



U.S. Department of Transportation

Federal Highway Administration
Kentucky Division
330 W. Broadway
Frankfort, KY 40601

Federal Transit Administration
Region IV
230 Peachtree Street NW,
Suite 800
Atlanta, GA 30303-1512

September 23, 2014

Mr. Michael W. Hancock, PE
Secretary
Kentucky Transportation Cabinet
200 Mero Street, Room 613
Frankfort, Kentucky 40622

Dear Mr. Hancock:

Subject: 2015-2018 Statewide Transportation Improvement Program (STIP) Approval and Planning Finding

In accordance with 23 CFR 450.220, the Federal Highway Administration and the Federal Transit Administration have reviewed the FY 2015-2018 Kentucky Statewide Transportation Improvement Program (STIP). We would like to take this opportunity to comment on the Kentucky Transportation Cabinet's (KYTC's) transportation planning process. There are several noteworthy practices. These observations and recommendations form the basis for the following STIP approval.

Specific noteworthy elements of the KYTC statewide planning process:

- The KYTC *District Transportation Plans* (DTPs) are data driven and give opportunities for local agencies and planning organizations to provide input for District and Statewide planning documents. These will be helpful as Transportation Performance Measurement requirements are implemented.
- The KYTC organized regular meetings with the Metropolitan Planning Organizations (MPOs) and Area Development Districts (ADDs). These meetings provided excellent forums for the exchange of information and appropriate coordination of planning efforts.
- The KYTC published a *2011 Statewide Transportation Planning Program Rural Consultation Report*. It outlines the planning process and the interaction of the ADDs, MPOs and statewide local officials. It details ongoing concentrated efforts to solicit input from mayors, county judge executives, and other local officials to develop the Six Year Plan, the Long-Range Statewide Transportation Plan (LRSTP), and the STIP.
- The STIP is fiscally constrained based on reasonable estimates of anticipated revenues and updated project cost estimates. The STIP recognizes that there are several major projects that

require detailed financial plans prior to advancement. The Apportionments Versus Planned Obligations table helps explain the Kentucky planned federal-aid program. A tracking table of all STIP projects (including Amendments and Modifications) with approval dates provides continuous tracking of the connection between project planning and implementation.

- The KYTC has submitted the *Kentucky Recommended 2010 Adjusted Urban Area (UAB) Boundaries*. Outreach to public planning partners will provide the Commonwealth a current comprehensive transportation network.
- The list of Transportation Improvement Programs (TIPs) included by reference is listed on page two of the STIP. Approval dates range from 2011 to 2013. The Air Quality Conformity Determinations for Maintenance Areas is Appendix A-4 in the STIP.
- The *2014 Kentucky Statewide Rail Plan Update* is being circulated for public and agency review. The previous version was the 2002 Statewide Rail Plan. We look forward to receiving a copy of the final adopted version.
- Kentucky's *Long Range Statewide Transportation Plan (LRSTP) 2014-2035* has been circulated for public review. The "Your Turn" promotion to gather extensive public comments utilized comprehensive and innovative measures. We look forward to receiving a copy of the final adopted version.

We also offer several recommendations for process improvements, including:

- 23 CFR 450.216 requires that the STIP must be fiscally constrained and account for project authorizations, STIP Amendments, and STIP Modifications. By mutual agreement, fiscal constraint should be reaffirmed in an annual end-of-federal-fiscal-year "fiscal constraint" recalculation. An updated comparison of available funding and specific projects costs should be completed by December 31, 2015; and each December thereafter.
- 23 CFR 450.314(a) requires updated written planning agreements to identify roles and responsibilities between the State DOTs, MPOs and the public transit operators. KYTC can consider inclusion of the provisions of MAP 21 regarding establishing performance measures and targets in the planning process. The KYTC should compile and, if necessary, update planning agreements with all MPOs and transit operators.
- 23 CFR 450.218 requires that the KYTC and MPOs self-certify compliance with Title VI and the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) in their planning documents. 28 CFR 35.150(d) requires governments (both state and local with 50 or more employees) to develop a transition plan by July 26, 1992. Early involvement in KYTC planning and project delivery processes can provide the greatest opportunities for ADA compliance and decision-making. The KYTC and the MPOs should ensure that ADA Transition Plans are in place and updated in all LPAs with 50 or more employees.

- The KYTC should continue working with the Kentucky Division to reduce inactive LPA projects and implement the recommendations of the 2013 *Kentucky Program Review of Inactive LPA Projects*. In 2012 the KYTC released the *LPA Project Guide: Federal-Aid Highway Program Project Development Guide for Local Public Agencies* (Guide). We recommend that the KYTC update the Guide and provide ongoing training on project administration to District staff and LPAs with emphasis on project scope, budget and schedule.
- We encourage the KYTC to continue efforts to update the “Public Involvement Process (PIP)”. We recommend the document be simplified for public interpretation and include current standards as listed in 23 CFR 450.210, specifically including visualization techniques.
- The Kentucky Statewide Intermodal Freight Plan has not been updated since 2006. We recommend that the KYTC should update the Plan while involving a wide range of stakeholders.

The KYTC should submit an annual report to address progress on the implementing the above recommendations.

Based on our review of the FY 2015-2018 Kentucky Statewide Transportation Improvement Program (STIP), our certification reviews, your self-certification statements, our above observations and comments, our regular coordination with the MPO and KYTC planning activities; we find that the STIP was developed through a continuing, cooperative, and comprehensive planning process that substantially meets the requirements of 23 USC 134 and 135 and 49 USC 5303-5305.



Yvette G. Taylor
Regional Administrator
Federal Transit Administration



José M. Sepúlveda
Division Administrator
Federal Highway Administration



TRANSPORTATION CABINET

Frankfort, Kentucky 40622
www.transportation.ky.gov/

Steven L. Beshear
Governor

Michael W. Hancock, P.E.
Secretary

August 22, 2014

Mr. Jose Sepulveda
FHWA Division Administrator
330 West Broadway
Frankfort, KY 40601

Dear Mr. Sepulveda:

As per the August 2014 review comments from FHWA and FTA, we have finalized the Kentucky Transportation Cabinet's Fiscal Years 2015-2018 Statewide Transportation Improvement Program (STIP) for your approval. The 2014 STIP was developed in accordance with federal regulations. The implementation of the 2014 STIP will be in accordance with the MAP-21 programs and guidelines, and will be conducted through the cooperative efforts of the KYTC, FHWA, and FTA to ensure that all federal-aid funding is utilized in accordance with federal guidelines and federal regulations.

The STIP contains listings of highway and transit projects within the 120 Kentucky counties and the District 99 ZVarious funding categories. The identified STIP projects located within Metropolitan Planning Organizations (MPO) areas provides the entire listing of statewide and MPO transportation projects within the Commonwealth of Kentucky. However, the projects located within a MPO designated area and not identified within the current MPO's TIP will be processed through the corresponding MPO's TIP modification or amendment process. Upon completion of the MPO TIP modification or amendment, the modification or amendment will be added to the STIP prior to authorization of federal funding for the corresponding project.

We appreciate the assistance of FHWA and FTA, and look forward to implementation of the FY 2015-2018 Statewide Transportation Improvement Program (STIP).

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Ronald B. Rigney".

Ronald B. Rigney, P.E. & P.L.S.

Director, Division of Program Management

RBR:SLC

c: Mike Hancock, Secretary
Steve Waddle, State Highway Engineer



An Equal Opportunity Employer M/F/D

Kentucky's

Statewide Transportation Improvement Program for FY 2015-2018

The Kentucky Legislature enacted House Bill 236, House Bill 237 and House Joint Resolution 62 during the 2014 Regular Session of the General Assembly. House Bill 236 is the Biennial Budget for the Kentucky Transportation Cabinet and provides operating funds for FY 2015 and FY 2016. House Bill 237 is the Biennial Highway Construction Plan for FY 2015 and FY 2016 and House Joint Resolution 62 is the Highway Preconstruction Program Plan for FY 2017 through FY 2020. Collectively, HB 237 and HJR 62 make up the 2014 Highway Plan. The Transportation Cabinet's overall transportation program contained within the FY 2015-2018 STIP is consistent with the state and federal revenue estimates and assumptions and contains projects as identified within the 2014 Highway Plan.

<http://transportation.ky.gov/Program-Management/Pages/2015-STIP-Book.aspx>

CONTACT PERSON: *Steve Waddle, P.E.*
State Highway Engineer
Department of Highways
200 Mero Street, 6th Floor
Frankfort, Kentucky 40622
Phone: (502) 564-3730

The following table is a general crosswalk comparison of SAFETEA-LU funding programs outlining the type of funds and yearly amount of planned funding for each program vs. the MAP-21 funding programs outlining type of funds and yearly amount of planned funding for each program.

SAFETEA-LU FUNDING PROGRAMS		MAP-21 FUNDING PROGRAMS	
TYPE OF FUNDS	AMOUNT (In Millions)	TYPE OF FUNDS	AMOUNT (In Millions)
Interstate Maintenance (IM)	123.6	National Highway Performance	391.8
National Highway System (NH)	140.8		
Bridge (On System) (BRO)	56.0		
Appalachian Development Hwy Sys (APD)	48.8		
Combined Funding Totals	369.2	Projected Available Funding	391.8
Bridge (On/Off and Off System) (BRX) and (BRZ)	21.5		
Surface Transportation (STP, SHN, SLO, SLX, SNK)	166.0	Surface Transportation Program	180.2
Combined Funding Totals	187.5	Projected Available Funding	180.2
Transportation Enhancement (TE)	13.3		
Recreational Trails (RTP)	1.2	Transportation Alternatives	12.9
Safe Routes to School (SRS)	2.0		
Combined Funding Totals	16.5	Projected Available Funding	12.9
Metropolitan Planning (PL)	2.2		
		Metropolitan Planning	2.4
Congestion Mitigation (CM)	12.9	Congestion Mitigation (CM)	13.2
Highway Safety Improvements (SAF)	22.1		
Rail-Highway Crossings (RRP) and (RRS)	3.6	Highway Safety Improvement	43.1
Combined Funding Totals	25.7	Projected Available Funding	43.1
COMBINED SAFETEA-LU FUNDING TOTALS	614.0	COMBINED MAP-21 FUNDING TOTALS	643.6

The 2014 STIP was developed in accordance with federal regulations. The implementation of the 2014 STIP will be conducted through the crosswalk between SAFETEA-LU programs and guidelines and the MAP-21 programs and guidelines, and will be conducted through the cooperative efforts of the KYTC, FHWA, and FTA to ensure that all federal-aid funding is utilized in accordance with federal guidelines and federal regulations.

Statewide Transportation Improvement Program for FY 2015-2018

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>PAGE</u>
I. Introduction	1
II. STIP Development	3
A. Planning Process Considerations	4
1. Agreements.....	4
2. Public Involvement Process.....	4
3. Air Quality Considerations.....	8
4. Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality Improvement Program.....	10
5. Fiscal Constraint.....	10
6. Revisions to the LRSTP or STIP.....	19
B. STIP Projects	20
1. Planned Highway Improvements.....	21
2. Highway Safety Improvement Program.....	24
3. Intelligent Transportation System.....	27
4. Transportation Alternatives Program.....	28
5. Transportation Enhancement Projects.....	28
6. Transportation, Community, and System Preservation Program.....	29
7. Planned Public Transportation (Transit) Projects.....	29
8. Human Service Transportation Delivery Process.....	31
9. Planned Aviation Improvements.....	32
10. Maintenance and Traffic Operations.....	32
11. Recreational Trails Program.....	33
12. Kentucky’s “Mega Projects”.....	33
III. Appendix A - Exhibits	
A-1. State Certification Letters	
A-2. Public Participation Process for STIP and Statewide Transportation Plan	
A-3. Public Participation Documents and Comments for this Edition of the STIP	
A-4. Air Quality Conformity Determinations for Maintenance Areas	
A-5. STIP Projects	
A-6. Safe Routes to School Projects	
A-7. Transportation Alternatives Projects and Transportation Enhancement Projects	
A-8. Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality Projects	
A-9. Public Transportation (Transit) Projects	
A-10. Aviation Improvements	
A-11. Recreational Trails Projects	
IV. Appendix B - Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO) Financial Plans	
V. Appendix C - Glossary of Transportation Terms and Abbreviations	
VI. Appendix D - Important Addresses and Phone Numbers	

STATEWIDE TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM for FY 2015-2018

I. Introduction

In the current Transportation Act, "Moving Ahead for Progress in the 21st Century Act" (MAP-21), Section 1201 "Metropolitan Transportation Planning," Section 1202 "Statewide and Nonmetropolitan Transportation Planning," Section 134 "Metropolitan Transportation Planning" and Section 135 "Statewide and Nonmetropolitan Transportation Planning" of Title 23, United States Code was amended. The amended language includes specific details pertaining to the development of the Long Range Statewide Transportation Plan (LRSTP), Statewide Transportation Improvement Program (STIP), and the development of Metropolitan Transportation Plans (MTPs) and Transportation Improvement Programs (TIPs) within areas served by Metropolitan Planning Organizations (MPOs), which perform transportation planning functions in urbanized areas with a population of 50,000 or greater. MAP-21 states that "it is in the national interest to encourage and promote the safe and efficient management, operation, and development of surface transportation systems, that will serve the mobility needs of people and freight and foster economic growth and development within and between states and urbanized areas, while minimizing transportation-related fuel consumption and air pollution through metropolitan and statewide transportation planning processes." It further emphasized that each state undertake a continuous planning process that considers all modes of transportation (including accessible pedestrian walkways and bicycle transportation facilities), integrates statewide and metropolitan planning initiatives, and utilizes management systems, comprehensive planning, and innovative financing mechanisms to the maximum extent possible to encourage and promote the safe and efficient management, operation, and development of surface transportation systems, that will serve the mobility needs of people and freight and foster economic growth and development within and between states and urbanized areas, while minimizing transportation-related fuel consumption and air pollution through metropolitan and statewide transportation planning processes. MAP-21 also introduced requirements for states and metropolitan planning organizations to use performance measures to assess the performance of the surface transportation system.

As required by Title 23, United States Code, section 135, paragraph (g) (1): Each state shall develop a statewide transportation improvement program for all areas of the State. Such program shall cover a period of 4 years and be updated every 4 years or more frequently if the Governor elects to update more frequently. The STIP is to be developed for all areas of the state in cooperation with MPOs and local officials, shall be deemed consistent with the applicable State Implementation Plan developed pursuant to the Clean Air Act (42 U.S.C. 7401 et seq.), shall contain primarily those project phases for which funding can reasonably be expected, and shall reflect the statewide priorities for programming and funds expenditure. The STIP is to be a truly multimodal plan, and the public must be afforded the opportunity to comment on the proposed program. FHWA and FTA reviews the STIP and issue a Planning Finding in accordance with 23 CFR 450.220 as per the requirements of 23 USC 134 and 135 of 49 USC 5303-5305.

It should be noted that Kentucky's transportation programs are developed and implemented under strict legislative oversight at the state level. In particular, the highway program is governed by

a section of state law that requires multiple considerations in highway program development. It also requires in-depth reporting to the state legislature of many program events such as monthly program authorizations, bid tabulations, construction project awards, change orders, and cost overruns. The KYTC's Highway Plan is updated biennially under these guidelines, and the first two years of the Plan, the "biennial element," is line-itemed into the state's enacted biennial budget. The STIP covers a period of four years (FY 2015-2018) and contains federally-funded projects. Regionally significant state-funded projects are also included in order to meet air quality conformity requirements.

