



KENTUCKY TRANSPORTATION CENTER

2010 SAFETY BELT USAGE SURVEY IN KENTUCKY





OUR MISSION

We provide services to the transportation community
through research, technology transfer and education.

We create and participate in partnerships
to promote safe and effective
transportation systems.

OUR VALUES

Teamwork

Listening and communicating along with
courtesy and respect for others.

Honesty and Ethical Behavior

Delivering the highest quality
products and services.

Continuous Improvement

In all that we do.

**Research Report
KTC-10-12/KSP1-10-1F**

**2010 SAFETY BELT USAGE SURVEY
IN KENTUCKY**

By

Kenneth R. Agent
Transportation Research Engineer

Eric R. Green
Transportation Research Engineer

Kentucky Transportation Center
College of Engineering
University of Kentucky
Lexington, Kentucky

in cooperation with
Kentucky Transportation Cabinet
Commonwealth of Kentucky

The contents of this report reflect the views of the authors who are responsible for the facts and accuracy of the data presented herein. The contents do not necessarily reflect the official views or policies of the University of Kentucky or the Kentucky Transportation Cabinet. This report does not constitute a standard, specification, or regulation. The inclusion of manufacturer names or trade names are for identification purposes and are not considered endorsements.

July 2010

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | Page |
|---|------|
| List of Tables | ii |
| List of Figures | ii |
| Executive Summary | iii |
| 1.0 Introduction and Background | 1 |
| 2.0 Procedure | 2 |
| 2.1 Data Collection Procedure | 2 |
| 2.2 Data Collection Locations..... | 5 |
| 2.3 Survey Data Analysis..... | 7 |
| 3.0 Survey Results | 9 |
| 4.0 Summary | 11 |
| 5.0 Recommendations..... | 12 |
| Figures..... | 13 |
| Tables..... | 15 |
| Appendix A County Populations | 23 |
| Appendix B Survey Locations | 27 |
| Appendix C Summary of Data..... | 31 |
| Appendix D Mini-Survey Data..... | 35 |
| Appendix E Distracted Driving Data..... | 37 |

LIST OF TABLES

| | |
|---------|---|
| Table 1 | Survey Counties |
| Table 2 | Number of Site Allocations per Road Class (by County) |
| Table 3 | Usage Rates for Front-Seat Occupants (by Road Class) |
| Table 4 | Usage Rates for Children (Front and Rear) by Road Class |
| Table 5 | Usage Rates for Front-Seat Occupants (by Road Class and Vehicle Type) |
| Table 6 | Usage Rates for Front-Seat Occupants (by County) |
| Table 7 | Usage Rates for Front-Seat Occupants (by County and Vehicle Type) |
| Table 8 | Trend in Statewide Usage Rates |
| Table 9 | Trend in Motorcycle Helmet Usage |

LIST OF FIGURES

| | |
|-----------|--------------------------------------|
| Figure 1. | Data Collection Form |
| Figure 2. | Selected Counties for Seatbelt Sites |

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The objective of this study was to establish 2010 safety belt and child safety seat usage rates in Kentucky. The 2010 survey continues to document the results after enactment of the original “secondary enforcement” statewide mandatory safety belt law in 1994 and the subsequent change to “primary enforcement” which was enacted in 2006. Data were collected at 160 randomly selected sites in 18 counties across Kentucky. Data from the individual sites were combined into a statewide percentage considering roadway functional classification, county, and vehicle miles traveled.

The data show that the usage rate in 2010 (80.3 percent) was almost identical to that in 2009 (79.7 percent) after an increase of several percentage points compared to 2008 (73.3 percent). The usage rate had increased from 67 percent in 2006 to 72 percent in 2007 after the enactment of “primary enforcement” legislation. The rate had increased from 42 percent in 1993 to 58 percent in 1994 after enactment of the original mandatory safety belt law.

The 2010 statewide usage rate for children under the age of four was determined to be 96.4 percent. This continues the very high rate for this age category.

Usage rates varied as a function of the highway functional classification. The highest rate of 86.7 percent was on interstates and parkways, with the lowest rate of 73.4 percent on collector roads. The rate by county varied from highs of 85.9 percent in Warren County 85.4 percent in Fayette County to lows of 66.6 percent in Knott County and 67.4 in Pike County. The usage rate by vehicle type varied from a high of 84.6 percent for vans to a low of 70.0 percent for pickup trucks.

The statewide usage rate for motorcycle helmets was 50 percent. This was a reduction from 64 percent in 2009 and 58 percent in 2008.

Observations showed that about 7.6 percent of the drivers were either talking on their cell phone or keying on their phone.

1.0 INTRODUCTION AND BACKGROUND

The use of safety belts and child safety seats has been shown to be an effective means to reduce injuries to motor-vehicle occupants involved in traffic crashes. There have been various methods used in efforts to increase safety belt and safety seat usage. Past efforts have included public information campaigns, local and statewide legislation, and enforcement of the legislation. Examples of statewide enforcement and education campaigns are the “Click It or Ticket” (CIOT) and “Buckle Up Kentucky: It’s the Law & It’s Enforced” campaigns conducted around Memorial Day in recent years.

The most recent legislation in Kentucky in this area changed the statewide legislation requiring the use of safety belts for all vehicle occupants from secondary to primary enforcement. A statewide law including secondary enforcement was passed in 1994 with the primary enforcement law passed in 2006. The 2006 primary enforcement legislation included an educational period with warning citations through December 2006 with citations with fines starting in January 2007.

The first legislation in this area in Kentucky was a law enacted by the 1982 Kentucky General Assembly requiring use of a “child restraint system” for children 40 inches or less in height. The 1988 Kentucky General Assembly strengthened this law by adding a fine. Next, prior to the statewide law, local safety belt usage laws were enacted in several jurisdictions in Kentucky. The first such local law, with an effective date of July 1990, was enacted by the Lexington-Fayette Urban County Government. Prior to the statewide law, the combined population of the counties and cities having a local ordinance represented approximately one-third of the statewide population. The original statewide law in 1994 replaced the various local ordinances.

Statewide observational surveys were first conducted in Kentucky in 1982 and have been conducted annually to document safety belt and safety seat usage. The safety belt usage rate for drivers increased each survey year from only four percent in 1982 to 58 percent in 1994, following enactment of the statewide secondary law. The rate had increased over the years. Examples of the rates are 60 percent in 2000, 62 percent in 2002, 66 percent in 2004, 67 percent in 2006, and 73 percent in 2008.

Statewide usage of child safety seats (CSS) or safety belts for children under four years of age increased from about 15 percent in 1982, before enactment of the mandatory child restraint law, to 30 percent for 1984 through 1986. After a financial penalty was added to the law, this percentage increased to almost 50 percent in 1988. There has been a continued increase in usage with rates such as 72 percent in 1994, 89 percent in 1999 and 98 percent in 2008. However, while usage rates are very high, studies have found problems with the proper use of child safety seats.

In recent years, the full statewide belt use and CSS use survey, based on 200 observation sites in 58 counties, has been taken in the weeks immediately after completion of the “Click It or Ticket” (CIOT) campaign’s enforcement and publicity activities around Memorial Day. Mini-surveys (taken at 21 of the 200 statewide sites) have been taken prior to CIOT, in April, and during the enforcement portion of CIOT. The design included 200 sites in 58 counties, and the relatively large number of sites scattered in so many counties made the data collection time-consuming. The design made it difficult to measure the effects of specific programs such as CIOT, where the transient effects are likely to decay before observations can be completed.

Accordingly, a new design was proposed for collecting seat belt usage data in Kentucky. The new design, detailed in subsequent sections, follows National Highway Transportation Safety Administration (NHTSA) requirements and is generally similar to designs in other states that have been approved in recent years. The new design was implemented in the 2009 survey and followed many of the elements of the previous design.

The objective of the survey summarized in this report was to establish statewide safety belt and child safety seat usage rates in Kentucky for 2010. These rates can be compared to those determined from previous surveys. The 2010 statewide survey continues to document the change in usage associated with the change in the law to allow primary enforcement and related evaluation and enforcement.

2.0 PROCEDURE

2.1 DATA COLLECTION PROCEDURE

The original data collection procedure used in the surveys, which started in 1982, was first modified for the 1990 survey, when the number of sample sites was expanded and the observation procedure was modified so that the entire procedure would be comparable to surveys taken in other states. The data collection form was changed along with the site selection procedure. The procedure and data collection form remained the same for the 1990 through 1998 surveys. A modification starting with the 1999 survey was that the age and sex of the driver and front seat occupants would no longer be coded but the type of vehicle would be coded.

Data for the surveys collected from 1982 through 1989 were conducted at 23 sites in 19 cities across the state. In 1990, to make the survey results more comparable to measurements in other states and to include all types of roadways, it was necessary to expand the number of sites to include data from rural locations and interstates. The design included 100 sites. The distribution of the sites was based on vehicle miles traveled statewide for various categories of roads in counties with varying populations.

The variables considered in the 1990 stratification process were the rural or urban designation of the road, the functional classification of the road, vehicle miles traveled, and the county population.

In 1999, an updated sampling design plan was implemented as part of a nationwide effort by NHTSA to use a common methodology in all states to select observational sites. As part of this sampling design plan, data was collected at 200 sites, typically at intersections. For interstates and parkways, data were generally taken at the intersection of a ramp with a cross road. The basis for collecting data at intersections was that it would increase accuracy since data would be collected for vehicles either stopped or moving slowly. This design plan was used from 1999 through 2008.

The data collection form used in the 2010 survey is shown in Figure 1. This basic form is shown in Figure 1 which was first used in 1999. The change from the previous surveys was the addition of a category for distracted drivers. Safety belt usage is recorded for drivers as well as front seat passengers sitting in the outboard position. These occupant positions are equipped with the combination lap belt/shoulder harness type of safety belt which enables observations to be performed more easily than positions equipped only with a lap belt (and meets NHTSA requirements). The exception is for children under four years of age, with restraint data collected for both the front and rear seats.

The type of vehicle is coded for drivers and front seat passengers. Four categories of vehicles are used: passenger car (PC), pickup (PU), van, and sports utility vehicle (SUV).

For drivers and front-seat passengers (over three years of age), usage is classified as either using a shoulder belt properly (over the shoulder; not, for example, under the arm or behind the back) or not using a restraint. For children one to three years of age, the categories include safety seat, booster seat, harness or belt, or no restraint. For children under one year of age, the categories are either safety seat or no restraint.

Three additional types of information are obtained. Starting with the 1993 survey, the use of motorcycle helmets was noted. The 1997 survey was the first in which the use of bicycle helmets was noted. The 2010 survey was the first which included data for distracted drivers. A driver was noted as distracted if there was use of a cell phone or the driver was keying (which could have been texting, web browsing or dialing a number). Other possible distractions include: using navigation systems, an MP3 player, radio or laptop. It should be noted that most of these are isolated events; however cell phone usage is the more likely to be observed by the data collectors due to its prolonged usage.

Each data collector is provided with a training period prior to beginning data collection. As part of the training, the data collectors review the guidelines and previous reports and collect trial sets of field data. The observers then collect data simultaneously at a sample of different types of locations. The data are then reviewed by the project manager before formal data collection is started.

The quality control of the data is the responsibility of the project manager. This includes a review of completed data collection forms as the survey progresses to check for any problem areas or questionable data.

The following list of guidelines for data collection is given to each observer.

1. Include the driver so the number of vehicles included in the sample will be known.
2. Data are typically collected at intersections with each observer collecting data on only one approach at the intersection or for one direction of travel for non-intersection sites.
3. Include all vehicles on the approach at low-volume locations. If the data cannot be collected in all lanes due to high volumes, split the time interval among the through lanes.
4. If traffic volume is too high to obtain data for all vehicles, record data for the next vehicle in view after recording the previous data.
5. Obtain a random sample of vehicles independent of whether the occupants are wearing a safety belt. Do not attempt to include all vehicles having an occupant wearing a safety belt at a location where all vehicles cannot be obtained.
6. Attempt to include data for children less than four years of age for any vehicle in the sample in which such a child is a passenger, regardless of where the child is seated.
7. At intersections, only include vehicles either stopped or moving slowly. Obtain data from an observation point such that the occupants can be readily observed.
8. Excluding children under four years of age, collect data only for drivers and for passengers in the right-front seat (exclude the center front and rear seating positions).
9. Collect data during daylight hours on weekdays and weekends.
10. Collect one "observer hour" of data at each site. This could be one hour for one approach for a one-way road or 30 minutes for two approaches if the route has two-way traffic.
11. Begin and end data collection at a specified time.
12. Collect data for specified types of passenger motor vehicles (cars, pickup trucks, vans, and sport utility vehicles).

