

Upon protest receipt, the Division of Road Fund Audits-Protest Review shall:

➢ Determine if the protest is filed timely and contains proper disputes and pertinent supporting documentation

Note: Additional time to provide supporting documentation may be allowed as long as proper disputes are stated in the protest letter.

➢ Review any additional documentation and statements of dispute provided by the taxpayers

If the protest is accepted, the Division of Road Fund Audits-Protest Review will place the audit bills generated as a result of the audits into protest status within the Automated License and Taxation System (ALTS) by entering the protest indicator “Y” within the protest field of the audit bill’s control number. The Division of Road Fund Audits-Protest Review shall notify the taxpayer by telephone, email, or letter that the protest status has been granted in relation to the filed dispute.

If the protest is unacceptable, the Division of Road Fund Audits-Protest Review shall notify the taxpayer as soon as possible via telephone or email of such. A written protest denial shall immediately follow the initial notification or notification attempt. Within each form of communication, the taxpayer shall be informed of the timeframe remaining to either file an acceptable protest or arrange to resolve the original billed assessments.

REVIEWSING THE PROTEST

The Division of Road Fund Audits-Protest Review shall analyze all protest evidence and reach conclusions. This sometimes includes consultation with the applicable audit branch (IFTA Branch, KYU/KIT Branch, or U-Drive-It/IRP Branch) on whether disputes require the original audit findings to be revised.
REVIEWING THE PROTEST (CONT.)

The Division of Road Fund Audits-Protest Review will conclude the protest review by issuing a written final ruling.

PROTEST RULINGS

Rulings shall:

➢ Address each protested item and explain in detail the methodology, documentation, and legal opinion utilized in reaching conclusions related to each disputed item

➢ State the original tax assessment, penalty, and interest amounts, and any revised tax, penalty, and interest amounts due per the review conclusions

➢ Inform the taxpayers of further appeal rights with the Kentucky Claims Commission, Kentucky Board of Tax Appeals (KBTA), and the appeal time window (30 days)

➢ Provide a description of how to file an initial appeal with KBTA

Every ruling shall be accompanied by tax due notices, if applicable, reflecting the final tax, penalty, and interest due per the ruling and all revised audit schedules prepared from the review. The tax compliance mediator shall produce the tax due notices by adjusting the original tax, penalty, and interest amounts within each bill control number to the final ruling amounts and removing the protest indicator “Y” from the protest field.

The Division of Road Fund Audits-Protest Review shall send every ruling to taxpayers via certified U.S. mail and, when applicable, shall send copies of every ruling to taxpayer representatives via regular U.S. mail.

TRACKING CASES AFTER RULINGS

The Division of Road Fund Audits-Protest Review shall track a 30-day appeal window and 45-day payment window for every ruled audit billing from the date of the ruling’s issuance. The KBTA should send written confirmation of filed appeals to the Division of Road Audits. If after 30 days the division has not received confirmation from the KBTA, the tax compliance mediator (prior to 45 days) shall contact the KBTA for verbal confirmation of an appeal being filed.
If the KBTA verifies that no appeal has been filed within the 30-day appeal window, the Division of Road Fund Audits-Protest Review shall note such upon the final ruling tracking.

Written confirmation of filed KBTA appeals is received by the Division of Road Fund Audits via U.S. mail. The division’s administrative specialist responsible for logging incoming mail shall provide the written confirmation to the executive director or upper management.

The executive director or upper management shall:

- Notify the applicable branch manager of the confirmation receipt and provide a copy for inclusion in the audit file
  
  **Note:** Once confirmation of a filed appeal is obtained, the branch manager shall place each audit bill back into protest status by entering the protest indicator “Y” within the protest field of the audit bill’s control number.

- Notify the Office of Legal Services (OLS) of the appeal confirmation and forward the original copy to OLS for attorney assignment

The Division of Road Fund Audits-Protest Review briefs and provides all pertinent information to Kentucky Transportation Cabinet (Cabinet) attorneys on any final ruling appealed to the KBTA or court of law and appears at all legal hearings as the Cabinet’s material witness regarding the examination’s final conclusions.

If the KBTA affirms all or part of the division’s tax assessment, the Division of Road Fund Audits-Protest Review shall send to the taxpayers, via certified U.S. mail, notice that the assessment is due and payable notwithstanding additional rights of appeal. The notice shall state that pursuant to **KRS 13B.140 (4)** and **KRS 131.370** collection of the taxes may only be stayed by any of the following:

- Decision of the appropriate agency or administrative board

- Order of a relevant court with jurisdiction over the dispute
RULINGS APPEALED TO KBTA OR COURT OF LAW (CONT.)

- Posting of a supersedes bond in the manner directed by the Kentucky Rules of Civil Procedure
- Payment of the taxes pursuant to KRS 134.580

TRACKING COLLECTION ACTIVITY

The Division of Road Fund Audits-Protest Review performs all accounting procedures related to the tracking of collection activity of finalized KBTA orders and the tracking of status related to any ruling appealed to a court of law.