Kentucky submitted its first formal STIP document in 1992. Since that time, the KYTC has been working with the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA), the Federal Transit Administration (FTA), MPOs, Area Development Districts (ADDs), and local governmental units to define and strengthen the cooperative processes put into practice by each Transportation Act. Requirements pertaining to management system implementation, public involvement in strategic planning, environmental justice, and statewide and metropolitan planning have all been carefully reviewed and processes identified to facilitate future transportation program development in Kentucky. These processes continue to evolve. This document demonstrates Kentucky's commitment to the development of a continuing planning process.

As noted, the FY 2015-2018 STIP contains all the federally-funded scheduled projects in Kentucky, including projects located within the metropolitan planning areas for the following urbanized areas: Bowling Green, Cincinnati-Northern Kentucky, Clarksville-Oak Grove, Elizabethtown, Evansville-Henderson, Huntington-Ashland, Lexington, Louisville, and Owensboro. A project located within these metropolitan planning areas must be included in the appropriate MPO's TIP before it can be included in the STIP and before funding can be authorized. Each TIP must be approved by the MPO's Policy Board.

The following TIPs are incorporated by reference into this document:

- Ashland FY 2013-2017 TIP; approved June 2013
- Bowling Green FY 2012-2016 TIP; approved September 2011
- Cincinnati-Northern Kentucky FY 2014-2017 TIP; approved April 2013
- Clarksville-Oak Grove FY 2014-2017 TIP; approved October 2013
- Evansville-Henderson FY 2013-2016 TIP; approved April 2013
- Lexington FY 2013-2016 TIP; approved April 2013
- Louisville FY 2014-2017 TIP; approved July 2013
- Owensboro FY 2011-2016 TIP; approved March 2011
- Radcliff-Elizabethtown FY 2013-2018 TIP; approved October 2013

When new TIPs are finalized or existing TIPs are amended, changes will be incorporated into the STIP document through the STIP amendment process by reference. The KYTC recognizes the role of the MPOs in regional planning, and fully supports STIP contributions resulting from MPO decision-making processes.

The Planning and Research Annual Work Program is in compliance with the provisions of Section 307 of Title 23, United States Code, and includes programs and operations for planning activities in the KYTC and the Kentucky Cooperative Transportation Research Program (KCTRP). The Division of Planning is responsible for recommending, advising, and assisting the chief administrators of the KYTC in the development of the overall goals, policies, project priorities, and procedures relating to the total transportation program. The KCTRP is concerned with the development and conduct of a comprehensive research program in cooperation with the U.S. Department of Transportation, Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) and is administered through the KYTC State Highway Engineer's Office.

In addition to research developed in cooperation with FHWA, the KCTRP performs other services for the KYTC. The scope of these services includes activities such as research studies and special investigations of various engineering and operation activities. The Research Program and Implementation Advisory Committee (RPIAC), headed by the State Highway Engineer and coordinated through the Research Coordinator, gives direction to the research program.

II. STIP Development

Section 135 of Title 23, United States Code, includes a number of elements which must be considered within a state's continuous transportation planning process. These elements were identified as factors which, when properly addressed, would ensure connectivity between transportation, land use, environmental issues, investment strategies, national energy goals, and other "big picture" components of a comprehensive planning process. The KYTC recognizes the planning process requirements outlined in Sections 135 (d) and (e), and is developing mechanisms for evaluating these items as it strives to implement Kentucky's transportation planning process.

Appendix A, Exhibit A-1, contains the state's certification letters, including a self-certification statement which certifies that Kentucky's transportation planning process is being carried out in accordance with all applicable federal requirements. Copies of policies and acknowledgments regarding the following are on file in the Highway District Offices and the Central Office of Human Resource Management:

1. Political Activities
2. Conflict of Interest
3. Code of Ethics
4. Smoking
5. Drug-Free Workplace
6. Americans with Disabilities (ADA)
7. Workplace Violence
8. Work Performed Off the Rights-of-Way of State Maintained Roads and Highways
9. Antiharassment/Antidiscrimination
10. Employee Dress
11. Internet and Electronic Mail Acceptable Use
12. Memo of Holidays for State Personnel
13. Kentucky Employee Assistance Program (KEAP)
14. Confidential and Sensitive Information

A. Planning Process Considerations

1. Agreements

To ensure that the statewide transportation planning process is carried out in coordination with other state and federal agency requirements, the KYTC has formalized agreements with the various transportation and resource agencies. These agreements have defined the roles of these agencies and governments, and yield a stronger platform from which future STIPs will be developed. The KYTC hereby affirms its commitment to these agreements for the purpose of stabilizing the statewide planning process. Copies of the MPO agreements for the urban planning processes are included in the MPOs Unified Planning Work Programs submitted to FHWA annually. Copies of the agreements with the ADDs for the non-urban transportation planning process are maintained by the KYTC and available upon request.

2. Public Involvement Process

The KYTC is working in concert with the ADDs and MPOs to ensure that public involvement processes are utilized that provide opportunities for public review and comments at key decision points.

ADD Public Involvement Process

The ADD public involvement process is centered on the following major points.

Supplemental to the Cabinet's public involvement efforts are the public involvement procedures utilized by the ADDs. Each ADD documents their process and those documents may be available on the internet as well as being available upon request.

In addition, a "transportation committee" for the area has been established. The membership of this committee includes a diverse group of interests that impact or are impacted by the transportation system. These committees are charged with setting goals and objectives for the regional transportation system; evaluating the transportation systems; identifying needs; and evaluating, prioritizing, and ranking transportation needs every two years as input to Kentucky's transportation project selection process. Efforts are made in establishing these committees to identify and involve the underserved populations, such as low-income and minority households, to ensure that their needs are considered.

ADD Transportation Committee meetings are held several times each year to continually evaluate the regional transportation system and to educate committee members about the statewide transportation planning process and current transportation issues and projects.

The ADDs may attend public meetings and various civic meetings to discuss the statewide transportation planning process and to solicit direct input from interested individuals or groups at key decision points as required.

Minutes of committee meetings and public meetings are maintained. These minutes are available upon request and may be posted on the internet. The process is periodically reviewed to determine its effectiveness. Revisions are made as deemed appropriate.

MPO Public Involvement Process

The MPO public involvement process is centered on the following major points.

Supplemental to the Cabinet's public involvement efforts are the public involvement procedures utilized by the MPOs in the development of their respective MTPs and their TIPs. All activity pursuant to development of the MPOs TIPs is considered part of the STIP and complies with 23 CFR 450.324 "Development and Content of the Transportation Improvement Program (TIP)." In addition, each MPO is required to develop a Public Participation Plan that defines reasonable opportunities for interested parties to be involved in the MPO transportation planning process. MPO documents are available electronically on the MPOs web pages, or upon request.

MPO meetings are held several times each year to continually evaluate the metropolitan transportation system and to educate committee members about the metropolitan transportation planning process and current transportation projects and issues. The MPO staff and committee members may attend various civic meetings to discuss the metropolitan planning process and to solicit direct input from interested individuals and groups. Minutes of committee meetings are maintained, are available upon request, and may be posted on the MPOs web pages. The process is periodically reviewed to determine its effectiveness and revisions are made as deemed appropriate.

KYTC Public Involvement Process

The KYTC public involvement process is centered on the following major points.

In carrying out the statewide transportation planning process, including development of the LRSTP and the STIP, the KYTC has developed a public involvement process that provides opportunities for public review and comment at key decision points.

Through assistance from the ADDs and MPOs, the KYTC will pursue appropriate measures to reach the general public including those traditionally underserved within the state. As citizen concentrations are identified, the KYTC will include a process for seeking out and considering the needs of those traditionally underserved. The notices for public review and comments may be advertised within statewide, significant regional and demographically targeted newspapers as appropriate.

The notices for public review and comments may be advertised within statewide newspapers including the:

- Lexington-Herald Leader
- Louisville Courier-Journal
- Kentucky Enquirer
- Paducah Sun, and others as appropriate

To reach minority or underserved populations within the state, notices may be published in the:

Minority Newspapers

- Cincinnati Herald
- Key Newsjournal – Lexington
- Louisville Defender, and others as appropriate

Spanish Newspapers

- Al Día en America – Louisville
- La Jornada Latina – Cincinnati
- La Voz de Kentucky – Lexington, and others as appropriate

These newspaper advertisements will specify the number of calendar days for the public review and comment period, will identify an individual to whom comments can be sent, and will inform the public of the appropriate web site address. The KYTC will consider other accommodations upon request as required.

The number of calendar days for public review and comment periods is based upon the following schedules:

- Interested Parties, Public Involvement & Consultation Process Document 45 calendar days
- New LRSTP or STIP 30 calendar days
- Amendments to LRSTP or STIP 15 calendar days
- Administrative Modifications to LRSTP or STIP Not Required

KYTC Interested Parties, Public Involvement & Consultation Process Document

The KYTC continuously evaluates ways of reshaping current public involvement procedures to comply with 23 U.S.C., 450.210 “Interested Parties, Public Involvement, and Consultation” processes. As the STIP is updated every two years, the effectiveness of the public involvement process will be reviewed and revised as appropriate. The KYTC will allow a minimum of 45 calendar days for public review and written comments before revisions to the public involvement process are adopted. The final document will be posted to the KYTC web site (www.transportation.ky.gov) as part of the Cabinet’s commitment to the use of visualization techniques.

Long Range Statewide Transportation Plan (LRSTP) and STIP

The formal LRSTP document will cover a minimum 20-year planning horizon, and periodically the KYTC will evaluate, revise, and update the LRSTP as appropriate. The KYTC will work cooperatively with the MPOs, ADDs, and Highway District Offices to develop consistent, appropriate statewide goals for inclusion in the policy based LRSTP. The MPOs MTPs are incorporated into the LRSTP by reference.

The formal STIP document may be prepared on a biennial basis (every two years) to coincide with state legislative approval of the biennial update of the Transportation Cabinet's Highway Plan, will cover no less than four years, and will be updated at least once every four years. The MPOs TIPs are incorporated into the STIP by reference.

To ensure that the public has ample opportunity to review and comment on the LRSTP and STIP documents, the KYTC will allow a minimum of 30 calendar days for public review and written comments for each proposed new LRSTP and each proposed new STIP. Notice of the 30-day public review and comment period will be advertised in statewide, significant regional and demographically targeted newspapers throughout the Commonwealth of Kentucky through assistance from the ADDs and MPOs as appropriate.

The Draft LRSTP and Draft STIP will be publicly displayed in the KYTC Central Office, each of the 12 Highway District Offices, each of the 15 ADD Offices, and each of the 9 MPO staff agencies. A letter providing information on document availability will be sent to:

- Transit providers
- FTA
- USDA Forest Service
- FHWA Eastern Federal Lands Highway Division
- Other federal, regional, and state agencies responsible for land use management, natural resources, environmental protection, conservation, and historic preservation
- All county judge/executives
- Mayors of all communities over 5,000 in population
- Any individuals who ask to be included on an official mailing list maintained by the KYTC

Additional public outreach strategies will be considered in those instances where the complexity of the LRSTP and STIP, or magnitude of public response indicates to the KYTC the need for additional public information.

The Draft LRSTP and Draft STIP documents will be posted to the KYTC web site. An electronically accessible format of the Draft LRSTP and Draft STIP will be available to search and print as appropriate. An electronic process will be established for those reviewing the Draft LRSTP and Draft STIP to submit electronically their comments and questions to the KYTC.

In reviewing a Draft LRSTP or Draft STIP, the KYTC may involve various interagency groups that represent environmental, traffic, ridesharing, parking, transportation safety and enforcement, airports, port authorities, toll authorities, and appropriate private transportation provider concerns. The KYTC will also involve city officials as appropriate, as well as local, state, and federal environmental resources and permit agencies. In each case, the reviewing entity will be expected to provide comments within the specified review period. As noted in Section II, A.2., KYTC Interested Parties; the various state, federal, and local agencies are involved in the development process of the LRSTP and STIP at key decision points throughout the entire process.

Review of the Draft LRSTP and Draft STIP may consist of public meetings held at convenient and accessible locations and times, notices to neighborhood associations, legal notices in local newspapers, or any additional efforts that will significantly enhance the public's understanding of the planning-level recommendations being presented by the LRSTP or STIP document. The number, time, and location of any public meetings will be tailored to fit the circumstance being addressed. If such public outreach is deemed necessary, the Draft LRSTP or Draft STIP comment period may be extended to allow adequate time for receipt of post-meeting comments. Whenever possible, ADD and MPO public involvement processes will be coordinated with the statewide process to enhance public consideration of the issues, plans, and programs, and to reduce redundancies and costs.

For the LRSTP, the following apply:

After the initial 30-day public review and comment period, the KYTC will aggregate comments, prepare responses, and finalize the LRSTP document. Copies of the final edition of the LRSTP document will be made available to both FHWA and FTA. The final LRSTP document will be posted on the KYTC web site.

For the STIP, the following apply:

After the initial 30-day public review and comment period, the KYTC will aggregate comments, prepare responses demonstrating explicit consideration and response to public input, and finalize the STIP document. Copies of the final edition of the STIP document will be made available to both FHWA and FTA for review and comment. When FHWA and FTA comments have been addressed, the final STIP document will be prepared and will include a copy of the joint approval letter from FHWA and FTA. Copies of the final STIP will be prepared and copies will be available for public information and distribution. Copies of the document will be made available to transit providers, FTA, USDA Forest Service, FHWA Eastern Federal Lands Highway Division, and other federal, regional, and state agencies responsible for land use management, natural resources, environmental protection, conservation, historic preservation, and to any individuals who ask to be included on an official mailing list maintained by the KYTC. Also, the STIP final approved document will be posted on the KYTC web site.

3. Air Quality Considerations

Conformity

Projects contained in the FY 2015-2018 STIP and located in areas designated "nonattainment" or "maintenance" for air quality standards must be consistent with Kentucky's State Implementation Plan (SIP) developed by the Kentucky Energy and Environment Cabinet's Division for Air Quality (DAQ) pursuant to the Federal Clean Air Act. In the absence of a SIP, transportation conformity requirements still apply. Transportation plans involving areas designated as "nonattainment" and "maintenance" are subject to a conformity analysis to ensure that planned projects will neither create nor contribute to violations of the National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) and to ensure that the area will be able to meet the NAAQS in future years.

In urbanized areas, the transportation conformity analysis is performed during the development and amendment processes of the urbanized area's MTP. If acceptable, the project list of the shorter-range metropolitan area TIP must also be determined to be consistent with the MTP and in conformance with the SIP. STIP projects for rural "nonattainment" and "maintenance" areas must also be evaluated to demonstrate transportation conformity. All plans, TIPs, and projects are evaluated as required for transportation conformity in each area. Once conformity has been evaluated, USDOT will make a conformity finding for each area and, ultimately, approve the STIP. Additionally, project level conformity must be demonstrated (where "as needed" or "as required") to ensure that the project does not create, or contribute to, an air quality standard violation.

Designations

The Kentucky counties of Boone, Kenton, and Campbell have partial portions of their county designated as "nonattainment" for the 2008 8-hour ozone standard based on EPA airsheds. The 2008 8-Hour Ozone designations took effect July 20, 2012. The previous 1997 8-Hour Ozone standard was partially revoked, for conformity only. The planning requirement is still in effect.