13. Collect data for both in-state and out-of-state vehicles.
14. If a problem such as weather or road construction prevents data from being collected on the assigned day and time for a specific location, a new day and time will be randomly selected by the project manager for data collection.
15. The time period in which data are collected at specific sites are randomly assigned to the data collectors by the project manager.

Observation schedules are set up so that sites are clustered with several sites to be completed within a single day. To the extent practicable, schedules are set up to provide balance by time of day and day of week.

If a site could not be surveyed because of construction activities, safety concerns, or some other legitimate reason, the location was abandoned. Observers were instructed to travel to a designated alternate site (same county, same road stratum) and observe at that site as nearly as possible to the assigned time, then to continue the assigned schedule by going to the next assigned site. Alternate sites were selected during the initial sampling process.

The surveys continued during mild inclement weather, as long as observations could continue to be recorded with high accuracy and observer safety. In the event of severe inclement weather, the surveys were discontinued until such time as the weather improved. Then, the surveys were resumed according to the original schedule with the next time slot and the appropriate site. If the amount of time lost was short, the observer continued the survey at the site where the disruption occurred and the remaining observations were made as closely to the scheduled time as possible.

2.2 DATA COLLECTION LOCATIONS

It was decided that data would, whenever possible, be obtained at intersections. For interstates and parkways, data were generally taken at the intersection of a ramp with a cross road. However, at rural interstate locations where the ramp volume was low and not representative of the interstate, data was taken from overpasses. The basis for collecting data at intersections was that it would increase accuracy since data would be collected for vehicles either stopped or moving slowly.

A computer file was used to select the locations. The file is the Highway Performance Monitoring System (HPMS). Characteristics of road segments for all state maintained roads are contained in this file. This information included the county, route, beginning and ending milepoint, and the number of intersections or interchanges within the segment.

A multi-stage area probability sampling approach was used in the survey design. In the first stage, primary sampling units were randomly selected. The primary sampling

unit for the Kentucky survey is the county. Kentucky has a total of 120 counties, and county population is the measure of sampling unit size for the purpose of defining the initial set of sampling units to be considered. NHTSA guidelines allow exclusion from the survey coverage of the least populated units which represent 15 percent of the state's population. The 55 least populous counties, which collectively comprise nearly 15 percent of the state's population, are excluded from the sampling process. The 65 most populous counties, which together account for 85 percent of the state's population, contain the set of eligible roadway segments.

Appendix A shows a listing of Kentucky's 120 counties, ranked using 2008 Census estimates from most to least populous. The 65 counties which have been included in the sampling population as per the above criterion are identified in Appendix A, as well as the 55 least populated counties which have been excluded from the sampling population. The counties selected for data collection are highlighted.

Based on NHTSA guidelines for a 65 sampling unit population, a sample of 18 counties was selected. The 18-county sample was chosen using a two-step procedure. First, the two largest counties (Jefferson and Fayette), comprising nearly one-fourth of the state's population, were automatically placed into the first category. Then, 16 additional counties were selected from the remaining 63 eligible counties to make up the second category of the survey sample, with probability for selection proportional to the population of the county. The selection was done without replacement.

Once the 18 survey counties were chosen, second stage sampling of individual route segments in each of the counties was performed. The qualifying route segments comprising the sampling population were identified from the Kentucky HPMS file. The 160 sites were made up of 16 sites in each of the two largest counties and eight sites in each of the remaining 16 counties. Segments were selected to sample across roadway functional class strata according to the criteria and procedures described below. The sample sites within each county-stratum were selected without replacement. The 18 counties and the number of sites in each are shown in Table 1.

Roadway segments were divided into the following four functional classification groups:

| Road Class Stratum | Description |
|--------------------|---------------------------|
| 1 | Interstates and Parkways |
| 2 | Other Principal Arterials |
| 3 | Minor Arterials |
| 4 | Collectors |

For a given county, segments were randomly chosen from each of the four classification groups. The number of sites per stratum within each county are in proportion to the distribution of vehicle miles traveled (VMT) across strata within the county, with the guideline that no more than half of the segments in a county are from one stratum. Twice as many segments as needed were chosen (two segments for county-strata with only one required segment). The order of selection was retained; the first segments chosen are the primary observation sites and, whenever replacements were needed, they were taken in the order chosen. Six of the proposed counties have no road segments in the “Interstates and Parkways” stratum. In those cases, the sites were distributed among the other classes according to VMT.

Table 2 lists, for each county-stratum, total VMT and numbers of road segment observation sites. A listing of the 160 survey locations is given in Appendix B. A map showing the counties where data were collected is presented in Figure 2.

2.3 SEAT BELT USAGE RATE AND VARIABILITY CALCULATIONS

Calculation of Overall Seat Belt Usage Rate

Seat belt usage rates were calculated using formulas based on the proportion of the state’s total VMT “represented” by the site. Seat belt usage rate calculations follow a four-step process.

First, estimated rates were calculated for each of the road strata within each county. Observed usage rates for all of the sites within each stratum-county combination were combined by simple averaging, as shown in formula (1). (Since the sites’ original probability of inclusion in the sample was proportional to their VMT, averaging their usage rates makes use of that sampling probability to reflect their different VMTs).

$$p_{i(j)k} = \sum_{l=1}^{n_{i(j)k}} p_{i(j)kl} / n_{i(j)k} \quad (1)$$

where $i(j)$ = county i within category j (category 1 = the 2 certain-selection counties, Jefferson and Fayette Counties, and category 2 = the 16 random-selection counties); k = road functional class stratum; l = site within stratum and county; $n_{i(j)k}$ = number of sites within the stratum-county combination; and $p_{i(j)kl}$ = the observed seat belt use rate at site $i(j)kl = B_{i(j)kl} / O_{i(j)kl}$ (where $B_{i(j)kl}$ = total number of belted occupants (drivers and outboard front-seat passengers) observed at the site and $O_{i(j)kl}$ = total number of occupants whose belt use was observed at the site).

Second, a county-by-county seat belt use rate, $p_{i(j)}$, was obtained by combining county-stratum seat belt use rates across strata within counties, weighted by the class's relative contribution to total county VMT:

$$p_{i(j)} = \frac{\sum_k VMT_{i(j)k} P_{i(j)k}}{\sum_k VMT_{i(j)k}} \quad (2)$$

where $VMT_{i(j)k}$ = VMT of all roads in stratum k in county $i(j)$, and $p_{i(j)k}$ = seat belt use rate for stratum k in county $i(j)$.

In the third step, category-weighted seat belt use rates were obtained by combining and weighting the rates from the sampled counties in each category by their VMT values and probabilities of being selected:

$$p_j = \frac{\sum_i VMT_{i(j)} W_{i(j)} P_{i(j)}}{\sum_i VMT_{i(j)} W_{i(j)}} \quad (3)$$

where $VMT_{i(j)}$ = total VMT for county i in region j and $W_{i(j)}$ = the inverse of the probability of the county's selection: $W_{i(1)} = 1$ for the certainty counties and

$W_{i(2)} = \frac{\sum_{l=1}^{63} Pop_{l(2)}}{16 * Pop_{i(2)}}$ where 63 = the number of high population counties in category 2 and 16 = the number of those counties to be selected.

Finally, the statewide belt use proportion was calculated by combining the category proportions weighted by their proportion of statewide VMT:

$$p = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^2 VMT_j p_j}{\sum_{j=1}^2 VMT_j} \quad (4)$$

The result is a combination of the individual site seat belt usage rates weighted to reflect each site's importance in the total state VMT.

Estimates of subgroups of occupants, such as drivers or passengers and vehicle type (passenger car, pickup, etc.) were calculated in the same way.

Calculation of the Standard Error of the Overall Seat Belt Use Rate

Standard error of estimate values was estimated through a jackknife approach, based on the general formula:

$$\hat{\sigma}_{\hat{p}} = \left[\frac{n-1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (\hat{p}_i - \hat{p})^2 \right]^{1/2} \quad (5)$$

where $\hat{\sigma}_{\hat{p}}$ = standard deviation (standard error) of the estimated statewide seat belt use proportion \hat{p} (equivalent to p in the notation of formulas 1-4); n = the number of sites, i.e., 160; and \hat{p}_i = the estimated statewide belt use proportion with site i excluded from the calculation.

The relative error rate, i.e., $\hat{\sigma}_{\hat{p}} / \hat{p}$, was also calculated, as well as the 95% confidence interval, i.e., $\hat{p} \pm 1.96\hat{\sigma}_{\hat{p}}$. These values are reported for the overall statewide seatbelt use rate.

3.0 SURVEY RESULTS

Usage rates for all front seat occupants (drivers and passengers) for the various types of highways and road classifications are summarized in Table 3. The overall statewide rate in 2010, using the data collected at 160 sites and the described weighting procedure, was 80.3 percent. The 95 percent confidence interval was plus or minus 1.1 percent (79.2 to 81.4). The sample size of all front seat occupants was 84,562. The highest rate by the functional classification of the highway was 86.7 percent for interstates and parkways with the lowest 73.4 percent for collector roads.

The overall statewide rate for drivers in 2010 was 81.0 percent. Drivers accounted for 78.5 percent of front seat occupants so they dominated the percentage determined for all front seat occupants. The usage rate for front seat passengers was 77.3 percent.

Usage rates for children under four years of age are given in Table 4. These rates are for children in both the front and the rear seats. The usage rate for children under one year of age (96.8 percent) was slightly higher than that for children one to three years of age (95.9 percent). The usage rate for the combination of these categories, or children less than four years of age, was 96.4 percent. The lowest rate was on collector roads.

The sample size for children under four years of age was 666. This age category corresponds to the children for which the mandatory child restraint law would apply. The 2010 usage rate remains high and compares to the high of 98.6 percent in 2009. This percentage was only about 15 percent in 1982 before enactment of the child restraint law,

increased to approximately 30 percent after enactment of the law having no penalty, and increased again to almost 50 percent in 1988 after the addition of a monetary penalty to the child restraint law.

A summary of the data collected is given in Appendix C. For each of the 160 data sites, the usage rate and sample size are given for all front seat occupants, drivers, front-seat passengers, and children under four years of age (both front and rear seat). The relative error and confidence interval are given for the “all front seat occupants” category. Usage rates for front seat occupants ranged from 54.7 percent (a rural location in Knott County) to 91.8 percent (an interstate location in Madison County). There were only five sites which had a usage rate below 60 percent with all of these at rural locations. There were 77 sites which had a usage rate of 80 percent or higher. The highest rate found on a non-interstate or parkway was 90 percent at a location in Jefferson County.

A substantial difference in usage rate (for all front seat occupants) was noted when vehicle type and road class were considered (Table 5). The rate varied substantially from 84.6 for vans and 84.0 percent for sport utility vehicles to 70.0 percent for pickup trucks. The rate for passenger cars was 82.6 percent. It can be seen that use of safety belts is much lower in pickup trucks than any other vehicle type, and pickup trucks made up about 20 percent of the sample. The largest portion of the sample was for passenger cars (48 percent) with 22 percent for sport utility vehicles and 10 percent for vans.

Usage rate by county is shown in Table 6. The rate varied from highs of 85.9 percent in Warren County and 85.4 percent in Fayette County to lows of 66.6 percent in Knott County and 67.4 percent in Pike County. The rates were higher in the more populated counties. The rate was over 80 percent in nine of the 18 counties and under 70 percent in only two counties.

The usage rate by county and vehicle type is given in Table 7. The rates varied from a high of 90.4 percent for vans in Warren County to a low of 53.9 percent for pickups in Knott County.

