CONSTRUCTABILITY PANEL

WITH HIGHWAY CONTRACTOR REPRESENTATIVES

INTENT OF SESSION

• To have respectful and meaningful discussions about constructability issues

• Seeing the issues from a different perspective (contractors/designers)

 Possibly find opportunities to improve constructability on future projects

PRESENTATION FORMAT

- Discuss the constructability challenge
- Review Plan details, if applicable
- Discuss possible solutions to improve constructability
- Input/discussion from designer's perspective

PANELISTS

- Brian Billings-Vice President of ATS Construction-Lexington
- Fred Clark-Estimator-Bourne-Clark Construction-Mt. Sterling
- Thomas Haydon III-President-Haydon Bridge Company-Springfield
- Kenny Roller-Heavy/Highway Manager-Louisville Paving Company-Louisville

TOPIC #1-BRIDGES

Skewed Bridge Deck Construction Joints



8/20/2013

TOPIC #1-BRIDGES

• Constructability issues

– Hard to form, brace and finish

- Potential solutions/alternatives to improve constructability
 - Place joints square to centerline

• Part width construction



- Constructability issues
 - Plans call for part width construction on SB from 197+00 - 195+95, restricted to 7pm-5am
 - Pavement design calls for one course asphalt drainage blanket and three courses asphalt base
 - Impossible to construct in a 10 hour shift
- Potential solutions/alternatives to improve constructability
 - Construct temporary diversion
 - Utilize part width construction without time restrictions
 - Temporary road closure with offsite detour

• Placing guardrail post on culvert



• Standard drawing RBR 015-04



TOPIC #3-SPECIALTY

- Constructability issues
 - 9/16" X 8" Hook Bolts (RBR-015-04) must be poured in place. Each post requires four of these hook bolts.
 - It is virtually impossible for the bridge/culvert contractor to get these placed in the exact location required for proper alignment for future guard rail.
 - protecting the bolts once they are in place.
 - If you place the posts upon completion of the box culvert, they are in the way of the backfill operation and will likely get damaged.
 - If you backfill with the bolts exposed, they will also likely be damaged.
- Potential solutions/alternatives to improve constructability
 - Pour the deck of the culvert with no hook bolts in place.
 - Allow the guard rail subcontractor to dig/auger down and expose the deck of the culvert at each location where a guard rail post is to be placed.
 - Allow the use of 7/8" Wedge Anchors, specifications and length to be determined by KYTC. Guard rail subcontractor is responsible for backfilling holes upon completing the installation of the posts on the deck.



Geotechnical issue







- Constructability issues
 - Unknown/unexpected geotech issues
 - Significant amount of extra work
 - Delays to project completion
- Potential solutions/alternatives to improve constructability
 - Collection of additional geotech information
 - Designs should not assume best case scenario when interpreting geotech data
 - Geotech plan notes should be written to allow parties to work together for solutions, rather than making any unknowns incidental to the contractor's bid

TOPIC #5-BRIDGES

• Piling under a wingwall in Phase construction



TOPIC #5-BRIDGES

- Constructability issues
 - Very expensive to return to drive minimal piling
 - Working room is very limited in this case

- Potential solutions/alternatives to improve constructability
 - Design Phase 2 wing without need for piling

TOPIC #5-BRIDGES

• Alternative



TOPIC #6-ROADWAY Wrapping rock roadbed with geotextile fabric





- Constructability issues
 - Plans indicate rock roadbed to be wrapped with geotextile fabric
 - KYTC personnel directed to extend DGA over fabric
 - DGA tends to slide off fabric during rain events
- Potential solutions/alternatives to improve constructability
 - Rock roadbed could be underlain and overlain with geotextile fabric, but not completely wrapped

TOPIC #7-SPECIALTY Bridge Connector-Type A



TOPIC #7-SPECIALTY

- Constructability issues
 - This bridge connector works best when connecting to Rail System Type III.
 - We now see many bridges with architectural features and wider barrier ends.
 - We have seen some barrier ends over two feet thick making it difficult to obtain the long bolts to connect the Bridge Connector Type "A" in accordance with the standard drawing.
- Potential solutions/alternatives to improve constructability
 - On barrier wall ends that are not standard (or normal), consider using a four-bolt assembly to connect the end shoe and a two-bolt assembly to connect the rub rail.

TOPIC #7-SPECIALTY

• EXAMPLE OF WELL DESIGNED BRIDGE CONNECTION



• Geotech issue







- Constructability issues
 - Unknown/unexpected geotech issues
 - Significant amount of extra work
 - Delays to project completion
- Potential solutions/alternatives to improve constructability
 - Collection of additional geotech information
 - Designs should not assume best case scenario when interpreting geotech data
 - Geotech plan notes should be written to allow parties to work together for solutions, rather than making any unknowns incidental to the contractor's bid

TOPIC #9-BRIDGES

• Construction elevation layout and plan dimension info



TOPIC #9-BRIDGES

- Constructability issues
 - Can't be laid out by average field guys
 - Shouldn't have to "survey" point location
- Potential solutions/alternatives to improve constructability
 - On skewed and/or curved bridges, provide enough plan dimension information for the builder.
 - Forget grids being square and just go down a beam line at some spacing beginning at CL Bearing

TOPIC #10-SPECIALTY

• Plans calling for the use of Type 4A end treatments



TOPIC #10-SPECIALTY

- Constructability issues
 - The End Treatment Type 4A is less expensive then the End Treatment Type 1.
 - It also has lower maintenance costs than the End Treatment Type 1.
 - the recovery area required for this end treatment can be in the ditch lines on the project or beyond KYTC right-of-way.
- Potential solutions/alternatives to improve constructability
 - When projects are designed, the cost of one end treatment versus the other should not be the primary consideration.
 - The primary consideration should be if the end treatment will work as intended in the field. Particularly an issue on proposal only projects.

TOPIC #11-BRIDGES Utility location on bridge plans



TOPIC #11-BRIDGES

• Constructability issues

 Just easier to see utility conflicts in bridge area with utilities shown on bridge plans.

- Potential solutions/alternatives to improve constructability
 - Put existing utilities on bridge plans

TOPIC #12-BRIDGES Pouring of pier diaphragms (allowing joints)



TOPIC #12-BRIDGES

- Constructability issues
 - Bracing Forms of height and skew
 - Focus is entirely on deck pour.
 - If something goes wrong and the form blows out, you've only lost a diaphragm and not a piece of the deck which could create an emergency joint situation.
 - The deck should finish better as you don't stop to fill up the diaphragm, can continue the deck in a smoother fashion.
 - Deck pours will go quicker with less concrete to place at time of pour
- Potential solutions/alternatives to improve constructability
 - Design and/or allow construction joints in diaphragms when possible.