Additionally, Bullitt and Jefferson Counties are designated as "nonattainment" for the 1997 fine particulate matter (PM2.5) NAAQS. The Kentucky counties of Boone, Boyd, Campbell, Kenton, and a portion of Lawrence County have been re-designated by the EPA as "attainment with a maintenance plan" for the PM2.5 standard. Muhlenberg County and a portion of Boyd County are designated as "attainment with a maintenance plan" for SO2 standard.

As required, regional conformity for ozone and PM2.5 has been demonstrated for the metropolitan planning areas of Clarksville-Hopkinsville (Christian County), Louisville (Bullitt, Jefferson, and Oldham Counties), Cincinnati-Hamilton (Boone, Campbell, and Kenton Counties), and Huntington-Ashland (Boyd and a portion of Lawrence County). The KYTC has shown conformity for these areas as required for the current 8-hour ozone standard and for the existing PM2.5 standard, and through subsequent MPO TIP/MTP amendments and updates.

Responsibilities

A conformity determination is required for the transportation plans for each of these designated areas prior to the authorization of any federally-funded projects to ensure that air quality will not be adversely affected for the criteria pollutants (i.e., no increase in particulate matter (PM)). For "nonattainment" or "maintenance" areas within or including an MPO area, the MPO is responsible for the conformity analysis. Currently, all areas in Kentucky have a conforming transportation plan. The Louisville Metro Air Pollution Control District (LMAPCD) performs the conformity analysis for the Louisville MPO's MTP. The Ohio-Kentucky-Indiana (OKI) Regional Council of Governments performs the conformity analysis for the Cincinnati-Hamilton MPO's MTP. The Kentucky-Ohio-Virginia (KYOVA) Interstate Planning Commission performs the conformity analysis for the Huntington-Ashland MPO's MTP. The Division for Air Quality (DAQ) and U.S. Environmental

Protection Agency (EPA) evaluates each conformity analysis and the U.S. Department of Transportation makes the conformity determination. SIP conformity documentation is incorporated by reference into the STIP as the individual TIP updates are amended into the STIP. (See Appendix A, Exhibit A-4 for air quality conformity letters). Project level conformity is the responsibility of the KYTC's Division of Environmental Analysis (DEA).

4. Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality Improvement Program

The FY 2015-2018 STIP has been developed in full recognition of Title 23, which establishes a distinct funding source to address Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality (CMAQ) issues. FHWA and the FTA have set aside funds for CMAQ. The funds are made available for projects within the identified designated air quality areas for the purpose of reducing traffic congestion and improving air quality. Funding for these projects is awarded through an application process and must be transportation related, must reduce emissions, and must be in an air quality nonattainment or maintenance area. Formerly designated areas are still eligible to apply for CMAQ funding. If the proposed project is located within an urbanized area, the sponsor submits applications to the MPO for their prioritization which then forwards the applications and rankings to the KYTC's Office of Local Programs. The KYTC selects eligible CMAQ projects to be funded as the federal CMAQ funding is available. FHWA makes an eligibility determination and the KYTC is responsible for the disbursement and management of the CMAQ funds. Application cycles may vary depending upon the availability of federal CMAQ funding. CMAQ projects are listed in Appendix A, Exhibit A-8.

5. Fiscal Constraint

In accordance with 23 CFR 450.216(a)(5), the STIP is required to be financially constrained by year, include sufficient financial information to demonstrate which projects are to be implemented using current revenues, and which projects are to be implemented using proposed revenue sources, while the system as a whole is being adequately operated and maintained. In addition, with the passage of the enabling legislation by the Kentucky General Assembly to use "Grant Anticipation Revenue Vehicles (GARVEEs)" bond funds, the fiscal constraint calculations include the debt service payments for GARVEE bonds (Table 1, page 15). The FY 2015-2018 STIP Fiscal Balance Worksheets show anticipated funding levels (apportionments) and compares them against planned outlays (obligations) for each of the next four fiscal years (FY 2015 through FY 2018). The anticipated federal-aid highway funding and anticipated federal transit funding apportionments for FY 2015-2018 are shown in Table 2 on page 16. The anticipated federal-aid highway funding and anticipated federal transit funding obligations for FY 2015-2018 are shown in Table 3 on page 17. And, the rolled up totals for each of the MAP-21 federal-aid highway funding categories for "Anticipated Apportionments" vs "Planned Obligations" and "Projected End of Fiscal Year Advance Construction" are shown in Table 4 on page 18.

The planned federal obligations are based upon the estimated project phase costs in projected "Year of Expenditure" dollars by using the "Cost in Today's Dollars" and using a 4 percent yearly inflation factor for design, utility, and construction phases and using a 5 percent yearly inflation factor for the right-of-way phase. The FY 2015-2018 STIP federal

funding level forecasts are based on the current Transportation Act, MAP-21, enacted by the United States Congress in July 2012 and expires October 1, 2014. A continuing resolution was approved by Congress on July 31, 2014, and signed into law by President Barack Obama on August 8, 2014 to provide \$10.8 billion for the Highway Trust Fund, and extending MAP-21 from October 1, 2014 through May 2015.

For the purposes of this edition of the FY 2015-2018 STIP, the funding levels for FY 2015 through FY 2018 are straight-line projections equivalent to federal-aid highway funding for FY 2014, as approved by Congressional resolutions. These state-specific levels of funding are broken down into individual program funding categories as determined by MAP-21 application to Federal Highway Trust Fund formulas.

Kentucky's federal highway program will be largely matched with "toll credits." Toll credits are attributed to Kentucky by federal highway law in accordance with calculations that consider past levels of state fund investment, such as state-sponsored toll roads, in the federal highway system. Toll credits do not generate cash and cannot be accounted as such; however, they do permit the KYTC the flexibility to use 100% federal funding on federal-aid projects. By doing so, the KYTC can allocate more of its own state funding for state-funded projects, yielding increased cash management controls.

The federal funding target amounts used to fiscally balance the FY 2015-2018 STIP, based upon projected estimated apportionments of category-by-category federal funding expectations of total federal-aid dollars available during Fiscal Years 2015 through 2018, is anticipated to be approximately \$2.5 billion. Adding the carry-over federal funding from FY 2014, the total revenue expectation that supports projects in this edition of the STIP is approximately \$3.3 billion. The total of the scheduled federal-aid funding obligations for the FY 2015-2018 STIP is approximately \$3.4 billion. The ratio of the scheduled federal-aid obligations to the scheduled federal-aid apportionments is 1.02 for the planned projects listed in the FY 2015-2018 STIP. Thus, the FY 2015-2018 STIP is fiscally constrained.

It is possible that the final federal appropriation for any given year may change these estimates slightly, but these changes should not substantially alter the ability of the Cabinet to deliver planned federal-aid highway projects. For the planned obligations scheduled in FY 2018, only the GARVEE bond debt service payments, MPO dedicated STP, Eastern Federal Lands, and the \$50 million of IM funding for the Louisville Bridges design/build awarded project are listed as planned obligations. Everything else scheduled in FY 2018 are shown as an "Illustrative Project" in the event that additional federal-aid highway funding becomes available beyond the anticipated planned apportionments. In addition, end of fiscal year "fiscal constraint" recalculations are conducted within each of the STIP funding programs to compare the federal funding obligations to the planned obligations for the current fiscal year, to assure that the federal program is "fiscally-constrained."

It is important to note that Kentucky oftentimes utilizes federal prefinancing provisions in programming federal funding through FHWA, defined as Advance Construction (AC). The federal prefinancing AC process is defined as "Advance Construction of Federal-aid Projects," whereby the state may request and receive approval to construct federal-aid projects in advance of the obligation of current apportionments and

obligation authority of federal-aid highway funds. By using the AC funding mechanism, Kentucky has maximized its ability to return federal dollars to the state more quickly, while at the same time accelerating many federal highway projects.

The KYTC's Cash Flow Management Process for using the federal AC prefinancing process outlines that the KYTC keep the federal-aid highway program "Earned Unbilled" to less than \$50 million. The "Earned Unbilled" federal-aid highway funding amount is the amount of state dollars that have been used to pay expenditures on a federal AC prefinanced project that eventually will be converted to current federal-aid highway funding and then a request will be sent to FHWA for reimbursement of eligible project expenditures. Kentucky's current AC total is approximately \$155 million, and the current "Earned Unbilled" total for those projects, is under \$10 million.

The projected end of fiscal year "advance construction" is \$273 million for FY 2015; \$509 million for FY 2016; \$755 million for FY 2017; and \$396 million for FY 2018. However, the amount of "advance construction" will depend upon several factors including the timing of the actual congressional release of yearly federal-aid highway funding obligation authority and the programming of federal-aid highway funded projects near the end of each federal fiscal year.

The KYTC continually monitors the "net cash balance" of these federal AC prefinanced projects, and these results are included within the month-to-month consideration of the federal-aid highway funding program's flexibility in the overall cash flow management procedures of the state and federally-funded programs. Through the "Cash Flow Management" procedures, using state funding from the state "Road Fund" cash balance until the federal share of these costs can be billed to the federal government, the KYTC can maximize the usage of both state road funds and federal-aid highway funding to deliver the overall state and federal funded transportation program.

It is also important to note that the KYTC utilizes innovative financing options permitted under federal transportation law. In particular, during the 2004 General Assembly's legislative session, House Bill 418 was passed, enabling the KYTC to pursue a specific group of interstate widening projects through an innovative financing technique known as "Grant Anticipation Revenue Vehicles (GARVEEs)." The General Assembly's enactment of House Bill 267 (Appropriations Bill) during the 2005 legislative session, provided to the KYTC, approval of \$150 million in appropriation authority in Fiscal Years 2004-2005 for GARVEE bond funds. In addition, during the 2006 General Assembly's legislative session the General Assembly provided within House Bill 380 approval to the KYTC an additional \$290 million in appropriation of authority in Fiscal Years 2006-2008 for GARVEE bond funds. The 2008 General Assembly made available the ability to use \$231 million of GARVEE bond funds to support the Louisville Bridges project within House Bill 410. Also, during the 2010 Extraordinary Session, the General Assembly made available the option to use an additional \$105 million of GARVEE bond funds to support the Louisville Bridges project within House Bill 3. In addition, the option to use \$330 million of GARVEE bond funds to support the construction of the two (2) US 68/KY 80 bridges over Kentucky Lake and Lake Barkley was made available within House Bill 3. Therefore, the Kentucky General Assembly has provided the KYTC the ability to use \$1.1 billion in

GARVEE bond funds, along with the approval of usage of federal-aid highway funding to provide debt service payments on the GARVEE bonds.

The GARVEE financing technique is permitted by federal law and involves the commitment of future federal-aid appropriations as leveraging for current year highway improvements. The primary benefit of such an arrangement is that major highway improvements can be purchased at today's prices and paid for with interest over a multi-year timeframe. The application of the GARVEE principle is very similar to home mortgage financing, but is used to purchase major highway investments when "pay-as-you-go" is not the desirable course.

With the passage of the enabling legislation by the Kentucky General Assembly to use GARVEE bond funds, the KYTC has begun activities on the corresponding approved projects. The breakdown of the required federal-aid highway funding to cover the corresponding debt service for each of the GARVEE bond funded projects is shown under the "ZVarious" County item numbers as shown on Table 1, on page 15.

Each of these projects constitutes a necessary investment in Kentucky's future. The need to accommodate both people movement and freight movement is critical to Kentucky's economy in the years ahead. Without the GARVEE bond program, these highway projects would continue to be piecemealed over time, and likely not completed until beyond 2025. The innovative idea of GARVEE bonds, along with determined state leadership, will yield the kind of realistic solution necessary to reverse the "always behind" mentality of the past and help restore hope to Kentucky's transportation program.

The federal funding for the construction phases identified within the GARVEE bond program will be programmed through FHWA as Advance Construct (AC) projects. Again, as explained previously, the AC process is defined as "Advance Construction of Federal-Aid Projects," whereby the state may request and receive approval to construct federal-aid projects in advance of the apportionment of authorized federal-aid funds. The AC conversion of the federal funding for the GARVEE bond program will be distributed over a period of fourteen years, and will be in accordance with the GARVEE bond program Memorandum of Agreement (MOA). At the beginning of each federal fiscal year, federal programming documents for GARVEE bond projects AC conversions will be submitted to FHWA to use current federal-aid highway funding apportionments and current formula funding obligation authority. The total amount of the AC conversions will be the amount of federal funding required to cover the yearly debt service (principal, interest, and other costs incidental to the sale of eligible bond issue) as outlined within each of the approved GARVEE bond programs. The required IM, NH, STP, and bridge funding to cover the yearly debt service amount for the GARVEE bonds will be included in the end-of-year "Fiscal Constraint" determination, and will be included within the preparation of future STIPs and fiscal constraint calculations. The debt service funding will be matched with toll credits.

As noted, the list of projects within the FY 2015-2018 STIP includes federally-funded projects and only the state-funded projects that are regionally significant to meet transportation air quality conformity requirements, including the projects within the MPO

areas. The projects located within each of the MPO areas included within the STIP are subject to approval and inclusion within the respective MPO's TIP and subsequent amendments for each of these MPO areas. In addition, like the FY 2015-2018 STIP, in accordance with 23 CFR 450.324(e), each MPO TIP is required to be financially constrained by year and include a financial plan that demonstrates which projects can be implemented using current revenues, and which projects are to be implemented using proposed revenue sources, while the existing transportation system is being adequately operated and maintained. Each of the MPO's TIP financial plans are developed in cooperation with the KYTC and the transit operators, based upon projected estimates of available federal and state funds within the MPO areas. Based upon the financial plan, the MPOs TIPs contain only project phases that funding can be reasonably expected to be available for each of the project's identified phase, funding source, fiscal year schedule, and the estimated cost.

Kentucky has several transportation "Mega Projects" (projects having a total estimated cost of greater than \$500 million as identified within Section 12) underway throughout the Commonwealth of Kentucky. As required by federal regulations, each of the "Mega Projects" require the preparation of financial plans on projects funded with federal funding, and the financial plans for the "Mega Projects" must be updated each year. The updates to the financial plans outlines current project expenditures, updates current cost estimates in year of expenditure dollars for each remaining project phase, and outlines the required remaining funding to complete the "Mega Project." As the "Mega Projects" financial plans are updated, changes in estimated costs and required funding for future project phases will be addressed through the STIP and MTP/TIP amendment or administrative modification processes, as necessary.

As evidenced by Table 2, Kentucky's STIP is fiscally-constrained for the next four years. For informational purposes, the STIP also contains several state-funded projects, shown in Appendix A, Exhibit A-5 C, which are critical for SIP compliance and conformity determinations. Each of these state-funded projects will be given priority as future allocations of state highway program dollars are allocated.

The authorization and programming of all state and federal funding for the transportation program will be accommodated through the KYTC's cash flow management program. When the planned obligations exceed estimated apportionments by program category, unobligated previous apportionments will be used, or moneys will be transferred between categories, or flexible funding such as "Minimum Guarantee" will be used to close the program-specific funding gap to the extent that air quality conformity for the region is not jeopardized.

To reiterate, the KYTC's goal is to fund the federal-aid highway program as outlined in the 2014 Enacted Highway Plan as approved by the 2014 Kentucky General Assembly, unless Congress and FHWA direct otherwise. The project phases listed in no way constitute an effort to denigrate the importance of any project to the community or region it serves. The KYTC will work diligently to implement all STIP projects as quickly as funding limitations will permit.