While the data collection procedure changed in 1990, 1999, and 2009, the usage rate in 2010 can still be compared to the statewide rates from past years (Table 8). The previous studies showed that statewide driver usage rates have dramatically increased over the past 28 years from four percent in 1982 to 80 percent in 2010. The changes over the years have been related to changes in safety belt legislation and increased enforcement and education.

For the past several years a mini-survey of 21 sites (out of the 200 sites for the full survey used prior to 2009) has been conducted. This mini-survey was conducted in 2010 to compare to the data from the procedure implemented in 2009. The results are given in Appendix D. A usage rate of 79.8 percent was determined in 2010 at these locations which compares very closely to the 80.3 percent found using the new procedure.

Helmet use by motorcyclists was also observed. Kentucky had a statewide law requiring the use of a helmet by a motorcyclist until it was repealed starting July 1998. The results of surveys taken during the mandatory usage period had found a usage rate of over 95 percent. Data taken in 1998 both before and after the effective date of the repeal found 96 percent before and 76 percent after. The motorcycle helmet usage for 1999 through 2010 is given in Table 9. The average usage rate for the 12 years after the repeal of mandatory helmet usage is 59 percent. Motorcycle helmet usage over these years has ranged from a low of 50 percent in 2010 to a high of 65 percent in 1999.

Bicycle helmet use was observed while data were being collected. Only 40 bicyclists were observed with 14 using helmets (35 percent). The very small sample size does not allow any conclusions about trends but does support the opinion that the usage rate has been very low.

Distracted driving was documented for the first time in 2010 as a test case. The data were collected for drivers by vehicle type and seat belt usage. The percentages of drivers observed as distracted were calculated for all categories. This table summarizing the data is shown in Appendix E. The percentages were not weighted as the seatbelt data. Percentages were calculated equally for all sites. Data ranged from a low of 6.1 percent (van drivers not wearing a seatbelt) to 9.1 percent (SUV drivers wearing a seatbelt). The distracted driver percentage was the lowest for pick-ups and the highest for SUVs when summarized by any seatbelt use. The overall distracted driver percentage was 7.6 percent with the percentage slightly lower for unbuckled drivers.

4.0 SUMMARY

Observations were taken at 160 sites across Kentucky to obtain safety belt usage rates. The 2010 survey resulted in a sample size of 84,562 front seat occupants (including 66,412 drivers). The data collection procedure and site selection criteria were based on national criteria. The usage rate for all front seat occupants was 80.3 percent.

A “secondary enforcement” statewide safety belt law was passed in Kentucky in 1994 with a law allowing “primary enforcement” enacted in 2006. Prior to the original 2004 statewide law, there were local ordinances passed in several cities and counties which covered approximately one-third of the statewide population. The increase in usage over the past 28 years (as shown in Table 8) can be directly related to the changes in legislation.

Usage was highest on interstates and lowest on local roads. When type of vehicle was considered, usage was highest for vans and sport utility vehicles and lowest for pickup trucks. Usage was higher in the more urban counties compared to the most rural.

The statewide usage rate for children under the age of four (including both the front and rear seat) was determined to be 96.4 percent in 2010. This very high rate has existed for many years. One reason for the very high usage for small children is that primary, rather than secondary, enforcement has applied for many years.

The motorcycle helmet law was repealed in 1998. There had been a very high compliance with the requirement to wear a helmet (over 95 percent), but the helmet usage percentage has decreased (with 50 percent in 2010). This shows the large decrease in usage related to the repeal of the mandatory usage law. The percentage of a small sample of bicyclists observed wearing a safety helmet was low. Observations showed that 7.6 percent of drivers were observed either talking on a cell phone or keying on their phone.

5.0 RECOMMENDATIONS

The data show that the level of safety belt usage in 2010 is the highest since the start of the surveys in 1982. The large increase over the years can be related to the enactment and enforcement of safety belt laws and increased education. The data support maintaining the education and enforcement efforts of the primary safety belt law. The variation by county and vehicle type show where more emphasis should be placed.

Figure 1. Data Collection Form

SAFETY BELT DATA COLLECTION FORM

Date: _____ Starting Time: _____ Ending Time: _____ Int #: _____

Location: _____ Sheet #: _____

Observer: _____ Comment: _____

DRIVER USAGE

| Vehicle | Harness or Belt | Distracted | None | Distracted |
|---------|-----------------|------------|------|------------|
| PC | | | | |
| PU | | | | |
| VAN | | | | |
| SUV | | | | |

FRONT-SEAT OCCUPANT USAGE (OVER 3 YEARS OF AGE)

| Vehicle | Harness or Belt | None |
|---------|-----------------|------|
| PC | | |
| PU | | |
| VAN | | |
| SUV | | |

USAGE FOR CHILDREN (1-3 YEARS OF AGE)

| Position | Safety Seat | Booster Seat | Harness or Belt | None |
|----------|-------------|--------------|-----------------|------|
| FRONT | | | | |
| REAR | | | | |

USAGE FOR INFANTS (UNDER 1 YEAR OF AGE)

| Position | Safety Seat | None |
|----------|-------------|------|
| FRONT | | |
| REAR | | |

USAGE OF MOTORCYCLE HELMET

| YES | NO |
|-----|----|
| | |

USAGE OF BICYCLE HELMET

| YES | NO |
|-----|----|
| | |

Figure 2. Selected Counties for Seatbelt Sites




Table 1. Survey Counties

| | Geographical Area | Number of Observational Sites |
|---------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------------|
| 1 | Jefferson County | 16* |
| 2 | Fayette County | 16* |
| 3 | Knott County | 8** |
| 4 | Calloway County | 8** |
| 5 | McCracken County | 8** |
| 6 | Kenton County | 8** |
| 7 | Jessamine County | 8** |
| 8 | Daviess County | 8** |
| 9 | Mason County | 8** |
| 10 | Henderson County | 8** |
| 11 | Bullitt County | 8** |
| 12 | Madison County | 8** |
| 13 | Mercer County | 8** |
| 14 | Warren County | 8** |
| 15 | Knox County | 8** |
| 16 | Laurel County | 8** |
| 17 | Pike County | 8** |
| 18 | Hardin County | 8** |
| Total Observational Sites | | 160 |

* Certain counties were allotted 16 observational sites

** Remaining counties were allotted 8 observational sites

Table 2. Number of Site Allocations per Road Class (by County)

| County | Sites Allocated | County VMT (excl. local) | Road Class Stratum | County-Stratum VMT | Number of Sites if Allocated by VMT | Adjusted Number of Sites |
|------------------|------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|--|---------------------------------|
| Jefferson | 16 | 5,662,204,013 | 1 | 3,428,202,911 | 9.69 | 8 |
| | | | 2 | 1,566,486,454 | 4.43 | 5 |
| | | | 3 | 579,805,454 | 1.64 | 2 |
| | | | 4 | 87,709,194 | 0.25 | 1 |
| Fayette | 16 | 2,037,784,505 | 1 | 1,029,408,590 | 8.08 | 8 |
| | | | 2 | 787,888,177 | 6.19 | 6 |
| | | | 3 | 127,945,572 | 1.00 | 1 |
| | | | 4 | 92,542,166 | 0.73 | 1 |
| Knott | 8 | 179,437,128 | 1 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 |
| | | | 2 | 76,675,145 | 3.42 | 4 |
| | | | 3 | 27,965,271 | 1.25 | 1 |
| | | | 4 | 74,796,712 | 3.33 | 3 |
| Calloway | 8 | 225,344,385 | 1 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 |
| | | | 2 | 122,621,989 | 4.35 | 4 |
| | | | 3 | 24,724,978 | 0.88 | 1 |
| | | | 4 | 77,997,418 | 2.77 | 3 |
| McCracken | 8 | 654,652,877 | 1 | 222,383,178 | 2.72 | 3 |
| | | | 2 | 234,563,650 | 2.87 | 3 |
| | | | 3 | 111,779,953 | 1.37 | 1 |
| | | | 4 | 85,926,095 | 1.05 | 1 |
| Kenton | 8 | 1,334,349,118 | 1 | 881,553,987 | 5.29 | 4 |
| | | | 2 | 184,867,682 | 1.11 | 2 |
| | | | 3 | 164,856,523 | 0.99 | 1 |
| | | | 4 | 103,070,925 | 0.62 | 1 |
| Jessamine | 8 | 305,461,484 | 1 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 |
| | | | 2 | 167,871,821 | 4.40 | 4 |
| | | | 3 | 81,446,197 | 2.13 | 2 |
| | | | 4 | 56,143,466 | 1.47 | 2 |
| Daviess | 8 | 602,740,652 | 1 | 136,543,073 | 1.81 | 2 |
| | | | 2 | 246,801,576 | 3.28 | 3 |
| | | | 3 | 87,825,388 | 1.17 | 1 |
| | | | 4 | 131,570,615 | 1.75 | 2 |
| Mason | 8 | 189,886,599 | 1 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 |
| | | | 2 | 105,884,656 | 4.46 | 4 |
| | | | 3 | 53,221,561 | 2.24 | 2 |
| | | | 4 | 30,780,382 | 1.30 | 2 |

Table 2. Number of Site Allocations per Road Class (by County)
(continued)

| | | | | | | |
|------------------|------------|----------------|---|---------------|-------|-----------|
| Henderson | 8 | 419,993,200 | 1 | 125,760,931 | 2.40 | 3 |
| | | | 2 | 174,912,763 | 3.33 | 3 |
| | | | 3 | 63,157,348 | 1.20 | 1 |
| | | | 4 | 56,162,157 | 1.07 | 1 |
| Bullitt | 8 | 775,709,682 | 1 | 488,512,652 | 5.04 | 4 |
| | | | 2 | 129,479,561 | 1.34 | 2 |
| | | | 3 | 103,252,166 | 1.06 | 1 |
| | | | 4 | 54,465,304 | 0.56 | 1 |
| Madison | 8 | 856,419,740 | 1 | 461,576,486 | 4.31 | 4 |
| | | | 2 | 144,133,180 | 1.35 | 1 |
| | | | 3 | 177,822,202 | 1.66 | 2 |
| | | | 4 | 72,887,872 | 0.68 | 1 |
| Mercer | 8 | 181,201,996 | 1 | 16,672,470 | 0.74 | 1 |
| | | | 2 | 110,799,013 | 4.89 | 4 |
| | | | 3 | 20,283,349 | 0.90 | 1 |
| | | | 4 | 33,447,164 | 1.48 | 2 |
| Warren | 8 | 1,151,750,666 | 1 | 555,176,045 | 3.86 | 4 |
| | | | 2 | 210,819,131 | 1.46 | 1 |
| | | | 3 | 216,445,264 | 1.50 | 2 |
| | | | 4 | 169,310,226 | 1.18 | 1 |
| Knox | 8 | 258,196,709 | 1 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 |
| | | | 2 | 171,673,943 | 5.32 | 4 |
| | | | 3 | 6,051,320 | 0.19 | 1 |
| | | | 4 | 80,471,446 | 2.49 | 3 |
| Laurel | 8 | 737,805,854 | 1 | 343,237,792 | 3.72 | 4 |
| | | | 2 | 104,908,513 | 1.14 | 1 |
| | | | 3 | 86,681,538 | 0.94 | 1 |
| | | | 4 | 202,978,010 | 2.20 | 2 |
| Pike | 8 | 689,274,190 | 1 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 |
| | | | 2 | 400,718,551 | 4.65 | 4 |
| | | | 3 | 77,534,043 | 0.90 | 1 |
| | | | 4 | 211,021,597 | 2.45 | 3 |
| Hardin | 8 | 1,113,356,778 | 1 | 510,918,645 | 3.67 | 3 |
| | | | 2 | 240,082,313 | 1.73 | 2 |
| | | | 3 | 208,398,866 | 1.50 | 2 |
| | | | 4 | 153,956,954 | 1.11 | 1 |
| Totals | 160 | 17,375,569,577 | 1 | 8,199,946,761 | 51.32 | 48 |
| | | | 2 | 5,181,188,117 | 59.69 | 57 |
| | | | 3 | 2,219,196,993 | 22.52 | 24 |
| | | | 4 | 1,775,237,706 | 26.47 | 31 |