The Division of Road Fund Audits-Protest Review provides supporting analysis, including recommended action and licensee information, to the Division of Motor Carriers and OLS regarding any delinquent assessment in which a final ruling has been issued.


**Chapter**

PENALTIES & SETTLEMENTS

**Subject**

Penalties

---

**Penalty**

If any taxpayer neglects or refuses to file a quarterly tax return or to pay an audit bill, a penalty of 20 percent will be added to the tax bill from the last day the carrier could have paid the tax bill. The 20 percent tax is assessed in accordance with KRS 138.715.

**Reduction of Penalty**

The Kentucky Transportation Cabinet (Cabinet) may consider a penalty reduction after receiving written reasons from the carrier. The Cabinet may reduce the penalty if the discrepancy does not merit a 20 percent penalty in accordance with KRS 138.715(2). The Executive Director or upper management of the Division of Road Fund Audits may modify the penalty to 5 percent of the tax due or to 1 percent of the tax due if it is the licensee’s first violation.

Disputed audits that involve legal staff shall carry forward the 20 percent penalty in accordance with KRS 138.715 Section 3.
INTRODUCTION

Taxpayers who have received a tax assessment bill as a result of audit may contact the Division of Road Fund Audits regarding payment. If the taxpayer cannot pay the full amount at once, the taxpayer may request a payment plan. The taxpayer must submit a request with an offer and reasons why a payment plan is necessary. Such reasons could include, but not be limited to, economic hardship, carrier out of business, or bankruptcy.

PROCESSING SETTLEMENT REQUESTS

Once the Executive Director of the Office of Audits, or his or her designee, has reviewed the offer, either the offer can be accepted or a counter offer will be made. Payment plans should not exceed 6 months unless authorized by the executive director. All payment plans shall include the full tax owed, interest, and penalties. Taxpayers may request a penalty reduction as detailed in AUD-1201.

Once a payment plan has been accepted, the Executive Director of the Office of Audits, or his or her designee, will send a written payment plan agreement with a bill to the taxpayer to sign and return to the executive director.

If a taxpayer makes an offer to pay an amount less than the tax assessment due, the taxpayer shall submit the offer in writing and justify why the tax, penalty, and interest amount should be lowered. The Executive Director of the Office of Audits, or his or her designee, will review the offer and, if acceptable, will complete a Settlement Approval form and forward it and the additional documentation (that justifies the settlement) to the Executive Director of the Office of Audits, or the designee, for approval. Once a settlement plan is approved, the approval documents are placed in the audit file.

Note: The approval form is not subject to an open records request.
The Executive Director of the Office of Audits, or his or her designee, will monitor the payment plan for compliance. Once the payment plan is paid in full, it will be noted on the Automated License and Tax System (ALTS) that the account has been paid in full and a note placed in the audit file.

If the taxpayer does not follow the requirements of the payment plan and defaults on payments, the Executive Director of the Office of Audits, or his or her designee, sends a copy of the applicable audit file and payment plan documents to the Office of Legal Services for legal action on the outstanding audit bill.

All final paperwork is placed in the electronic audit file held by the Division of Road Fund Audits.

Note: All procedures and policies discussed in this section are subject to change and do not bind, limit, or mandate that the Kentucky Transportation Cabinet or its employees follow such procedures.
ACCOUNT REVIEW

Before the end of each fiscal year audit managers for each branch within the Division of Road Fund Audits will identify audits in need of closure and determine if they need to be removed from accounts receivable. Audit managers consider the following factors:

- If the carrier still has vehicles in their name, is their status active or inactive? What operations is the carrier performing?

- Is the account receivable beyond the five-year statute of limitation [per KRS 413.120 (2)]?

- What is the bankruptcy status of the carrier? Has the carrier filed for Chapter 7 or 11 bankruptcy and is the Kentucky Transportation Cabinet (Cabinet) named in the bankruptcy?

- After reviewing the carrier’s assets, is the tax collectable?

- After reviewing the above factors and performing a cost benefit analysis, is it beneficial to the Cabinet to bring legal action against the carrier?

WRITE-OFF PROCESSING

Audit managers document all accounts receivable write-offs on the Approval to Write-Off Account Receivable and attach any additional documentation. The Audit Manager of the Division of Road Fund Audits will review the audit file and submit the approval form to the Executive Director of the Office of Audits for approval.
Once approval is granted, the audit file will be returned to the Audit Manager to adjust the audit bill to reflect the settlement within the Cabinet’s billing systems, and the approval form will be placed in the audit file.

**Note:** All procedures and policies discussed in this section are subject to change and do not bind, limit, or mandate that the Cabinet or its employees follow such procedures.