Shifting projects between fiscal years of the STIP may be conducted when determined essential to the effective use of federal-aid highway program dollars. It is

expected that such changes would normally be “minor,” for example; advancing a non-controversial project to replace a project not able to meet the assigned schedule, with respect to STIP activity. If such adjustments involve actions determined by the KYTC to be “major” in nature (e.g., acceleration of a controversial project), then a formal STIP amendment would be pursued.

The operation and maintenance of existing transportation facilities within the state is a primary concern among Kentucky citizens, and included within the FY 2015-2018 STIP are federally-funded system preservation projects. In addition to the federally-funded system preservation projects, Table 2 contains a line-item outlining the FY 2015-2018 scheduled state funding for the KYTC’s operations and maintenance program.

Included within each MPO’s TIP is a financial plan that demonstrates how the TIP can be implemented, identifies funding resources from public and private sources, and identifies innovative financing techniques to finance projects and programs. The development of the projected estimates of funding resources is developed through cooperative efforts of the MPO, the KYTC, and Public Transportation Agencies. Also included within the financial plan are grouped project line-items for projected funding and obligations for operations and maintenance activities for existing facilities. Again, the projected funding resources were prepared through cooperative efforts of the MPO, the KYTC, and Public Transportation Agencies by utilizing expenditures data from the KYTC Fiscal Year Audit Reports for actual operations and maintenance expenditures within the MPO areas. (See Appendix B-Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO) Financial Plans).

TABLE 1

PROJECTED DEBT SERVICE (in millions)					
GARVEE PROJECT	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	TOTAL
Interstate 65, Interstate 75, and Interstate 64 Widening ZVarious 99-9050 Series	44	44	44	44	176
Louisville Bridges Project ZVarious 99-9659 Series	15	15	15	34	79
US 68/KY 80 Lake Barkley and Kentucky Lake Bridges ZVarious 99-9068 Series	23	46	46	46	161
TOTALS	82	105	105	124	416

TABLE 2

STATEWIDE TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM FISCAL BALANCE SHEET
 FY 2015 - 2018 PLANNED FEDERAL-AID PROGRAM
 APPORTIONMENTS VERSUS PLANNED OBLIGATIONS

ESTIMATED APPORTIONMENTS (\$ MILLIONS)											
2014 AVAILABLE CARRYOVER APPORTIONMENTS (SEE NOTE 1)	2014 CARRYOVER OBLIGATION AUTHORITY	ESTIMATED FY 2015 THROUGH FY 2018 YEARLY APPORTIONMENTS (SEE NOTE 2)	FY 2015 PLUS CARRYOVER	FY 2015 CARRYOVER	FY 2016 PLUS CARRYOVER	FY 2016 CARRYOVER	FY 2017 PLUS CARRYOVER	FY 2017 CARRYOVER	FY 2018 PLUS CARRYOVER	FY 2018 CARRYOVER	TOTAL STIP APPORTIONMENTS
I. HIGHWAY FEDERAL FUNDS											
MAP-21 FUNDING PROGRAM AS SUBALLOCATED BY KENTUCKY GENERAL ASSEMBLY											
1	0	56	57	0	56	0	56	0	56	0	225
NHPP - BRIDGE REPLACEMENT (BRD)	0	10	10	0	10	0	10	0	10	0	40
STP - BRIDGE REPLACEMENT (BRX)	0	12	12	0	12	0	12	0	12	0	46
STP - BRIDGE REPLACEMENT (BRZ)	32	13	45	10	23	10	23	10	23	23	84
CONGESTION MITIGATION (CM)	13	11	25	15	26	16	27	17	28	18	89
PLANNING (SPRPL)	5	2	8	8	8	6	6	6	6	6	25
METRO PLANNING (PL)	3	134	137	0	134	0	134	0	134	0	539
NHPP - INTERSTATE/INTEGRATED MAINTENANCE (IM)	151	188	340	0	188	0	188	0	188	0	905
NHPP - NATIONAL HIGHWAYS (NH)	101	42	143	45	87	48	90	50	92	92	270
HSIP - SAFETY (SAF) PROGRAM	181	0	307	0	126	0	126	0	126	0	686
STP - SURFACE TRANSPORTATION (includes Statewide STP, (SEE NOTE 3))	3	1	7	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	5
Dedicated STP SHM funding within Henderson MPO Area,	61	18	79	0	18	0	18	0	18	0	133
Dedicated STP SLO funding within Louisville MPO Area,	17	6	23	0	6	3	9	0	6	0	42
Dedicated STP SLX funding within Lexington MPO Area,	13	7	20	0	7	0	7	0	7	0	41
Dedicated STP SHK funding within Northern Kentucky MPO Area,	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
Dedicated STP SAH funding within Ashland MPO Area,	0.038	0.038	0.076	0.000	0.038	0.00	0.038	0.00	0.038	0.00	0
Dedicated TAP funding within Henderson MPO Area,	1	1	2	0	1	0	1	0	1	1	6
Dedicated TAP funding within Louisville MPO Area,	0.38	0.00	0.38	0.00	0.38	0.00	0.38	0.00	0.38	0.00	2
Dedicated TAP funding within Lexington MPO Area,	0.43	0.44	0.87	0.00	0.44	0.00	0.44	0.00	0.44	0.00	2
Dedicated TAP funding within Northern Kentucky MPO Area,	0.07	0.08	0.15	0.00	0.08	0.00	0.08	0.00	0.08	0.00	0
Dedicated TAP SAH funding within Ashland MPO Area,	9	10	19	9	19	9	19	9	19	19	48
TRANSPORTATION ALTERNATIVES (TAP) Statewide	41	0	41	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	41
TRANSPORTATION ENHANCEMENT (TE) Statewide	69	0	69	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	69
FEDERAL DEMONSTRATION PROJECTS (STATEWIDE HPP)	14	0	14	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	14
FEDERAL DISCRETIONARY PROJECTS (KYD)	2.0	1.6	3.6	0.0	2	0.0	2	0.0	2	1.0	8
FOREST HIGHWAY/PUBLIC LANDS (FH)	22	41	3	25	3	3	3	3	3	3	34
NHPP - APPALACHIAN DEVELOPMENT (APD)	741	644	1,386	105	749	111	755	111	755	179	3,819
SUBTOTALS - HIGHWAY FEDERAL FUNDS	1,653	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,653
II. GARVEE BONDS (GB)	165	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	165
SUBTOTALS GARVEE BONDS	165	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	165
III. STATE FUNDS (SFP OR BONDS)	0	0	350	0	320	0	240	0	220	0	1,140
STATE CONSTRUCTION FUNDS (SFP OR BONDS)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
STATE MATCHING FUNDS (SEE NOTE 4)	0	0	323	0	323	0	323	0	323	0	1,292
STATE FUNDED OPERATIONS AND MAINTENANCE PROGRAM	0	683	683	0	643	683	653	0	543	0	2,432
SUBTOTALS STATE FUNDS TOTALS	0	644	2,069	1,392	1,316	1,316	1,316	1,316	1,286	1,286	5,916
HIGHWAY FUNDING TOTALS	906	644	2,069	1,392	1,316	1,316	1,316	1,316	1,286	1,286	5,916
IV. MAP-21 FEDERAL TRANSIT/STATE ASSISTANCE											
SECTION 5311 RURAL TRANSPORTATION											
SECTION 5310 SPECIALIZED PROGRAMS	18.69	4.99	23.66	18.69	19.63	20.61	21.64	21.64	21.64	21.64	80.57
KENTUCKY SECTION 5307	24.55	24.55	24.55	24.55	24.55	24.55	24.55	24.55	24.55	24.55	102.00
PUBLIC TRANSIT CAPITAL DISCRETIONARY	6.07	6.07	6.07	6.07	6.07	6.07	6.07	6.07	6.07	6.07	26.18
SUBTOTALS "TRANSIT FEDERAL FUNDS"	53.42	53.42	53.42	53.42	53.42	53.42	53.42	53.42	53.42	53.42	230.27
SECTION 5311 (STATE FUNDING)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
SECTION 5311 (LOCAL FUNDING)	17.51	17.51	17.51	17.51	17.51	17.51	17.51	17.51	17.51	17.51	75.47
SECTION 5311 (CONREV & FAREBOX FUNDING)	92.38	92.38	92.38	92.38	92.38	92.38	92.38	92.38	92.38	92.38	398.17
SECTION 5310 (STATE FUNDING)	0.66	0.66	0.66	0.66	0.66	0.66	0.66	0.66	0.66	0.66	2.69
SECTION 5310 (LOCAL FUNDING)	0.62	0.62	0.62	0.62	0.62	0.62	0.62	0.62	0.62	0.62	2.69
SECTION 5307 (LOCAL FUNDING)	8.49	8.49	8.49	8.49	8.49	8.49	8.49	8.49	8.49	8.49	36.61
PUBLIC TRANSIT CAPITAL DISCRETIONARY (STATE MATCH)	0.76	0.76	0.76	0.76	0.76	0.76	0.76	0.76	0.76	0.76	3.27
PUBLIC TRANSIT CAPITAL DISCRETIONARY (LOCAL MATCH)	0.84	0.84	0.84	0.84	0.84	0.84	0.84	0.84	0.84	0.84	3.27
SUBTOTALS "NON-FEDERAL TRANSIT FUNDS"	121.15	121.15	121.15	121.15	121.15	121.15	121.15	121.15	121.15	121.15	522.17
TRANSIT FUNDING TOTALS	183.50	174.57	183.50	183.50	183.50	183.50	183.50	183.50	183.50	183.50	752.43

2014 STIP FISCAL CONSTRAINT TABLE WITH IAC Columns FY 2015 thru FY 2017 with FY 2018 zeroed out with MAP-21 Fund Breakouts
 PREPARED BY DIV. OF PROGRAM MANAGE.ME.IT

TABLE 3

STATEWIDE TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM FISCAL BALANCE SHEET
 FY 2016 - 2018 PLANNED FEDERAL-AID PROGRAM
 APPORTIONMENTS VERSUS PLANNED OBLIGATIONS

PLANNED OBLIGATIONS (IN MILLIONS)													
PROGRAM	PROJECTS OR CONSTRUCTION OBLIGATIONS (SEE NOTE 1)	FY 2015 OBLIGATIONS	FY 2016 OBLIGATIONS	FY 2017 OBLIGATIONS	FY 2018 OBLIGATIONS	END OF FY 2016 PROJECTED OBLIGATIONS	END OF FY 2017 PROJECTED OBLIGATIONS	FY 2018 PROJECTED OBLIGATIONS	END OF FY 2018 PROJECTED OBLIGATIONS	COMBINED TOTALS PROJECTED FY 2018	COMBINED APPORTIONMENTS AVAILABLE FOR AC FY 2013 THROUGH FY 2018	PROJECTED AC AT END OF FY 2018	PARITY OF OBLIGATIONS TO APPORTIONMENTS
I. HIGHWAY FEDERAL FUNDS													
NHPP - BRIDGE REPLACEMENT (BR0)	(2)	95	(40)	70	(64)	(31)	(64)	23	(31)	(91)	160	(31)	254
SIP - BRIDGE REPLACEMENT (BR2)	0	17	(7)	15	(12)	10	(12)	0	(2)	(33)	31	(2)	42
SIP - BRIDGE REPLACEMENT (BRZ)	0	31	(19)	15	(22)	10	(20)	0	(9)	(70)	61	(9)	55
CONGESTION MITIGATION (CM) PLANNING (SPRPL)	0	35	0	13	0	13	0	0	0	0	0	0	61
METRO PLANNING (PL)	0	2	0	2	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	8
NHPP - INTERSTATE MAINTENANCE (IM)	(6)	205	(74)	204	(145)	119	(130)	61	(76)	(431)	355	(76)	609
NHPP - NATIONAL HIGHWAYS (NH)	(20)	367	(56)	252	(120)	288	(219)	47	(78)	(600)	423	(78)	954
HSP - SAFETY (SAF) PROGRAM (includes Statewide SIP, (SEE NOTE 3))	(5)	94	0	40	0	41	0	0	0	(5)	5	0	174
SIP - SURFACE TRANSPORTATION (includes Statewide SIP, (SEE NOTE 3))	(114)	251	(58)	207	(138)	278	(290)	23	(87)	(986)	600	(87)	759
Dedicated STP SNK funding within Henderson MPO Area,	0	4	(1)	1	(1)	1	(1)	1	(1)	(2)	2	(1)	6
Dedicated STP SLO funding within Louisville MPO Area,	0	81	(2)	22	(6)	18	(6)	18	(6)	(20)	14	(6)	139
Dedicated STP SLX funding within Lexington MPO Area,	0	25	(2)	2	0	14	(4)	4	(2)	(7)	6	(2)	44
Dedicated STP SNK funding within Northern Kentucky MPO Area,	0	21	(1)	7	(1)	8	(2)	7	(1)	(5)	4	(1)	43
Dedicated STP SAH funding within Ashland MPO Area,	0	4	(0)	1	(0)	1	(0)	1	(0)	(0)	0	(0)	7
Dedicated TAP funding within Henderson MPO Area,	0	0.08	(0)	0.038	(0)	0.038	(0)	0.038	(0)	(0)	0	(0)	0
Dedicated TAP funding within Louisville MPO Area,	0	2	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	5
Dedicated TAP funding within Lexington MPO Area,	0	0.8	(0)	0.38	(0)	0.38	(0)	0.38	(0)	(0)	0	(0)	2
Dedicated TAP funding within Northern Kentucky MPO Area,	0	0.9	(0)	0.43	(0)	0.43	(0)	0.43	0	(0)	0	0	2
Dedicated TAP SAH funding within Ashland MPO Area,	0	0.2	(0)	0.07	(0)	0.07	(0)	0.07	(0)	(0)	0	(0)	0
TRANSPORTATION ALTERNATIVES (TAP) Statewide	0	10	0	10	0	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	29
TRANSPORTATION ENHANCEMENT (TE) Statewide	0	40	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	41
FEDERAL DEMONSTRATION PROJECTS (STATEWIDE HPP)	0	50	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	50
FEDERAL DISCRETIONARY PROJECTS (RYD)	0	15	(1)	0	(1)	0	(1)	0	(1)	(4)	3	(1)	15
FOREST HIGHWAY/PUBLIC LANDS (FH)	0	5	(1)	1	(1)	1	(0)	1	0	(2)	2	0	8
NHPP - APPALACHIAN DEVELOPMENT (APD)	0	36	(11)	2	(10)	2	(7)	0	(4)	(31)	28	(4)	37
SUBTOTALS "HIGHWAY FEDERAL FUNDS"	(155)	1,399	(273)	875	(609)	890	(755)	218	(396)	(2,089)	1,693	(396)	3,382
II. GARVEE BONDS (IMS 1.12)													
III. GARVEE BONDS (MS 3.12)													
SUBTOTALS GARVEE BONDS													
IV. STATE FUNDING (SPP OR BONDS)													
NON-EXEMPT STATE FUNDED PROJECTS	0	360	320	240	220	240	220	220	220	1,440	1,440	1,440	1,440
STATE MATCHING FUNDS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
STATE FUNDED OPERATIONS AND MAINTENANCE PROGRAM	0	323	323	323	323	323	323	323	323	1,292	1,292	1,292	1,292
SUBTOTALS "STATE FUNDS"	0	683	643	563	543	563	543	543	543	2,432	2,432	2,432	2,432
HIGHWAY FUNDING OBLIGATION TOTALS													
		2,082	1,518	1,453	761	1,453	761	761	761	5,979	5,979	5,979	5,979
IV. MAP-21 FEDERAL TRANSIT/STATE ASSISTANCE													
SECTION 5311 RURAL TRANSPORTATION		18.69	19.63	20.61	21.64	20.61	21.64	21.64	21.64	80.57	80.57	80.57	80.57
SECTION 5310 SPECIALIZED PROGRAMS		4.99	5.24	5.50	5.78	5.50	5.78	5.78	5.78	21.52	21.52	21.52	21.52
KENTUCKY SECTION 5307		23.66	24.85	26.09	27.39	26.09	27.39	27.39	27.39	102.00	102.00	102.00	102.00
PUBLIC TRANSIT CAPITAL DISCRETIONARY		6.07	6.38	6.70	7.03	6.70	7.03	7.03	7.03	26.18	26.18	26.18	26.18
SUBTOTALS "TRANSIT FEDERAL FUNDING OBLIGATIONS"		53.42	56.10	58.90	61.85	58.90	61.85	61.85	61.85	230.27	230.27	230.27	230.27
SECTION 5311 (STATE FUNDING)		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
SECTION 5311 (LOCAL FUNDING)		17.51	18.30	19.30	20.27	19.30	20.27	20.27	20.27	75.47	75.47	75.47	75.47
SECTION 5311 (CONREV & FAREBOX FUNDING)		92.38	97.00	101.85	106.94	101.85	106.94	106.94	106.94	398.17	398.17	398.17	398.17
SECTION 5310 (STATE FUNDING)		0.82	0.86	0.89	0.92	0.89	0.92	0.92	0.92	2.69	2.69	2.69	2.69
SECTION 5310 (LOCAL FUNDING)		0.82	0.82	0.86	0.86	0.86	0.86	0.86	0.86	2.69	2.69	2.69	2.69
SECTION 5307 (LOCAL FUNDING)		8.49	8.92	9.36	9.83	9.36	9.83	9.83	9.83	36.61	36.61	36.61	36.61
PUBLIC TRANSIT CAPITAL DISCRETIONARY (STATE MATCH)		0.76	0.80	0.84	0.88	0.84	0.88	0.88	0.88	3.27	3.27	3.27	3.27
PUBLIC TRANSIT CAPITAL DISCRETIONARY (LOCAL MATCH)		0.26	0.26	0.26	0.26	0.26	0.26	0.26	0.26	0.84	0.84	0.84	0.84
SUBTOTALS "NON-FEDERAL TRANSIT FUNDING OBLIGATIONS"		121.15	127.21	133.57	140.24	133.57	140.24	140.24	140.24	522.17	522.17	522.17	522.17
SUBTOTALS TRANSIT FUNDING OBLIGATIONS TOTALS		174.57	183.30	192.47	202.09	192.47	202.09	202.09	202.09	752.43	752.43	752.43	752.43