TABLE 3. USAGE RATE FOR FRONT-SEAT OCCUPANTS (BY ROAD CLASS)

| ROAD CLASSIFICATION | PERCENT USAGE BY TYPE | | |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------------|-------------|------|
| | DRIVERS | PASSENGERS* | ALL* |
| Interstates and Other Expressways | 87.1 | 84.7 | 86.7 |
| Other Principal Arterials | 78.8 | 73.8 | 77.9 |
| Minor Arterials | 78.6 | 75.4 | 78.1 |
| Collectors | 74.1 | 70.9 | 73.4 |
| All | 81.0 | 77.3 | 80.3 |

TABLE 4. USAGE RATE FOR CHILDREN (FRONT AND REAR) BY ROAD CLASS

| ROAD CLASSIFICATION | PERCENT USAGE BY AGE (YEARS) | | |
|-----------------------------------|------------------------------|--------|---------|
| | UNDER 1 | 1 TO 3 | UNDER 4 |
| Interstates and Other Expressways | 98.6 | 98.5 | 98.6 |
| Other Principal Arterials | 97.0 | 91.3 | 93.7 |
| Minor Arterials | 99.6 | 98.3 | 98.5 |
| Collectors | 88.6 | 85.6 | 87.8 |
| All | 96.8 | 95.9 | 96.4 |

TABLE 5. USAGE RATE FOR FRONT-SEAT OCCUPANTS (BY ROAD CLASS AND VEHICLE TYPE)

| ROAD CLASSIFICATION | PERCENT USAGE BY VEHICLE TYPE | | | | |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------|------|------|------|------|
| | PC | PU | VAN | SUV | ALL* |
| Interstates and Other Expressways | 88.1 | 76.2 | 90.0 | 89.6 | 86.7 |
| Other Principal Arterials | 79.8 | 68.7 | 81.2 | 81.5 | 77.9 |
| Minor Arterials | 80.5 | 68.2 | 84.7 | 82.2 | 78.1 |
| Collectors | 78.0 | 62.3 | 80.1 | 77.8 | 73.4 |
| All | 82.6 | 70.0 | 84.6 | 84.0 | 80.3 |

*Including children under four

TABLE 6. USAGE RATE FOR FRONT-SEAT OCCUPANTS (BY COUNTY)

| COUNTY | PERCENT USAGE BY TYPE | | |
|-----------|-----------------------|-------------|------|
| | DRIVERS | PASSENGERS* | ALL* |
| Bullitt | 81.5 | 82.0 | 81.6 |
| Calloway | 78.1 | 73.6 | 77.3 |
| Daviess | 83.8 | 82.0 | 83.5 |
| Fayette | 85.9 | 82.5 | 85.4 |
| Hardin | 83.9 | 80.4 | 83.3 |
| Henderson | 79.3 | 82.1 | 79.9 |
| Jefferson | 83.1 | 78.0 | 82.3 |
| Jessamine | 77.7 | 76.8 | 77.6 |
| Kenton | 84.0 | 81.8 | 83.4 |
| Knott | 68.3 | 60.1 | 66.6 |
| Knox | 70.7 | 70.8 | 70.3 |
| Laurel | 78.5 | 74.6 | 77.3 |
| Madison | 83.9 | 82.9 | 83.6 |
| Mason | 75.9 | 69.5 | 74.4 |
| McCracken | 83.9 | 82.6 | 83.7 |
| Mercer | 73.2 | 69.9 | 72.5 |
| Pike | 68.6 | 62.2 | 67.4 |
| Warren | 86.5 | 83.7 | 85.9 |
| All | 81.0 | 77.3 | 80.3 |

*Including children under four

TABLE 7. USAGE RATE FOR FRONT-SEAT OCCUPANTS (BY COUNTY AND VEHICLE TYPE)
 PERCENT USAGE BY VEHICLE TYPE

| COUNTY | PC | PU | VAN | SUV | ALL* |
|-----------|------|------|------|------|------|
| Bullitt | 84.9 | 69.7 | 86.1 | 84.0 | 81.6 |
| Calloway | 81.6 | 64.6 | 86.3 | 81.2 | 77.3 |
| Daviess | 86.8 | 74.0 | 86.0 | 85.4 | 83.5 |
| Fayette | 87.4 | 74.2 | 87.5 | 88.4 | 85.4 |
| Hardin | 85.8 | 73.7 | 87.5 | 87.1 | 83.3 |
| Henderson | 85.1 | 70.2 | 82.8 | 83.8 | 79.9 |
| Jefferson | 82.8 | 73.4 | 86.6 | 85.3 | 82.3 |
| Jessamine | 80.7 | 61.5 | 83.6 | 82.9 | 77.6 |
| Kenton | 84.4 | 71.4 | 85.5 | 87.6 | 83.4 |
| Knott | 71.2 | 53.9 | 82.6 | 73.3 | 66.6 |
| Knox | 74.8 | 56.3 | 69.2 | 79.1 | 70.3 |
| Laurel | 80.3 | 69.1 | 82.2 | 78.3 | 77.3 |
| Madison | 85.7 | 73.6 | 85.5 | 86.3 | 83.6 |
| Mason | 78.3 | 65.1 | 72.6 | 78.4 | 74.4 |
| McCracken | 85.0 | 74.3 | 87.2 | 89.9 | 83.7 |
| Mercer | 77.2 | 59.6 | 79.2 | 80.2 | 72.5 |
| Pike | 73.1 | 55.5 | 79.5 | 71.2 | 67.4 |
| Warren | 87.8 | 77.5 | 90.4 | 88.6 | 85.9 |
| All | 82.6 | 70.0 | 84.6 | 84.0 | 80.3 |

*Including children under four

TABLE 8. TREND IN STATEWIDE USAGE RATES

| PERCENT USING SAFETY BELTS | | | |
|----------------------------|-----------------------------|---------|--------------------------------------|
| YEAR | ALL FRONT SEAT OCCUPANTS | DRIVERS | CHILDREN UNDER FOUR YEARS OF AGE* |
| 1982 | ** | 4 | 15 |
| 1983 | ** | 6 | 24 |
| 1984 | ** | 7 | 30 |
| 1985 | 9 | 9 | 29 |
| 1986 | 13 | 13 | 30 |
| 1988 | 20 | 21 | 48 |
| 1989 | 25 | 26 | 49 |
| 1990 | 33 | 32 | 57 |
| 1991 | 39 | 39 | 57 |
| 1992 | 40 | 41 | 62 |
| 1993 | 42 | 42 | 61 |
| 1994 | 58 | 58 | 72 |
| 1995 | 54 | 54 | 66 |
| 1996 | 55 | 55 | 79 |
| 1997 | 54 | 54 | 82 |
| 1998 | 54 | 54 | 80 |
| 1999 | 59 | 59 | 89 |
| 2000 | 60 | 60 | 87 |
| 2001 | 62 | 62 | 89 |
| 2002 | 62 | 62 | 93 |
| 2003 | 66 | 65 | 95 |
| 2004 | 66 | 66 | 96 |
| 2005 | 67 | 67 | 94 |
| 2006 | 67 | 68 | 94 |
| 2007 | 72 | 72 | 98 |
| 2008 | 73 | 74 | 98 |
| 2009 | 80 | 80 | 99 |
| 2010 | 80 | 81 | 96 |

*Children using either safety seat or safety belt. Children seated in front or rear seat.

**Data not available.

TABLE 9. TREND IN MOTORCYCLE HELMET USAGE

| PERCENT USING HELMET | | |
|----------------------|-------------|---------------|
| YEAR | SAMPLE SIZE | PERCENT USAGE |
| 1999 | 452 | 65 |
| 2000 | 427 | 70 |
| 2001 | 395 | 56 |
| 2002 | 596 | 57 |
| 2003 | 512 | 56 |
| 2004 | 631 | 58 |
| 2005 | 918 | 59 |
| 2006 | 949 | 60 |
| 2007 | 897 | 56 |
| 2008 | 1244 | 58 |
| 2009 | 537 | 64 |
| 2010 | 780 | 50 |

Appendix A:
County Populations

APPENDIX A. Population of Kentucky Counties (2008 Census Estimates)

| County | Percent | | |
|------------------|----------------|--------------|--------------------------|
| | Population | Total | Cumulative Percent Total |
| Jefferson | 713,877 | 16.72 | 16.72 |
| Fayette | 282,114 | 6.61 | 23.33 |
| Kenton | 157,629 | 3.69 | 27.02 |
| Boone | 115,231 | 2.70 | 29.72 |
| Warren | 105,862 | 2.48 | 32.20 |
| Hardin | 98,546 | 2.31 | 34.51 |
| Daviess | 94,418 | 2.21 | 36.72 |
| Campbell | 87,038 | 2.04 | 38.76 |
| Madison | 82,192 | 1.93 | 40.68 |
| Christian | 79,820 | 1.87 | 42.55 |
| Bullitt | 75,028 | 1.76 | 44.31 |
| Pike | 65,331 | 1.53 | 45.84 |
| McCracken | 65,109 | 1.53 | 47.37 |
| Pulaski | 60,851 | 1.43 | 48.79 |
| Laurel | 57,586 | 1.35 | 50.14 |
| Oldham | 56,874 | 1.33 | 51.47 |
| Franklin | 48,844 | 1.14 | 52.62 |
| Boyd | 48,560 | 1.14 | 53.75 |
| Jessamine | 46,716 | 1.09 | 54.85 |
| Hopkins | 46,338 | 1.09 | 55.93 |
| Henderson | 45,462 | 1.06 | 57.00 |
| Scott | 44,549 | 1.04 | 58.04 |
| Nelson | 43,113 | 1.01 | 59.05 |
| Floyd | 42,094 | 0.99 | 60.04 |
| Barren | 41,566 | 0.97 | 61.01 |
| Shelby | 41,157 | 0.96 | 61.98 |
| Whitley | 38,668 | 0.91 | 62.88 |
| Graves | 37,487 | 0.88 | 63.76 |
| Greenup | 37,388 | 0.88 | 64.64 |
| Calloway | 36,240 | 0.85 | 65.48 |
| Clark | 35,691 | 0.84 | 66.32 |
| Knox | 32,810 | 0.77 | 67.09 |
| Marshall | 31,189 | 0.73 | 67.82 |
| Muhlenberg | 31,187 | 0.73 | 68.55 |
| Harlan | 30,783 | 0.72 | 69.27 |
| Perry | 29,241 | 0.68 | 69.96 |
| Bell | 29,055 | 0.68 | 70.64 |
| Boyle | 28,933 | 0.68 | 71.31 |
| Carter | 27,454 | 0.64 | 71.96 |
| Logan | 27,117 | 0.64 | 72.59 |