TABLE 4

STATEWIDE TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM FISCAL BALANCE SHEET
 FY 2015 - 2018 PLANNED FEDERAL-AID PROGRAM
 APPORTIONMENTS VERSUS PLANNED OBLIGATIONS

TYPE OF FUNDING	2014 AVAILABLE CARRYOVER APPORTIONMENTS	FY 2015 PLANNED OBLIGATIONS AND AC CONVERSIONS		FY 2016 PLANNED OBLIGATIONS AND AC CONVERSIONS		FY 2017 PLANNED OBLIGATIONS AND AC CONVERSIONS		FY 2018 PLANNED OBLIGATIONS AND AC CONVERSIONS	
		FISCAL YEAR APPORTIONMENTS	739	(181)	709	(329)	801	(419)	569
NHPP	177	381	(181)	320	(172)	470	(322)	345	(197)
STP	181	148	(83)	0	0	0	0	0	0
CM	32	13	35	0	0	13	0	0	0
SPR (Statewide)	13	11	10	0	0	0	0	10	0
PL (Metro)	5	2	2	0	0	2	0	2	0
HSP	101	42	99	0	0	41	0	0	0
STP (SHN Dedicated to Henderson MPO Area)	3	1	4	(1)	(1)	1	(1)	1	(1)
STP (SLO Dedicated to Louisville MPO Area)	61	18	81	(2)	(2)	24	(6)	24	(6)
STP (SLX Dedicated to Lexington MPO Area)	17	6	25	(2)	(2)	14	(4)	8	(2)
STP (SNK Dedicated to Northern Kentucky MPO Area)	13	7	21	(1)	(1)	9	(2)	8	(1)
STP (SAH Dedicated to Ashland MPO Area)	2	1	4	(0)	(0)	1	(0)	1	(0)
TAP Dedicated to Henderson MPO Area	0	0	0	(0)	(0)	0	(0)	0	(0)
TAP Dedicated to Louisville MPO Area	1	1	2	0	0	1	0	1	0
TAP Dedicated to Lexington MPO Area	0	0	1	(0)	(0)	0	(0)	0	(0)
TAP Dedicated to Northern Kentucky MPO Area	0	0	1	(0)	(0)	0	(0)	0	(0)
TAP Dedicated to Ashland MPO Area	9	10	10	0	0	10	0	0	0
TE (Carryover from SAFETEA-LU)	41	0	40	0	0	1	0	0	0
HPP (Carryover from SAFETEA-LU)	69	0	50	0	0	0	0	0	0
KYD (Carryover from SAFETEA-LU)	14	1	15	(1)	(1)	1	(1)	1	(1)
FH	2	2	5	(1)	(1)	2	(0)	1	0
COMBINED TOTAL	741	644	1554	(273)	(509)	1399	(755)	973	(396)

NOTES FOR ITEMS WITHIN FY 2015 - 2018 STIP FISCAL BALANCE SHEET

(1) THE APPORTIONMENT BALANCES SHOWN ARE NOT INDICATIVE OF ACTUAL OBLIGATION AUTHORITY BALANCES, DUE TO FEDERAL LIMITATIONS, THE ACTUAL TOTAL OBLIGATION AUTHORITY BALANCE ENTERING FY 2015 FOR THE FEDERAL "FORMULA FUNDING PROGRAMS" WILL BE ZERO.

(2) FEDERAL FUNDS FOR FY 2015, FY 2016, FY 2017, AND FY 2018 ARE BASED ON STRAIGHT LINE PROJECTION OF FY 2014 MAP-21 APPORTIONMENT TABLES.

(3) SURFACE TRANSPORTATION PROGRAM BALANCES INCLUDE MINIMUM GUARANTEE AND EQUITY BONUS LIMITATION ADJUSTMENT FUNDING. THE DEDICATED STP FUNDING FOR SHN, SLO, SLX, AND SNK FUNDING CATEGORIES, ALONG WITH OTHER FEDERALLY FUNDED PROJECTS WITHIN THE MPO AREA ARE FISCALLY CONSTRAINED WITHIN EACH OF THE CORRESPONDING MPO TIP-S FINANCIAL PLAN.

(4) TOLL CREDITS WILL BE USED FOR REQUIRED MATCHING FUNDS FOR FY 2015 THROUGH FY 2018 FUNDING. THE USE OF TOLL CREDITS INCREASES THE USE OF FEDERAL FUNDING CONTRIBUTION FROM 80/90 PERCENT TO 100 PERCENT OF PROGRAMMED COST. THUS, REDUCING THE AVAILABLE FEDERAL FUNDING ACCORDINGLY.

(5) IF PLANNED OBLIGATIONS ARE GREATER THAN THE ANNUAL APPORTIONMENTS IN A GIVEN FISCAL YEAR, THE DIFFERENCE WILL BE MANAGED USING THE FEDERAL "ADVANCE CONSTRUCTION" MECHANISM. THE GOAL OF THE KYTC IS TO MANAGE THE YEARLY TOTAL OF "ADVANCE CONSTRUCTION" THROUGH THE KYTC CASH MANAGEMENT PROCESS. THE PROJECTED END OF FISCAL YEAR "ADVANCE CONSTRUCTION" IS \$273 MILLION FOR FY 2015; \$509 MILLION FOR FY 2016; \$755 MILLION FOR FY 2017; AND \$396 MILLION FOR FY 2018. HOWEVER, THE AMOUNT OF "ADVANCE CONSTRUCTION" WILL DEPEND UPON SEVERAL FACTORS INCLUDING THE TIMING OF THE ACTUAL CONGRESSIONAL RELEASE OF YEARLY FEDERAL-AID HIGHWAY FUNDING OBLIGATION AUTHORITY AND THE PROGRAMMING OF FEDERAL-AID HIGHWAY FUNDED PROJECTS NEAR THE END OF EACH FEDERAL FISCAL YEAR.

6. Revisions to the LRSTP or STIP

On various occasions revisions may be required to the LRSTP or STIP. Revisions to a LRSTP or STIP may involve a minor revision or may involve a major revision. On a case-by-case basis, the KYTC, FHWA, and/or FTA determines whether the revision is minor or major as per definition of "Administrative Modification" and the definition of "Amendment" outlined in 23 CFR 450.104. The KYTC, FHWA, and FTA will utilize the applicable requirements and classifications of projects outlined in 23 CFR 771.117 (c) and (d) and/or 40 CFR part 93.126 "Exempt Projects" and 93.127 "Projects Exempt from Regional Emissions Analysis" to group projects into the ZVarious programs and other program placeholders to determine if a STIP revision is required.

Administrative Modifications to STIP

Administrative modifications are minor revisions to the STIP that include minor changes to:

- Projects/project phase costs.
- Funding sources of previously included projects.
- Project phase initiation dates.
- Program placeholders which include:
 - Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality (CMAQ) projects
 - Transportation Enhancement (TE) projects
 - High Cost Safety Improvement (HSIP-HCS) projects
 - Safe Routes to School (SRTS) projects

An administrative modification is a revision that does not require:

- Public review and comment.
- Redemonstration of fiscal constraint.
- A conformity determination (in air quality conformity nonattainment and maintenance areas).

For administrative modifications to the STIP, the official STIP mailing list will be utilized to distribute information pertaining to the revision. As STIP administrative modifications are made, each administrative modification will be posted on the KYTC web site. Each of these documents will be provided in an electronically accessible format with the means to search and print as appropriate.

Amendments to the STIP

Amendments are revisions to the STIP that include major changes to a project included in the STIP. This includes a major change in:

- Project cost.
- Project/project phase initiation dates.
- Design concept or design scope (e.g., changing project termini or the number of through traffic lanes).

- The addition or deletion of projects, except those types of projects as outlined in 23 CFR 771.117 (c) and (d) and/or 40 CFR part 93.126 “Exempt Projects” and 93.127 “Projects Exempt from Regional Emissions Analysis,” which are the grouped projects listed in the ZVarious programs and other program placeholders.

An amendment is a revision that requires:

- Public review and comment.
- Redemonstration of fiscal constraint.
- A conformity determination (in air quality nonattainment and maintenance areas).

For STIP amendments that have not undergone public involvement through the MPO process or the Highway Plan process, a 15-day public review period will be provided and public notice advertisements will be placed in statewide, significant regional, and demographically targeted newspapers. Selected newspapers will be identified through assistance from the corresponding ADDs and MPOs. In addition, other possible public outreach efforts targeted to the specific region of the state directly affected by the proposed STIP amendment may be required and will be determined on a case-by-case basis.

The proposed amendment will be displayed at the KYTC Central Office as well as the appropriate Highway District, ADD, and MPO offices for public review and comment. At the conclusion of the 15-day review period, public comments will be addressed, additional public outreach may be conducted as necessary, and the final STIP amendment will be provided to FHWA and FTA for approval. For amendments to the STIP from areas within MPO boundaries, the public involvement process conducted for the respective MPO TIP will suffice as the required public involvement for the STIP.

As STIP amendments are approved, each amendment will be posted on the KYTC web site. Each of these documents will be provided in an electronically accessible format with the means to search and print as appropriate.

B. STIP Projects

MAP-21 advocates that transportation-planning processes be broadened to include the intermodal aspects of the nation’s transportation system. Each state is to (1) establish a statewide transportation planning process that considers all modes of transportation including the intermodal movement of people and goods, and (2) develop an intermodal management system that addresses the connections, choices, and cooperation between the various modes of transportation. The KYTC has incorporated an intermodal planning process through the statewide and regional transportation planning process that identifies and assesses intermodal facilities and systems and highway access to these facilities on a continuous basis. The KYTC hopes to further develop its processes to use performance criteria and relevant data to determine the efficiency and effectiveness of these facilities and systems, and identify projects and strategies to improve the intermodal movement of people and goods.

Specific activities that the KYTC has undertaken to develop this intermodal planning process included integrating freight movement and modal access tasks into the Annual Work Program of the

ADDs, identifying and discussing intermodal issues through the statewide transportation planning meetings, the identification of intermodal access projects and the dedication of specific staff to the freight movement issues. Improvement projects and strategies resulting from the studies and prioritization process based on selected criteria, as well as the other pertinent data, will be considered for inclusion in the statewide transportation plan for future implementation. MAP-21 further required that (1) the plans and programs for each state provide for development of integrated management and operation of transportation systems that will function as an intermodal transportation system for the state, and an integral part of an intermodal transportation system for the United States, and (2) the process shall provide for consideration of all modes and be continuing, cooperative, and comprehensive.

MAP-21 requires that each state carry out a statewide transportation planning process that provides for consideration with governments in both the metropolitan areas and in the nonmetropolitan areas, for identification and implementation of projects, strategies, and services that will promote efficient system management and operation, and emphasize the preservation of the existing transportation system. In accordance with MAP-21 requirements, the KYTC mission is “to provide a safe, secure, and reliable highway system that ensures the efficient mobility of people and goods, thereby enhancing both the quality of life and economic vitality of the Commonwealth.” Simply stated, the KYTC’s goal is to provide “safe and reliable roads, roads that lead to jobs,” and the 2014 Enacted Highway Plan and FY 2015-2018 STIP have been developed to support that goal.

1. Planned Highway Improvements

In developing the highway element of the FY 2015-2018 STIP, the KYTC relied heavily upon its ongoing project identification and scheduling mechanisms, particularly the long-range planning process. The KYTC projected federal and state funding levels were used in the development of the Recommended FY 2014-2020 Highway Plan submitted to the Kentucky General Assembly in January 2014. The Kentucky General Assembly by law has the right to remove, add to, or edit projects contained in the Recommended Highway Plan. The 2014 General Assembly approved Kentucky’s 2014 Highway Plan (FY 2014-2020) in April 2014. The 2014 Highway Plan is the primary basis for the federal-aid highway element of the FY 2015-2018 STIP. Included within the 2014 Highway Plan are projects shown as having HPP funding and KYD funding. These are projects that have been earmarked by Congress to be funded with special high priority (HPP) funding, or have been earmarked by Congress to receive federal discretionary (KYD) funding. Also, included within the 2014 Highway Plan are projects that are scheduled to use GARVEE bond funding as described within STIP Development; Section 5: Fiscal Constraint.

To help achieve these goals, the identification of highway needs has been accomplished through in-house evaluations of highway performance and adequacy, meshed with input received from state legislators, local officials, MPO and ADD transportation committees, the general public, and from within our own agency’s Central Office and District Offices. Each of these sources yielded valuable input for consideration in the development of the state’s long-range highway plan and the highway plan.

The KYTC considered technical data on the ability of candidate projects to improve safety, increase system reliability, and contribute toward enhancing regional economic

attractiveness. Unfortunately, the needs of our highway system far outweigh available resources. The KYTC is working to improve the evaluation process for active and potential projects to ensure that every dollar we spend is used to address the most critical and cost effective improvements. In doing so, the KYTC can concentrate available funds on those projects that truly result in safer roadway conditions, better pavements and bridges, and improved local economies.

As the Highway Plan was developed, projects were selected from the KYTC's District Transportation Plans and from the MPOs MTPs within reasonably anticipated funding. By following this continuing process, the Cabinet's long-range planning efforts have served to maintain a stream of prioritized candidate projects for inclusion and advancement in Kentucky's Highway Plan. As the federally-required long-range planning process matures, the Highway Plan and STIP documents will be strengthened by the focus on longer-range program management considerations. It is expected that future editions of Kentucky's STIP will reflect a strategy for implementation resulting from more clearly defined longer-range transportation goals.

As outlined within the STIP Introduction, the FY 2015-2018 STIP is a planning document that covers a period of four years, and is updated every two years upon approval of the KYTC's Highway Plan by the Kentucky General Assembly. With the STIP being a planning document, the projects, schedules, and estimated costs identified within the FY 2015-2018 STIP are based upon the most current project information at the time of preparing the Highway Plan and STIP. As work progresses within each phase of the projects, the project team refines the project scope, schedules, and estimated costs to complete the project. The programming of project funding for project refinements will follow the STIP amendments/revisions process as described within STIP Development; Section 6: Revisions to the LRSTP or STIP, along with the STIP end of fiscal year "fiscal constraint" recalculations.

The listing of FY 2015-2018 STIP projects is contained in Appendix A, Exhibit A-5. The KYTC will administer each of the projects listed unless clearly indicated in the project description as being handled otherwise. Included as part of the listing of projects, is the "Priority" column which is a quick reference for project priority of the corresponding project. The project priority is listed as Safety, Reliability, New Routes, or Economic Development as defined by the following definitions:

Safety: Providing for the safety and security of motorized and non-motorized users who travel Kentucky's highways is the KYTC's highest priority. The FY 2015-2018 STIP includes several programs of projects that serve to address the safety needs on Kentucky's highways. In accordance with MAP-21, Kentucky has developed a Strategic Highway Safety Plan (SHSP) that combines all statewide enforcement, engineering, education, and emergency response issues into a single coherent plan. The "engineering" element of the SHSP is primarily addressed through the Highway Safety Improvement Program (HSIP) which funds infrastructure-related safety improvements. The "educational" and "enforcement" elements of the SHSP are addressed in the Highway Safety Plan (HSP) which funds behavioral-related safety improvements.

Reliability: The condition of roads and highways in the state is a primary concern among Kentucky's citizens. Poor pavements detract from the driving experience, and road maintenance and resurfacing are the top transportation investment needs in our state. These issues certainly resonate with the KYTC, as we too see the value in protecting the infrastructure in which the public has entrusted to us.

New Routes: This is for the development of a roadway that does not currently exist.

Economic Development: This is for the reconstruction of major interchanges.

In an effort to provide a consistent, ongoing set of performance measurements for the maintenance condition of Kentucky's state-maintained highway system, the KYTC has developed a Maintenance Rating Program (MRP). The purpose of the MRP is to assess maintenance activities as they relate to customer expectations, provide data to support needed performance improvements, ensure that the KYTC system preservation strategies are working, and to meet federal asset management requirements. Through the MRP, the KYTC has proposed some budgetary adjustments for the FY 2015-2018 STIP to increase funding for the maintenance and resurfacing programs in an effort to improve conditions on Kentucky's roadways. There has also been a concerted effort to include essential, major pavement reconstruction projects in the FY 2015-2018 STIP. The KYTC's desire is to improve overall pavement conditions and meet the public expectation that Kentucky's roads will be kept in the best possible shape.

According to the KYTC inventory data, approximately 73% of Kentucky's bridges are performing the function that they were designed to fulfill without presenting any particular problems. Of the remainder, 7% are classified as "structurally deficient" and 20% are classified as "functionally obsolete." The phrase "structurally deficient" implies that there is a structural problem that will eventually require attention. Many times, these structural problems are handled by posting the bridge at a specific maximum loading to prolong the life of the structure. The phrase "functionally obsolete" implies that a bridge is too narrow to accommodate the traffic it carries. While the KYTC is concerned that Kentucky's bridges are functionally wide enough, our major emphasis is on those bridges that present structural condition issues. As the KYTC works to keep Kentucky's bridges safe, the focus of the federal and state bridge replacement programs is to repair or replace those bridges that carry relatively heavy traffic volumes and present the most severe structural problems.

Economic Development: Kentucky's future economy and congestion concerns: Highway congestion and its impact on economic development in Kentucky is very much a "relative" issue. While Kentucky does not have the overly-oppressive highway congestion found in many of the nation's very large cities, there are times when congestion in our urban centers is just as frustrating to Kentucky drivers. Morning and afternoon "rush hours" create traffic problems on many of our city streets, urban beltlines, and metro area interstate highway arteries. Additionally, "just in time" delivery schedules have created "rolling warehouses" on many of Kentucky's rural interstates, resulting in truck percentages of 50% in some instances. Such heavy truck volumes reduce levels of service on our major roadways, and create safety issues and driver frustration. As traffic and freight volumes

increase in the years ahead, our existing highway network will become more and more constrained and potentially impact Kentucky's competitiveness in the global marketplace.

Each of the FY 2015-2018 STIP projects, whether identified with a purpose of safety, reliability, or economic development, truly has an effect on Kentucky's future economy and congestion concerns.

2. Highway Safety Improvement Program (HSIP)

Hazard Elimination Program

The purpose of the Highway Safety Improvement Program (HSIP) is to achieve a significant reduction in traffic fatalities and serious injuries on public roads. The HSIP will be conducted in accordance with regulations as outlined in the corresponding sections of MAP-21, Title 23.

Projects may be selected based on:

1. High collision locations
2. Emphasis areas
3. Safety Enhancement Corridors

High collision projects are evaluated for locations that have a documented crash history and have identified low-cost corrective countermeasures. Specific treatments, identified areas of interest, and other recognized programs are processed as emphasis area measures. Safety improvements can be identified on routes selected as safety enhancement corridors based on criteria established by the Kentucky Transportation Center and the KYTC.

In order for an eligible improvement to be funded, consideration shall be given to the ability of the project to maximize the opportunities to advance safety. Projects meeting the program's requirements are submitted to FHWA for review and approval into the program. Upon approval of the identified projects, funding for the projects are initiated based upon statewide priorities for activities that are most likely to reduce the number of, or potential for, fatalities and serious injuries and upon available funding. An annual report is submitted to FHWA that describes the progress being made, assesses the effectiveness of the improvements, and describes the extent to which the improvements funded contribute to the safety goals.

Railway-Highway Crossings Program

This program funds safety improvements to reduce the number of fatalities, injuries, and crashes at public grade crossings. The railway-highway crossing program will be conducted in accordance with regulations as outlined in the corresponding section of MAP-21, Section 1519; and 23 USC 130.

The railway-highway crossings program funding level determined for each state is set aside from the state's HSIP amount. Activities funded under this program are also eligible

for funding under the broader HSIP eligibilities. The federal-aid highway funding anticipated apportionments and planned obligations for the Railway-Highway Crossings railroad protective devices (RRP) and the railroad separation (RRS) projects are included within the HSIP – Safety categories in the Fiscal Constraint tables.

Highway Safety Plan (HSP)

The purpose of the Highway Safety Plan (HSP) is to identify problem areas of the state regarding the behavioral aspects of highway safety, develop performance goals and objectives, and establish programs and projects to reduce fatalities and serious injuries on Kentucky's highways. The federal funding is a combination from FHWA and the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA). The HSP is an integral part of Kentucky's overall Strategic Highway Safety Plan (SHSP) as required by MAP-21. Program areas are as follows:

- a. Impaired Driving
- b. Occupant Protection
- c. Police Traffic Services
- d. Planning and Administration
- e. Community Traffic Safety
- f. Motorcycle Safety
- g. Safety Communities
- h. Pedestrian
- i. Crash Investigation
- j. Roadway Safety
- k. Traffic Records
- l. Data Incentives

Grants Management

Grants are a significant part of the HSP for law enforcement, communities, research and data. It takes a cooperative effort by many agencies to reduce fatalities and serious injuries on our highways. Both FHWA and NHTSA provide federal funding that is dispersed to various agencies based upon the identified problem areas. Three national law enforcement mobilizations are conducted each year to specifically draw attention to safety belt usage and drunk driving. These are "Click It or Ticket," U Drive, U Text, U Pay," and "Drive Sober or Get Pulled Over." Our summer-driving state initiative is "Blue Lights Across the Bluegrass," which focuses on speeding but also encompasses other emphasis areas.

Highway Safety Education Program

The Highway Safety Education Program (Education Branch) was created in 1994 as the educational arm of the Cabinet's Safety Corridor Program. The Safety Corridor Program is a cooperative of the 4 Es of highway safety – engineering, education, enforcement, and emergency response. Funding for these programs has come primarily from FHWA. The Education Branch focuses heavily on schools and communities to target the newest and

youngest drivers to get them started in the right direction. There are many programs, including simulators that focus attention on different problem areas regarding highway safety. Below is a listing of these.

- a. Vince and Larry (the crash dummies)
- b. Buckle-up Bear
- c. Guest Speakers
- d. Ghost Out
- e. Mock Crash
- f. Judgement Day
- g. Fatal Vision Goggles
- h. D2 Distracted Driving Simulator
- i. 3D Drunk and Drugged Driving Simulator
- j. Motorcycle Safety events
- k. Mature driving presentations

All of these programs focus on targeted areas of the state where there are unusually high fatalities and injuries, low safety belt usage, and high incidences of drunk or drugged driving.

Safe Routes to School (SRTS) Program (MAP-21 eliminated SRTS Program)

The SRTS program is a set-aside provision of SAFETEA-LU Section 1404, designed to enable and encourage children, including those with disabilities, to walk and bicycle to school; to make bicycling and walking to school a safer and more appealing transportation alternative; and to facilitate the planning, development, and implementation of projects and activities that will improve safety and reduce traffic, fuel consumption, and air pollution in the vicinity of schools. MAP-21 eliminated the SRTS program and made SRTS type projects eligible under the Transportation Alternatives Program (TAP). However, the states are permitted to use the remainder of allocated SRTS funding for projects that qualify under the SRTS provision outlined in SAFETEA-LU. SRTS projects should incorporate the five "E's": engineering, education, enforcement, encouragement, and evaluation.

Infrastructure projects may include the planning, design, and construction of projects that will improve the ability of students to walk and bicycle to school. Examples of infrastructure improvements include: sidewalk improvements, traffic calming and speed reduction improvements, pedestrian and bicycle crossing improvements, on-street bicycle facilities, off-street bicycle and pedestrian facilities, secure bike parking, and traffic diversion improvements in the vicinity of schools. Non-infrastructure projects may include public awareness campaigns, educational materials, traffic education and enforcement in the vicinity of the school, student sessions on bicycle safety, health, the environment, and training for volunteers and managers of SRTS programs. Not less than 10% and not more than 30% of the amount apportioned to the state program each fiscal year should be used for non-infrastructure related activities.

The Kentucky SRTS Program traditionally holds an annual application cycle. However, application cycles are dependent on the availability of SRTS funds. Safe Routes to School Projects are listed in Appendix A, Exhibit A-6.

3. Intelligent Transportation System (ITS)

The KYTC has a variety of Intelligent Transportation System (ITS) programs both operational and under construction throughout Kentucky. The Cabinet has operational freeway traffic management systems in Northern Kentucky (ARTIMIS) and Metro Louisville (TRIMARC). The Cumberland Gap Tunnel (CGT) Center provides traffic management, as well as other tunnel-related services. The Transportation Operations Center (TOC) in Frankfort provides support to those facilities, and also provides traffic management services to the rural areas of Kentucky.

The KYTC has implemented ITS projects in the Lexington, Elizabethtown, Bowling Green, Paducah, and Ft. Campbell areas. These projects include Dynamic Message Signs (DMS), cameras, and roadway sensors. Provision has been made to allow the respective affected Highway Districts to control the DMS and cameras for traffic, maintenance, and construction purposes. Obsolete DMS and cameras have been replaced within the ARTIMIS area, and a contract to provide Speed Data services is in-place within that same region.

The TRIMARC system has been expanded with ITS additions on I-71 and I-264 within the Louisville Metro area and additional assets are being added as part of the Ohio River Bridges project. ITS projects to provide additional instrumentation within the Elizabethtown, Louisville, Oldham County, and Boone County areas are complete. Other operational systems include the Road Weather Information Stations (RWIS) in 39 locations to provide Highway District Maintenance personnel, as well as others, with vital roadway weather information for critical purposes such as snow and ice maintenance.

On a statewide level, the KYTC has an operational Freeway Service Patrol (SAFE Patrol) which provides motorist assistance and Incident Management services on Kentucky's interstates and parkways. The KYTC has implemented the Condition Acquisition and Reporting System (CARS) and associated 511 systems. This allows data entered into the CARS database to be translated into a text-to-voice system with interactive voice recognition to provide automated, up-to-date traffic and road information by dialing the three digit telephone number 511. The KYTC has accomplished this in an efficient and cost-effective manner as a member of the CARS-511 multi-state consortium. This system provides the ability to gather and share with the traveling public the road and weather conditions along National Highway System routes. The partnership with the Cabinet's District Offices, the Transportation Operations Center in Frankfort, Division of Traffic Operations, Kentucky Vehicle Enforcement, and the Kentucky State Police ensures the highest level of information provided to the traveling public through the use of the common software. The KYTC has invested additional funds into the CARS-511 system within the current biennium to upgrade the various systems components and to provide more timely and accurate incident data to the public. For example, motorists are now able to receive customized trip data on a regular basis (e.g., daily rush hour routing) through the CARS Messenger service.

4. Transportation Alternatives Program (TAP)

Section 1122 of MAP-21 established TAP in 23 U.S.C. 213. Section 1105 of MAP-21 provides the apportionment of funds in 23 U.S.C. 104(b), from which TAP funding is set aside. Funding for a state's Recreational Trails Program is taken off the top of the state's TAP apportionment. In addition, 50% of a state's TAP funds are suballocated to urbanized areas with populations over 200,000, urban areas with populations of 5,001 to 200,000, and areas with population of 5,000 or less. The remaining 50% may be used in any area of the state. The funding suballocated to areas with populations over 200,000 is awarded through an application process managed by the area's MPO. The MPO selects the projects, and the selected projects are administered through the Office of Local Programs (OLP). All TAP projects require a 20% match. Eligible activities for TAP funding are defined under 23 U.S.C. 213(b). The former Safe Routes to School Program (SRTS) activities are now eligible under this program, with a 20% match requirement. Application cycles are typically held each year but are dependent on the availability of funds. As new project selections are made, the new projects will be incorporated into the STIP thru the STIP Administrative Modification process.

Transportation Alternatives Program (TAP) Projects are listed in Appendix A, Exhibit A-7.