| County | Population | Percent | |
|---------------|---------------|-------------|--------------------------|
| | | Total | Cumulative Percent Total |
| Meade | 27,043 | 0.63 | 73.23 |
| Montgomery | 25,618 | 0.60 | 73.83 |
| Grant | 25,549 | 0.60 | 74.42 |
| Grayson | 25,497 | 0.60 | 75.02 |
| Lincoln | 25,072 | 0.59 | 75.61 |
| Woodford | 24,526 | 0.57 | 76.18 |
| Taylor | 24,069 | 0.56 | 76.75 |
| Johnson | 24,056 | 0.56 | 77.31 |
| Clay | 23,930 | 0.56 | 77.87 |
| Letcher | 23,890 | 0.56 | 78.43 |
| Ohio | 23,789 | 0.56 | 78.99 |
| Rowan | 22,733 | 0.53 | 79.52 |
| Mercer | 21,920 | 0.51 | 80.03 |
| Anderson | 21,347 | 0.50 | 80.53 |
| Wayne | 20,696 | 0.48 | 81.02 |
| Bourbon | 19,828 | 0.46 | 81.48 |
| Breckinridge | 19,132 | 0.45 | 81.93 |
| Allen | 19,090 | 0.45 | 82.38 |
| Marion | 19,063 | 0.45 | 82.82 |
| Harrison | 18,654 | 0.44 | 83.26 |
| Hart | 18,561 | 0.43 | 83.70 |
| Adair | 17,773 | 0.42 | 84.11 |
| Mason | 17,414 | 0.41 | 84.52 |
| Knott | 17,385 | 0.41 | 84.93 |
| Spencer | 17,382 | 0.41 | 85.34 |
| McCreary | 17,315 | 0.41 | 85.74 |
| Russell | 17,296 | 0.41 | 86.15 |
| Garrard | 17,021 | 0.40 | 86.54 |
| Simpson | 17,019 | 0.40 | 86.94 |
| Rockcastle | 16,788 | 0.39 | 87.34 |
| Lawrence | 16,443 | 0.39 | 87.72 |
| Casey | 16,214 | 0.38 | 88.10 |
| Breathitt | 15,813 | 0.37 | 88.47 |
| Henry | 15,741 | 0.37 | 88.84 |
| Union | 15,024 | 0.35 | 89.19 |
| Pendleton | 14,992 | 0.35 | 89.54 |
| Estill | 14,948 | 0.35 | 89.89 |
| Fleming | 14,735 | 0.35 | 90.24 |
| Morgan | 14,156 | 0.33 | 90.57 |
| Powell | 13,859 | 0.32 | 90.89 |
| Lewis | 13,807 | 0.32 | 91.22 |
| Larue | 13,722 | 0.32 | 91.54 |

| County | Population | Percent | |
|-----------------|------------------|---------|--------------------------|
| | | Total | Cumulative Percent Total |
| Webster | 13,669 | 0.32 | 91.86 |
| Jackson | 13,645 | 0.32 | 92.18 |
| Trigg | 13,418 | 0.31 | 92.49 |
| Butler | 13,276 | 0.31 | 92.80 |
| Magoffin | 13,151 | 0.31 | 93.11 |
| Caldwell | 12,866 | 0.30 | 93.41 |
| Todd | 12,173 | 0.29 | 93.70 |
| Edmonson | 12,085 | 0.28 | 93.98 |
| Bath | 11,750 | 0.28 | 94.26 |
| Leslie | 11,639 | 0.27 | 94.53 |
| Green | 11,613 | 0.27 | 94.80 |
| Martin | 11,602 | 0.27 | 95.07 |
| Washington | 11,595 | 0.27 | 95.35 |
| Monroe | 11,547 | 0.27 | 95.62 |
| Owen | 11,432 | 0.27 | 95.88 |
| Carroll | 10,627 | 0.25 | 96.13 |
| Metcalfe | 10,288 | 0.24 | 96.37 |
| McLean | 9,681 | 0.23 | 96.60 |
| Livingston | 9,591 | 0.22 | 96.83 |
| Clinton | 9,568 | 0.22 | 97.05 |
| Crittenden | 9,244 | 0.22 | 97.27 |
| Trimble | 9,012 | 0.21 | 97.48 |
| Hancock | 8,663 | 0.20 | 97.68 |
| Bracken | 8,569 | 0.20 | 97.88 |
| Ballard | 8,323 | 0.19 | 98.08 |
| Lyon | 8,245 | 0.19 | 98.27 |
| Gallatin | 8,071 | 0.19 | 98.46 |
| Lee | 7,414 | 0.17 | 98.63 |
| Elliott | 7,280 | 0.17 | 98.80 |
| Wolfe | 6,989 | 0.16 | 98.97 |
| Fulton | 6,855 | 0.16 | 99.13 |
| Cumberland | 6,817 | 0.16 | 99.29 |
| Nicholas | 6,811 | 0.16 | 99.45 |
| Menifee | 6,744 | 0.16 | 99.60 |
| Carlisle | 5,162 | 0.12 | 99.72 |
| Hickman | 4,936 | 0.12 | 99.84 |
| Owsley | 4,634 | 0.11 | 99.95 |
| Robertson | 2,202 | 0.05 | 100.00 |
| KENTUCKY | 4,269,245 | | |

*Highlighted counties are those included for belt use observation.

Appendix B:
Survey Locations

APPENDIX B. SURVEY LOCATIONS

| Site Number | Road Classification | County | Road Surveyed | Reference |
|-------------|-----------------------------------|-----------|---------------------------|--|
| 1 | Interstates and Other Expressways | Bullitt | I-65 | Exit 105 (KY 61) |
| 2 | Interstates and Other Expressways | Bullitt | I-65 | Exit 117 (KY 44) |
| 3 | Interstates and Other Expressways | Bullitt | I-65 | Exit 121 (1526) |
| 4 | Interstates and Other Expressways | Bullitt | I-65 | Exit 112 (KY 245) |
| 5 | Other Principal Arterials | Bullitt | US-31E | KY 44 |
| 6 | Other Principal Arterials | Bullitt | KY-44 | KY 61 (N Buckman St) |
| 7 | Minor Arterials | Bullitt | KY-1450 | KY 1526 (Brooks Hill Rd / John D. Harper Blvd) |
| 8 | Collectors | Bullitt | W Blue Lick Rd (KY 2673) | KY 61 |
| 9 | Other Principal Arterials | Calloway | US-641 (12th St) | KY 94 (Main St) |
| 10 | Other Principal Arterials | Calloway | US-641 | KY 80 |
| 11 | Other Principal Arterials | Calloway | KY-121 | Lowe's Dr |
| 12 | Other Principal Arterials | Calloway | US-641 (12th St) | Glendale Rd |
| 13 | Minor Arterials | Calloway | KY-822 (16th St) | KY 94 (Main St) |
| 14 | Collectors | Calloway | KY-822 (16th St) | KY 821 (Sycamore St) |
| 15 | Collectors | Calloway | KY-2075 (4th St) | US-641 |
| 16 | Collectors | Calloway | KY-121 | US 641 (Glendale Rd) |
| 17 | Interstates and Other Expressways | Daviess | US-60B | US 431 (Frederica St) |
| 18 | Interstates and Other Expressways | Daviess | US-60B | US 60 (T-intersection) |
| 19 | Other Principal Arterials | Daviess | US-431 (Frederica St) | Tamarack Rd |
| 20 | Other Principal Arterials | Daviess | KY-54 (Leitchfield Rd) | KY 3143 (Fairview Dr) |
| 21 | Other Principal Arterials | Daviess | US-60 | KY 331 (Industrial Dr) |
| 22 | Minor Arterials | Daviess | KY-2698 (Carter Rd) | Buckland Square |
| 23 | Collectors | Daviess | KY-298 | Breckenridge St |
| 24 | Collectors | Daviess | KY-1432 (Burlaw Blvd) | KY 2155 (New Hartford Rd) |
| 25 | Interstates and Other Expressways | Fayette | KY-4 | Exit 2 (US 68/Harrodsburg Rd) |
| 26 | Interstates and Other Expressways | Fayette | I-75 | Exit 108 (Man O' War Blvd) |
| 27 | Interstates and Other Expressways | Fayette | I-75 | Exit 104 (Ky 418-Athens) |
| 28 | Interstates and Other Expressways | Fayette | KY-4 | Exit 18 (KY 1974/Tates Creek Rd) |
| 29 | Interstates and Other Expressways | Fayette | KY-4 | Exit 6 (KY 1681/Old Frankfort Pk) |
| 30 | Interstates and Other Expressways | Fayette | I-75 | Exit 115 (KY 922/Newtown Pk) |
| 31 | Interstates and Other Expressways | Fayette | I-64 | Exit 87 (KY 859/Haley Rd) |
| 32 | Interstates and Other Expressways | Fayette | KY-4 | Exit 14 (US 25/Richmond Rd) |
| 33 | Other Principal Arterials | Fayette | US-60 | Sir Barton Way |
| 34 | Other Principal Arterials | Fayette | US-60 | Walton Ave |
| 35 | Other Principal Arterials | Fayette | KY-1974 | Cooper Dr |
| 36 | Other Principal Arterials | Fayette | KY-1974 | Armstrong Mill Rd |
| 37 | Other Principal Arterials | Fayette | KY-922 | Nandino Blvd/Lexmark Dr |
| 38 | Other Principal Arterials | Fayette | US-25 | Upper St |
| 39 | Minor Arterials | Fayette | US-421 | Masterson Station Dr. |
| 40 | Collectors | Fayette | KY-1968 (Parkers Mill Rd) | Man O War Blvd |
| 41 | Interstates and Other Expressways | Hardin | WK-9001 | US 31WB (Elizabethtown Bypass over WK Pkwy) |
| 42 | Interstates and Other Expressways | Hardin | I-65 | Exit 94 (US 62/Bardstown Rd over I-65) |
| 43 | Interstates and Other Expressways | Hardin | I-65 | Exit 86 (Glendale) |
| 44 | Other Principal Arterials | Hardin | KY-61 | Sportsmans Lane Road |
| 45 | Other Principal Arterials | Hardin | US-31W | Walmart Dr (Towne Mall) |
| 46 | Minor Arterials | Hardin | KY-251 | Poplar Street (4 way stop) |
| 47 | Minor Arterials | Hardin | US-62 | Ring Rd |
| 48 | Collectors | Hardin | KY-224 | US 31W (T-intersection) |
| 49 | Interstates and Other Expressways | Henderson | EB-9004 | KY-425 |
| 50 | Interstates and Other Expressways | Henderson | AU-9005 | Exit 10 |
| 51 | Interstates and Other Expressways | Henderson | US-41 | Marywood Dr |
| 52 | Other Principal Arterials | Henderson | KY-425 (Henderson Bypass) | US 41 |
| 53 | Other Principal Arterials | Henderson | US-41A | 5th St |
| 54 | Other Principal Arterials | Henderson | US-60 | KY 425/KY 136 (Bypass) |
| 55 | Minor Arterials | Henderson | US-41A | KY 425 |
| 56 | Collectors | Henderson | KY-136 | US 41 |
| 57 | Interstates and Other Expressways | Jefferson | I-64 | Exit 10 (Cannons Ln) |
| 58 | Interstates and Other Expressways | Jefferson | I-64 | Exit 15 (S. Hurstbourne Pkwy) |
| 59 | Interstates and Other Expressways | Jefferson | I-264 | Exit 9 (Taylor Blvd) |
| 60 | Interstates and Other Expressways | Jefferson | I-65 | Exit 128 (Fern Valley Rd) |
| 61 | Interstates and Other Expressways | Jefferson | I-71 | Exit 9 (I-265) |
| 62 | Interstates and Other Expressways | Jefferson | I-71 | Exit 2 (Zorn Ave) |
| 63 | Interstates and Other Expressways | Jefferson | I-265 | Exit 27 (Shelbyville Rd.) |
| 64 | Interstates and Other Expressways | Jefferson | KY-841 | US 42 (T-intersection) |
| 65 | Other Principal Arterials | Jefferson | KY-1747 | KY 864 (Fegenbush Ln) |
| 66 | Other Principal Arterials | Jefferson | US-31W | Garrs Ln |
| 67 | Other Principal Arterials | Jefferson | US-42 (Brownsboro Rd) | Haldeman Rd |
| 68 | Other Principal Arterials | Jefferson | US-42 | US 60 |