Transportation Enhancement (TE), National Scenic Byways and Highways (NSB), and Transportation Community and System Preservation (TCSP) Programs

Dedicated funding for the following programs was eliminated with the passage of MAP-21:

- Transportation Enhancements (TE)
- National Scenic Byways and Highways (NSB)
- Transportation Community and System Preservation (TCSP)

States with balances of TE and SRTS funds are still allowed to spend the remaining dollars apportioned to their state. Since NSB and TCSP projects were awarded at a federal level, any state with an open NSB or TCSP project may finish out the project. However, no new federal funding will be provided for these programs.

Transportation Enhancements (TE) (see Appendix A, Exhibit A-7)

5. Transportation Enhancement (TE) Projects (MAP-21 eliminated TE funding)

Under MAP-21 the federal-aid highway TE funding was eliminated. However, the states are permitted to use the remainder of allocated TE funding for projects that qualify under at least one of twelve eligible TE activities and have a surface transportation relationship. Eligible activities may include bikeways or pedestrian facilities, preservation of historic transportation facilities, beautification of the roadway environment, or other such

specified improvements. Application cycles are typically held each year but are dependent on the availability of funds. As new TE project selections are made, the new projects will be incorporated into the STIP thru the STIP Administrative Modification process.

Transportation Enhancement Projects are listed in Appendix A, Exhibit A-7.

6. Transportation, Community, and System Preservation (TCSP) Program (MAP-21 eliminated the TCSP Program)

MAP-21 eliminated the TCSP program; however, the states are permitted to use the remainder of allocated TCSP funding for projects that received the TCSP federal-aid highway discretionary funding.

Projects eligible for TCSP funding include any project eligible for funding under Title 23 or Chapter 53 of Title 49 U.S.C., or any other activity relating to the purposes of this section determined appropriate by the Secretary of the U.S. Department of Transportation, including corridor preservation activities necessary to implement transit-oriented development plans, traffic-calming measures, or other coordinated preservation practices. Projects should address one or more of the following: (1) improve the efficiency of the transportation system of the United States; (2) reduce the impacts of transportation on the environment; (3) reduce the need for costly future investments in public infrastructure; (4) provide efficient access to jobs, services, and centers of trade; and (5) examine community development patterns and identify strategies to encourage private sector development. Projects receiving TCSP federal discretionary funding are generally earmarked by Congress through yearly allocations.

7. Planned Public Transportation (Transit) Projects

The Federal Transit Law (49 U.S.C. Chapter 53), is the basis for the federally-assisted public transportation programs. Program funds included for the rural portion of the state (Section 5311), for the small urban and urbanized portions of the state (Section 5307), for seniors and persons with disabilities in the state (Section 5310), for technical assistance and transit planning (Section 5303 & Section 5304), training for rural transit operators (RTAP), for capital bus and bus facilities (Section 5339) and have included discretionary capital (Section 5309) grants that include Veterans and Livability grants. Projects that go beyond the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) and that provide transportation for the low income to work and training are included under the Section 5311, 5307 and 5310 programs. Local coordinated plans are required.

Section 5311 program funds are used for planning, capital, and operating assistance by local public bodies, nonprofit organizations, and operators of public transportation services. These funds are used to give people access to health care, shopping, employment, education opportunities, public services, recreation, etc. Public transportation systems in rural areas assume the responsibility of meeting these needs. Kentucky utilizes 15% of these funds for provision of intercity bus services.

Section 5307 program funds are used by transit operators in urbanized areas. The

KYTC has approval authority for operating, planning and capital funding for operators in areas of 50,000 to 200,000 populations. These funds do not flow through the Cabinet for all areas, but the allocation is done by the Governor. Areas larger than 200,000 are allocated a specific grant amount by the FTA.

Section 5303 program funds are used for planning purposes by the MPOs and the KYTC. MPO funds are formula allocated by the KYTC and are identified for use in urbanized area unified planning work programs. Statewide transit planning for the rural areas is also funded with Section 5304 funds.

Section 5309 has evolved into a formula capital program that provides capital for three primary activities: new fixed-guideways or extensions to fixed-guideways, bus rapid transit projects operating in mixed traffic, and projects that improve capacity on an existing fixed-guideway system.

Section 5310 program funds are used primarily for capital purposes. Vans and other equipment critical to providing transportation services for seniors and individuals with disabilities are purchased through this program. Up to 45% of these funds may also be used for public transportation projects that exceed the requirements of the ADA, that improved access to fixed-route services and decrease reliance on complementary paratransit, and/or utilize alternatives to public transportation that assist seniors and individuals with disabilities. This funding can be operating or capital.

The Section 5339 program is a formula capital program that replaces, rehabilitates, and purchases buses and related equipment and constructs bus-related facilities for rural or urbanized public transportation systems.

The Rural Transit Assistance Program (RTAP) is designed to provide training, technical assistance, research, and other related support services for rural transit operators.

In general, the KYTC receives applications from areas and agencies for the FTA program funds. These applications describe the needs for public transit funds (type of service needed, etc.), the funding required, and local matching sources. The KYTC allocates the money based on several factors including potential ridership, area to be served, availability of services, etc. Each agency is accountable to the Cabinet for the allocated funds. Required Monthly report submissions, site visits and compliance reviews, vehicle inspections, drug and alcohol testing, timely invoicing, and audits are among the monitoring activities the Cabinet performs.

With regard to transit project identification, the KYTC advertises annually for competitive proposals for Sections 5310, 5311, 5303, 5304, 5307 (where KYTC is the designated recipient) and 5339, as well as relying heavily upon past experience to develop the transit element of the FY 2015-2018 STIP. Since the passage of MAP-21 the KYTC has been cognizant of the many opportunities for combining highway and transit funding for individual projects and programs, and fully expects to take advantage of these opportunities in the future. Kentucky's MPOs are seriously studying transit options for addressing metropolitan traffic issues, and are engaged in the project prioritization efforts which truly represent a multimodal approach to overcoming urban congestion.

Funding amounts are projected apportionments and the Transit improvements are based on historical need.

Planned transit improvements are listed in Appendix A, Exhibit A-9.

8. Human Service Transportation Delivery Process

Welfare reform legislation has greatly influenced changes in the Human Service Transportation Delivery (HSTD) process. This process/program utilized combined transportation resources of the Health and Family Services Cabinet and the Education Cabinet's Vocational Rehab and the Department of the Blind to provide a coordinated network of transportation providers to provide safe, efficient, and accessible transportation for Kentucky's health, human service, and workforce program clients.

Medicaid, Vocational Rehabilitation, and Department for the Blind funds will flow from the two human service Cabinets to the KYTC for operating funds for providers and administrative funds for the KYTC to manage the program.

The Commonwealth is divided into fifteen Human Service Transportation Delivery Regions. This division was based upon items such as operating authority, fleet sizes and capacities of existing providers, and historical transportation utilization.

Through the use of a financial consultant, the Cabinets developed a "capitated rate" payment system, essentially a flat rate (a fee paid per member, per month). This will reward providers for operating efficiency, discourages over utilization, and significantly reduces fraud and abuse. Some parts of the program will be paid on a fee-for-service basis.

There will be one broker per region who will be responsible for securing transportation from private and public transportation subcontractors in order to guarantee transportation to non-emergency medical services for eligible recipients. The Kentucky Finance Cabinet will secure and contract with the transportation brokers on behalf of all the programs. The KYTC will monitor all other service requirements of the brokers. A Coordinated Transportation Advisory Committee (CTAC) includes representatives of each of the different programs and will monitor the programs' interests in the network. The KYTC staff will monitor the brokers to make sure they operate as CTAC agrees. Details of each trip will be recorded by the broker and will be used to report program results to state and federal agencies.

In order to fully implement this program, two accomplishments were needed. One was getting legislation passed (HB 468 and HB 488) which requires brokers and subcontractors to meet safety standards, and the other was getting emergency regulations signed so this initiative could begin operation. This legislation has been passed and the emergency regulations are signed.

Human Service Transportation Delivery Process

The KYTC's Office of Transportation Delivery receives funding from the Department of Medicaid to finance the transportation brokerage for Human Service

Transportation Delivery non-emergency medical transportation. The Department of the Blind and Vocational Rehab reimburse the transportation broker at the local level, and funding does not flow through the Transportation Cabinet. Each funding stream meets the respective program requirements. Public Transit, Vocational Rehab, Department of the Blind, and non-emergency medical trips are coordinated at the transportation broker level. Ridership is over \$3.3 million annually.

9. Planned Aviation Improvements

The Airport Improvement Program (AIP) was established by the Airport and Airway Improvement Act of 1982 (Title V of the Tax Equity and Fiscal Responsibility Act of 1982, Public Law 97-248), and amended by the Airport and Airway Safety and Capacity Expansion Act of 1987, (Public Law 100-223), and the Wendell H. Ford Aviation Investment and Reform Act for the 21st Century of 2000 (AIR-21). Current legislation supports and provides funding for the following: (1) airport planning, (2) noise compatibility planning, and (3) noise compatibility programs as set forth in the Aviation Safety and Noise Abatement Act of 1979, (Public Law 96-193).

The funds for the AIP are distributed in accordance with provisions contained in the 2000 Act, as amended. In grant parlance, funds distributed by formula for use at a specific airport or in a specific state or insular area are referred to as apportionment funds. The remaining funds are for use at the discretion of the Secretary of the U.S. Department of Transportation, and are known as discretionary funds.

The estimated federal apportionment level for Kentucky is \$2.8 million for FY 2014 and \$3.5 million for FY 2015. The location and amount of spending using the federal apportionment money in Kentucky is unknown at this time. This decision is made at the FAA district level and these projects are typically not identified until late in the federal fiscal year. At present, there is no way to estimate the level of federal discretionary funding that may be made available during the upcoming biennium. Both federal apportionment and federal discretionary funding will be matched using a 90% federal, 5% state, 5% local ratio.

FY 2014 current projects are identified in Appendix A, Exhibit A-10.

10. Maintenance and Traffic Operations

The KYTC is responsible for ensuring that the State Road System is maintained and operated in such a manner as to ensure the safest and most reliable roadways possible. The Cabinet's goal is to provide the highway user maximum safety and comfort with minimum travel interruptions. Table 2 contains a line-item outlining the FY 2015-2018 scheduled state funding for the KYTC's operations and maintenance program, and Appendix B contains the MPOs Financial Plans, which includes a line-item outlining the operations and maintenance program within each MPO area.

The annual state-funded Maintenance and Traffic Operations Programs are comprised of four major sub-programs: Roadway Maintenance, Bridge Maintenance, Rest Area Maintenance, Weigh Station Maintenance, and Traffic Operations. The Roadway

Maintenance unit is responsible for maintenance of the highways in the State Road System. This unit handles routine upkeep such as surface repairs, ditch cleaning, mowing, litter pickup, snow and ice removal, and maintenance relating to drainage, shoulders, signs, markings, delineation, and guardrails on the approximately 27,629 miles of highways in Kentucky.

The Bridge Maintenance Program performs activities on bridges such as inspections, load ratings, painting, deck repair, and structural work on the Commonwealth's 9,000 total state-maintained bridges. The Rest Area Maintenance Program provides upkeep and janitorial services at 23 rest area facilities and 7 truck rest havens across the state, along with the maintenance and upkeep of the various truck weight stations located throughout the Commonwealth of Kentucky. All of these sites are maintained by contract.

The Traffic Operations Program is responsible for the installation and maintenance of traffic signals, beacons, and roadway lighting on approximately 27,629 miles of state-maintained highways. It also includes administration of the Highway Safety Improvement Program (HSIP). It is also responsible for the efficient movement of traffic through the development and coordination of traffic signal systems and support of intelligent transportation systems.

In addition to the ongoing highway maintenance and traffic operations programs, the state legislature budgets an annual funding level to resurface roads on the state road system. These funds are in addition to the monies funneled through the Cabinet's Rural and Municipal-aid Programs for improvements to county roads, city streets, and the state rural-secondary road system. Each of the rural and municipal-aid program components is accomplished through an ongoing dialogue with Kentucky's city and county governmental entities.

11. Recreational Trails Program

The Recreational Trails Program provides funds to develop and maintain recreational trails for motorized and non-motorized recreational trail users. Funds are apportioned under 23 U.S. Code 206 (d). Funds may be used to provide and maintain trails, trailside, and trailhead facilities, including provisions to facilitate access for people with disabilities. Funds may also be used to acquire easements or land for trails.

The Governor of a state designates an agency to administer the program, which may be an agency other than the State Department of Transportation. The Kentucky Recreational Trails Program is administered by the Governor's Office, Department for Local Government (DLG).

Planned Recreational Trails Projects are included in Appendix A, Exhibit A-11.

12. Kentucky's "Mega Projects"

As the 2014 Highway Plan was developed, strong consideration was given to the funding needs associated with six (6) "Mega Projects" located in Kentucky. The six (6)

“Mega Projects” in Kentucky are (1) the Louisville Bridges project, (2) the Interstate 71/75 Brent Spence Bridge congestion relief project in northern Kentucky, (3) the proposed Interstate 66 in southeastern Kentucky, (4) the proposed Interstate 69 in far western Kentucky, (5) proposed I-69 Spur; I-66/I-65 Spur; and I-67 Spur, and (6) the reconstruction of US 68/KY 80 Aurora, Kentucky to Cadiz, Kentucky. Each of these projects would be an expensive, but welcome, addition to Kentucky’s highway system.

In addition, MAP-21, Title 23, requires an annual financial plan for projects having a cost between \$100 million to \$500 million. For all new projects or projects that are currently underway, and the construction phase funding has not been authorized, the annual financial plan will be completed in accordance with Title 23 guidelines, outlining the project cost estimates, implementation plan, funding resources, cash flow, environmental, and legal status. The financial plan will not be required for a project in this category that is currently under construction.

Each of the six (6) “Mega Projects” is underway, with varying levels of progress achieved. The following information provides a description, an approximate total cost, and a brief report on the progress to-date for each project.

The Louisville Bridges

The Louisville Bridges project is located in the Louisville metropolitan area and involves a two-part approach to resolving traffic congestion problems in the region. The existing highway network features a myriad of interstate highway facilities (Interstates 64, 65, and 71) that all meet in a tangled maze of ramps known locally as “Spaghetti Junction” in downtown Louisville. Immediately associated with Spaghetti Junction is the Interstate 65 Kennedy Bridge, which links downtown Louisville with Jeffersonville, Indiana. Since there are no true outer beltways linking the Kentucky and Indiana portions of the Greater Louisville Area, virtually all north-south and east-west traffic is forced through Spaghetti Junction. This creates traffic delays of major proportions during peak morning and afternoon rush hours.

While Spaghetti Junction and the Kennedy Bridge certainly need to be modernized, transportation professionals understand that a major culprit in downtown Louisville’s traffic congestion is the absence of a “relief valve.” To truly accommodate future traffic in the Louisville area, it is essential to connect together the dangling ends of Interstate 265 east of Louisville. This can be accomplished by building a new bridge over the Ohio River in the vicinity of Prospect, Kentucky, and Utica, Indiana. This new “East End Bridge” would provide an alternative route to Spaghetti Junction and would work in concert with the “Downtown Bridge” to move traffic efficiently through the region.