APPENDIX B. SURVEY LOCATIONS

| Site Number | Road Classification | County | Road Surveyed | Reference |
|-------------|-----------------------------------|-----------|----------------------------|---|
| 69 | Other Principal Arterials | Jefferson | KY-2054 | KY 2054 (Algonquin Ave) @ KY 1931 (S. 7th St) |
| 70 | Minor Arterials | Jefferson | KY-1020 (3rd St) | Central Ave |
| 71 | Minor Arterials | Jefferson | KY-146 | Factory Ln/Chamberlain Ln |
| 72 | Collectors | Jefferson | KY-329 | US 42 (T-intersection) |
| 73 | Other Principal Arterials | Jessamine | US-27 | KY 1980 (Brannon Crossing) |
| 74 | Other Principal Arterials | Jessamine | US-27 | Elizabeth Dr. |
| 75 | Other Principal Arterials | Jessamine | US-27 | Edgewood Dr. |
| 76 | Other Principal Arterials | Jessamine | US-68 | KY 1980 (Brannon Crossing) |
| 77 | Minor Arterials | Jessamine | KY-169 | N. Central Ave (4 way stop) |
| 78 | Minor Arterials | Jessamine | KY-169 | US 27 |
| 79 | Collectors | Jessamine | KY-29 | KY 1268 |
| 80 | Collectors | Jessamine | KY-1981 | KY 169 (2 T intersection) |
| 81 | Interstates and Other Expressways | Kenton | I-75 | Exit 186 |
| 82 | Interstates and Other Expressways | Kenton | I-75 | Exit 166 |
| 83 | Interstates and Other Expressways | Kenton | I-275 | Exit 79 |
| 84 | Interstates and Other Expressways | Kenton | I-75 | Exit 184 (exit B) |
| 85 | Other Principal Arterials | Kenton | KY-1120 | Garrard St |
| 86 | Other Principal Arterials | Kenton | KY-17 (Madison Ave) | 20th St |
| 87 | Minor Arterials | Kenton | KY-16 | 36th St |
| 88 | Collectors | Kenton | KY-1501 | KY 17 |
| 89 | Other Principal Arterials | Knott | KY-15 | Horseshoe Bend Rd |
| 90 | Other Principal Arterials | Knott | KY-80 | KY 1087/1098 |
| 91 | Other Principal Arterials | Knott | KY-80 | KY 160 |
| 92 | Other Principal Arterials | Knott | KY-15 (Smithboro Rd) | KY 1088 |
| 93 | Minor Arterials | Knott | KY-160 | KY 80 |
| 94 | Collectors | Knott | Ky 899 | KY 160 |
| 95 | Collectors | Knott | KY-1410 (Burgeys Creek Rd) | KY 160 (T-intersection) |
| 96 | Collectors | Knott | KY-1231 | KY 15 (T-intersection) |
| 97 | Other Principal Arterials | Knox | US-25E | KY 11 (Morris St in Heidrick, KY) |
| 98 | Other Principal Arterials | Knox | US-25E | KY 312 (Master St) |
| 99 | Other Principal Arterials | Knox | KY-3041 | US 25E |
| 100 | Other Principal Arterials | Knox | US-25E | KY 11 (Daniel Boone Dr) |
| 101 | Minor Arterials | Knox | KY-312 | SHOPPING CENTER ENTRANCE |
| 102 | Collectors | Knox | KY-6 | KY 11 |
| 103 | Collectors | Knox | KY-223 | US 25 |
| 104 | Collectors | Knox | KY-3436 (Hart Rd) | KY 6 |
| 105 | Interstates and Other Expressways | Laurel | I-75 | Exit 49 (KY 909) |
| 106 | Interstates and Other Expressways | Laurel | I-75 | Exit 29 (US 25/Corbin Bypass) |
| 107 | Interstates and Other Expressways | Laurel | HR-9006 | KY 354/KY 30 |
| 108 | Interstates and Other Expressways | Laurel | I-75 | Exit 41 (KY 80) |
| 109 | Other Principal Arterials | Laurel | KY-192 | KY 1006 |
| 110 | Minor Arterials | Laurel | US-25 | 3rd St |
| 111 | Collectors | Laurel | KY-472 (Johnson Rd) | KY 80 (Hal Rodger Pkwy) |
| 112 | Collectors | Laurel | KY-490 | KY 30 (School St) |
| 113 | Interstates and Other Expressways | Madison | I-75 | Exit 76 (Berea/KY 21) |
| 114 | Interstates and Other Expressways | Madison | I-75 | Exit 97 (US 25) |
| 115 | Interstates and Other Expressways | Madison | I-75 | Exit 87 (Eastern Bypass) |
| 116 | Interstates and Other Expressways | Madison | I-75 | Exit 90 (Richmond/US 25) |
| 117 | Other Principal Arterials | Madison | US-25 | Keeneland Dr |
| 118 | Minor Arterials | Madison | KY-21 | Dogwood Dr |
| 119 | Minor Arterials | Madison | KY-52 | KY 374 (Moberly Rd) |
| 120 | Collectors | Madison | US-25 | KY 627/KY 3055/White Hall Shrine Rd |
| 121 | Other Principal Arterials | Mason | US-68 | US 62/KY 1236 |
| 122 | Other Principal Arterials | Mason | US-62 (AA Highway) | KY 9 (Clyde T Barbour Blvd) |
| 123 | Other Principal Arterials | Mason | KY-9 (AA Highway) | Walmart Entrance |
| 124 | Other Principal Arterials | Mason | KY-9 (AA Highway) | US 62 (Lexington Rd) |
| 125 | Minor Arterials | Mason | KY-8 (3rd St) | Market St |
| 126 | Minor Arterials | Mason | KY-10 (Mason Lewis Rd) | Carmel St |
| 127 | Collectors | Mason | Ky 2515/Old Main | US 62 |
| 128 | Collectors | Mason | KY-1448 (KY-11) | KY 9 (AA Highway) |
| 129 | Interstates and Other Expressways | McCracken | I-24 | Exit 4 (Hinkleville Rd) |
| 130 | Interstates and Other Expressways | McCracken | I-24 | KY 994 overpass |
| 131 | Interstates and Other Expressways | McCracken | I-24 | Exit 16 (US 68) |
| 132 | Other Principal Arterials | McCracken | US-45 (Joe Clifton Dr) | US 60 |
| 133 | Other Principal Arterials | McCracken | US-60X (S. 4th St) | US 45X (Kentucky Ave) |
| 134 | Other Principal Arterials | McCracken | US-60 | KY 994 (Old Mayfield Rd) |
| 135 | Minor Arterials | McCracken | KY-284 (Old Benton Rd) | KY 450 (Frontage Rd) |
| 136 | Collectors | McCracken | KY-339 (Clinton Rd) | US 45 (Lone Oak Rd) |

APPENDIX B. SURVEY LOCATIONS

| Site Number | Road Classification | County | Road Surveyed | Reference |
|--------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------|----------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 137 | Interstates and Other Expressways | Mercer | BG-9002 | Bondville Rd overpass |
| 138 | Other Principal Arterials | Mercer | US-127 | US 127 Bypass |
| 139 | Other Principal Arterials | Mercer | US-127 | Cardinal Dr |
| 140 | Other Principal Arterials | Mercer | US-127 | US 68 (Mooreland Ave) |
| 141 | Other Principal Arterials | Mercer | US-68 | Main St |
| 142 | Minor Arterials | Mercer | US-68 | US 127 Bypass |
| 143 | Collectors | Mercer | KY-33 | Hughley Ln. |
| 144 | Collectors | Mercer | KY-390 | At RR Crossing (Ky 1941/Fairview) |
| 145 | Other Principal Arterials | Pike | US-23 | KY 1426 |
| 146 | Other Principal Arterials | Pike | US-119 | KY 1426 |
| 147 | Other Principal Arterials | Pike | US-23 (N. Mayo Tr) | US-119 (Buckley Creek Rd) |
| 148 | Other Principal Arterials | Pike | US-23 | KY 2061 (Cowpen Rd) |
| 149 | Minor Arterials | Pike | KY-632 | KY 194 |
| 150 | Collectors | Pike | KY-308 | US-119 |
| 151 | Collectors | Pike | KY-194 | US-119 |
| 152 | Collectors | Pike | KY-1384 | Porter Rd |
| 153 | Interstates and Other Expressways | Warren | I-65 | Exit 26 (KY 234) |
| 154 | Interstates and Other Expressways | Warren | WN-9007 (Natcher) | Exit 7 (US 23) |
| 155 | Interstates and Other Expressways | Warren | I-65 | Exit 22 (US 231) |
| 156 | Interstates and Other Expressways | Warren | I-65 | Exit 38 (KY101) |
| 157 | Other Principal Arterials | Warren | US-231 | Smallhouse Rd |
| 158 | Minor Arterials | Warren | US-231X | Normal Street |
| 159 | Minor Arterials | Warren | KY-185 | Double Springs |
| 160 | Collectors | Warren | US-31W | KY 242 |