In 2003, a Record of Decision (ROD) to meet the purpose and need of the project was signed, and the project cost was estimated to be \$2.49 billion. By 2009, the cost had risen to \$4.1 billion and there was a general acknowledgement that traditional federal-aid funding would not be sufficient to complete the project. In response, the 2009 General Assembly, in special session, provided for the creation of a bi-state authority whose purpose was to develop a reasonable funding concept for the Louisville Ohio River Bridges project.

The bi-state authority was created under Kentucky Revised Statute (KRS) 175B.030 and on March 25, 2010, Kentucky Governor Steve Beshear signed into law Senate Joint Resolution 169, pursuant to which the Kentucky General Assembly ratified the formation of the bi-state authority. An Executive Order was issued by Indiana Governor Mitch Daniels for Indiana's participation in the bi-state authority for the Louisville Ohio River Bridges project.

Once approved in 2010, the Louisville and Southern Indiana Bridges Authority immediately began to complete two financial plans. One was a financial plan that would meet the requirements for fiscal constraint and allow the approval of the Louisville MPO's long range planning document. The other financial plan was the Metropolitan Transportation Plan (MTP), which included the project with updated costs. Without the approval of the MTP, the project could no longer use traditional federal-aid funds. Concurrently, the Authority began working on the major projects financial plan, which required details about funding sources beyond traditional federal-aid funding. Both financial plans that were developed relied on funding sources including traditional federal-aid funding, GARVEE bond funding, and user-fees through tolling scenarios.

In early 2011, Kentucky Governor Steve Beshear, Indiana Governor Mitch Daniels, and Louisville Mayor Greg Fischer jointly suggested changes that lowered the estimated cost by \$1.5 billion – to \$2.6 billion (\$1.3 billion for the Downtown Crossing and \$1.3 billion for the East End Crossing) from \$4.1 billion – and cut construction time in half. Their suggestions, embodied as the Modified Selected Alternative, had two major elements: rebuilding the Kennedy Interchange in place, rather than moving it southward, and scaling down the East End Bridge from a six-lane to a four-lane facility that could, with restriping, be expanded to six traffic lanes when the need finally arose.

On March 5, 2012, Governors Beshear of Kentucky and Daniels of Indiana announced an historic agreement on a jointly developed and updated financial plan for this project, and they signed a Memorandum of Understanding that outlined each state's terms and responsibilities. Under the agreement, the two states would pursue separate and simultaneous procurements – Indiana for construction of the East End Crossing at an estimated cost of \$1.3 billion and Kentucky for construction of the Downtown Crossing at an estimated cost of \$1.3 billion, including the Kennedy Interchange – though it remained a single project. Under the financial plan, which was approved by both the Louisville and Southern Indiana Bridges Authority and the Kentucky Public Transportation Infrastructure Authority, the two states will use a combination of traditional transportation funding and toll revenues.

The Modified Selected Alternative received federal approval on June 20, 2012, when the revised ROD was signed. The financial plan was approved by FHWA on August 1, 2012, and the 2013 Annual Update to the Financial Plan was approved by FHWA on January 31, 2014. Both the East End Crossing, led by Indiana, and the Downtown Crossing, led by Kentucky, selected their teams to deliver their respective portions of the project. The KYTC selected a design-build team and awarded an \$860 million contract on December 28, 2012. Design work commenced in January 2013 and is nearly complete as of June 2014. Construction began in July 2013 and is approximately 30% complete as of June 2014. The substantial completion date of the Downtown Crossing is December 9, 2016.

The 2014 General Assembly approved the use of \$50 million per year of traditional federal-aid funding for the project. In July 2013, the Kentucky Asset Liability Commission issued \$236 million in GARVEE bonds. On December 12, 2013, the Kentucky Public Transportation Infrastructure Authority (KPTIA) closed on a \$452.2 million loan with the US Department of Transportation (US DOT) through the Transportation Infrastructure Finance and Innovation Act (TIFIA) program. On December 20, 2013, KPTIA sold approximately \$275.67 million in toll revenue bonds and \$452.2 million in bond anticipation notes (BANs) to complete Kentucky's LSIORB financing. The BANs will be retired on or before July 1, 2017, when the TIFIA loan proceeds will be drawn. The Major Project Management Plan and other detailed project information can be found on the web site: www.kyinbridges.com.

The Interstate 71/75 Brent Spence Bridge

The Interstate 71/75 Brent Spence Bridge is the focal point for some of the heaviest traffic volumes in Kentucky as these two major north-south interstates cross the Ohio River between Covington, Kentucky, and Cincinnati, Ohio. This bridge not only serves traffic between two major urban centers, but it also connects the downtown areas with one of the world's busiest airports, the Greater Cincinnati/Northern Kentucky Airport, located in Boone County, Kentucky. In recent years, the existing double-deck bridge has been "restriped" to carry additional lanes of traffic and, although the bridge is still structurally strong as indicated by its sufficiency rating of 64.0 out of a possible 100 points, it is functionally outdated.

Recognizing the old bridge's inability to meet today's traffic demands, and knowing that the situation will only worsen in the years ahead; metropolitan transportation planners are working with the KYTC and the Ohio Department of Transportation (ODOT) to craft a reasonable solution. Design studies and evaluation of financial implementation are currently underway to determine the most feasible and efficient way to deliver this project to construction in order to address the safety concerns and traffic problems at this location. There are environmental issues, downtown redevelopment concerns, and physical alignment constraints that work together to make this a very challenging project. Accordingly, one of the most challenging considerations will be the project cost, which is estimated at \$2.8 billion. Kentucky's share of the project cost is estimated to be approximately \$1.8 billion.

Proposed Interstate 66

The Proposed Interstate 66 (TransAmerica) Corridor in southeastern Kentucky extends from Interstate 65 near Bowling Green along the Cumberland Parkway to west of Somerset, from which it departs and extends north and east around Somerset, then along KY 80 and south to Interstate 75 south of London. From Interstate 75, the Corridor extends eastward along the Hal Rogers Parkway to Hazard before heading east to US 23 south of Pikeville. From US 23 south of Pikeville, the Proposed Interstate 66 would extend across the rugged mountainous terrain of Pike County to the existing US 52 (Proposed Interstate 74 Corridor) near Matewan, West Virginia. Along this course, the Proposed Interstate 66 would pass through some of the most severely economically distressed counties in the Appalachia region.

At the present time, there are three independent segments of the Proposed Interstate 66 that have been involved in some degree of highway project development. The first of these segments is the Northern Bypass of Somerset, which will serve to provide a high-speed connection from the Cumberland Parkway to KY 80 east of Somerset. Preliminary engineering and environmental studies have been completed, with design and right-of-way activity underway. Construction of the Northern Somerset Bypass is complete from the Cumberland Parkway east to US 27 north of Somerset. Construction from US 27 north to KY 80 east of Somerset is expected to cost \$127 million in 2014 dollars.

The second active Proposed Interstate 66 project in southeastern Kentucky is the connector between KY 80 east of Somerset and Interstate 75 south of London. Preliminary engineering, environmental work, and the financial plan are currently on hold for this section, pending resolution of funding issues. There are many environmental issues associated with this project, including involvement with the Daniel Boone National Forest, a wild and scenic stretch of the Rockcastle River, and numerous cliffline, cave, and cultural/historic concerns. There has been, and will continue to be, considerable public involvement and coordination with resource agencies to minimize the environmental effects of this project. The total estimated cost of the Somerset to London section of the Proposed Interstate 66 is over \$500 million.

The third section of the Proposed Interstate 66 that was being developed is the portion of the route between US 23 south of Pikeville and US 52 (Proposed Interstate 74) in West Virginia. An Environmental Impact Statement for this segment of Interstate 66 has been completed, and the preliminary right-of-way plans have been completed for a 6-mile section in Pike County. While environmental issues have proven to be minimal in the Pike County area, the rugged terrain makes this one of the most expensive sections of the Proposed Interstate 66 to build. It is expected that it will cost more than \$1 billion to complete this connection between US 23 and US 52. Due to funding concerns, this segment of I-66 is on hold at this time.

Proposed Interstate 69

The Proposed Interstate 69 corridor is being pursued in some manner by every state it traverses, from south Texas to the Michigan border with Canada. The impetus for Interstate 69 is Latin American trade and the overland transportation need to link Latin America with Canada and the northeastern United States. The states involved in this project are Texas, Louisiana, Arkansas, Mississippi, Tennessee, Kentucky, Indiana, and Michigan. An Environmental Impact Statement has been prepared for the entire route, with the "purpose and need" of the project focused squarely on freight movement.

In Kentucky, Interstate 69 will follow the existing Purchase Parkway from the Tennessee State line to Interstate 24, then Interstate 24 to the Western Kentucky Parkway, then the Western Kentucky Parkway to the Pennyryle Parkway, then the Pennyryle Parkway north to Henderson. At Henderson, a new route (including a new Ohio River Bridge) will be required to connect to Interstate 64 in southern Indiana. Both Tennessee and Indiana are actively engaged in pursuing their own segments of Interstate 69, and each state has cooperated in studies to assess connections at the state lines.

For Interstate 69 to become fully functional in Kentucky, it is expected that the existing parkway system will have to be upgraded. The Purchase, Western Kentucky, and Pennyrite Parkways are all limited access, four-lane divided highways, but there are spot locations where access control would have to be tightened and shoulder widths, clear zones, and bridge dimensions addressed before interstate highway design standards are achieved in full. It is expected that such upgrades could cost \$700 million or more if full federal interstate standards were to be achieved. The 2014 Highway Plan includes smaller projects aimed at gaining approval for formal federal I-69 designation with more minimal investment. At Henderson, the new route and its new Ohio River Bridge will likely cost an additional \$800 million or more to complete. The KYTC has recently completed a study of the parkway upgrade needs from Interstate 24 to Henderson and has worked with Indiana to develop a Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the new Ohio River crossing at Henderson. Continuing work on the Interstate 69 connection between Kentucky and Indiana will depend upon the financial support that can be garnered for the project through bi-state funding cooperation and our continuing partnership with FHWA.

Proposed Interstate I-69 Spur; I-66/I-65 Spur; and I-67 Spur

The KYTC recently completed a strategic corridor planning study and high level overview of the proposed I-66/I-65 Spur, the I-67 Spur, and the I-69 Spur to evaluate the upgrades necessary for the Audubon Parkway between Henderson, Kentucky and Owensboro, Kentucky (I-69 Spur approximately 24 miles); the Natcher Parkway between Bowling Green, Kentucky and Owensboro, Kentucky (I-66/I-65 Spur approximately 70 miles); the US 60 Wendell Ford Expressway from Audubon Parkway to Natcher Parkway in Owensboro, Kentucky (part of I-69 Spur approximately 7 miles); and the US 60 Wendell Ford Expressway from the Natcher Parkway to KY 54 Interchange with the new US 60 Bypass to US 60 to US 60/US 231 intersection near Maceo, Kentucky and following US 231 to the William H. Natcher Parkway Bridge over the Ohio River to Indiana (I-67 Spur approximately 14 miles). For these Interstate Spurs to become fully functional in Kentucky, it is expected that the existing parkway system will have to be upgraded. These studies were just completed in May 2014.

These corridors are expected to improve traffic flow between Owensboro, Henderson, Madisonville, Bowling Green, and Indiana, and enhance economic development in this portion of western Kentucky. Preliminary estimates to provide the required upgrades is estimated to cost approximately \$412 million (I-69 Spur-\$87 million; I-66/I-65 Spur-\$148 million; and I-67 Spur \$177 million) or more to accomplish. Continuing work on Interstate 69 Spur, Interstate 66/65 Spur, and Interstate 67 Spur in Kentucky will depend upon the financial support that can be garnered for the project through federal reauthorization and appropriations processes.

Reconstruction of US 68/KY 80 Aurora, Kentucky to Cadiz, Kentucky

The KYTC proposes to continue and complete the widening and improvements to the existing two-lane US 68/KY 80, from KY 94 at Aurora in Marshall County for approximately 17 miles to the western terminus of the Cadiz Bypass in Trigg County. US Highway 68 (US 68), also designated as Kentucky State Route 80 (KY 80), is the only east-

west arterial in the region of southwestern Kentucky, and serves local, recreational, and through traffic. The project will pass easterly through Kenlake State Resort Park, cross Kentucky Lake (Tennessee River), traverse the Land Between the Lakes (LBL) National Recreation Area, over Lake Barkley (Cumberland River), and extend to the Cadiz Bypass. Portions of the roadway are proposed to be built on a new location while other portions will follow the existing roadway.

The western terminus of this project is the recently relocated and widened four-lane section of US 68 between Kenlake State Resort Park and the city of Mayfield. The eastern terminus of this project is the western terminus of the Cadiz Bypass. Outside of the two public recreation areas, the project corridor is comprised of a mixture of commercial, residential, and agricultural land. This project is consistent with the KYTC's plans to improve the alignment of US 68/KY 80 in western Kentucky.

The project from the Kentucky Lake Bridge extending easterly to the Cadiz Bypass is broken into the following four independent sections that do not rely on the other roadway portions for completion:

- Section 1: Kentucky Lake Bridge
- Section 2: Land Between the Lakes Design-Build Section
- Section 3: Lake Barkley Bridge
- Section 4: Reconstruction of US 68/KY 80 from Lake Barkley to Cadiz Bypass

With the Land Between the Lakes Design-Build section completed, the Initial Financial Plan focuses on the bridge replacements over Kentucky Lake and Lake Barkley, and the remaining reconstruction of US 68/KY 80 from Lake Barkley to the existing Cadiz Bypass.

The Kentucky Lake Bridge replacement project and the Lake Barkley Bridge replacement project has independent logical termini, and represent construction segments of proposed improvements to the overall corridor of US 68/KY 80 between Aurora and the Cadiz Bypass. On the eastern end, the project connects with the recently constructed Cadiz Bypass, a two-lane roadway that intersects with Interstate 24 east of Cadiz.

The US 68/KY 80 Corridor reconstruction is to correct numerous geometric deficiencies of the existing roadway and the two major bridges (Eggner's Ferry Bridge and Lawrence Memorial Bridge over Kentucky Lake and Lake Barkley, respectively). The correction of those deficiencies would provide a safer travel way for persons using US 68/KY 80. Also, this project is to enhance regional tourism and economic development by vastly upgrading this principal east-west highway which serves as the only highway into and through the Land Between the Lakes.

As per the December 2013 Financial Management Plan Update, the projected total costs in "Year of Expenditure" dollars of all project segments of the Selected Alternatives are estimated to cost \$584.2 million. The projected \$584.2 million total cost corresponds with FHWA's October 2011 "Cost Estimate Review Report" for the US 68/KY 80 Corridor from Aurora, Kentucky to Cadiz, Kentucky project. In December 2013, the expenditures

to-date for all segments of the US 68/KY 80 Corridor from Aurora, Kentucky to Cadiz, Kentucky project was approximately \$95.5 million, thus, leaving approximately \$488.7 million future remaining project expenditures.

Currently, the KYTC has awarded a project along the corridor for the construction of the bridge over the lagoon west of Kentucky Lake and the Kentucky Lake causeway widening project. The project was awarded on March 1, 2013, for approximately \$24 million, and as of June 2014 the project is 89 percent complete.

In addition, the KYTC has awarded a project for the construction of the Kentucky Lake Bridge. The project was awarded February 10, 2014, for approximately \$132 million. Work on the project began April 16, 2014, and as of June 2014 the project is only 2 percent complete.