Appendix C:
Summary of Data

APPENDIX C. SUMMARY OF DATA

| ALL FRONT SEAT OCCUPANTS | | | | | CATEGORY | | | | | |
|--------------------------|--------|---------------|-----------------|----------------------|----------|---------------|-----------------------|---------------|-----------------------------|---------------|
| Location Number | Sample | Percent Usage | Relative Error* | Confidence Interval* | DRIVERS | | FRONT SEAT PASSENGERS | | UNDER FOUR (FRONT AND REAR) | |
| | | | | | Sample | Percent Usage | Sample | Percent Usage | Sample | Percent Usage |
| 1 | 326 | 89.9 | 3.6 | 3.3 | 235 | 90.2 | 91 | 89.0 | 5 | 100.0 |
| 2 | 673 | 84.7 | 3.2 | 2.7 | 531 | 84.0 | 142 | 87.3 | 7 | 100.0 |
| 3 | 1205 | 87.5 | 2.1 | 1.9 | 928 | 87.2 | 277 | 88.4 | 0 | --- |
| 4 | 718 | 81.1 | 3.5 | 2.9 | 612 | 80.6 | 106 | 84.0 | 7 | 100.0 |
| 5 | 761 | 80.7 | 3.5 | 2.8 | 617 | 80.4 | 144 | 81.9 | 7 | 100.0 |
| 6 | 786 | 74.6 | 4.1 | 3.0 | 628 | 76.8 | 158 | 65.8 | 9 | 100.0 |
| 7 | 396 | 75.8 | 5.6 | 4.2 | 294 | 73.8 | 102 | 81.4 | 10 | 100.0 |
| 8 | 342 | 64.3 | 7.9 | 5.1 | 268 | 66.8 | 74 | 55.4 | 10 | 100.0 |
| 9 | 713 | 82.0 | 3.4 | 2.8 | 555 | 81.8 | 158 | 82.9 | 1 | 100.0 |
| 10 | 664 | 83.3 | 3.4 | 2.8 | 507 | 82.6 | 157 | 85.4 | 1 | 0.0 |
| 11 | 335 | 74.3 | 6.3 | 4.7 | 274 | 76.3 | 61 | 65.6 | 2 | 100.0 |
| 12 | 335 | 76.4 | 5.9 | 4.5 | 271 | 77.5 | 64 | 71.9 | 1 | 100.0 |
| 13 | 308 | 79.9 | 5.6 | 4.5 | 246 | 81.3 | 62 | 74.2 | 5 | 100.0 |
| 14 | 221 | 76.5 | 7.3 | 5.6 | 187 | 78.1 | 34 | 67.6 | 0 | --- |
| 15 | 110 | 69.1 | 12.5 | 8.6 | 88 | 70.5 | 22 | 63.6 | 0 | --- |
| 16 | 266 | 75.9 | 6.8 | 5.1 | 216 | 75.9 | 50 | 76.0 | 1 | 100.0 |
| 17 | 524 | 84.7 | 3.6 | 3.1 | 433 | 85.9 | 91 | 79.1 | 9 | 66.7 |
| 18 | 514 | 85.8 | 3.5 | 3.0 | 397 | 86.6 | 117 | 82.9 | 4 | 100.0 |
| 19 | 795 | 87.2 | 2.7 | 2.3 | 672 | 86.9 | 123 | 88.6 | 4 | 100.0 |
| 20 | 793 | 81.1 | 3.4 | 2.7 | 607 | 81.1 | 186 | 81.2 | 10 | 80.0 |
| 21 | 438 | 83.3 | 4.2 | 3.5 | 380 | 84.5 | 58 | 75.9 | 2 | 100.0 |
| 22 | 579 | 82.7 | 3.7 | 3.1 | 492 | 83.1 | 87 | 80.5 | 3 | 100.0 |
| 23 | 471 | 82.0 | 4.2 | 3.5 | 385 | 82.1 | 86 | 81.4 | 5 | 100.0 |
| 24 | 508 | 81.3 | 4.2 | 3.4 | 409 | 80.0 | 99 | 86.9 | 8 | 100.0 |
| 25 | 648 | 86.4 | 3.1 | 2.6 | 563 | 86.5 | 85 | 85.9 | 13 | 100.0 |
| 26 | 815 | 89.1 | 2.4 | 2.1 | 671 | 90.0 | 144 | 84.7 | 13 | 100.0 |
| 27 | 503 | 89.5 | 3.0 | 2.7 | 358 | 89.7 | 145 | 89.0 | 5 | 100.0 |
| 28 | 573 | 85.5 | 3.4 | 2.9 | 501 | 86.2 | 72 | 80.6 | 2 | 100.0 |
| 29 | 594 | 88.0 | 3.0 | 2.6 | 507 | 88.6 | 87 | 85.1 | 0 | --- |
| 30 | 598 | 88.5 | 2.9 | 2.6 | 481 | 87.5 | 117 | 92.3 | 3 | 100.0 |
| 31 | 895 | 88.4 | 2.4 | 2.1 | 698 | 89.3 | 197 | 85.3 | 0 | --- |
| 32 | 775 | 87.7 | 2.6 | 2.3 | 646 | 87.9 | 129 | 86.8 | 6 | 100.0 |
| 33 | 803 | 84.1 | 3.0 | 2.5 | 699 | 84.7 | 104 | 79.8 | 2 | 100.0 |
| 34 | 913 | 83.5 | 2.9 | 2.4 | 781 | 85.0 | 132 | 74.2 | 3 | 100.0 |
| 35 | 731 | 82.1 | 3.4 | 2.8 | 637 | 82.7 | 94 | 77.7 | 14 | 100.0 |
| 36 | 1094 | 81.0 | 2.9 | 2.3 | 937 | 81.1 | 157 | 80.3 | 16 | 100.0 |
| 37 | 918 | 85.1 | 2.7 | 2.3 | 779 | 85.2 | 139 | 84.2 | 2 | 100.0 |
| 38 | 835 | 83.6 | 3.0 | 2.5 | 708 | 84.6 | 127 | 78.0 | 6 | 100.0 |
| 39 | 413 | 83.1 | 4.4 | 3.6 | 361 | 83.1 | 52 | 82.7 | 6 | 100.0 |
| 40 | 326 | 79.4 | 5.5 | 4.4 | 266 | 81.2 | 60 | 71.7 | 2 | 100.0 |
| 41 | 604 | 83.8 | 3.5 | 2.9 | 449 | 82.9 | 155 | 86.5 | 4 | 100.0 |
| 42 | 820 | 86.3 | 2.7 | 2.4 | 569 | 86.3 | 251 | 86.5 | 5 | 100.0 |
| 43 | 1053 | 89.1 | 2.1 | 1.9 | 725 | 90.9 | 328 | 85.1 | 0 | --- |
| 44 | 359 | 79.9 | 5.2 | 4.1 | 278 | 80.9 | 81 | 76.5 | 8 | 100.0 |
| 45 | 805 | 87.3 | 2.6 | 2.3 | 654 | 89.0 | 151 | 80.1 | 6 | 100.0 |
| 46 | 429 | 81.6 | 4.5 | 3.7 | 373 | 83.4 | 56 | 69.6 | 9 | 100.0 |
| 47 | 691 | 86.4 | 3.0 | 2.6 | 571 | 87.4 | 120 | 81.7 | 6 | 100.0 |
| 48 | 174 | 71.3 | 9.4 | 6.7 | 139 | 71.2 | 35 | 71.4 | 1 | 100.0 |
| 49 | 382 | 86.4 | 4.0 | 3.4 | 266 | 86.8 | 116 | 85.3 | 0 | --- |
| 50 | 419 | 84.7 | 4.1 | 3.4 | 346 | 83.8 | 73 | 89.0 | 1 | 100.0 |
| 51 | 1088 | 83.4 | 2.7 | 2.2 | 825 | 82.9 | 263 | 84.8 | 11 | 100.0 |
| 52 | 347 | 79.8 | 5.3 | 4.2 | 266 | 81.2 | 81 | 75.3 | 5 | 100.0 |
| 53 | 853 | 76.4 | 3.7 | 2.8 | 667 | 76.3 | 186 | 76.9 | 13 | 92.3 |
| 54 | 348 | 79.6 | 5.3 | 4.2 | 266 | 81.2 | 82 | 74.4 | 0 | --- |

APPENDIX C. SUMMARY OF DATA

| ALL FRONT SEAT OCCUPANTS | | | | | CATEGORY | | | | | |
|--------------------------|--------|---------------|-----------------|----------------------|----------|---------------|-----------------------|---------------|-----------------------------|---------------|
| Location Number | Sample | Percent Usage | Relative Error* | Confidence Interval* | DRIVERS | | FRONT SEAT PASSENGERS | | UNDER FOUR (FRONT AND REAR) | |
| | | | | | Sample | Percent Usage | Sample | Percent Usage | Sample | Percent Usage |
| 55 | 171 | 77.2 | 8.1 | 6.3 | 138 | 77.5 | 33 | 75.8 | 0 | --- |
| 56 | 63 | 76.2 | 13.8 | 10.5 | 48 | 68.8 | 15 | 100.0 | 0 | --- |
| 57 | 916 | 89.5 | 2.2 | 2.0 | 773 | 89.5 | 143 | 89.5 | 0 | --- |
| 58 | 542 | 87.6 | 3.2 | 2.8 | 440 | 87.3 | 102 | 89.2 | 4 | 100.0 |
| 59 | 584 | 79.1 | 4.2 | 3.3 | 474 | 81.6 | 110 | 68.2 | 6 | 100.0 |
| 60 | 675 | 87.6 | 2.8 | 2.5 | 618 | 88.2 | 57 | 80.7 | 1 | 100.0 |
| 61 | 1123 | 89.0 | 2.1 | 1.8 | 871 | 89.6 | 252 | 86.9 | 0 | --- |
| 62 | 596 | 83.7 | 3.5 | 3.0 | 510 | 84.3 | 86 | 80.2 | 8 | 100.0 |
| 63 | 760 | 82.8 | 3.2 | 2.7 | 622 | 83.8 | 138 | 78.3 | 5 | 100.0 |
| 64 | 548 | 87.0 | 3.2 | 2.8 | 451 | 88.0 | 97 | 82.5 | 7 | 100.0 |
| 65 | 578 | 78.0 | 4.3 | 3.4 | 475 | 78.5 | 103 | 75.7 | 11 | 100.0 |
| 66 | 843 | 72.0 | 4.2 | 3.0 | 722 | 73.4 | 121 | 63.6 | 6 | 66.7 |
| 67 | 375 | 77.3 | 5.5 | 4.2 | 316 | 79.1 | 59 | 67.8 | 0 | --- |
| 68 | 372 | 78.8 | 5.3 | 4.2 | 306 | 80.1 | 66 | 72.7 | 1 | 100.0 |
| 69 | 709 | 67.0 | 5.2 | 3.5 | 605 | 67.6 | 104 | 63.5 | 6 | 83.3 |
| 70 | 627 | 74.0 | 4.6 | 3.4 | 546 | 75.3 | 81 | 65.4 | 4 | 100.0 |
| 71 | 470 | 87.9 | 3.4 | 3.0 | 347 | 87.0 | 123 | 90.2 | 6 | 100.0 |
| 72 | 306 | 90.2 | 3.7 | 3.3 | 268 | 90.3 | 38 | 89.5 | 0 | --- |
| 73 | 1058 | 81.9 | 2.8 | 2.3 | 863 | 81.2 | 195 | 85.1 | 5 | 100.0 |
| 74 | 795 | 81.0 | 3.4 | 2.7 | 651 | 81.0 | 144 | 81.3 | 4 | 100.0 |
| 75 | 777 | 81.9 | 3.3 | 2.7 | 579 | 81.0 | 198 | 84.3 | 16 | 100.0 |
| 76 | 656 | 80.2 | 3.8 | 3.0 | 572 | 80.9 | 84 | 75.0 | 1 | 100.0 |
| 77 | 263 | 77.2 | 6.6 | 5.1 | 209 | 76.6 | 54 | 79.6 | 9 | 100.0 |
| 78 | 264 | 70.8 | 7.7 | 5.5 | 224 | 71.0 | 40 | 70.0 | 7 | 100.0 |
| 79 | 191 | 76.4 | 7.9 | 6.0 | 152 | 78.3 | 39 | 69.2 | 0 | --- |
| 80 | 103 | 67.0 | 13.6 | 9.1 | 79 | 68.4 | 24 | 62.5 | 0 | --- |
| 81 | 621 | 86.3 | 3.1 | 2.7 | 517 | 85.9 | 104 | 88.5 | 1 | 100.0 |
| 82 | 719 | 88.7 | 2.6 | 2.3 | 485 | 87.4 | 234 | 91.5 | 6 | 83.3 |
| 83 | 1080 | 87.4 | 2.3 | 2.0 | 878 | 87.5 | 202 | 87.1 | 0 | --- |
| 84 | 347 | 87.3 | 4.0 | 3.5 | 282 | 87.6 | 65 | 86.2 | 9 | 100.0 |
| 85 | 560 | 73.8 | 4.9 | 3.6 | 437 | 76.0 | 123 | 65.9 | 5 | 100.0 |
| 86 | 629 | 71.4 | 4.9 | 3.5 | 471 | 73.9 | 158 | 63.9 | 8 | 75.0 |
| 87 | 467 | 76.0 | 5.1 | 3.9 | 345 | 79.4 | 122 | 66.4 | 10 | 90.0 |
| 88 | 315 | 80.6 | 5.4 | 4.4 | 273 | 80.6 | 42 | 81.0 | 1 | 100.0 |
| 89 | 141 | 68.8 | 11.1 | 7.6 | 121 | 70.2 | 20 | 60.0 | 0 | --- |
| 90 | 235 | 75.7 | 7.2 | 5.5 | 184 | 77.2 | 51 | 70.6 | 0 | --- |
| 91 | 351 | 72.1 | 6.5 | 4.7 | 276 | 73.2 | 75 | 68.0 | 1 | 100.0 |
| 92 | 156 | 69.9 | 10.3 | 7.2 | 134 | 67.9 | 22 | 81.8 | 0 | --- |
| 93 | 493 | 62.7 | 6.8 | 4.3 | 384 | 65.6 | 109 | 52.3 | 2 | 100.0 |
| 94 | 383 | 72.1 | 6.2 | 4.5 | 301 | 73.1 | 82 | 68.3 | 2 | 100.0 |
| 95 | 64 | 54.7 | 22.3 | 12.2 | 49 | 59.2 | 15 | 40.0 | 1 | 100.0 |
| 96 | 60 | 61.7 | 19.9 | 12.3 | 50 | 64.0 | 10 | 50.0 | 0 | --- |
| 97 | 361 | 78.4 | 5.4 | 4.2 | 291 | 77.0 | 70 | 84.3 | 0 | --- |
| 98 | 762 | 74.7 | 4.1 | 3.1 | 562 | 73.5 | 200 | 78.0 | 11 | 100.0 |
| 99 | 310 | 67.1 | 7.8 | 5.2 | 218 | 72.5 | 92 | 54.3 | 2 | 100.0 |
| 100 | 838 | 75.2 | 3.9 | 2.9 | 633 | 75.7 | 205 | 73.7 | 12 | 75.0 |
| 101 | 515 | 71.8 | 5.4 | 3.9 | 374 | 71.7 | 141 | 72.3 | 11 | 100.0 |
| 102 | 122 | 57.4 | 15.3 | 8.8 | 98 | 53.1 | 24 | 75.0 | 0 | --- |
| 103 | 165 | 58.2 | 12.9 | 7.5 | 115 | 57.4 | 50 | 60.0 | 7 | 85.7 |
| 104 | 121 | 72.7 | 10.9 | 7.9 | 80 | 76.3 | 41 | 65.9 | 4 | 75.0 |
| 105 | 668 | 86.1 | 3.0 | 2.6 | 503 | 86.7 | 165 | 84.2 | 2 | 100.0 |
| 106 | 675 | 87.4 | 2.9 | 2.5 | 471 | 87.7 | 204 | 86.8 | 21 | 81.0 |
| 107 | 531 | 78.2 | 4.5 | 3.5 | 393 | 79.4 | 138 | 74.6 | 8 | 100.0 |
| 108 | 789 | 86.6 | 2.7 | 2.4 | 506 | 89.5 | 283 | 81.3 | 3 | 66.7 |

APPENDIX C. SUMMARY OF DATA

| ALL FRONT SEAT OCCUPANTS | | | | | CATEGORY | | | | | |
|--------------------------|--------|---------------|-----------------|----------------------|----------|---------------|-----------------------|---------------|-----------------------------|---------------|
| Location Number | Sample | Percent Usage | Relative Error* | Confidence Interval* | DRIVERS | | FRONT SEAT PASSENGERS | | UNDER FOUR (FRONT AND REAR) | |
| | | | | | Sample | Percent Usage | Sample | Percent Usage | Sample | Percent Usage |
| 109 | 695 | 76.7 | 4.1 | 3.1 | 549 | 77.2 | 146 | 74.7 | 3 | 100.0 |
| 110 | 791 | 68.5 | 4.7 | 3.2 | 583 | 69.3 | 208 | 66.3 | 11 | 100.0 |
| 111 | 116 | 74.1 | 10.7 | 8.0 | 82 | 78.0 | 34 | 64.7 | 5 | 100.0 |
| 112 | 475 | 64.4 | 6.7 | 4.3 | 348 | 63.2 | 127 | 67.7 | 13 | 69.2 |
| 113 | 962 | 88.7 | 2.3 | 2.0 | 628 | 89.6 | 334 | 86.8 | 1 | 100.0 |
| 114 | 974 | 91.8 | 1.9 | 1.7 | 690 | 92.8 | 284 | 89.4 | 0 | --- |
| 115 | 557 | 87.6 | 3.1 | 2.7 | 446 | 87.9 | 111 | 86.5 | 3 | 100.0 |
| 116 | 852 | 89.2 | 2.3 | 2.1 | 581 | 89.5 | 271 | 88.6 | 0 | --- |
| 117 | 518 | 76.4 | 4.8 | 3.7 | 436 | 76.4 | 82 | 76.8 | 0 | --- |
| 118 | 530 | 74.0 | 5.1 | 3.7 | 400 | 73.3 | 130 | 76.2 | 3 | 100.0 |
| 119 | 396 | 78.8 | 5.1 | 4.0 | 306 | 77.8 | 90 | 82.2 | 0 | --- |
| 120 | 177 | 79.1 | 7.6 | 6.0 | 140 | 80.7 | 37 | 73.0 | 1 | 100.0 |
| 121 | 395 | 75.4 | 5.6 | 4.2 | 297 | 77.1 | 98 | 70.4 | 4 | 100.0 |
| 122 | 432 | 75.2 | 5.4 | 4.1 | 317 | 77.6 | 115 | 68.7 | 5 | 100.0 |
| 123 | 557 | 72.2 | 5.2 | 3.7 | 414 | 73.7 | 143 | 67.8 | 10 | 90.0 |
| 124 | 582 | 79.6 | 4.1 | 3.3 | 409 | 80.7 | 173 | 76.9 | 7 | 100.0 |
| 125 | 298 | 68.8 | 7.6 | 5.3 | 244 | 71.7 | 54 | 55.6 | 1 | 100.0 |
| 126 | 150 | 74.0 | 9.5 | 7.0 | 119 | 73.1 | 31 | 77.4 | 0 | --- |
| 127 | 142 | 73.2 | 9.9 | 7.3 | 108 | 74.1 | 34 | 70.6 | 0 | --- |
| 128 | 245 | 77.6 | 6.7 | 5.2 | 185 | 80.5 | 60 | 68.3 | 11 | 100.0 |
| 129 | 592 | 89.0 | 2.8 | 2.5 | 463 | 90.1 | 129 | 85.3 | 1 | 100.0 |
| 130 | 879 | 86.0 | 2.7 | 2.3 | 644 | 84.6 | 235 | 89.8 | 0 | --- |
| 131 | 617 | 90.0 | 2.6 | 2.4 | 429 | 90.0 | 188 | 89.9 | 1 | 100.0 |
| 132 | 370 | 84.9 | 4.3 | 3.7 | 289 | 84.4 | 81 | 86.4 | 1 | 100.0 |
| 133 | 265 | 85.3 | 5.0 | 4.3 | 208 | 84.6 | 57 | 87.7 | 0 | --- |
| 134 | 395 | 83.5 | 4.4 | 3.7 | 320 | 85.0 | 75 | 77.3 | 0 | --- |
| 135 | 177 | 75.7 | 8.3 | 6.3 | 140 | 77.1 | 37 | 70.3 | 0 | --- |
| 136 | 163 | 79.8 | 7.7 | 6.2 | 137 | 79.6 | 26 | 80.8 | 0 | --- |
| 137 | 525 | 83.8 | 3.8 | 3.2 | 379 | 86.0 | 146 | 78.1 | 1 | 100.0 |
| 138 | 444 | 76.4 | 5.2 | 4.0 | 348 | 77.0 | 96 | 74.0 | 4 | 100.0 |
| 139 | 464 | 80.4 | 4.5 | 3.6 | 362 | 82.0 | 102 | 74.5 | 1 | 100.0 |
| 140 | 524 | 68.9 | 5.8 | 4.0 | 419 | 69.7 | 105 | 65.7 | 2 | 100.0 |
| 141 | 222 | 65.3 | 9.6 | 6.3 | 173 | 65.9 | 49 | 63.3 | 1 | 100.0 |
| 142 | 179 | 73.7 | 8.7 | 6.4 | 137 | 73.0 | 42 | 76.2 | 0 | --- |
| 143 | 291 | 68.4 | 7.8 | 5.3 | 226 | 69.5 | 65 | 64.6 | 0 | --- |
| 144 | 126 | 61.9 | 13.7 | 8.5 | 94 | 61.7 | 32 | 62.5 | 5 | 100.0 |
| 145 | 606 | 76.2 | 4.4 | 3.4 | 503 | 78.5 | 103 | 65.0 | 3 | 100.0 |
| 146 | 316 | 67.1 | 7.7 | 5.2 | 246 | 67.1 | 70 | 67.1 | 0 | --- |
| 147 | 861 | 74.6 | 3.9 | 2.9 | 655 | 75.4 | 206 | 71.8 | 2 | 100.0 |
| 148 | 677 | 70.3 | 4.9 | 3.4 | 553 | 72.0 | 124 | 62.9 | 6 | 100.0 |
| 149 | 241 | 56.0 | 11.2 | 6.3 | 172 | 54.1 | 69 | 60.9 | 6 | 83.3 |
| 150 | 82 | 67.1 | 15.2 | 10.2 | 64 | 71.9 | 18 | 50.0 | 1 | 100.0 |
| 151 | 199 | 65.8 | 10.0 | 6.6 | 160 | 67.5 | 39 | 59.0 | 3 | 66.7 |
| 152 | 104 | 55.8 | 17.1 | 9.5 | 89 | 56.2 | 15 | 53.3 | 3 | 66.7 |
| 153 | 1198 | 90.4 | 1.8 | 1.7 | 858 | 90.9 | 340 | 89.1 | 0 | --- |
| 154 | 392 | 88.0 | 3.7 | 3.2 | 297 | 87.9 | 95 | 88.4 | 1 | 100.0 |
| 155 | 896 | 91.4 | 2.0 | 1.8 | 603 | 90.9 | 293 | 92.5 | 1 | 100.0 |
| 156 | 947 | 88.4 | 2.3 | 2.0 | 673 | 89.6 | 274 | 85.4 | 1 | 100.0 |
| 157 | 1031 | 85.5 | 2.5 | 2.2 | 843 | 86.1 | 188 | 82.4 | 17 | 88.2 |
| 158 | 714 | 86.6 | 2.9 | 2.5 | 581 | 87.3 | 133 | 83.5 | 5 | 100.0 |
| 159 | 423 | 79.9 | 4.8 | 3.8 | 356 | 80.6 | 67 | 76.1 | 6 | 100.0 |
| 160 | 290 | 77.9 | 6.1 | 4.8 | 214 | 79.4 | 76 | 73.7 | 2 | 50.0 |

*Percent (using .95 probability)

Appendix D:
Mini-Survey Data

APPENDIX D. Mini-Survey Data

| Site | County | VMT% | Intersection Description | Town | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 |
|-------------|---------------|-------------|---------------------------------|------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| 5 | Barren | 3.46 | I-65 at Exit 53 | Cave City | 81 | 82 | 88 | 87 |
| 11 | Meade | 6.00 | US 31W at KY 1638 | Muldraugh | 72 | 76 | 85 | 83 |
| 27 | Grayson | 6.95 | KY 259 at US 62 | Leitchfield | 64 | 70 | 79 | 77 |
| 37 | Logan | 3.07 | US 68 at US 79 | Russellville | 67 | 70 | 79 | 78 |
| 44 | Hopkins | 2.13 | Pennyrile Parkway at Exit 44 | Madisonville | 83 | 84 | 86 | 83 |
| 54 | Henderson | 3.52 | Us 41A at 5th St. | Henderson | 69 | 73 | 78 | 75 |
| 63 | Calloway | 3.35 | KY 1637 at 16th | Murray | 68 | 72 | 75 | 76 |
| 76 | Shelby | 8.31 | I-64 at Exit 28 | Simpsonville | 83 | 82 | 85 | 87 |
| 80 | Woodford | 1.92 | US 60 at US 62 | Versailles | 77 | 79 | 84 | 86 |
| 88 | Oldham | 4.01 | KY 146 at KY 1817 | La Grange | 75 | 82 | 84 | 86 |
| 98 | Franklin | 1.41 | KY 2820 at US 127 | Frankfort | 69 | 69 | 74 | 74 |
| 110 | Kenton | 17.65 | I-75 at Exit 186 | Crescent Springs | 86 | 85 | 87 | 87 |
| 121 | Jefferson | 8.71 | US 31W at KY 841 | Louisville | 70 | 71 | 77 | 74 |
| 144 | Boone | 7.65 | US 42 at US 25 | Walton | 70 | 75 | 77 | 83 |
| 154 | Boyd | 2.48 | I-64 at Exit 185 | Ashland | 81 | 80 | 81 | 81 |
| 166 | Lincoln | 6.56 | US 27 at US 150 | Stanford | 70 | 70 | 74 | 76 |
| 174 | Carter | 5.94 | US 60 at KY 7 | Grayson | 63 | 67 | 72 | 67 |
| 180 | Floyd | 3.13 | KY 680 at KY 122 | Drift | 60 | 56 | 57 | 57 |
| 188 | Rowan | 0.41 | I-64 at Exit 137 | Morehead | 79 | 81 | 85 | 83 |
| 194 | Laurel | 1.89 | US 25E at US 25 | Corbin | 68 | 68 | 74 | 77 |
| 200 | Pulaski | 1.45 | KY 80 at KY 2296 | Somerset | 72 | 75 | 75 | 74 |
| | | | | | 74.0 | 75.6 | 79.9 | 79.8 |

Appendix E:
Distracted Driving Data

APPENDIX E. PERCENT OF DRIVERS THAT WERE OBSERVED TO BE DISTRACTED

| ROAD CLASSIFICATION | PERCENT DISTRACTED BY VEHICLE TYPE | | | | |
|----------------------|------------------------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| | PC | PU | VAN | SUV | ALL |
| Wearing Seatbelt | 7.1 | 7.2 | 8.1 | 9.1 | 7.7 |
| Not Wearing Seatbelt | 7.8 | 6.6 | 6.1 | 8.5 | 7.4 |
| All | 7.2 | 7.0 | 7.8 | 9.1 | 7.6 |

For more information or a complete publication list, contact us at:

KENTUCKY TRANSPORTATION CENTER

176 Raymond Building
University of Kentucky
Lexington, Kentucky 40506-0281

859.257.4513
859.257.1815 (FAX)
800.432.0719
www.ktc.uky.edu
ktc@engr.uky.edu

The University of Kentucky is an Equal Opportunity Organization